

Public Utility Commission

550 Capitol St NE, Suite 215

Mailing Address: PO Box 2148

Salem, OR 97308-2148

Consumer Services

1-800-522-2404 Local: (503) 378-6600 **Administrative Services**

(503) 373-7394

July 27th, 2006

OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ATTENTION: FILING CENTER PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97308-2148

RE: <u>Docket No. UW 113</u> - In the Matter of METOLIUS MEADOWS PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION's Application To Establish Rates Resulting in total Annual Revenues of \$67,600.

Enclosed for electronic filing in the above-captioned docket is the Public Utility Commission Staff's Direct Testimony.

/s/ Kay Barnes

Kay Barnes Regulatory Operations Division Filing on Behalf of Public Utility Commission Staff (503) 378-5763 Email: kay.barnes@state.or.us

c: UW 113 Service List - parties

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

UW 113

Staff Direct Testimony of Renee Sloan

In the Matter of Metolius Meadows Property Owners Association's Application To Establish Rates Resulting in total Annual Revenues of \$67,600

July 27, 2006

CASE: UW 113

WITNESS: Renee Sloan

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

STAFF EXHIBIT 100

Direct Testimony
In Support of
The Stipulation

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND POSITION.

A. My name is Renee Sloan. My business address is 550 Capitol Street NE Suite 215, Salem, Oregon 97301-2148. I am employed with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) as a utility analyst assigned to review regulated water utility general rate case dockets.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my Testimony is to introduce and support the Stipulation entered into by Staff and Metolius Meadows Property Owners Association (MMPOA or Company).

Q. WHO ARE THE PARTIES IN THIS DOCKET?

A. The Parties in this docket are Staff and the Company.

Q. DID YOU PREPARE ANY EXHIBITS FOR THIS DOCKET?

A. Yes. Exhibit No. 100 is Direct Testimony in support of the Stipulation, and Exhibit No. 101 contains exhibits in support of the Direct Testimony.

Q. HOW IS YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

- A. The Testimony is organized as follows:
 - 1) Description of Metolius Meadows Property Owners Association;
- 2) Explanation of how MMPOA came under Commission rate regulation;
 - 3) Summary of MMPOA's Application;
 - 4) Staff's analysis of MMPOA's filing;
 - 5) Staff's adjustments to MMPOA's filing; and
- 22 6) Summary of the Stipulation agreed to by Staff and MMPOA.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

METOLIUS MEADOWS PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE METOLIUS MEADOWS PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION.

A. MMPOA is a homeowners' association located in Camp Sherman, Oregon. The water system consists of two wells, a 48,000 gallon two-chambered storage reservoir, pump station, various pumps, and distribution pipe lines. Additionally, the Company owns all meters and backflow prevention devices. The water system serves 152 single family lots consisting of 141 single family lots within the Metolius Meadows subdivision and 11 adjacent single family lots. The water system currently provides water service to 128 residential customers, including the caretaker's house. Water is available at the street for the 25 undeveloped lots within the subdivision. In addition, MMPOA's water system serves common areas, a swimming pool and pool house, riding arenas (indoor and outdoor), and an office.

COMMISSION REGULATION OF MMPOA

- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW METOLIUS MEADOWS PROPERTY OWNER
 ASSOCIATION CAME UNDER THE COMMISSION'S JURISDICTION.
- A. In October 2004 Commission Staff learned that Metolius Meadows Property Owners Association was providing water service to 11 customers who are not members of the property owners association. As a result, the Commission opened an investigation, docketed as WJ 2, to determine the Commission's jurisdiction over MMPOA. In Order No. 05-150, entered March 25, 2005, the

Commission concluded that Metolius Meadows Property Owners Association is a public utility providing water service "to and for" the public. As such, the Company is subject to Commission jurisdiction pursuant to ORS 757.005 and ORS 757.061.

Under ORS 757.061, public water utilities that serve fewer than 500 customers are exempt from Commission rate regulation unless 20 percent or more of the customers file a petition requesting the utility be rate regulated and the company's average annual monthly residential rate exceeds the maximum rate established by the Commission.

As a result of MMPOA's proposal to increase the residential base rate to \$29.50 in Spring 2005, over 20 percent of the customers filed petitions with the Commission requesting an investigation of the proposed rate increase. In Order No. 05-889 (WJ 6), entered August 3, 2005, the Commission concluded that, pursuant to ORS 757.005 and ORS 757.061, Metolius Meadows Property Owners Association is a financially regulated public utility that is subject to Commission jurisdiction. Order No. 05-889 also directed the Company to file appropriate tariffs with the Commission pursuant to ORS 757.205.

SUMMARY OF MMPOA'S RATE APPLICATION

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE COMPANY'S GENERAL RATE FILING.

A. In compliance with Order No. 05-889, the Company filed tariffs on January 24,2006. In its Application, the Company requested an increase in revenues from

\$44,291 to \$67,632, or 52.7 percent. MMPOA also requested a 10 percent return on a rate base of \$41,877.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S CURRENT AND PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL RATES.

A. The current base rate includes a total of 5,000 cubic feet over the months of May through October. ¹ Because many of the residential customers are in residence only during the summer months, the Company charges for usage only during those months. Under the current rate design, meters are read in May and October. Tier 1 rates are charged for total usage between 5,001 and 9,000 cubic feet that occurs up through the October meter reading. Similarly, any usage above 9,000 cubic feet is charged at Tier 2 rates. No usage charge is applied for consumption that occurs during winter months. The table below shows MMPOA's current and proposed residential rates as stated in the Application.

Residential

Meter Size ²	Current Base Includes usage	Proposed Base Includes usage	Current Tier 1 Per 100 cubic feet	Proposed Tier 1 Per 100 cubic feet	Current Tier 2 Per 100 cubic feet	Proposed Tier 2 Per 100 cubic feet
3/4" or 5/8"	\$21.00	\$25.00	\$0.50	\$2 <i>.4</i> 5	\$1.50	NA ³

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S CURRENT AND PROPOSED COMMERCIAL RATES AS STATED IN THE APPLICATION.

¹ The rates stipulated to by the Parties do not include a consumption allowance in the base rate.

² The Company later stated that all meters are one inch.

 $^{^3}$ The Company did not propose a Tier 2 rate.

A. Commercial customers include a swimming pool and pool house and indoor and outdoor horse arenas. The swimming pool is metered; however, the Company does not read the meter or charge for water used by the pool and pool house. Any water cost for the commercial customers has been absorbed by the property owners association. The Company did not propose a rate design for commercial customers.

Q. WHY DID MMPOA LATER PROPOSE TO CHARGE HIGHER RATES THAN STATED IN ITS APPLICATION AND ORIGINAL NOTICE TO CUSTOMERS?

- A. After discussing Staff's Results of Analysis at the June 15, 2006, Settlement Conference, the Company realized that average monthly usage should have been based on the number of customers that actually use water, and not include the number of undeveloped lots. The result is a larger consumption per customer, and, thus, average bills higher than stated in the Company's customer notice. Per Commission policy, Staff informed the Company that it could not charge higher rates than stated in its customer notice; however, MMPOA could send a notice informing customers of new proposed higher rates.
- Q. WERE CUSTOMERS NOTIFIED THAT MMPOA WAS PROPOSING HIGHER RATES THAN STATED IN THE APPLICATION AND ORIGINAL NOTICE TO CUSTOMERS?
- A. Yes. On June 30, 2006, MMPOA mailed a notice to inform customers that the rates proposed in the Application and first notice were too low to generate the revenue requirement requested in the Application. The second notice stated

that proposed average monthly rates would be approximately \$41.50 instead of \$37.00 as stated in the first notice.

Q. HOW MANY CUSTOMERS RESPONDED TO THE SECOND NOTICE?

A. None. Although the notice informed customers they could object to the new proposed rate or request a hearing within 10 days of the date of the notice, no such objections or requests for hearing were filed with the Commission.

Q. DID ANY CUSTOMERS PARTICIPATE IN SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS?

A. No. Even though the Company came under the Commission's jurisdiction as a result of customer petitions, no customers intervened to become parties in the rate case. To facilitate resolution of the issues in this case, Staff and MMPOA held settlement discussions on June 15, 2006, and July 18, 2006. Notice of the meetings was sent to all customers who had indicated an interest in receiving such notices, and requested to be placed on the official service list for docket UW 113. About five customers were present at the beginning of the June 15 meeting, but left after about 15 minutes. No customers attended the July 18 meeting.

STAFF'S ANALYSIS OF THE COMPANY'S RATE FILING

Q. WHAT ARE THE RESULTS OF STAFF'S ANALYSIS OF THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION?

A. Staff recommends an increase of \$21,644, or 48.9 percent, resulting in total annual revenues of \$65,935. The revenue requirement is to be collected as follows: \$61,001 from residential customers, \$1,829 from commercial customers,

and \$3,105 in Property Owners Association (POA) Operational Assessments. In addition, Staff recommends the Company be allowed to earn an 8.65 percent rate of return on Materials and Supplies Inventory, Working Capital, and an \$85,000 loan.

Q. WHY IS THE RATE OF RETURN APPLIED ONLY TO MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY, WORKING CAPITAL, AND THE LOAN?

A. MMPOA is a property owners association whereby all members form one body. As a nonprofit entity, all debt and all investment is derived from assessments and contributions of the customers. Since revenues equal expenses, no rate of return is allowed on Plant and Accumulated Depreciation. However, Staff proposes to allow the Company to earn a return *of* its investment through Depreciation Expense. As previously mentioned, Staff proposes that MMPOA be allowed to earn a return on Materials and Supplies, Working Capital (1/12 of Operating Expenses), and the \$85,000 loan. The rate of return was based on the interest rate of the loan, 8.65 percent.

STAFF ADJUSTMENTS

Q. DID STAFF MAKE ANY ADJUSTMENTS TO THE COMPANY'S TEST PERIOD EXPENSES?

A. Yes. Staff/101, Sloan/2 shows Staff's expense adjustments and a brief description of each; however, below is further explanation of adjustments to Repairs, Legal, and Testing expenses.

Account No. 621 - Repairs to Plant

In its Application MMPOA stated test year (July 2004 – June 2005) Repairs to Plant as \$1,279. The Company requested an increase of \$4,000, for a total proposed annual amount of \$5,279 for this expense. Following the June 15, 2006, Settlement Conference, the Company provided Staff with documents totaling \$4,750.98 in support of the Company's 2005-2006 repairs expense. After reviewing the invoices, Staff determined that \$1,144.01 should be moved to various Plant accounts and \$486.93 should be disallowed because it is for items that had been returned for credit or were charges unrelated to water system repairs. This results in \$3,119.69 documented by the 2005-2006 invoices. Staff added \$16.98 to that amount, for other 2005 repairs expenses plus a 3 percent inflation adjustment, for a total proposed Repairs to Plant expense of \$3,231.

Account No. 633 - Legal

MMPOA incurred the \$5,197 test year Legal Expense shown in the Application during the process leading up to the Commission asserting jurisdiction over the Company and the resulting rate case Application. Consequently, Staff moved that amount into Account No. 666 – Amortization of Rate Case Expense and amortized it over three years. This results in a proposed annual Amortization of Rate Case Expense of \$1,732. The Company did not propose an amount for Account No. 666 in its Application.

The Company proposed an annual total of \$8,000 for anticipated Legal Expense in the near future. Because the proposed amount for legal expenses

would not be an annual expense on a continuing basis, Staff amortized the amount over two years, resulting in a proposed Legal Expense of \$4,000.

Account No. 635 – Testing

In its Application, MMPOA stated its 2004-2005 Testing Expense as \$5,599. Staff's review of supporting documentation showed that \$3,640 of this amount was actually the cost for annual testing of backflow prevention devices, and should have been recorded in Account No. 671 – Cross Connection Control Program Expense. Staff calculated the proposed Testing Expense of \$1,221 using a five-year amortization of the costs for scheduled tests based on information contained on the Department of Human Services Drinking Water Program website.

Q. DID STAFF MAKE ADJUSTMENTS TO UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE?

A. Yes. After reviewing the Application, analyzing responses to data requests, and evaluating MMPOA's June 2004 Reserve Study, Staff determined that the Company's Utility Plant in Service is actually \$314,271 rather than \$126,478 as shown in the Application. Staff's amount includes the cost of new projects to be completed by October 2006 in addition to other Plant described in information provided after the Application was filed. Utility Plant is not factored into rates because no Rate of Return is allowed;⁴ however, Plant in Service is included in Staff's analysis for the purpose of calculating Depreciation Expense, which is factored into rates.

⁴ A discussion of Rate of Return is located at Staff/100, Sloan/7, beginning at line 3.

Q. DID STAFF MAKE ADJUSTMENTS TO ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION?

A. Yes. Staff's calculation of Accumulated Depreciation, using Average Service
Lives consistent with the method that was originally developed by the National
Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, resulted in an Accumulated
Depreciation amount of \$123,327 rather than the test year amount of \$91,036
shown in the Application. As with Utility Plant, no rate of return is allowed on
Accumulated Depreciation, and it is not factored into the rates.

SUMMARY OF THE STIPULATION AGREED TO BY THE PARTIES

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT STIPULATED TO BY THE PARTIES.

A. The Stipulation is made up of Staff's recommended revenue requirement and rates, as shown in MMPOA's tariffs attached to the Stipulation. The Stipulation supports an increase of \$21,644, or 48.9 percent above test year revenues, for a total revenue requirement of \$65,935. In addition, the Parties stipulated to an 8.65 percent rate of return on Materials and Supplies Inventory, Working Capitol, and an \$85,000 loan.⁵ The stipulated Revenue Requirement is shown in Staff/101, Sloan/1.

Q. WHAT ARE THE STIPULATED RESIDENTIAL RATES?

A. Although the Company's filing proposed single tiers for residential variable rates, the current residential rate design consists of two tiers for variable rates.
 The Parties agreed to continue using a two-tiered residential variable rate. The

⁵ An explanation appears in Staff/100, Sloan/7, beginning on line 3.

1 2 following table compares current residential rates, proposed rates filed with the Application, and final rates stipulated to by Staff and MMPOA:

Residential Rate Design	Current Rates	MMPOA Proposed Rates	Staff and MMPOA Stipulated Rates
Base	\$21.00	\$25.00	\$25.40
Variable (per 100 cf) Tier 1 (up to 5,000 cf/year)	\$0.50	\$1.57	\$0.93
Variable (per 100 cf) Tier 2 (above 5,000 cf/year)	\$1.50	N/A	\$1.53
Total Average Monthly Bill ⁶	\$24.28	\$37.00 ⁷	\$39.71

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Q. WHAT EFFECT DO THE STIPULATED RATES HAVE ON RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS?

A. Staff/101, Sloan/4 shows the rate impacts of the stipulated residential rates.

Q. WHAT ARE THE STIPULATED COMMERCIAL RATES?

A. Meters are not installed for all commercial customers and MMPOA does not read meters for any commercial customers. The Parties stipulated to a flat rate of \$50.80 per month.

Q. WHAT IS THE STIPULATED POA OPERATIONAL ASSESSMENT FEE?

A. The POA Operational Assessment represents a \$10.35 per month charge to the owners of the 25 undeveloped lots to pay for their share of labor costs. Since an employee is doing work that benefits all members of the association, the assessment amount is calculated by multiplying 16.45 percent⁸ times the stipulated expenses for Employee Salaries and Wages, Employee Pension and

⁶ MMPOA bills annually, but an average monthly rate is shown for comparison purposes.

⁸ The 25 lots represent 16.45 percent of total lots served by MMPOA.

MMPOA later renoticed a revised rate of \$41.50 (based on interest payments of the \$85,000 loan).

Benefits, Workers' Compensation Insurance, and Training. The Homeowners
Association currently assesses \$10.00 per month to owners of undeveloped lots.

Q. DID THE PARTIES STIPULATE TO ANY MISCELLANEOUS FEES?

A. Yes. The parties stipulated to the miscellaneous service charges set forth in Schedule No. 5 in Attachment B to the Stipulation.

Q. DID THE PARTIES AGREE TO ANYTHING ELSE IN THE STIPULATION?

A. Yes. Staff and MMPOA also stipulated to the following:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Within 30 days of occurrence, the Company agrees to provide a copy of the signed loan agreement and a recording of the deposit of funds to the Commission.
- The Company agrees that the loan funds will be used solely for capital improvements of the water system. The monies will not be used for any activity not directly related to provision of water service to MMPOA customers.
- Within 30 days of receipt, the Company agrees to provide Staff a copy
 of the loan amortization schedule that was provided to MMPOA by the
 lending institution.
- 4. Revenues in the current rate case include a rate of return on the loan to cover the interest-only payments for the first two years of the loan. It is the Company's obligation to decide whether to apply to the Commission for a rate increase when funds are needed to begin paying principal payments in the third year of the loan.

5. The Company agrees to bill customers annually; however, customers will have the option of paying annually, quarterly, or monthly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 6. The Homeowners Association, through the Property Owners Association Operational Assessment, agrees that the \$10.35 per month (\$124.20 collected annually per undeveloped lot) will be placed into MMPOA's water system reserve account.
- 7. The Homeowners Association, through the Property Owners Association Operational Assessment, agrees that a 3 percent discount will be applied to POA Operational Assessment payments made within 30 days of billing.
- 8. In order for future commercial rates to be based on consumption, the Company agrees to install meters for all commercial customers within 90 days of the Commission's final order in this docket. The Company agrees to read meters for the arena and the equestrian facility on a monthly basis for one year from the date of installation. The Company agrees to read the swimming pool meter on a monthly basis from May 2007 through October 2007.
- PUC Staff agrees to conduct an audit of MMPOA's books within one year of the implementation of the stipulated rates.
- The Parties agree to support an effective date of August 15, 2006, for the stipulated rates.

11. In order to implement the stipulated residential tariffs on August 15, 2006, 1 2 the Company agrees to read the residential meters on August 14, 2006. 3 12. Staff and the Company acknowledge that this is the first proceeding in 4 which the Commission has established water rates for the Company, 5 and that the facts, information, and circumstances that formed the basis 6 for the agreements in the Stipulation may change in the future. 7 Q. ARE THE NEW RATES JUST AND REASONABLE? 8 A. Yes. Based on Staff's investigation and the documented costs provided by 9 MMPOA, Staff believes the proposed new revenue requirement generates 10 rates that are just and reasonable. 11 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY? 12 A. Yes. 13 14 15 16 17 I hereby confirm that I have reviewed this testimony. 18 19 Signature - Kevin C. Adams, President, MMPOA 20 Date

21

CASE: UW 113

WITNESS: Renee Sloan

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

STAFF EXHIBIT 101

Exhibits in Support Of Direct Testimony

85,000 On Loan amount, 12,350 M&S, and 3,833 Working Capital

Metolius Meadows UW 113		(Company Case 52.7%				Staff 48.9%					
		Test Year: 2004-2005	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	ı	Actual Revenue Increase 21,644
	Acct.		Balance Per Application	Proposed Company	Adjusted Results	Company Proposed	Proposed Results	Proposed Staff	Adjusted Results	Staff Proposed	Proposed Results	
	No.	REVENUES	Test Year: 2004-2005	Adjustments	(A+B=C)	Rev Changes	(C+D=E)	Adjustments		Rev Changes 18,538	(G+H) 62,829	Staff % 48.87%
2		Res/Comm Water Sales Commercial Water Sales	44,291		44,291 0	23,341	67,632 0	0	44,291 0	10,336	02,029	40.0776
3		Irrigation - Non GC			0		0	0	0	0	0	<u>Co%</u>
4		Irrigation - GC			0		0	0	0	0	0	52.70%
5		Misc. Revenues POA Operational Assessment			0		0	2,189	2,189	916	3,105	
7		TOTAL REVENUE	44,291	0	44,291	23,341	67,632	2,189	46,480	19,455	65,935	
8												
9	601	OPERATING EXPENSES Salaries and Wages - Employees	15,232	1,743	16,975		16,975	(1,049)	14,183		14,183	
11	603	Salaries and Wages - Officers			0		0	0	0		0	
12		Employee Pension & Benefits	488	0	488 0		488 0	(183) 0	305 0		305 0	
13		Purchased Water Telephone/Communications	351	49	400		400	69	420		420	
15	615	Purchased Power	3,714	0	3,714		3,714	510	4,224		4,224	
16		Chemical / Treatment Expense	847	0	0 847		0 847	0	847		0 847	
17		Office Supplies Postage	162	0	162		162	9	171		171	
19	620	O&M Materials/Supplies			0		0	0	0		0	
20		Repairs to Water Plant	1,279 1,906	4,000 0	5,279 1,906		5,279 1,906	1,952 (953)	3,231 953		3,231 953	
21		Contract Svcs - Engineering Contract Svcs - Accounting	2,280	0	2,280		2,280	0	2,280		2,280	•
23	633	Contract Svcs - Legal	5,035	2,965	8,000		8,000	(1,035)	4,000		4,000	
24		Contract Svcs - Management Fees Contract Svcs - Testing	5,599	0	5,599		5,599	(4,378)	1,221		0 1,221	
25 26		Contract Svcs - Testing Contract Svcs - Labor	4,212	0	4,212		4,212	(4,0,0)			4,212	
27	637	Contract Svcs - Billing/Collection			0		0	0			0	
28		Contract Svcs - Meter Reading			0		0	0	0		0	
29 30		Contract Svcs - Other Rental of Building/Real Property			0		0	0	0		0	
31	642	Rental of Equipment			0		0	0	0		0	
32		Small Tools			0		0	0	0		0	
33	648 650	Computer/Electronic Expenses Transportation			0		0	0	0		0	
35	656	Vehicle Insurance	362	0	362		362	(88)			274	
36 37		General Liability Insurance	2,122 558	0			2,122 558	(109) (92)	2,013 466		2,013 466	
38		Workers' Comp Insurance Insurance - Other	404	0			404	(65)			339	
39	660	Public Relations/Advertising			0		0	0	0		4.722	
40					0		0	1,732 116	1,732 116	49	1,732 165	
41		Water Resource Conservation			0		0	0	0	·	0	
43	670	Bad Debt Expense			0		0	0	3,640		3,640	
44 45	671	Cross Connection Control Program Loan Fee	0	0	0		0	3,640 283	283		283	
46	673	Training and Certification	915	0	915		915	(100)			815	
48	675	General Expense	0	0.757			54,223	254 514	254 45,980	49	254 46,029	
49		TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	45,466	8,757	54,223	0	J-4,ZZ3	1 314	1 43,300	1 45	TO,UZ3	
<u> </u>		OTHER REVENUE DEDUCTIONS			1 7	1	7.070	0.470	1045	1	10,154	Depression Evenes
50 51		Depreciation Expense Amortization Expense	7,976	0	7,976		7,976 0	2,178 0	10,154 0	0	10,164	Depreciation Expense
52		Property Tax			0			1,000	1,000		1,000	
53	408.12	Payroll Tax	545	oce	1 000		1,000	(615)			0	
54 55		Other Taxes Oregon Income Tax	615 0	385	1,000		1,000	(615)		0	0	
56		Federal Income Tax	0		0		0	0	0	0	0	
57		TOTAL REVENUE DEDUCTIONS	54,057	9,142		22.241	63,199 4,433	3,077	57,134 (10,654)	49 19,406	57,183 8 752	8.65%*(85,000+12,350+3,833
58		NET OPERATING INCOME	(9,766)	(9,142)	(18,908)	23,341	1 4,433	(005)	1 (10,004)	1 10,400		5.50 to (00,000 · 12,000 + 0,000
59	101	Utility Plant in Service	126,478	0	126,478		126,478	187,793	314,271		314,271	
60	400.4	Less:	91,036	7,976	99,012		99,012	32,291	123,327		123,327	
61 62		Depreciation Reserve Contributions in Aid of Const	91,036	1,310	99,012		33,012	0	0		0	
63	272	Amortization of CIAC			0		0	0			0	
64	281	Accumulated Deferred Income Tax	35,442	(7,976)	27,466	0	27,466	155,502		0	190,944	
65 66		Net Utility Plant Plus: (working capital)	30,442	(1,310)	1 27,400			1				
67	151	Materials and Supplies Inventory	12,350	0			12,350	0				M&S Inventory
68 69		Working Cash (Total Op Exp /12) TOTAL RATE BASE	3,789 51,581	730 (7,246)		0	4,519 44,335	44 155,546		0	207,127	Working Capitol
70		Rate of Return	-18.93%	(1,240)	-42.65%		10.00%		-5.14%		8.65%	
الت.											+	

Metolius Meadows UW 113 SUMMARY OF ADJUSTMENTS

	501	MIMARY OF ADJUSTMENTS		04-55		
			Toot Voor	Staff Adjustments		
			Per	to Rev Req		
			Application		Results	Reason
		REVENUES				
1	461.1	Res/Comm Water Sales	44,291	0	44,291	No adjustment from Company's application
2	461.2	Commercial Water Sales	0	0	0	
3	465	Irrigation - Non GC	0	0	0	
4		Irrigation - GC	0	0	0	
5	471	Misc. Revenues	0	0	0	
6_		Special Contract (System Standby)	0	2,189	2,189	= Revenue Required for 25 lots to meet allocated expenses.
7		TOTAL REVENUE	44,291	2,189	46,480	
8 9		ODEDATING EVDENCES				
10	601	OPERATING EXPENSES	15,232	(4.040)	14 192	22% allocation of wages (\$2.702 applied to POA Operational Accessment)
11		Salaries and Wages - Employees Salaries and Wages - Officers	13,232	(1,049) 0	14,103	33% allocation of wages (\$2,792 applied to POA Operational Assessment)
12		Employee Pension & Benefits	488	(183)	-	33% allocation of simple IRA for System Operator (\$60 applied to POA Operational Assessment)
13		Purchased Water	0	(100,	0	ob / dilocation of diliplo harrior dystein operator (400 applied to 1 0/1 operational / todoscinenty
14		Telephone/Communications	351	69	3	33% allocation (includes \$351 cost for line to pump house and low-pressure alarm pager)
15		Purchased Power	3,714	510	8	Actual total test year expense + 2.5% COLA adjustment
16	618	Chemical / Treatment Expense	. 0	0	0	
17	619	Office Supplies	847	0	847	33% allocation of total Association office supplies expense
18		Postage	162	9	171	33% allocation of total Association postage expense plus additional for increase in postage rates
19		O&M Materials/Supplies	0	0	0	
20		Repairs to Water Plant	1,279	1,952		2005-2006 expense amount adjusted upward for inflation
21		Contract Svcs - Engineering	1,906	(953)		2-year amortization of \$1,906 Engineering expense for anticipated system upgrade
22		Contract Svcs - Accounting	2,280	0		33% allocation of \$6,840 total Contract Accounting Expense
23		Contract Svcs - Legal Contract Svcs - Management Fees	5,035 0	(1,035) 0	4,000	3-year amortization of \$8,000 request for anticipated legal expenses
24 25		Contract Svcs - Management Fees Contract Svcs - Testing	5,599	(4,378)	-	5-year amortization of actual testing cost of \$5,927 adjusted upward for inflation
26		Contract Svcs - Festing	4,212	(4,570)		Same as test year (Substitute System Operator plus other labor)
27		Contract Svcs - Billing/Collection	0	0	7,212	Carrie as test year (Cubstitute Cystern Operator plus outer labor)
28		Contract Svcs - Meter Reading	ő	0	0	
29		Contract Svcs - Other	Ō	Ō	ō	
30		Rental of Building/Real Property	Ō	0	0	
31		Rental of Equipment	0	0	0	
32		Small Tools	0	0	0	
33	648	Computer/Electronic Expenses	. 0	0	0	
34	650	Transportation	0	0	0	
35		Vehicle Insurance	362	(88)	274	33% allocation of actual cost of \$823.15
36	657	General Liability Insurance	2,122	(109)		33% allocation of \$6,041 actual cost
37		Workers' Comp Insurance	558	(92)		33% allocation of \$558 WC for 2 Employees (\$92 applied to POA Operational Assessment)
38		Insurance - Other	404	(65)		33% allocation of \$1,016 actual cost for blanket policy
39		Public Relations/Advertising	0	0	0	
40		Amortz. of Rate Case	0	1,732		Moved from Legal and amortized 3 years
41		Gross Revenue Fee (PUC)	0	116 0	116	Calculated
42 43		Water Resource Conservation Bad Debt Expense	0	0	0	
44		Cross Connection Control Program	0	3,640		Cost for testing Backflow Devices
45	0/1	Loan Fee	0	283	283	Cost for lesting backnow befores
46	673	Training and Certification	915	(100)		Projected cost for Certified Operater Training (\$161 applied to POA Operational Assessment)
48		General Expense	0	254		OAWU Dues, licenses
49		TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSE	45,466	514		

		OTHER REVENUE DEDUCTIONS				
50		Depreciation Expense	7,976	2,178		Depreciation Expense on adjusted Plant
51		Amortization Expense	0	0	0	
52		Property Tax	0	1,000		33% of Property Tax Assessment for Metolius Meadows
53		Payroll Tax	0	0	0	
54		Other Taxes	615	(615)	0	Moved to Property Tax account
55		Oregon Income Tax	0	0	0	
56	409.1	Federal Income Tax	<u>0</u>	2.077	0 57.124	-
57 59		TOTAL REVENUE DEDUCTIONS NET OPERATING INCOME	54,057	3,077	57,134	-
58		NET OPERATING INCOME	(9,766)	(000)	(10,654)	
59	101	Utility Plant in Service	126.478	187 703	31/1 271	Upward adjustment includes plant added in 2006 + plant omitted in application
60	101	Less:	120,476	107,753	314,271	Opward adjustment includes plant added in 2000 + plant officed in application
61	108 1	Depreciation Reserve	91,036	32 291	123.327	Actual Accumulated Depreciation on Adj Plant (Uses NARUC depreciation schedules)
62		Contributions in Aid of Const	0	02,231	120,027	
63		Amortization of CIAC	Ö	0	0	
64		Accumulated Deferred Income Tax	ő	0	ő	
65		Net Utility Plant	ŏ			Actual Net plant
66		Plus: (working capital)		0	0	
67	151	Materials and Supplies Inventory	12,350	0	12,350	Actual M&S Inventory per documentation provided by MMPOA
68		Working Cash (Total Op Exp /12)	3,789	44		_1/12 of Operating Expenses
69		TOTAL RATE BASE	51,581	155,546	207,127	

	Ave mo bill \$23.01	Proposed Staff Rates \$25.40 Base \$25.40 Tier 1 ber 100 of up to 417 of \$1.50 Variable 1 \$3.87 Tier 2 per 100 of above 417 of \$1.50 Variable 2 \$	Residential Mater Size rates Present Factors Rate AVWVA	Commercial 80.00 1 \$25.40 1 3 1" \$0.00 2 \$50.80 2.5 0 1 5 0 1.5" \$0.00 6 \$126.99 5 0 2" \$0.00 6 \$20.318 8 0 0 3" \$0.00 15 \$380.96 15 0 4" \$0.00 25 \$634.94 25	\$40,839 check	Non Residential Customers Mo Flat Rate Pool 1" Meter \$50.80 Equine Facility No Meter \$50.80 Area \$50.80 Area Month \$152.39			Consumption - Residential 1, 692,019 (per application page 17) 417 640,005 37,82% 7.48 1,052,014 62.18% 3117 gallons		
152 Total Residential Lots 16.45% 25 Undeveloped 83.55% 127 Developed or Under Construction	\$62,829 \$61,000 from Res.	= \$62,829 theck	Current Monthly Proposed Mo Total Annual Revenue at Base Rate Revenues Current Rates \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	26.6% PERCENT 26.6%	\$21,990 PER 100 CUBIC FEET Consumption Up to 417 cf	= \$0.928 5000 FEET Above 417 81.526	16,920	1,692,019 Proposed Consumption per Application 0 - base consumpt (Tree water x cust x 12 morths) 1692,019 divided by unit of measure 16,920	Proposed Percent Rates Increase 839.71 62.60%	\$50.80 #DIV/0!
Metolius Meadows UW 113 UW 113 RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL RATE DESIGN 2 TIER	Proposed Revenues of: Base/Commodity Split Variable Rate Proposed Rev \$62,829	Base Rate Proposed Rev \$62,829	BASE RATE Number of Currect	128 Commercial FLAT RATE 5/8" or 3/4" 1" 15" 0 2" 0 3" 4"	TOTALS 131	COMMODITY	divided by Rate \$1.53 divided by	\$21,990 \$2.45 37.82% Tier 1 % of total Variable Rate	1,692,019 Pro 0 - 58	Average Rates Current \$24.42	1" \$0.00

Metolius Meadows UW 113

RATE IMPACT 2 TIER

Commodity Rate

Tier 1 Tier 2

Per 100 cf Up to 417 cf Per 100 cf above 417 cf \$0.93 \$1.53

						Average Use						Highest TY Use		
	Total	Current	Mo Rate	\$21.00	\$21.42	\$24.42	\$29.75	\$37.25	\$44.75	\$52.25	\$59.75	\$68.54	\$82.25	\$97.25
	Current	Base	Rate	\$21.00	\$21.00	\$21.00	\$21.00	\$21.00	\$21.00	\$21.00	\$21.00	\$21.00	\$21.00	\$21.00
	Average	Monthly	Variable \$	\$0.00	\$0.42	\$3.42	\$8.75	\$16.25	\$23.75	\$31.25	\$38.75	\$47.54	\$61.25	\$76.25
	Total	Annual	Variable \$	\$0.00	\$5.00	\$41.09	\$105.00	\$195.00	\$285.00	\$375.00	\$465.00	\$570.48	\$735.00	\$915.00
	Tier 2	Variable \$	\$1.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$60.00	\$150.00	\$240.00	\$330.00	\$420.00	\$525.48	\$690.00	\$870.00
	Tier 1	Variable \$	\$0.50	\$0.00	\$5.00	\$41.09	\$45.00	\$45.00	\$45.00	\$45.00	\$45.00	\$45.00	\$45.00	\$45.00
	Usage	< 10 =	0006	0	0	0	4,000	10,000	16,000	22,000	28,000	35,032	46,000	58.000
	Usage	>5000 base	0006>	0	1,000	8,219	000'6	000'6	000'6	000'6	000'6	000'6	000'6	000.6
	Usage	Charged at	Variable	0	1,000	8,219	13,000	19,000	25,000	31,000	37,000	44,032	55,000	67.000
	2000	Included	In Base	2000	2000	5000	5000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
ALEG		Annual	Usage	0	000'9	13,219	18,000	24,000	30,000	36,000	42,000	49,032	000'09	72.000
CURRENI KAIES		Monthly	Usage	0	200	1102	1500	2000	2500	3000	3500	4086	2000	0009

					Average Use						Highest TY Use		
	Percentage	Difference	20.94%	42.58%	62.60%	53.93%	43.42%	36.43%	31.45%	27.71%	24.38%	20.60%	17.69%
Difference	of Current	& Proposed	\$4.40	\$9.12	\$15.29	\$16.04	\$16.17	\$16.30	\$16.43	\$16.56	\$16.71	\$16.95	\$17.20
Total	Proposed	Mo Rate	\$25.40	\$30.54	\$39.71	\$45.79	\$53.42	\$61.05	\$68.68	\$76.31	\$85.25	\$99.20	\$114.45
Proposed	Base (No	Allowance)						\$25.40					
Average	Monthly	Variable \$	\$0.00	\$5.14	\$14.32	\$20.40	\$28.02	\$35.65	\$43.28	\$50.91	\$59.85	\$73.80	\$89.06
Total	Annual		_		3800			\$427.84					\$1,068.68
Tier 2	Variable \$	\$1.53	\$0.00	\$15.26	\$125.40	\$198.35	\$289.90	\$381.45	\$473.00	\$564.54	\$671.84	\$839.19	\$1,022.28
Tier 1	Variable \$	\$0.93	\$0.00	\$46.40	"	\$46.40		٠,				-	٠,
Usage	above	5000 cf	0	1000	8,219	13,000	19,000	25,000	31,000	37,000	44,032	55,000	67,000
Usage	Up to	5000 cf	0	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
KAIES	Annual	Usage	0	000'9				30,000					
PROPUSED RAIES	Monthly	Usage	0	200	1102	1500	2000	2500	3000	3500	4086	2000	0009

Higher increases for lower usage result from no allowance in base rate. Test Year amounts include 5,000 per year cf in Base Rate.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

UW 113

I certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all parties of record in this proceeding by delivering a copy in person or by mailing a copy properly addressed with first class postage prepaid, or by electronic mail pursuant to OAR 860-13-0070, to the following parties or attorneys of parties.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 27th day of July, 2006.

Stephanie Andrus

Assistant Attorney General

Of Attorneys for Public Utility Commission's Staff

1162 Court Street NE

Salem, Oregon 97301-4096

Telephone: (503) 378-6322

UW 113 Service List (Parties)

BLACK HELTERLINE LLP	
JIM BAUMGARTNER	805 SW BROADWAY - STE 1900 PORTLAND OR 97205 jmb@bhlaw.com
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
JASON W JONES ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL	REGULATED UTILITY & BUSINESS SECTION 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 jason.w.jones@state.or.us
METOLIUS MEADOWS PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION	
KEVIN ADAMS PRESIDENT	PO BOX 120 CAMP SHERMAN OR 97730 kadams@mtngroup.net