

From: [David Van't Hof](#)
To: [HANHAN Nadine](#)
Cc: [Aaron Milano](#); [amanda@daltonadvocacy.com](#); [DICK Andrew E](#); [anne.smart@chargepoint.com](#); [anthony.harrison@chargepoint.com](#); [barbara.halle@pgn.com](#); [Bob Jenks](#); [Brian Doherty](#); [brian@focuspointcom.com](#); [chris.kroeker@nwnatural.com](#); [chris_king@siemens.com](#); [Carl Fink](#); [WIND Cory Ann](#); [derekgreen@dwt.com](#); [dockets@idahopower.com](#); [dockets@oregoncub.org](#); [dustin.till@pacificcorp.com](#); [ekarlen@greenlots.com](#); [eli.morris@rockymountainpower-pacificpower.net](#); [erin.apperson@pgn.com](#); [eva.decesaro@pacificcorp.com](#); [Fish, Ingrid](#); [Irion Sanger](#); [jaimes@sparknorthwest.org](#); [Jana Gastellum](#); [Jeanette Shaw](#); [Jeff Allen](#); [jessalyn_ishigo@ahm.honda.com](#); [jim@evassociation.org](#); [john.boroski@pgn.com](#); [josh.cohen@semaconnect.com](#); [jufaruq@tesla.com](#); [KLEIN Kaylie](#); [kellyy@forthmobility.org](#); [lnordstrom@idahopower.com](#); [maa@dvclaw.com](#); [marie@sanger-law.com](#); [mark.sellers-vaughn@cngc.com](#); [mark@sanger-law.com](#); [Baumhefner, Max](#); [meden@neea.org](#); [Meredith Connolly](#); [meroberts@tesla.com](#); [Mike Goetz](#); [mpengilly@thornrun.com](#); [natasha.siores@pacificcorp.com](#); [nblackwell@idahopower.com](#); [oregondockets@pacificcorp.com](#); [Oriana Magnera](#); [pbean@tesla.com](#); [renee.samson@chargepoint.com](#); [WALLACE Rick * ODOE](#); [sdunbar@kfwlaw.com](#); [spencer.moersfelder@energytrust.org](#); [tcp@dvclaw.com](#); [teresa.l.hagins@williams.com](#); [Thor Hinckley](#); [tom@greenlots.com](#); [wendy.simons@oregon.gov](#); [Wendy Gerlitz](#); [Zach Baker](#); [DAVIS Diane](#); [PRAUSE Elaine](#); [BATMALE JP](#); [PEACOCK Julie](#); [MOSER Nolan](#)
Subject: Re: AR 609 - Please Send Feedback
Date: Friday, November 2, 2018 6:26:22 PM

Nadine, Climate Solutions has not proposed any of its own redline changes but we wish to acknowledge support for the following three proposed edits from other stakeholders:

1. We support the proposed change from NIPPC clarifying at 2(d) that the schedule should provide for comments and reply comments by interested parties.
2. We support the proposed change from PGE creating a new 4(g) that would separate out the requirement to provide discussion of anticipated greenhouse gas emission reductions based on the TE plan.
3. We support the proposed changes from Tesla that would specify both light and heavy duty vehicles at 1(b) and 4(a).

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. Sincerely,

Dave Vant Hof
Consultant to Climate Solutions