

TAYLOR Annette M

From: PINES Shani
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2013 1:54 PM
To: Weirich Michael; TAYLOR Annette M; bob@oregoncub.org; bryce.dalley@pacificorp.com; catriona@oregoncub.org; GARCIA Deborah; dockets@oregoncub.org; doug.tingey@pgn.com; dws@r-c-s-inc.com; gbass@noblesolutions.com; greg@richardsonandoleary.com; jkyler@bkllawfirm.com; kboehm@bkllawfirm.com; khiggins@energystrat.com; mail@dvclaw.com; GARDNER Marianne; mgorman@consultbai.com; WEIRICH Michael; mjd@dvclaw.com; nona.soltero@fredmeyer.com; oregondockets@pacificorp.com; pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com; sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com; sernst@newsdata.com; yamservices@freedomnw.com
Cc: GARCIA Deborah; RIEMENSCHNEIDER Johanna
Subject: RE: Docket Number UE 263

Importance: High

Thanks, this email is sufficient. Any party objecting to the proposed additions to the schedule should notify me by 5pm tomorrow.

Shani Pines
Administrative Law Judge
Administrative Hearings Division
Oregon Public Utility Commission
550 Capitol St NE Ste 215
Salem, OR 97301-2148
(503) 378-3098
shani.pines@state.or.us

-----Original Message-----

From: Weirich Michael [<mailto:michael.weirich@state.or.us>]
Sent: Mon 4/8/2013 1:43 PM
To: TAYLOR Annette M; bob@oregoncub.org; bryce.dalley@pacificorp.com; catriona@oregoncub.org; GARCIA Deborah; dockets@oregoncub.org; doug.tingey@pgn.com; dws@r-c-s-inc.com; gbass@noblesolutions.com; greg@richardsonandoleary.com; jkyler@bkllawfirm.com; kboehm@bkllawfirm.com; khiggins@energystrat.com; mail@dvclaw.com; GARDNER Marianne; mgorman@consultbai.com; WEIRICH Michael; mjd@dvclaw.com; nona.soltero@fredmeyer.com; oregondockets@pacificorp.com; pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com; sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com; sernst@newsdata.com; yamservices@freedomnw.com
Cc: GARCIA Deborah; RIEMENSCHNEIDER Johanna; PINES Shani
Subject: RE: Docket Number UE 263

Dear Judge Pines:

On behalf of all active parties, I wanted to bring two matters to your attention concerning the schedule in this matter.

First, the parties have agreed to hold the second settlement conference on July 18 and July 22, 2013. While not required to be part of the official schedule, I know that PUC AHD typically includes these informal conference dates in the notice of procedural schedule and the parties ask that you do so here as well.

Second, the parties have agreed to following reduced discovery due dates:

Seven (7) business days following the utility's reply testimony (August 5);

and

Five (5) business days following staff's and intervenors' rebuttal testimony (August 30).

Again, the parties ask that you issue a supplemental ruling including this information in the official procedural schedule adopted for this case.

I have taken the liberty of informing you of this request via email but if you would prefer a formal letter or motion, please advise and I will submit one.

Respectfully,

Mike Weirich

Attorney for PUC staff

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.
