

LISA F. RACKNER Direct (503) 595-3925 lisa@mcd-law.com

December 9, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND U.S. MAIL

PUC Filing Center Public Utility Commission of Oregon PO Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308-2148

Re: Level 3 Communications' Complaint for Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement

Level 3 Communications LLC. ("Level 3") hereby submits the following for filing with the Oregon Public Utility Commission:

- 1. Level 3 Communications' Complaint for Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement;
- 2. Level 3 Communications' Executive Summary of Complaint for Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement and Relief Requested; and
- The portion of the interconnection agreement that Level 3 contends is being violated.

A copy of this filing has been served on the defendant, Qwest Corporation via fax and U.S. Mail.

Very truly yours,

Lisa F. Rackner

cc: Alex Duarte

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION		
•	OF OREGON		
2			
3	In the Matter of		
4			
5	LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	Docket No. IC	
6	Complainant		
7	V.	LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS' COMPLAINT FOR ENFORCEMENT OF	
8	QWEST CORPORATION,	INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT	
9	Defendant		
10	Complaint for Enforcement of		
Interconnection Agreement 11			
12			
13	į, · · · I	PARTIES	
14	1. Level 3 Communications, LLC ("Level 3") is a Delaware limited liability		
15	company with its principal place of business at 1025 Eldorado Boulevard, Broomfield,		
16	Colorado, 80021. Level 3 is a facilities-based competitive local exchange carrier ("CLEC")		
17	authorized to provide all forms of switched and dedicated telecommunications service on a		
18	resale and facilities-based basis in Oregon pursuant to Oregon Public Utility Commission		
19	("Commission") Order No. 02-371 entered June 5, 2002, in Docket CP1035.		
20	2. Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") is an incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC"		
21	in the state of Oregon regulated by the Commission, with its principal place of business in		
22	Denver, Colorado.		
23	II. FACTUA	LALLEGATIONS	
24	A. Good Faith Attempt to Resolve	Pursuant to OAR 860-016-0050(3)(a)	
25	3. Pursuant to OAR 860-016-0050	0(3)(a), Level 3 and Qwest have attempted to	
	resolve this dispute in good faith.		
	, <u>Gara</u> . 		

Page 1

AGREEMENT

LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS' COMPLAINT FOR ENFORCEMENT OF INTERCONNECTION

McDowell & Rackner PC 520 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 830 Portland, OR 97204

4. On or about June 1, 2007, Qwest began billing Level 3 for certain	
interconnection facilities used to exchange traffic under the terms of the Parties'	
interconnection agreement in Oregon ("Agreement"). 1 See Affidavit of Rhonda Tounget	
attached hereto as Exhibit A. Level 3 began disputing certain amounts being billed as	
inconsistent with the terms of the Agreement. Id. In early 2008, the Parties began meeting	
periodically in an effort to work toward resolution of the dispute. Id. A more detailed	
description of the dispute is set forth in Part B below.	
5. Despite efforts at negotiating a resolution, the Parties have been unable to	
resolve their dispute. By letter dated August 6, 2008, Level 3 notified Qwest pursuant to	
OAR 860-016-0050(3)(a) of its intent to file a complaint to enforce the Agreement. See	
Exhibit B attached hereto.	
B. Statement of Facts	
6. On June 3, 2005, Level 3 filed a petition with the Commission requesting	
arbitration of an interconnection agreement with Qwest pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of	
the Telecommunication Act of 1996. ² The principle issues in dispute centered around the	
Parties' exchange of dial-up modem traffic bound for Internet service providers, or ISP-	
bound traffic, and in particular what was termed "VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic." The issue	
pertinent to the instant dispute is whether Level 3 should be responsible for paying the costs	
of transporting Qwest-originated VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic on Qwest's side of the point	
of interconnection ("POI") with Level 3 when the POI is in a different local calling area as the	
originating traffic.	
¹ Interconnection Agreement approved by the Commission in Order No. 07-275 entered on June 18, 2007.	
² In the Matter of Level 3 Communications, LLC, Petition For Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement	
with Qwest Corporation Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act, Docket No. Arb 665 (the "Level 3 / Qwest Arbitration").	

Page 2 - LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS' COMPLAINT FOR ENFORCEMENT OF INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

- 1 7. On March 14, 2007, the Commission entered Order No. 07-098 resolving the
- 2 disputed issues in the Arbitration by adopting, with modifications, the Arbitrator's Decision
- 3 ("Arbitration Order").3 The Commission determined, among other things, that Level 3 must
- 4 assume the responsibility for paying all of the costs associated with transporting VNXX-
- 5 routed ISP-bound traffic out of the local calling area where ISP calls originate to Level 3's
- 6 media gateway where ISP functions are performed, and that such facilities should be
- 7 compensated at Qwest's applicable tariffed access rates.4
- 9 Commission's Arbitration Order. The Agreement was approved by the Commission in Order
- 10 No. 07-275 entered on June 18, 2007. The Agreement became effective on June 18, 2007.
- 11 9. The Agreement provides terms and conditions governing the interconnection
- 12 of the Parties' networks for the purpose of exchanging traffic (see Agreement Section 7.1.1),
- 13 including ISP-Bound traffic (see Agreement Section 7.2.1.2) and, specifically, VNXX-routed
- 14 ISP-Bound traffic in accordance with the Commission's Arbitration Order (see Agreement
- 15 Section 7.3.4.2).
- 16 10. Level 3 and Qwest are currently interconnected and exchanging traffic at
- 17 multiple points of interconnection ("POIs") in Oregon. Level 3 has established a POI in each
- 18 local calling area where it exchanges traffic with Qwest. Level 3 established a POI in each
- 19 local calling area by either: (i) building its own fiber optic facilities to collocation
- 20 arrangements in Qwest tandem locations; (ii) leasing facilities from another carrier to Level

³ Level 3 / Qwest Arbitration, Order No. 07-098 (March 14, 2007).

^{23 &}lt;sup>4</sup> See id. at pp. 3-6.

⁵ Level 3 / Qwest Arbitration, Order No. 07-275 (June 18, 2007).

Evel 3 has established POIs in each local calling area where it exchanges traffic with Qwest in order to bring Level 3's network into compliance with Commission requirements governing the exchange of VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic. See, e.g. In re: Qwest Corporation vs. Level 3 Communications, LLC, Order No. 06-037, Docket IC 12 (January 30, 2006).

1	3-leased mult	tiplexing equipment at additional Qwest tandem locations; or (iii) leasing direct
2	end office true	nks (or direct trunk transport) from a Qwest tandem location to a Qwest end
3	office switch i	n any local calling area where Level 3 is doing business but had neither built
4	nor purchase	d facilities as described in (i) and (ii) above.
5	11.	As described below, the Agreement provides full compensation to Qwest for
6	any facilities u	used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic from Qwest's originating end
7	offices to Leve	el 3's media gateway as directed by the Commission's Arbitration Order.
8	12.	Section 7.3.2 provides for the purchase of direct trunked transport ("DTT") as
9	a means of ex	schanging traffic under the Agreement and establishes cost responsibility and
10	rates for facili	ties used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic between points within the
11	same local ca	Illing area. Section 7.3.2 provides, in pertinent part:
12	1.	7.3.2 Direct Trunked Transport
13		7.3.2.1 Either Party may elect to purchase direct trunked transport
14		from the other Party.
15 16		7.3.2.1.1 Direct trunked transport ("DTT") is available between the Serving Wire Center of the POI and the terminating Party's Tandem Switch or End Office Switches. The applicable rates are described in Exhibit A. DTT facilities are provided as dedicated DS3, DS1 or DS0 facilities.
17		* * *
18		7.3.2.2 If the Parties elect to establish LIS two-way DTT trunks, for reciprocal exchange of Exchange Service ("EAS/Local") traffic the cost of the
19		LIS two-way DTT facilities shall be shared among the Parties by reducing the LIS two-way DTT rate element charges as follows:
20		•
21		DTT facility will initially share the cost of the LIS two-way DTT facility by
22		assuming an initial relative use factor of fifty percent (50%) for a minimum of one (1) quarter if the Parties have not exchanged LIS traffic previously. The
23		nominal charge to the other Party for the use of the DTT facility, as described in Exhibit A, shall be reduced by this initial relative use factor. Payments by
24		the other Party will be according to this initial relative use factor for a minimum of one (1) quarter. The initial relative use factor will continue for
25		both bill reduction and payments until the Parties agree to a new factor, based upon actual minutes of use data for non-ISP-bound traffic to
26		substantiate a change in that factor. If a CLEC's End User Customers are assigned a NPA-NXXs associated with a rate center other than the rate

1		center where the Customer is physically located, traffic that does not originate and terminate within the same Qwest local calling area (as	
2		approved by the Commission), regardless of the called and calling NPA- NXXs, involving those Customers is referred to as "VNXX traffic". For	
3		purposes of determining the RUF, the terminating carrier is responsible for ISP-bound traffic and for VNXX traffic. If either Party demonstrates	
4		with non-ISP-bound traffic data that actual minutes of use during the first quarter justify a new relative use factor, that Party will send a notice to the	
5 6		other Party. Once the Parties finalize a new factor, the bill reductions and payments will apply going forward, from the date the original notice was sent. ISP-bound traffic is interstate in nature. Qwest has never agreed to exchange VNXX Traffic with CLEC. (Agreement Section 7.3.2.2, emphasis	
7		added)	
8	Under Section	7 2 2 2 1 Loyal 2, as the terminating carrier is responsible for the costs of	
9		n 7.3.2.2.1, Level 3, as the terminating carrier, is responsible for the costs of	
10	DTT facilities	to the extent they are used for the transport of VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic,	
11	and the rates	applicable to such facilities are as set forth in the pricing exhibit to the	
12	Agreement. S	See Agreement Section 7.3.2.1.1.	
13	13.	Section 7.3.4.2 of the Agreement allocates cost responsibility and establishes	
14	rates for those	e facilities used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic out of the local	
15	calling areas (i.e. from the POI in a local calling area to Level 3's media gateway in Seattle		
16	Washington).	Specifically, Section 7.3.4.2 provides:	
17		7.3.4.2 Pursuant to Order No. 07-098, CLEC may make VNXX number assignments only for the purpose of assigning numbers to	
18		ISP Customers to facilitate the exchange of dial-up ISP-Bound traffic. CLEC shall compensate Qwest for paying all of the costs associated	
19		with transporting VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic from its primary and secondary POIs in Oregon to its media gateway. The compensation	
20		paid by CLEC to Qwest shall be based on the transport of the non TELRIC rates set forth in applicable Qwest tariffs.	
21	Agreement Se	ection 7.3.4.2 (emphasis added). Under Section 7.3.4.2, Level 3 must	
22			
23	compensate Qwest for the facilities used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic from		
24	Level 3's POIs	s to its media gateway at Qwest's tariffed special access rates. Id. Section	
25	7.3.4.2 does n	ot apply to any facilities used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic	
26			

- 1 between points within the same local calling area ($\it i.e.$, from a Qwest end office to a Level 3
- 2 POI located in the same local calling area).
- 3 14. Level 3 does not dispute that pursuant to terms of the Agreement as directed
- 4 by the Commission in the Arbitration Order, Level 3 will compensate Qwest for any facilities
- 5 used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic in Oregon. And Level 3 has compensated
- 6 Qwest for all such facilities to-date. The instant dispute centers on the rates that Qwest is
- 7 attempting to impose upon Level 3 for facilities used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-Bound
- 8 traffic between points within the same local calling area (i.e., from a Qwest end office to a
- 9 Level 3 POI located in the same local calling area).
- 10 15. Under combined Sections 7.3.2 and 7.3.4.2 of the Agreement, Qwest is fully
- 11 compensated for any facilities used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic from its
- 12 originating end office to Level 3's media gateway. Qwest is compensated for the facilities
- 13 used to transport traffic from the originating end office to Level 3's POI located in the same
- 14 local calling area in accordance with Section 7.3.2.2, and Qwest is compensated for the
- 15 facilities used to transport the traffic from Level 3's POIs out of the local calling area to Level
- 16 3's media gateway at tariffed special access rates in accordance with Section 7.3.4.2. In
- 17 spite of the foregoing, Qwest seeks to extract windfall access revenue from Level 3 by
- 18 forcing it to also pay special access rates for transporting traffic between points within the
- 19 same local calling area where Level 3 has a POI.
- 20 16. Qwest's attempts violate the terms of the Agreement and conflict with the
- 21 intent and rationale behind the Commission's grant to Level 3 of an exception to the
- 22 Commission's prohibition on the use of VNXX arrangements for voice traffic. Further,
- 23 Qwest's attempts directly conflict with FCC rules, recently made clear by the FCC's ISP
- 24 Mandamus Order, in which the FCC reaffirmed that Qwest is not, nor ever has been, entitled

25

1	to seek any compensation for facilities used to transport Qwest-originated traffic on its side		
2	of the POI – much less compensation at special access rates. ⁷		
3	17.	Section 7.3.4.2 is based upon the Commission's ruling in the Arbitration	
4	Order establish	ing a limited exception to the Commission's general prohibition on the use of	
5	VNXX arranger	nents for voice traffic in Oregon. The Arbitration Order states in pertinent	
6	part:		
7		Based on the record in this case, the Arbitrator recommended that the	
8	raction word children to the historia bedonion. Thet, changes in		
9	miles exertaining of came between the miles met eactorner of to take and and		
10	Internet traffic is unlikely to produce significant access revenues because users are unwilling to pay toll rates to access the Internet. Third, the transport costs concerns typically associated with VNXX arrangements are mitigated by Level 3's agreement to pay for transporting VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic from the local		
11			
12			
13			
14	_	•	
15		The Arbitrator's proposal to allow Level 3 to offer VNXX numbers noludes the following conditions:	
16		***	
17		2. Level 3 must assume responsibility for paying all of the costs associated with transporting VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic from	
18	i	ts primary and secondary points of interconnection in Oregon to ts media gateway. The Arbitrator reasoned that, because this traffic	
19	is	s both interexchange and interstate in nature, the compensation paid by Level 3 to Qwest should be based on the transport rates set forth	
20	ir	n applicable Qwest tariffs, rather than the TELRIC rates proposed by evel 3. (Arbitration Order at pp. 3-4, emphasis added)	
21	_	ever 5. (Arbitration Order at pp. 5-4, emphasis added)	
22	The "transport o	osts concerns typically associated with VNXX arrangements" referred to	
23	above are those incurred to transport traffic out of a local calling area to a CLEC POI in a		
24			
25 26	⁷ Intercarrier Comp Report and Order a Order").	ensation for ISP-Bound Traffic, CC Docket Nos. 96-98, 99-68, Order on Remand and and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (rel. November 5, 2008) ("ISP Mandamus	

Page 7 - LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS' COMPLAINT FOR ENFORCEMENT OF INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

- 1 different local calling area. As described in the Arbitration Order, typical VNXX routing
- 2 arrangements are those "where a CLEC offers a LATA-wide 'virtual presence' for its ISP
- 3 customers and leaves the ILEC with the financial and operational responsibility for delivering
- 4 all traffic from within the LATA to the CLEC's [single point of interconnection]" maintained
- 5 within the LATA. See Arbitration Order, Appendix A at p. 14. To address its concern, the
- 6 Commission imposed the condition which is implemented in the Agreement by Section
- 7 7.3.4.2, namely that Level 3 compensate Qwest for the costs of transporting VNXX-routed
- 8 traffic out of the local calling area. See Agreement Section 7.3.4.2. Qwest, however, seeks
- 9 to stretch this condition to encompass all transport, even transport between points within the
- 10 same local calling area where Level 3 already maintains a POI.
- 11 18. The clear intent of the Commission was to impose tariffed special access
- 12 rates only on facilities used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic out of the local
- 13 calling areas to Level 3's media gateway. Though Level 3 disagrees with the Commission's
- 14 allocation to Level 3 of cost responsibility for transporting any Qwest-originated traffic to
- 15 Level 3 at any more than one point per LATA, the focus of the instant dispute only involves
- 16 Qwest's attempts to stretch the bounds of the Commission's ruling and impose access
- 17 charges on all transport facilities.
- 18 19. The illegality of Qwest's attempts is made even more clear by the FCC's
- 19 recent issuance of its ISP Mandamus Order, in which the FCC squarely and unambiguously
- 20 placed all ISP-bound traffic under the umbrella of "telecommunications" under section
- 21 251(b)(5) of the Act and reaffirmed its prior ruling that there is no "local" versus "non-local"

entitled to under law.

⁻⁻⁻⁻

Level 3 anticipates that a separate proceeding may be necessary to resolve issues arising from the FCC's *ISP* Mandamus Order as it relates to certain terms of the Agreement and the Commission's Arbitration Order.

Level 3 believes that any requirement under the Agreement that Level 3 compensate Qwest for transporting any

Qwest-originated traffic on Qwest's side of the POI is a violation of federal law. Level 3 is working with Qwest in an effort to resolve this issue. Level 3 reserves its right to begin disputing at any time any amounts invoiced by Qwest that Level 3 believes are in violation of the FCC's rules and seek whatever redress it is

1 distinction under section 251(b)(5).9 Under the FCC's rules governing transport and 2 termination of section 251(b)(5) traffic, Qwest is prohibited from charging Level 3 for the 3 costs of transporting Qwest-originated traffic to Level 3's POI.¹⁰ Level 3 receives carrier access billing system ("CABS") invoices from Qwest 20. 5 for DTT facilities used to exchange traffic under the Agreement, and the charges contained 6 in the CABS invoices are based on the rates set forth in the pricing exhibit to the Agreement. 7 See Exhibit A. In the CABS invoices, Qwest bills Level 3 for DTT facilities used to transport 8 VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic between points within the same local calling area (i.e., from 9 a Qwest end office to a Level 3 POI located in the same local calling area) in accordance 10 with Section 7.3.2 of the Agreement. Id. Level 3 has paid the CABS invoices for these DTT 11 facilities in compliance with its obligations under the Agreement. Id. 21. 12 On or about June 1, 2007, Qwest began sending monthly invoices to Level 3 13 with one line item amount. See Exhibit A. Qwest provided no supporting detail for these 14 amounts until April of 2008. Id. The total of these invoices as of November 2008 is 15 \$2,615,367.75. Id. Level 3 has estimated, based upon its reasonable knowledge and belief 16 without sufficient supporting detail from Qwest, that this amount includes approximately 17 \$949,324.00, billed at Qwest's tariffed special access rates, for facilities used to transport 18 VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic out of the local calling areas where Level 3 maintains its 19 POIs to its media gateway in Seattle. Id. Level 3 paid this amount pursuant to Section 20 7.3.4.2 of the Agreement as directed by the Commission in the Arbitration Order. Id. 21 22. Level 3 has estimated, based upon its reasonable knowledge and belief, that 22 the remaining amount of \$1,666,043.75 reflects an approximation of additional charges, at 23 Qwest's tariffed special access rates, for the DTT facilities used to transport VNXX-routed

Page 9 - LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS' COMPLAINT FOR ENFORCEMENT OF INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

^{25 &}lt;sub>9</sub> ISP Mandamus Order, at ¶ 8.

^{26 10} See 47 C.F.R. § 51.701, et seq.

- 1 ISP-bound traffic between points within the same local calling area (i.e., from a Qwest end
- 2 office to a Level 3 POI located in the same local calling area). See Exhibit A. Level 3 has
- 3 disputed this amount, and will continue to dispute this amount based upon Level 3's
- 4 approximation, on the basis that Level 3 already pays for such facilities under the CABS
- 5 invoices, that the Agreement does not require the payment of special access rates for
- 6 facilities used to transport such traffic between points within the same local calling area, and
- 7 that Qwest's attempt to bill Level 3 for these facilities at tariffed special access rates violates
- 8 Section 7.3.4.2 of the Agreement, the Commission's Arbitration Order, and federal law. Id.
- 9 23. The Parties have attempted to resolve this dispute through negotiation, but
- 10 such efforts have been unsuccessful. Level 3 has further attempted to prevent unnecessary
- 11 expenditure of time, effort and expense by the Parties, and by the Commission, in resolving
- 12 this dispute by attempting to negotiate with Qwest in a good faith effort to reconcile the
- 13 invoices, the result of which would allow the Parties to at least agree on the dollar amount in
- 14 dispute in this proceeding. Qwest, however, refused to make an effort at reconciling the
- 15 invoices.

16 III. RELIEF REQUESTED

- 17 24. Level 3 requests that the Commission enter an order clarifying that Section
- 18 7.3.4.2 of the Agreement states that Level 3 must pay special access rates only for transport
- 19 facilities used to carry VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic out of a local calling area from Level
- 20 3's POI to its media gateway, and prohibiting Qwest from charging Level 3 special access
- 21 rates on transport facilities between points within the same local calling area.
- 22 25. Level 3 requests the Commission enter an order requiring Qwest to credit
- 23 Level 3 for any amounts billed for interconnection facilities used to transport VNXX-routed
- 24 ISP-Bound traffic consistent with its determination above.

25

1	26.	Level 3 requests any such oth	ner relief as may be deemed just and
2	appropriate.		
3		·	
4	DATED: _	Dec. 9,2008	
5		•	McDowell & Rackner PC
6			him
7			Lisa F. Rackner
8			
9			LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC
10			Richard E. Thayer, Esq.
11			Scott Porter, Esq. 1025 Eldorado Boulevard
12		,	Broomfield, CO 80021
13			Of Attorneys for Level 3 Communications
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

Exhibit A

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

In the Matter of	
LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	
Complainant	
v.	Docket No. IC
QWEST CORPORATION,	AFFIDAVIT OF RHONDA TOUNGET
Defendant	
Complaint for Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement	

- I, Rhonda Tounget, do hereby depose and state as follows:
- 1. I am employed by Level 3 Communications, LLC ("Level 3") as Director of Finance. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein.
- 2. Level 3 and Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") exchange traffic in Oregon, including VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic as I understand that term is employed in the state of Oregon ("VNXX traffic") under the terms of the Parties' interconnection agreement approved by the Commission on June 18, 2007 (the "Agreement"). Level 3 receives carrier access billing system (CABS) invoices from Qwest for direct trunked transport (DTT) facilities used to exchange traffic under the Agreement. The charges contained in the CABS invoices are based on the rates set forth in the pricing exhibit to the Agreement.
- 3. In the CABS invoices, Qwest bills Level 3 for DTT facilities used to transport traffic, including VNXX traffic, between points within the same local calling area (i.e., from a

Qwest end office to a Level 3 POI located in the same local calling area). Level 3 has paid, and continues to pay, the CABS invoices for these DTT facilities in compliance with its obligations under the Agreement.

- 4. On or about June 1, 2007, Level 3 began receiving monthly invoices from Qwest with one line item amount and no supporting detail until April 2008. The total of such invoices as of November 2008 is \$2,615,367.75. Level 3 has estimated, based upon our reasonable knowledge and belief without sufficient supporting detail, that this amount includes approximately \$949,324.00, billed at Qwest's tariffed special access rates, for facilities used to transport VNXX traffic out of the local calling areas where Level 3 maintains its POIs to its media gateway in Seattle, Washington. Level 3 paid this amount pursuant to Section 7.3.4.2 of the Agreement.
- 5. Level 3 has estimated, based upon our reasonable knowledge and belief, that the remaining amount of \$1,666,043.75 reflects an approximation of additional charges, at Qwest's tariffed special access rates, for the DTT facilities used to transport VNXX traffic between points within the same local calling area (i.e., from a Qwest end office to a Level 3 POI located in the same local calling area). Level 3 has disputed this amount, and will continue to dispute based upon Level 3's approximation, on the basis that Level 3 already pays for such facilities under the CABS invoices, that the Agreement does not require the payment of special access rates for facilities used to transport such traffic between Qwest's originating end office and Level 3's POI in the same local calling area, and that Qwest's attempt to bill Level 3 for these facilities at tariffed special access rates is inconsistent with Section 7.3.4.2 of the Agreement.
- 6. In early 2008, Level 3 and Qwest began meeting periodically in an effort to work toward resolution of the dispute, but the Parties have been unable to reach a resolution.

DATED this 4th day of December, 2008.

Rhonda Tounget
Director, Finance

Subscribed and sworn to before me this \mathcal{GH}_h day of December, 2008.

STARLOTARY OF COLORES

Notary Public for Lovel 3 Communications, LC My commission expires: 10-11-2010

McDowell & Rackner PC

LISA F. RACKNER Direct (503) 595-3925 lisa@mcd-law.com

August 6, 2008

Director-Interconnection Agreements 1801 California, Room 2420 Denver, CO 80202

Qwest Law Department Attn: Corporate Counsel, Interconnection 1801 California, 9th FL Denver, CO 80202

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Attn: Filing Center PO Box 2148 Salem OR 97308-2148

Re Notice Pursuant to OAR 860-016-0050

Dear Qwest:

Pursuant to Oregon Administrative Rule 860-016-0050 (3)(a), Level 3 Communications, LLC ("Level 3) hereby provides notice to Qwest Communications ("Qwest") of Level 3's intent to file a complaint with the Oregon Public Utility Commission to enforce specific provisions of the interconnection agreement between Qwest and Level 3 approved by the Commission, dated April 18, 2007 ("Agreement").

Specifically, Qwest is violating Section 7.3.4.2 of the Agreement which provides:

7.3.4.2 Pursuant to Order No. 07-098, CLEC may make VNXX number assignments only for the purpose of assigning numbers to ISP Customers to facilitate the exchange of dial-up ISP-Bound traffic. CLEC shall compensate Qwest for paying all of the costs associated with transporting VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic from its primary and secondary POIs in Oregon to its media gateway. The compensation paid by CLEC to Qwest

shall be based on the transport of the non TELRIC rates set forth in applicable Owest tariffs.

The specific acts by Qwest that Level 3 maintains violate the cited terms of the Agreement are Qwest's billing Level 3 in the amount of \$1,419,421.33 to-date for local interconnection service ("LIS") trunks that extend beyond Level 3's primary and secondary POIs at Qwest's tariffed special access rates. Owest is only permitted to charge Level 3 tariffed special access rates to transport VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic from Level 3's primary and secondary POIs to Level 3's media gateway. Owest is compensated under the Agreement for any transport of such traffic to the applicable primary or secondary POI within the local calling area from which the call originated in accordance with the relative use of such facilities at TELRIC rates.

The relief that Level 3 will seek in the complaint will include, but may not be limited to, an order by the Commission:

- (1) Clarifying that purchasing DS3 transport into Level 3's primary and secondary POIs at Qwest's special access tariffed rates satisfies the requirements under Section 7.3.4.2, and that Level 3 should not also be charged for special access on LIS trunking within the local calling area of a POI;
- (2) Requiring Qwest to credit or withdraw all billing for special access on LIS trunking within the local calling area of the POIs; and

(3) Ordering Qwest to cease any such billing in the future.

Sincerely,

Lisa Rackner

Attorneys for Idaho Power

Cc:

Judge Michael Grant Judge Sam Petrillo

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON		
2	OF OREGON		
3	In the Matter of		
4			
5	LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,	Docket No. IC	
6	Complainant	LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS' EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT	
7	v.	FOR ENFORCEMENT OF COMPLAINT INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT AND	
8	QWEST CORPORATION,	RELIEF REQUESTED	
9	Defendant		
10	Complaint for Enforcement of		
11	Interconnection Agreement		
12			
13	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		
14	Pursuant to OAR 860-016-0050(2)(g), Level 3 Communications, LLC hereby submits		
15	this executive summary of its Complaint for Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement with		
16	Qwest Corporation, including a summary of the claim and the relief requested.		
17	Level 3 and Qwest are parties to an arbitrated interconnection agreement		
18	("Agreement") ¹ that provides terms and conditions governing the interconnection of the		
19	Parties' networks for the purpose of exchanging traffic in Oregon. Among the traffic types		
20	exchanged by the Parties is what was termed "VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic." In its Order		
21	No. 07-098 resolving the disputed issues in the Parties' arbitration proceeding, ² the		
22	Commission imposed upon Level 3 the obligation to compensate Qwest for facilities used to		
23	3		
24	¹ Interconnection Agreement approved by the Commission in Order No. 07-275 entered on June 18, 2007.		
25 26	Agreement with Owest Corporation Pursuant to Section 252/h) of the Telecommunications Act		
ige	ge 1 - LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS' EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF		

AGREEMENT AND RELIEF REQUESTED

Page 1 -

1 transport VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic and, in particular, the obligation to pay tariffed

2 special access rates for transporting such traffic from Level 3's POI out of the local calling

3 area to Level 3's media gateway.

4 Level 3 does not dispute that pursuant to terms of the Agreement as directed by the

5 Commission in the Arbitration Order Level 3 will compensate Qwest for facilities used to

6 transport VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic in Oregon. In fact, Level 3 has compensated

7 Qwest for all such facilities to-date. Specifically, Level 3 has paid Qwest's carrier access

8 billing system ("CABS") invoices for facilities used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-bound

9 traffic between points within the same local calling area (i.e., from a Qwest end office to a

10 Level 3 POI located in the same local calling area) at rates set forth in the pricing exhibit to

11 the Agreement. Level 3 has also paid approximately \$949,324.00 billed at Qwest's tariffed

12 special access rates for what Level 3 estimates, based upon its reasonable knowledge and

13 belief without sufficient supporting detail from Qwest, it owes for facilities used to transport

14 VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic out of the local calling areas where Level 3 maintains its

15 POIs to its media gateway in Seattle.

However, Level 3 disputes that it owes the additional special access charges Qwest

17 is attempting to impose upon Level 3 for those facilities used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-

18 Bound traffic between points within the same local calling area (i.e., from a Qwest end office

19 to a Level 3 point of interconnection ("POI") located in the same local calling area). As

20 described above, Qwest is fully compensated under the Agreement for any facilities used to

21 transport VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic from its originating end office to Level 3's media

22 gateway. In spite of the foregoing, Qwest has sought to extract windfall access revenue

23 from Level 3 by forcing it to also pay special access rates for transporting traffic between

24 points within the same local calling area where Level 3 has a POI. Level 3 estimates, based

25 upon its reasonable knowledge and belief without sufficient supporting detail from Qwest,

26 that Qwest has attempted to bill Level 3 approximately \$1,666,043.75 reflecting an

1 approximation of charges, at Qwest's tariffed special access rates, for direct trunk transport 2 ("DTT") facilities used to transport VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic between points within the 3 same local calling area where Level 3 maintains a POI with Qwest (i.e., from a Qwest end 4 office to a Level 3 POI located in the same local calling area). 5 Level 3 has disputed this amount, and continues to dispute this amount, on the basis 6 that Qwest's attempt to bill Level 3 for these facilities at tariffed special access rates violates 7 the Agreement, the Commission's Arbitration Order, and federal law, none of which require 8 the payment of special access rates for facilities used to transport such traffic between 9 points within the same local calling area. Further, Qwest's attempts directly conflict with FCC 10 rules, recently made clear by the FCC's ISP Mandamus Order, in which the FCC reaffirmed 11 that Qwest is not, nor ever has been, entitled to seek any compensation for facilities used to 12 transport Qwest-originated traffic on its side of the POI – much less compensation at special 13 access rates.3 14 The Parties attempted to resolve this dispute through negotiation, but such efforts 15 have been unsuccessful. Level 3 requests that the Commission enter an order clarifying 16 that the Agreement states that Level 3 will pay special access rates only for transport 17 facilities used to carry VNXX-routed ISP-bound traffic out of a local calling area from Level 18 3's POI to its media gateway, and prohibiting Qwest from charging Level 3 special access 19 rates on transport facilities between points within the same local calling area. Level 3 also 20 requests the Commission enter an order requiring Qwest to credit Level 3 for any amounts 21 22 23 24 ²⁵ Intercarrier Compensation for ISP-Bound Traffic, CC Docket Nos. 96-98, 99-68, *Order on Remand* and Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (rel. November 5, 2008) ("ISP

Page 3 - LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS' EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT FOR ENFORCEMENT OF INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT AND RELIEF REQUESTED 520

Mandamus Order").

1	billed for interconnection facilities used to to	ransport VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic
2	consistent with this determination.	
3	DATED: 0 0 9 1008	
4 5	DATED: 100. 9, 2008	McDowell & Rackner PC
6		Live Kluknes
7		Lisa F. Rackner
8		
9		LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC
10 11		Richard E. Thayer, Esq. Scott Porter, Esq.
12		1025 Eldorado Boulevard Broomfield, CO 80021
13		Of Allerman for Level 2 October microtions
14		Of Attorneys for Level 3 Communications
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

- 7.3.2.1.4 Fixed Charges per DS0, DS1 or DS3 and per mile charges are defined for DTT in Exhibit A of this Agreement.
- 7.3.2.2 If the Parties elect to establish LIS two-way DTT trunks, for reciprocal exchange of Exchange Service (EAS/Local) traffic the cost of the LIS two-way DTT facilities shall be shared among the Parties by reducing the LIS two-way DTT rate element charges as follows:
 - Direct Trunked Transport The provider of the LIS two-way DTT facility will initially share the cost of the LIS two-way DTT facility by assuming an initial relative use factor of fifty percent (50%) for a minimum of one (1) quarter if the Parties have not exchanged LIS traffic previously. The nominal charge to the other Party for the use of the DTT facility, as described in Exhibit A, shall be reduced by this initial relative use factor. Payments by the other Party will be according to this initial relative use factor for a minimum of one (1) quarter. The initial relative use factor will continue for both bill reduction and payments until the Parties agree to a new factor, based upon actual minutes of use data for non-ISP-bound traffic to substantiate a change in that factor. If a CLEC's End User Customers are assigned a NPA-NXXs associated with a rate center other than the rate center where the Customer is physically located, traffic that does not originate and terminate within the same Qwest local calling area (as approved by the Commission), regardless of the called and calling NPA-NXXs, involving those Customers is referred to as "VNXX traffic". For purposes of determining the RUF, the terminating carrier is responsible for ISP-bound traffic and for VNXX traffic. If either Party demonstrates with non-ISP-bound traffic data that actual minutes of use during the first quarter justify a new relative use factor, that Party will send a notice to the other Party. Once the Parties finalize a new factor, the bill reductions and payments will apply going forward, from the date the original notice was sent. ISP-bound traffic is interstate in nature. Qwest has never agreed to exchange VNXX Traffic with CLEC.
- 7.3.2.3 Multiplexing options (DS1/DS3 MUX or DS0/DS1 MUX) are available at rates described in Exhibit A.

7.3.3 Trunk Nonrecurring charges

- 7.3.3.1 Installation nonrecurring charges may be assessed by the provider for each LIS trunk ordered. Qwest rates are specified in Exhibit A.
- 7.3.3.2 Nonrecurring charges for rearrangement may be assessed by the provider for each LIS trunk rearrangement ordered, at one-half (1/2) the rates specified in Exhibit A.

7.3.4 Exchange Service (EAS/Local) Traffic

- 7.3.4.1 Intercarrier compensation for Exchange Service (EAS/Local) traffic exchanged between CLEC and Qwest (where the end users are physically located within the same Local Calling Area) will be billed at \$.0007 per MOU.
- 7.3.4.2 Pursuant to Order No. 07-098, CLEC may make VNXX number assignments only for the purpose of assigning numbers to ISP Customers to facilitate the exchange of dial-up ISP-Bound traffic. CLEC shall compensate Qwest for paying all

of the costs associated with transporting VNXX-routed ISP-Bound traffic from its primary and secondary POIs in Oregon to its media gateway. The compensation paid by CLEC to Qwest shall be based on the transport of the non TELRIC rates set forth in applicable Qwest tariffs.

7.3.4.3 Pursuant to Order No. 07-098 of the Commission, entered March 14, 2007, this Agreement does not contain rates, terms and conditions for the exchange of Voice over Internet Protocol ("VoIP") traffic. The Parties agree to negotiate interim arrangements and execute an amendment to this Agreement which will govern the exchange of VoIP traffic until the FCC has issued a substantive decision for the exchange of VoIP traffic that the Parties will implement as a change in law according to Section 2.2.

7.3.5 Miscellaneous Charges

- 7.3.5.1 Cancellation charges will apply to cancelled LIS trunk orders, based upon the critical dates, terms and conditions in accordance with the Access Service Tariff Section 5.2.3, and the trunk nonrecurring charges referenced in this Agreement.
- 7.3.5.2 Expedites for LIS trunk orders are allowed only on an exception basis with executive approval within the same timeframes as provided for other designed services. When expedites are approved, expedite charges will apply to LIS trunk orders based on rates, terms and conditions described in Exhibit A.
- 7.3.5.3 Intentionally Left Blank.

7.3.6 ISP-Bound Traffic

- 7.3.6.1 Subject to the terms of this Section, intercarrier compensation for ISP-bound traffic exchanged between Qwest and CLEC (where the end users are physically located within the same Local Calling Area) will be billed as follows, without limitation as to the number of MOU ("minutes of use") or whether the MOU are generated in "new markets" as that term has been defined by the FCC:
- \$.0007 per MOU or the state ordered rate, whichever is lower.
- 7.3.6.2 Identification of ISP-Bound Traffic unless the Commission has previously ruled that Qwest's method for tracking ISP-bound Traffic is sufficient, Qwest will presume traffic delivered to CLEC that exceeds a 3:1 ratio of terminating (Qwest to CLEC) to originating (CLEC to Qwest) traffic is ISP-Bound traffic. Either Party may rebut this presumption by demonstrating the factual ratio to the state Commission.
- 7.3.6.3 Qwest will not pay reciprocal compensation on VNXX traffic.

7.3.7 Transit Traffic

The following rates will apply:

7.3.7.1 Exchange Service and Information Service Transit: The applicable LIS tandem switching and tandem transmission rates at the assumed mileage contained in Exhibit A of this Agreement, apply to the originating Party. The assumed mileage will be

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of Level 3 Communications' 3 Complaint for Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement; Level 3 Communication's 4 Executive Summary of Complaint for Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement and 5 Relief Requested; and the portion of the interconnection agreement that Level 3 6 contends is being violated on the following named person(s) on the date indicated below 7 by email, facsimile and overnight courier addressed to said person(s) at his or her last-8 known address(es) indicated below. 9 Alex M. Duarte **Qwest Corporation** 10 421 SW Oak St Ste 810 Portland OR 97204 11 alex.duarte@gwest.com DATED: December 9, 2008. 12 13 McIndov 14 Wendy McIndoo 15 Legal Assistant 16 Of Attorneys for Level 3 Communications, LLC 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26