1		
2		
3		
4	DEFORE THE FUBLIC UT	
5	OF OREG	ON
6	In the Matter of the Complaint of)
7	Umpqua Indian Development Corporation) }
8	(UIDC), Telecommunications Division, a federally chartered corporation, dba RIO	UM 1270
9	COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE
10	Complainant,)	DEFENSES
11	VS.	
12	PRIME TIME VENTURES LLC, dba, () INFOSTRUCTURE, ()	
13	Respondent.	
14		
15	For its answer to complainant's Complaint,	Respondent Prime Time Ventures LLC,
16	dba InfoStructure, admits, denies and alleges as fo	ollows:
17	1.	
18	Respondent denies each and every alleg	gation of the Complaint except those
19	allegations expressly admitted or otherwise qualif	ied herein.
20	2.	
21	Answering paragraph 1, respondent denies	the allegations contained therein.
22	3.	
23	Answering paragraphs 2 and 3, respondent	admits the allegations contained therein,
24	with the exception that respondent's headquarters	are located at 288 S. Pacific Highway,
25	Talent, Oregon, 97540.	
26		
	HY, MILLS, SCHMOR BROPHY, & PARADIS LLP ORNEYS AT LAW	

BROPHY, MILLS, SCHMOR GERKING, BROPHY, & PARADIS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW P. O. BOX 128 MEDFORD, OR 97501 Telephone: (541)772-7123

1	4.
2	Answering paragraphs 4, 5 and 6, respondent admits the allegations contained therein
3	5.
4	Answering paragraph 7, respondent admits that the Oregon Public Utility Commission
5	("the Commission") has authority to administer federal rules relating to unauthorized carrie
6	change rules and remedies, but denies that the Commission has jurisdiction over this matter
7	because it does not involve telecommunications exchange or toll service as required by the
8	provisions of 47 USC § 258. The allegations set forth in complainant's Complaint pertain
9	solely to DSL service, which the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") has
10	described as "informational service", as opposed to "telecommunications service" and which
11	is therefore outside the scope of 47 USC § 258.
12	6.
13	Answering paragraph 8, respondent has insufficient information as to the truth or
14	falsity of the allegations contained therein and, therefore, denies the same except respondent
15	states that upon respondent's information and belief, Mellelo's employee Katie Goodson was
16	the acting manager of the Mellelo's location at 229 W. Main Street at all relevant times.
17	7.
18	Answering paragraph 9, respondent has insufficient information as to the truth or
19	falsity of the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same.
20	8.
21	Answering paragraph 10, respondent denies that Jeff Rhoden arrived at Mellelo's
22	West Main location on February 14, 2006 and represented that he was there to install service,
23	or that any employee of Mellelo's told Jeff Rhoden on that date that InfoStructure was not
24	authorized to install any service and should not proceed. Respondent does admit that one of
25	its employees did arrive at Mellelo's West Main location on February 14, 2006 for an
26	appointment with Katie Goodson.

1	0
4.	- 2

2	Answering paragraph 11, respondent admits that Jeff Rhoden did contact Cherie Frick
3	on February 15, 2006, but denies that Ms. Frick told him not to proceed with the installation.
4	Instead, Ms. Frick informed Mr. Rhoden during that conversation that she would prefer to
5	have DSL service reinstalled, and respondent then sent a tech to perform the install that same
6	date. Respondent further denies that neither Ms. Frick nor any other employee gave
7	respondent permission to proceed with the install, since Ms. Frick communicated that intent
8	to Mr. Rhoden over the phone and because Katie Goodson, a manager with at least apparent
9	authority, had given permission previously.
10	10.
11	Answering paragraph 12, respondent has insufficient information as to the truth or
12	falsity of the allegations set forth therein and therefore denies the same.
13	11.
14	Answering paragraph 13, respondent admits that respondent removed RIO's modem
15	from service and placed it on a shelf in a storage room, admits that it substituted its own
16	modem and connected it to a wireless router, although respondent's technician was informed
17	by Katie Goodson that the router belonged to Mellelo's, and denies that it disconnected the
18	wires connecting RIO's Mellelo circuit to Qwest's central office, since it was Qwest that is
19	responsible for completing that step.
20	12.
21	Answering paragraph 14, respondent denies that Mellelo's had not authorized the
22	change of service request.
23	13.
24	Answering paragraph 15, respondent provided Qwest with a valid Letter of Agency,
25	signed by Katie Goodson, the store manager of Mellelo's West Main location. Respondent
26	informed Qwest that it would not contest having Mellelo's service go back to RIO because

1	it did not want to have a business relationship with Ms. Frick.
2	RESPONDENT'S AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
3	FAILURE TO STATE CLAIM
4	14.
5	For its FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, respondent states that the allegations se
6	forth in complainant's Complaint pertain solely to DSL service, which the Federa
7	Communications Commission ("FCC") has described as "informational service", as opposed
8	to "telecommunications service", and which is therefore outside the scope of 47 USC § 258.
9	Accordingly, complainant's Complaint fails to state a claim for which relief may be granted.
10	15.
11	For its SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, respondent states that even assuming
12	that DSL service were included within the scope of 47 USC § 258, which it is not,
13	respondent had a valid Letter of Agency, signed by a Mellelo's employee with at least
14	apparent authority, to change the DSL service from complainant to respondent. A copy of
15	that Letter of Agency is attached hereto as Exhibit "1". Accordingly, complainant's
16	Complaint fails to state a claim for which relief may be granted.
17	16.
18	For its THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE, respondent states that even assuming that
19	DSL service were included within the scope of 47 USC § 258, which it is not, and that
20	respondent did not have a valid Letter of Agency, which it did, complainant's allegations still
21	fail to state a valid claim for relief, since 47 USC § 258 requires that a telecommunications
22	carrier must collect "charges for telephone exchange service or telephone toll service" in
23	order for liability to attach. Respondent did not collect any charges whatsoever from
24	Mellelo's, let alone any charges for telephone exchange service or telephone toll service.
25	WHEREFORE, having fully answered complainant's Complaint, respondent requests
26	that this Complaint be dismissed with prejudice and that respondent recover its representation

1	costs herein.	
2	DATED: July <u>31</u> , 2006.	Λ . ()
3	•	Theidaus G. Pouck, OSP #08218
4		Thaddeus G. Pauck, OSB #98318 BROPHY, MILLS, SCHMOR GERKING, BROPHY & PARADIS, LLP
5		Of Attorneys for Respondent
6		P.O. Box 128
7		Medford, OR 97501 Telephone: (541) 772-7123 Fax No.: (541) 772-7249
8		rax 110 (341) //2-/249
9		
10		
11		
12		•
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		-
23		
24		

25

26



LETTER OF AGENCY FORM

We're the One!

Letter of Agency

To: All concerned local exchange companies, Qwest Communications, other common Carriers, reseller's and all equipment vendors.

We have authorized Prime Time Ventures LLC, dba Infostructure to negotiate on our behalf for long distance rates, obtain information from the local exchange carrier relative to any aspect of our phone

	systems or billing, and to obtain other related information from any company presently providing telecommunications services to this organization.
	Please be advised that we have authorized Prime Time Ventures, LLC dba Infostructure as our agent in matters pertaining to communications services. We have authorized Prime Time Ventures, LLC dba Infostructure to issue necessary orders and coordinate all matters relating to the local and long distance telephone service required by our company.
	This does not preclude the undersigned from acting on our own behalf in matters pertaining to telephone services.
	Any company or person may contact Prime Time Ventures, LLC dba Infostructure on all matters pertaining to the ordering and provisioning of long distance or other telecommunications services. This letter will remain in effect until further notice.
	For further information, please contact Prime Time Ventures, LLC dba Infostructure at: 541-488-1962 611 Siskiyou Blvd. Suite 2 Ashland, OR 97520
	signature: Katti J. Maodoon
⊃rint	red Name: Kati L. Goodfon Date: 2-17-06

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	
3	I hereby certify that I served a true copy of the ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES upon the following persons designated on the official service list:
4	Lisa F. Rackner
5	Ater Wynne LLP 222 SW Columbia Street, Ste. 1800
6	Portland, OR 97201-6618 of Attorneys for Complainant
7	
8	by mailing it in a sealed envelope, with postage paid, addressed to each said person at the address set forth above on the date set forth below.
9	
10	Dated this 31 day of July, 2006.
11	Thaddeus G. Pauck, OSB #98318
12	BROPHY, MILLS, SCHMOR,
13	GERKING, BROPHY & PARADIS, LLP Of Attorneys for Respondent
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
GERKING ATTO P.	MILLS, SCHMOR G & BROPHY, LLP RNEYS ATLAW O. BOX 128 CORD, OR 97501 Certificate of Service - 1
	Continuate of Scivice - 1