

JEANNE M. CHAMBERLAIN

1600 Pioneer Tower 888 SW Fifth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97204 503.221.1440

503.802.2031 FAX 503.972.3731 jeanne@tonkon.com

August 16, 2006

VIA E-FILING & FIRST CLASS MAIL

Oregon Public Utility Commission Attn: Filing Center 550 Capitol St. NE, Suite 215 P. O. Box 2148 Salem, Oregon 97308-2148

Re: *UM 1262*

Attention Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket are the original and five copies of Portland General Electric Company's Motion for Summary Judgment and the Declaration of Pamela G. Lesh in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment. These documents are being filed electronically per the Commission's eFiling policy to the electronic address PUC.FilingCenter@state.or.us, with copies being served on all parties on the service list via U.S. Mail. A photocopy of the PUC tracking information will be forwarded with the hard copy filing.

Very truly yours,

Leslie Hurd, Legal Assistant to

Jeanne M. Chamberlain

/ldh

Enclosures

cc: Service List 001991\00259\710220 V001

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UM 1262

CITY OF PORTLAND,

Complainant,

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

VS.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, an Oregon corporation,

Defendant.

Pursuant to ORCP 47 and OAR 860-011-0000(3), defendant Portland General Electric Company ("PGE") respectfully moves the Public Utility Commission ("PUC") to grant summary judgment against the Third Count of the Complaint. This motion is supported by the following and by the accompanying Declaration of Pamela G. Lesh.

I. Summary Judgment Standard

Summary judgment should be granted where the pleadings, depositions, affidavits, declarations, and admissions on file show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law. ORCP 47C; *Advanced Telecom Group, Inc. v. U.S. West Communications, Inc.*, UC 425 / UC 426, Order No. 99-438 (Or PUC 1999). Summary judgment pursuant to ORCP 47 is a "quick, early and inexpensive method of determining the case." *Jones v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 139 Or App 244, 254, 911 P2d 1243 (1996) (en banc) (quoting legislative history of amendment to ORCP 47C),

¹ The other counts of the Complaint were dismissed on July 31, 2006, for lack of jurisdiction.

Page 1 – PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

aff'd, 325 Or 404, 939 P2d 608 (1997); see also OAR 860-011-000(5) (stating that PUC rules "shall be liberally construed to secure just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of the issues presented").

II. Statement of Undisputed Material Facts

Enron Corp. ("Enron") acquired PGE on or about July 2, 1997. (Complaint ¶ 6; Answer ¶ 6.) PGE was a member of Enron's consolidated tax group from July 2, 1997 until May 7, 2001 and from December 24, 2002 until April 3, 2006, during which times Enron filed consolidated tax returns. (Complaint ¶¶ 6-8, 10; Answer ¶¶ 6-8, 10.)

In December 2002, PGE and Enron entered into the Tax Allocation Agreement attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Pamela G. Lesh, which agreement is the subject of the Complaint. (Complaint ¶ 9; Answer ¶ 9.) The Tax Allocation Agreement laid out the parties' understandings regarding who was responsible for filing tax returns and how tax liabilities were internally allocated and tracked as to individual affiliates. (Lesh Decl. Ex. 1.) On its face, the agreement pertained only to intercompany accounting, not the provision of tax services. (Lesh Decl. Ex. 1.) Accordingly, PGE did not file the Tax Allocation Agreement with the PUC, although it did send a copy to the PUC Staff and asked to be advised if the PUC Staff disagreed with PGE's conclusion that filing was not required under ORS 757.495. (Lesh Decl. ¶ 3.) The PUC Staff never advised PGE that it disagreed with PGE's conclusion. (Lesh Decl. ¶ 3.) The Tax Allocation Agreement terminated on April 3, 2006. (Complaint ¶ 10; Answer ¶ 10.)

Unlike the Tax Allocation Agreement, a Master Services Agreement executed between PGE and Enron in 1997 specifically addressed Enron's provision of tax services to PGE. (Lesh Decl. ¶ 4.) The Master Services Agreement was filed with the PUC in 1997 in UI 163 and later refiled in UI 181. See In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company, UI 248, Order Page 2 – PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

No. 06-250, at Appendix A page 2 (Or PUC 2006) (discussing procedural history of PGE's filing of the Master Services Agreement with the PUC).

III. Argument

The City of Portland ("City") alleges that PGE should have filed the Tax Allocation Agreement with the PUC pursuant to ORS 757.495 and that its failure to do so resulted in higher rates to PGE customers and an unfair burden on Oregon taxpayers generally. (Complaint ¶¶ 24-26.) There is no factual or legal basis for the City's allegations, and the Third Count should be denied as a matter of law.

A. PGE Was Not Required to File the Tax Allocation Agreement Pursuant to the Express Language of ORS 757.495

ORS 757.495 requires public utilities to file certain contracts with affiliates with the PUC. By its express language, ORS 757.495 does not require public utilities to file <u>all</u> contracts with affiliates with the PUC. Rather, it only requires the filing of contracts that:

- (1) provide for the utility to make a payment to an affiliate for services or advice, which payment will be recognized as an operating expense or capital expenditure in a rate valuation or other proceeding (ORS 757.495(1)), or
- (2) relate to the construction, operation, maintenance, leasing or use of the utility's property in Oregon, or to the purchase of property, materials or supplies, which contract will be recognized as an operating expense or capital expenditure in a rate valuation or other proceeding (ORS 757.495(2)).

See also In the Matter of PACIFICORP, UE 134 / UM 1047, Order No. 02-820, at 5 (Or PUC 2002) (stating that ORS 757.495 requires utilities to file with the PUC "certain contracts" with affiliates).

Page 3 – PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

On its face, the Tax Allocation Agreement was not subject to filing under ORS 757.495. First, the Tax Allocation Agreement did not provide for PGE to make any payments to Enron for advice or services,² nor did it relate to the construction, operation, maintenance, leasing or use of PGE's property in Oregon, or to the purchase of property, materials or supplies from Enron. *See* ORS 757.495(1) and (2). Rather, the agreement pertained solely to intercompany accounting. As such, ORS 757.495 does not apply.³

Secondly, the Tax Allocation Agreement did not contemplate or address any payments that would be "recognized as an operating expense or capital expenditure in a rate valuation or other proceeding." ORS 757.495(1); ORS 757.495(2). That element is also required by the statute to bring a contract into the scope of ORS 757.495. Income taxes are not included in operating expenses or capital expenditures during ratemaking, and, in any event, PGE's rates were calculated and approved by the PUC based on its stand-alone tax liability, regardless of the contents of any intercompany accounting agreement between PGE and Enron. See Utility Reform Project v. PGE, UCB 13, Order No. 03-401, at 7 (Or PUC 2003) (explaining

Page 4 – PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

² To the extent that Enron ever provided any tax services to PGE, it did so pursuant to the Master Services Agreement filed with the PUC. (Lesh Decl. ¶ 4.) See PGE, UI 248, Order No. 06-250, at Appendix A page 2 (discussing fact that Master Services Agreement was filed).

³ In the Complaint, the City asserts that PGE was required to file the Tax Allocation Agreement under ORS 757.495, and it cites for "comparison" *In re Northern Utilities, Inc.*, Docket No. 2002-323 (Maine PUC 2002), in which the Maine Public Utilities Commission approved a petition for a utility to enter into a tax allocation agreement with affiliates. That order is inapposite. The Maine statute is significantly different than ORS 757.495 and appears broader on its face. *See* Me. Rev. Stat. Ann. tit. 35-A, § 707(3). In any event, Maine public utilities law is irrelevant to interpreting ORS 757.495, which is unambiguous.

⁴ PGE's last recent general rate proceeding, excluding the current one, was UE 115 in 2001.

that PGE's income taxes continued to be calculated on a stand-alone basis for ratemaking purposes after the Enron acquisition).

The Tax Allocation Agreement was not subject to filing under ORS 757.495 as a matter of law, and PGE is therefore entitled to summary judgment on Count 3.

B. The Fact that the Tax Allocation Agreement Did Not Increase PGE's Rates Also Evidences the Inapplicability of ORS 757.495

The "remedy" provision of ORS 757.495 also evidences the inapplicability of the statute to the Tax Allocation Agreement. When a contract subject to ORS 757.495 is filed with the PUC, the PUC must determine whether the contract is fair and reasonable and not contrary to the public interest. ORS 757.495(3). If the PUC decides the contract does not satisfy those criteria, then the result is that the public utility may not recognize the contract for purposes of rate valuation or any other purpose specified in ORS 757.495. *Id.*; see also PACIFICORP, UE 134 / UM 1047, Order No. 02820, at 6 ("If the contract is not fair and reasonable, or is contrary to the public interest, then the expenses cannot be recognized in rates."). Thus, ratepayers are protected "from abuses that may arise from less than arm's length transactions." *PACIFICORP*, UE 134 / UM 1047, Order No. 02820, at 6 (quoting *In re PacifiCorp*, UI 15, Order No. 84-02820 (Or PUC 1984)).

The Tax Allocation Agreement did not and could not increase PGE's rates. Since the agreement did not provide for PGE to purchase any services or supplies from Enron, there

⁵ If the PUC decides the contract does meet the criteria, then it must approve the contract, and the "expenses and capital expenditures incurred by the public utility under the contract may be recognized in any rate valuation or other hearing or proceeding." ORS 757.495(3). As a practical matter, when approving affiliate contracts, the PUC often reserves "the reasonableness of all the financial aspects of the contract for ratemaking purposes" for determination in subsequent ratemaking proceedings. *PGE*, UI 248, Order No. 06-250, at 2.

Page 5 – PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

was no risk of PGE overpaying for such services or supplies. *See id.* As for the manner in which Enron allocated PGE's taxes, that agreement was not subject to ORS 757.495 as a matter of law. Moreover, it is undisputed (and indisputable) that PGE's rates were calculated and approved by the PUC based on its stand-alone tax liability. *See Utility Reform Project*, UCB 13, Order No. 03-401, at 7. The City does not allege that the Tax Allocation Agreement required PGE to pay more than its stand-alone tax liability or caused any corresponding increase in rates. (Complaint ¶ 9.) Since the agreement had no impact on PGE's rates, applying ORS 757.495 to the Tax Allocation Agreement would not only contravene the express language of the statute, but would ignore its purpose.

C. OAR 860-027-0040 Reflects the Express Limitations of the Statute and Further Confirms that the Tax Allocation Agreement Was Not Subject to Filing Under ORS 757.495

PUC's implementing regulations recognize that ORS 757.495 only applies to contracts with affiliates for the purchase of goods or services. OAR 860-027-0040 is the PUC rule regarding applications for approval of transactions with affiliates. It states in relevant part:

- (1) [Subject to certain exceptions], the requirements of this rule will apply to any energy or large telecommunications utility seeking authority under * * * ORS 757.495 * * *.
- (2) Every applicant shall set forth in its application to the Commission, in the manner and form indicated, the following information:

(g) A description of the goods or services to be provided, the cost incurred in providing each of the goods or services, the market value of the goods or services if different from the costs, and the method or methods proposed for pricing those goods or services;

Page 6 – PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

- (h) An estimate of the amount the utility will pay annually for the goods or services and the accounts in which it will record the charges;
- (i) The reasons, in detail, relied upon by the utility for procuring the proposed goods or services from the affiliate and benefits, if any, utility customers and the general public will derive from the provision of goods or services;
- (j) A description of the procurement process and the reasons, in pertinent detail appropriate to the complexity of the procurement, relied upon by the utility for procuring the proposed goods or services without a competitive procurement process, if such a process is not used[.]

OAR 860-027-0040.

PGE could not have provided the PUC with the information required by OAR 860-027-0040(2)(g)—(j) with respect to the Tax Allocation Agreement because, by virtue of the nature of the agreement, no such information exists. No goods or services were provided or procured, nor were any costs incurred for goods or services. The requirements of OAR 860-027-0040 reflect the express scope of ORS 757.495 and further confirm that the Tax Allocation Agreement was not subject to filing under that statute.

IV. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the Tax Allocation Agreement does not come within the scope of ORS 757.495, and therefore the statute did not require PGE to file the agreement with the PUC. There are no material disputed facts, and PGE is entitled to summary judgment

Page 7 – PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

on the Third Count as a matter of law. See ORCP 47C; Advanced Telecom Group, UC 425 / UC 426, Order No. 99-438.

DATED this 16th day of August, 2006.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

COMPANY

Jeffrey Dudley, OSB No. 89042

121 SW Salmon, 1WTC1301 Portland, OR 97204

Telephone: 503-464-8860 Facsimile: 503-464-2200 jay.dudley@pgn.com

TONKON TORP LLP

By:

Jeanne M. Chamberlain, OSB No. 85169 David F. White, OSB No. 01138

Robyn E. Ridler, OSB No. 00016 888 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 1600

Portland, OR 97204

Telephone: 503-802-2168
Facsimile: 503-972-3868
jeanne@tonkon.com
davidw@tonkon.com
robyn@tonkon.com

Of Attorneys for Defendant

001991\00259\708981 V001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this day I served the foregoing **PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT** by mailing a copy thereof in a sealed envelope, first-class postage prepaid, addressed to each party listed below, deposited in the U.S. Mail at Portland, Oregon.

Melinda J. Davison
Matthew Perkins
Davison Van Cleve, P.C.
333 SW Taylor, Suite 400
Portland, OR 97204
Of Attorneys for Industrial Customers of
Northwest Utilities

James T. Selecky
Brubaker & Associates
1215 Fern Ridge Pkwy., Suite 208
St. Louis, MO 63141
Of Attorneys for Industrial Customers of
Northwest Utilities

Benjamin E. Walters
Deputy City Attorney
City of Portland, Oregon
City Hall, Suite 430
1221 S.W. Fourth Avenue
Portland, OR 97204
Attorneys for City of Portland

J. Jeffrey Dudley
 Portland General Electric Company
 121 SW Salmon, 1WTC1300
 Portland, OR 97204

 Attorneys for Portland General Electric Company

Jason Eisdorfer Energy Program Director Citizen's Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 308 Portland, OR 97205

DATED this 16th day of August, 2006.

TONKON TORP LLP

Jeanne M. Chamberlain, OSB No. 85169

David F. White, OSB No. 01138 Robyn E. Ridler, OSB No. 00016

001991\00259\708981 V001

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UM 1262

CITY OF PORTLAND.

Complainant,

VS.

DECLARATION OF PAMELA G. LESH IN SUPPORT OF PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, an Oregon corporation,

Defendant.

I, PAMELA G. LESH, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Oregon as follows:

- 1. I am employed as Vice President of Regulatory Affairs and Strategic Planning at Portland General Electric Company ("PGE").
- 2. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the Tax Allocation Agreement Between Enron Corp. and Portland General Electric Company and Its Subsidiaries, which is referenced in the Complaint filed by the City of Portland.
- 3. In December 2002, I understood that ORS 757.495 did not require that PGE file a copy of the Tax Allocation Agreement with the Oregon Public Utility Commission ("PUC"). In the interest of strong and open communication, I did at that time send a copy of the Tax Allocation Agreement to the head of Economic Research and Financial Analysis with the PUC Staff, Marc Hellman, explaining that we did not believe it necessary to file the agreement but asking the PUC Staff to advise us if it disagreed. I never received any response from the PUC Staff, and to my knowledge PGE never filed the Tax Allocation Agreement with the PUC.
- 4. PGE entered a "Master Services Agreement" with Enron Corp. in June
 1997. Unlike the Tax Allocation Agreement, the Master Services Agreement provided for PGE
- Page 1 DECLARATION OF PAMELA G. LESH IN SUPPORT OF PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

to make payments to Enron Corp. for certain services, including tax services. PGE filed the Master Services Agreement with the PUC in September 1997 and subsequently filed various amendments to that agreement with the PUC.

I hereby declare that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand these statements are made for use as evidence in a Public Utility Commission proceeding and are subject to penalty for perjury.

DATED this 15 day of August, 2006.

Pamela G. Lesh

amel

Vice President of Regulatory Affairs and Strategic Planning Portland General Electric Company

001991\00259\708986 V002

TAX ALLOCATION AGREEMENT

BETWEEN

ENRON CORP. AND PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREAMBLE,	} 4	***************************************	.1
ል ድግነርካ ድ ፣		-14 0-10-14 0-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-	.2
וחששלו	ארודים.	S 	2
DEFIN	TITON	[D] =	
ARTICLE II		pipipia	.4
FILING	GOF C	ONSOLIDATED RETURNS	4
	2.1	Consent to File	
	2.2	Cooperation	
ARTICLE III.		***************************************	.5
ALLO	CATIC	NS OF TAX LIABILITIES	.6
•	3.1	Allocation of Consolidated Tax Liability for Earnings and	
•		Profits Purposes	6
	3.2	Allocation of Consolidated Tax Liability and Compensation	
		For Tax Differences	.6
ARTICLE IV.		***************************************	.7
PAYM	ENTS;	CARRYBACKS/CARRYFORWARDS,	.7
	4.1	Intercompany Settlements	.7
	4.2	Carrybacks and Carryovers of Losses and Credits	8,
ARTICLE V			. 8
ADJUS	TMEN	ITS TO CONSOLIDATED TAX LIABILITY	8,
,	5.1	Recomputations	
:	5.2	Member's Liability	8.
٠,	5.3	Enron Liability	8.
• ;	5.4	Interest and Penalties	.9
			_
ARTICLE VI.	*****		9
MISCE	LLAN	EOUS	
	6.1	Term of this Agreement	
	6.2	Assignability	
	6.3	Effect of Changes to the Code	
	6.4	Disqualification of a Member	
•	6.5	Other Taxes; Unitary Taxes	,10
	ნ.ნ	Record Retention	
	6,7	Binding Effect	
	6.8	Governing Law	
SIGNATURES			.11

TAX ALLOCATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN ENRON CORP. AND PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

THIS TAX ALLOCATION AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made and entered into effective as set forth in Section 6.1, between Enron Corp., ("Enron"), Portland General Electric Company, ("PGE"), Portland General Transport Corp. ("PGT"), 121 S.W. Salmon St. Corp. ("SWS"), World Trade Center Northwest Corp. ("WTC"), Salmon Springs Hospitality Group, Inc. ("SSH"), Efficiency Services Group, Inc. ("ESG") and Portland General Resource Development, Inc. ("PGRD"). Enron, PGE, PGT, SWS, WTC, SSH, ESG and PGRD may be collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Parties" and individually as a "Party."

PREAMBLE

WHEREAS, one hundred percent (100%) of the outstanding common stock of PGE is owned by Enron; and

WHEREAS, one hundred percent (100%) of the outstanding common stock of PGT, SWS, SSH, ESG and PGRD is owned by PGE; and

WHEREAS, one hundred percent (100%) of the outstanding common stock of WTC is owned by SWS; and

WHEREAS, as of the effective date of this Agreement, Enron is the "common parent" corporation of an "affiliated group" of corporations (as such terms are used in § 1504(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended—hereinafter "Code"), and such affiliated group includes PGE, PGT, SWS, WTC, SSH, ESG and PGRD; and

WHEREAS, Enron and PGE recognize the importance under the "normalization" provisions of Code § 168(i)(9) for federal tax purposes and those comparable provisions for state and local tax purposes to have the tax consequences of each of their respective operations accounted for as if each Party filed federal income tax returns on a "standalone" basis; and

WHEREAS, so long as it continues to be permissible under the federal income tax laws and the applicable state and local tax laws to file consolidated income tax returns, the Parties to this Agreement believe that it will be in their best interests to file (and continue to file) such consolidated income tax returns; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have always accounted for their respective operations as if each Party filed income tax returns on a "stand-alone" basis, and continue to believe that the apportionment and allocation of federal income and other tax liabilities among and between the Parties to this Agreement are also deemed desirable; and

WHEREAS, the Parties to this Agreement wish to confirm in writing their understanding as to certain matters and procedures pertaining to their federal income tax, Other Taxes, and Unitary Tax as previously followed and accounted for; and

WHEREAS, under custom and practice of the Consolidated Group the definitional and operational provisions of this Agreement are applicable to all of those entities (i.e., "Members") included in the Consolidated Group, the purpose of this Agreement is to more particularly articulate in writing such provisions as they may apply to PGE, PGT, SWS, WTC, SSH, ESG and PGRD as Members of the Consolidated Group vis-à-vis their relationship to Enron.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties to this Agreement, for good and valuable consideration, agree as follows:

ARTICLE I

DEFINITIONS

In addition to any defined terms which may have their meanings ascribed to them elsewhere in this Agreement, the following defined terms shall have the following meanings:

"Agreement" means this Tax Allocation Agreement.

"Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or corresponding provisions of any subsequent federal tax laws. Reference to the Code also includes any applicable and corresponding provisions of the Treasury Regulations.

"Consolidated Alternative Minimum Tax Liability" means, for any tax year, the amount of the Consolidated Group's consolidated alternative minimum tax liability computed in accordance with Code Sections 55, 1502, and 1503, and shown on a Consolidated Return.

"Consolidated Group" means the "affiliated group" of corporations of which Enron is the "common parent corporation" and PGE, PGT, SWS, WTC, SSH, ESG and PGRD are "includible corporations," as such terms are defined in Code Section 1504(a)(1).

"Consolidated Minimum Tax Credit" means, for any tax year, the amount of the Consolidated Group's consolidated minimum tax *credit* computed in accordance with Code Sections 53, 1502, and 1503, and shown on a Consolidated Return.

"Consolidated Return(s)" ("CR") means the consolidated federal income tax return of the Consolidated Group for each taxable year as filed or to be filed by Euron on behalf of the Consolidated Group, including PGE, PGT, SWS, WTC, SSH, ESG and PGRD.

"Consolidated Tax Liability" means, except as provided below, for any tax year, the consolidated federal income tax liability computed in accordance with Section 1.1502-2 of the Treasury Regulations and shown on a Consolidated Return, taking into account all credits to which the Consolidated Group is entitled under the Code (including foreign tax credits), but not taking into account any Consolidated Alternative Minimum Tax Liability or any Consolidated Minimum Tax Credit.

"Credit Member" means a Member whose losses and/or credits have resulted in a Tax Difference for one or more Members.

"CR Tax" means, for any tax year, the amount of Consolidated Tax Liability allocated to a Member pursuant to Article III, Section 3.2 of this Agreement.

"Future Subsidiary" and "Future Subsidiaries" mean a Subsidiary or those Subsidiaries, respectively, which are not currently a party to this Agreement in the capacity as a Present Subsidiary or Present Subsidiaries.

"IRS" means the Internal Revenue Service.

"Member" means Enron, a Present Subsidiary or Future Subsidiary. "Members" mean Enron, the Present Subsidiaries, and any Future Subsidiaries. Unless otherwise noted, particular reference to a "Member" in this Agreement shall refer to a Present Subsidiary.

"Other Taxes" means any taxes (including any penalties and interest) other than federal income taxes and the federal environmental tax under Code Section 59A, and Unitary Tax. Other Taxes shall include, but shall not be limited to, state and local income taxes, franchise taxes, severance taxes, gross receipts taxes, ad valorem taxes, foreign income taxes, transfer taxes, and excise taxes.

"Present Subsidiary" means any Subsidiary which is a party to this Agreement. At the date of original execution of this Agreement, the Present Subsidiaries (for purposes of this Agreement) are PGE, PGT, SWS, WTC, SSH, ESG and PGRD.

"Subsidiary" means any subsidiary of Enron and/or PGE (as a Present Subsidiary), i.e., any corporation which would be an includible member of an affiliated group of which Enron would be the ultimate common parent corporation within the meaning of Code Section 1504(a)(1)) in the individual sense. A Subsidiary includes both a Present Subsidiary and any Future Subsidiary. "Subsidiaries" shall mean any such subsidiaries of Enron and/or PGE, in the collective sense.

"SR Tax" means, with respect to any tax year, the "separate return" tax liability of a Member as determined pursuant to Section 1.1552-1(a)(2)(ii) of the Treasury Regulations, except that such determination shall not take into account (i) any net operating losses or tax credits which are not utilized in the computation of

Consolidated Tax Liability and (ii) any alternative minimum tax liability or (iii) any Consolidated Minimum Tax Credit.

"Tax Difference" means, with respect to a Member for any tax year, the excess of SR Tax over such Member's CR Tax, if any.

"Tax Difference Member" means, with respect to any tax year, a Member who has a Tax Difference.

"Treasury Regulations" mean the federal income tax regulations issued as the official Treasury Department's interpretation of the Internal Revenue Code. The term shall include proposed regulations, temporary regulations, and final regulations.

"Unitary Taxes" means state income tax reported on a Unitary Tax Return.

"Unitary Tax Return" means a state income tax return which reflects combined reporting (on either a domestic or worldwide basis) of the Members' net income on an apportioned basis.

ARTICLE II

FILING OF CONSOLIDATED RETURNS

2.1 Consent to File.

- (a) Enron and the Present Subsidiaries hereby consent, and agree to cause any Future Subsidiary to consent, to the extent necessary, to the filing of Consolidated Returns, including the tax year ended December 31, 2002, and for each year thereafter in which Enron and the Present Subsidiaries or Future Subsidiary are eligible to file Consolidated Returns, until such time as Enron, in the exercise of its sole discretion, elects to refrain from filing Consolidated Returns. Such agreement reflects prior practice of Enron and the Present Subsidiaries and their intention to continue such practice absent an amendment to or termination of this Agreement.
- (b) Enron and the Present Subsidiaries agree to furnish and cause each Future Subsidiary to furnish, all information and to execute all elections and other documents which may be necessary or appropriate to evidence such consent and to prepare and file such Consolidated Returns and such applications for extension of time to file such Consolidated Returns as Enron may from time to time request.
- (c) Enron and the Present Subsidiaries agree, and shall cause each Future Subsidiary to agree, that Enron shall be authorized to and shall undertake those actions which are within the scope of Enron's "agency" (within the meaning of and pursuant to Section 1.1502-77(a) of the Treasury Regulations) in connection with a Consolidated Return, including, without limitation:

- (i) taking any and all action necessary or incidental to the preparation and filing of a Consolidated Return;
 - (ii) making elections and adopting accounting methods;
- (iii) filing all extensions of time, including extensions of time for payment of tax under Section 6161 and other sections of the Code;
 - (iv) filing claims for refund or credit;
 - (v) giving waivers or bonds;
- (vi) executing closing agreements, settlement agreements, offers in compromise, and all other documents;
- (vii) obtaining private letter rulings or technical advice memoranda; and
- (viii) contesting (both administratively and judicially) the proposal of adjustments to tax liability and the assessment of any deficiency.

It is intended that the Present Subsidiaries shall not have any authority to act for or to represent themselves in any such matter to which this paragraph relates; however, to the extent any such matter described in this Section 2.1 relates to a Present Subsidiary or to a Future Subsidiary, Enron agrees to timely apprise PGE's management and tax personnel regarding such matter. Furthermore, Enron agrees that in those situations when it is exercising its agency to settle proposed adjustments to the federal income tax liability of the Consolidated Group, it will, with regard to any tax issue which could impact the Subsidiaries, timely apprise such Subsidiaries' management and tax personnel of the plans for settling such issue.

2.2 Cooperation.

- (a) Enron and PGE agree to cooperate, and Enron and PGE agree to cause each Present and Future Subsidiary to cooperate, with Enron in filing any return or consent or taking any other action contemplated by this Agreement and agrees to take such action as Enron may request in connection therewith. Enron and PGE agree that Enron shall bear its own costs in meeting its obligation to prepare and file Consolidated Returns pursuant to this Article 2 of the Agreement.
- (b) The authorization and obligations set forth herein under Article II shall survive the termination of this Agreement with respect to any tax year (or portion thereof) ending on or prior to termination of this Agreement.

ARTICLE III

ALLOCATIONS OF TAX LIABILITIES

- 3.1 Allocation of Consolidated Tax Liability for Earnings and Profits Purposes. With respect to the determination of earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes (as described under Treasury Regulation Section 1.1502-33(d)), the Present Subsidiaries shall have allocated to them that portion of the Consolidated Tax Liability determined in accordance with the method set forth in Code Section 1552(a)(1) and Treasury Regulation Section 1.1552-1(a)(1), all as required under Treasury Regulation Section 1.1552-1(c)(1).
- 3.2 <u>Allocation of Consolidated Tax Liability and Compensation for Tax Differences.</u>
- (a) The Parties shall allocate the Consolidated Tax Liability for each taxable period among the Members and compensate a Member for the use of its net operating losses and/or tax credits in arriving at the Consolidated Tax Liability pursuant to the following steps:
- (i) Step One: Except as provided herein, the Consolidated Tax Liability shall be allocated to each Present Subsidiary in accordance with Treasury Regulation Section 1.1552-1(a)(1) (i.e., Consolidated Tax Liability allocated on the basis of "separate return" taxable income to total consolidated income) and the amount allocated to a Present Subsidiary shall be its CR Tax. Each Present Subsidiary shall be liable for and pay to Enron, pursuant to the provisions of Article IV of this Agreement, the amount of its CR Tax.
- (ii) <u>Step Two:</u> The Tax Difference, if any, shall be calculated with respect to each Present Subsidiary and if a Present Subsidiary is a Tax Difference Member it shall be liable for and pay to Enron, pursuant to the provisions of Article IV of this Agreement, the amount of its Tax Difference.
- (iii) Step Three: Enron shall be liable for and pay to each Present Subsidiary, if it is a Credit Member, the portion of the total Tax Difference attributable to it as a Credit Member, determined in accordance with the "percentage method" requirements of Treasury Regulation Section 1.1502-33(d)(3). Such payments will be equal to; (y) in the case of net operating losses, the product of (1) the amount of net operating losses of such Credit Member claimed as deductions in computing the Consolidated Tax Liability used in the calculation of the Tax Difference times (2) the statutory tax rate applicable to the Consolidated Return filed for the tax period in which the net operating losses are claimed as deductions; and (z) in the case of tax credits, one hundred percent (100%) of the tax credits of such Credit Member utilized in the determination of Consolidated Tax Liability, reduced by the amount by which the SR Tax of the Credit Member, computed without regard to such credits, exceeds the CR tax of the Credit Member.

- (b) The following rules shall apply in carrying out the steps of Section 3.2(a) of this Agreement:
- (i) In determining the "net operating loss" of a Present Subsidiary if a Credit Member, the principles of Revenue Ruling 66-374, 1966-2 C.B. 427, shall be utilized:
- (ii) In no event shall a Tax Difference payment be made to a Present Subsidiary, if a Credit Member, unless the net operating loss and/or tax credit to which such payment relates resulted in a reduction in the Consolidated Tax Liability; and
- (iii) In calculating the amount of Tax Difference resulting from a carryback or carryover of net operating losses, adjustment shall be made to the SR Tax for such prior year or subsequent year as required under Code Sections 172(b)(2) and 172(d). For purposes of this calculation, the election under Section 172(b)(3) of the Code shall be in the sole discretion of Enron.
- (c) The liability of a Present Subsidiary to Enron for the amount of its CR Tax and Tax Difference, if any, shall be represented on the books of the Present Subsidiary and Enron as an account payable and account receivable, respectively. The liability of Enron to a Present Subsidiary, if a Credit Member, for the portion of total Tax Difference attributable to it shall be represented on the books of Enron and the Present Subsidiary as an account payable and account receivable, respectively, as provided for under Article IV.

ARTICLE IV

PAYMENTS: CARRYBACKS/CARRYFORWARDS

4.1 <u>Intercompany Settlements.</u>

- (a) The intercompany accounts established for each Present Subsidiary shall be adjusted as of the "accounting close" following the end of each month so as to reflect each Present Subsidiary's obligations and entitlements under Sections 3, 4 and 5. If a Present Subsidiary has a liability to Enron for a month, the intercompany accounts shall be settled in each by the earlier of (i) 15 days after each calendar quarter-end or (ii) immediately prior to a Present Subsidiary ceasing to be a member of the Consolidated Group. If Enron has a liability to a Present Subsidiary for a month, Enron shall settle its obligation in each immediately upon determination of such liability.
- (b) On or before the ninetieth (90th) day after the date of the filing of a Consolidated Return for a tax period, each Present Subsidiary's intercompany payable account vis-à-vis Enron shall be increased for the tax period (or any relevant portion thereof) so as to reflect any additional Tax Difference attributable to such Present Subsidiary that has not previously been recorded in the intercompany accounts.

- (c) On or before the ninetieth (90th) day after the date of the filing of a Consolidated Return for a tax period, each Present Subsidiary's intercompany receivable account vis-à-vis Enron shall be increased for the tax period (or any relevant portion thereof) so as to reflect any additional Tax Difference attributable to such Present Subsidiary (as a Credit Member) that has not previously been recorded in the intercompany accounts.
- 4.2 <u>Carrybacks and Carryovers of Losses and Credits</u>. If part or all of any unused consolidated net operating loss or tax credit is allocated to a Present Subsidiary pursuant to Section 1.1502-79 of the Treasury Regulations, and it is carried back or forward to a year in which the Present Subsidiary filed a separate income tax return or was included in a consolidated federal income tax return with another affiliated group, any refund or reduction in federal income tax liability arising from the carryback or carryover shall be retained (or, if appropriate, credited to such Present Subsidiary if a refund is received by another Member) by such Present Subsidiary.

ARTICLE V

ADJUSTMENTS TO CONSOLIDATED TAX LIABILITY

- 5.1 Recomputations. If a Consolidated Tax Liability is adjusted for any taxable period, whether such adjustment is by means of an amended return, claim for refund, or examination by the IRS, the calculations made under Article IV of this Agreement shall be recomputed by giving effect to such adjustments. In all cases the recomputations required by the preceding sentence shall be performed consistently with the definition of "Consolidated Tax Liability," which requires that consolidated federal income tax liability be computed in accordance with Section 1.1502-2 of the Treasury Regulations, which generally requires (i) that taxable income of the Consolidated Group be determined, (ii) that tax liability for the Consolidated Group be determined based on such consolidated taxable income, and (iii) that credit then be applied against the tax on the consolidated taxable income.
- 5.2 <u>Member's Liability</u>. If, following such recomputation, a Present Subsidiary is liable to Enron for additional CR Tax or Tax Difference, the Present Subsidiary's intercompany payable account shall be increased so as to reflect such additional amount within ninety (90) days after the earlier of either of the following events which relate to such recomputation: (i) Enron files an amended Consolidated Return; or (ii) Enron settles an examination with the IRS.
- 5.3 Enron Liability. If, following such recomputation, Enron is liable to a Present Subsidiary, as a Credit Member, for an increase in the portion of the total Tax Difference attributable to such Present Subsidiary, the Present Subsidiary's intercompany receivable account shall be credited by Enron so as to reflect such benefit. Such adjustment shall be made at the following times: if Enron is in a net refund position with respect to such recomputation, the adjustment shall be made under this Section 5.3 within

ninety (90) days of receipt of the refund; otherwise, within ninety (90) days after Enron makes payment to the IRS with respect to such recomputation.

5.4 <u>Interest and Penalties</u>. Any interest and/or penalty not specifically allocated to a Present Subsidiary by the IRS may be allocated to a Present Subsidiary upon such basis as Euron deems just and proper after consulting with PGE's management and tax personnel and in view of all applicable circumstances.

<u>ARTICLE VI</u>

MISCELLANEOUS

6.1 Term of this Agreement.

- (a) This Agreement is effective for all "open years" under Code Section 6501 and the applicable state and local provisions for purposes of federal income taxes, Unitary Taxes of the Members, and Other Taxes, including the tax year ended December 31, 2002. As such, this Agreement shall apply to all taxable years or portions thereof (including those prior to the date of this Agreement) for which a Consolidated Return or Unitary Tax Return was or is filed with respect to a Present Subsidiary that were included as part of such Return(s), unless Enron and the Present Subsidiary agree in writing to another arrangement or otherwise agree to terminate this Agreement. Notwithstanding such termination, this Agreement shall continue in effect with respect to any payment or refund due for all taxable periods prior to termination. Nothing herein shall be construed to prevent Enron from terminating its election to file a Consolidated Return.
- (b) Enron and PGE agree to cause and permit each Future Subsidiary to join in, and become parties to, this Agreement having rights and obligations comparable to the rights and obligations of Present Subsidiaries.
- 6.2 <u>Assignability</u>. The rights and obligations under this Agreement of the parties to this Agreement may not be assigned by a Party without the prior written and unanimous consent of the other Parties to this Agreement.
- 6.3 Effect of Changes to the Code. Any alteration, modification, addition, deletion, or other change in the federal income tax laws or regulations relating to consolidated federal income tax returns shall automatically be applicable to this Agreement, provided, however, that if all the Parties to this Agreement unanimously agree, this Agreement shall be amended or terminated in the event of any such alteration, modification, addition, deletion or other change.
- 6.4 <u>Disqualification of a Member</u>. Failure of a Present Subsidiary to continue to qualify as a Member shall not operate to terminate this Agreement with respect to the other Members as long as Enron and one other Member continue to so qualify.

- 6.5 Other Taxes: Unitary Taxes. To the extent a Present Subsidiary is permitted or required to file consolidated or combined returns (including Unitary Tax Returns) with one or more related parties that may or may not be Subsidiaries, such Other Taxes and Unitary Taxes shall be allocated among the Members in accordance with the principles and provisions of this Agreement including, without limitation, the principles and provisions in respect of payments and adjustments.
- 6.6 Record Retention Enron and PGE shall make available, and Enron and/or PGE shall cause the Present and Future Subsidiaries to make available, to Enron all materials (including, without limitation, returns, supporting schedules, work papers, correspondence, and other documents) relating to the Consolidated Returns filed for the taxable years during which this Agreement was in effect during regular business hours for a period that is not less than the applicable Federal record retention requirement period.
- 6.7 <u>Binding Effect.</u> This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the respective successors and assigns of the Parties hereto; but no assignment shall relieve any party's obligations hereunder without the written consent of the other parties. In the event PGE leaves the Consolidated Group, it shall be bound, nevertheless, by this Agreement with respect to any matter which involves a taxable year (or portion thereof) during which it was included in a Consolidated Return.
- 6.8 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Oregon.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused their names to be subscribed and executed by their respective authorized officers as indicated.

ENRON CORP.
By: 11th Ments Name: Tordon H. Ments
Name: Jordan H. Meut
Title: Managin Orrection and
Title: Managin Orrection and General Chief Top
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
By: Peggy Joul
Name: Peggy Fowler
Name: Pegg Fowler Title: CEO/Pegidud
<i></i>
PORTLAND GENERAL TRANSPORT CORP.
By: _lamas_l. Piw_
Name: JAMES J. PIRO
Title:
121 S.W. SALMON ST. CORP.
By: Janes J. Div
Name: JAMES J. PIRU
Title: Treasurer
WORLD TRADE CENTER NORTHWEST CORP.
By:lames I. Più
Name: JAMES J. PIRO
Title: Treasurer

-this	SALMON SPRINGS HOSPITALITY GROUP INC.
• :	By: amos Due
	Name: JKMES J. PIRO
	Title: Treasurer
-ANS	EFFICIENCY SERVICES GROUP, INC.
	By:lamos Die
	Name: JAMES J. PLRO
	Title:
Anic	PORTLAND GENERAL RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT, INC.
: · · · ·	By: _lames_1. Pio
	Name: JAMES J. PILO
	Title: _Sr. Vice President

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this day I served the foregoing **DECLARATION OF PAMELA G. LESH IN SUPPORT OF PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT** by mailing a copy thereof in a sealed envelope, first-class postage prepaid, addressed to each party listed below, deposited in the U.S. Mail at Portland, Oregon.

Melinda J. Davison
Matthew Perkins
Davison Van Cleve, P.C.
333 SW Taylor, Suite 400
Portland, OR 97204
Of Attorneys for Industrial Customers of
Northwest Utilities

James T. Selecky
Brubaker & Associates
1215 Fern Ridge Pkwy., Suite 208
St. Louis, MO 63141
Of Attorneys for Industrial Customers of
Northwest Utilities

Benjamin E. Walters
Deputy City Attorney
City of Portland, Oregon
City Hall, Suite 430
1221 S.W. Fourth Avenue
Portland, OR 97204
Attorneys for City of Portland

J. Jeffrey Dudley
Portland General Electric Company
121 SW Salmon, 1WTC1300
Portland, OR 97204
Attorneys for Portland General Electric
Company

Jason Eisdorfer Energy Program Director Citizen's Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 308 Portland, OR 97205

DATED this 16th day of August, 2006.

TONKON TORP LLP

Jeanne M. Chamberlain, OSB No. 85169

David F. White, OSB No. 01138 Robyn E. Ridler, OSB No. 00016

001991\00259\708986 V002

Page 1 – DECLARATION OF PAMELA G. LESH IN SUPPORT OF PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT