

February 28, 2006

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Attn: Filing Center 550 Capitol Street, NE Suite 215 PO Box 2148 Salem, Oregon 97308

Re: Docket Nos. UM 1206/UF 4218

Dear Filing Center:

Enclosed please find the staff Response to the Utility Reform Project's Application for Reconsideration of OPUC Order No. 05-1250.

Thank you for your attention.

Very truly yours,

Stephanie S. Andrus Assistant Attorney General

Enc.

c. Service list

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION			
2	OF OREGON			
3	UF 4218/UM 1206			
4	In the Matter of			
5	PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY Application for an Order	STAFF RESPONSE TO APPLICATION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF OPUC ORDER NO.		
6 7	Authorizing the Issuance of 62,500,000 Shares of New Common Stock Pursuant to ORS 757.410 et seq. (UF 4218)	05-1250 BY UTILITY REFORM PROJECT		
8	and			
9	In the Matter of STEPHEN FORBES			
10	COOPER, LLC, as Disbursing Agent, on behalf of the RESERVE FOR DISPUTED CLAIMS Application for an Order Allowing			
11	the Reserve for Disputed Claims to Acquire the Power to Exercise Substantial Influence			
12	over the Affairs and Policies of Portland General Electric Company Pursuant to ORS			
13 14	757.511. (UM 1206)			
	The Hillity Deferms Ducient ("HDD") made	wests reconsideration of ODUC Order No. 05		
15	The Utility Reform Project ("URP") requests reconsideration of OPUC Order No. 05-			
16	1250 in which the Commission authorized a Disputed Claims Reserve ("DCR") created under			
17	Enron Corp.'s ("Enron") Bankruptcy Plan to hold more than five percent of PGE stock for the			
18	purpose of distributing the stock to Enron creditors. URP argues that the Commission erred in			
19	finding that transferring ownership of PGE away from Enron to Enron's creditors "is in the			
20	public interest and will serve the public utility's customers in the public interest" because the			
21	transfer "will have the effect of stopping a \$93 million-per-year rate reduction that otherwise			
22	would go into effect, via the SB 408 automatic adjustment clause, as of January 1, 2006." (URP			
23	Application for Reconsideration at 5.) Because this tax issue could have been raised prior to the			
24				
25	¹ The Commission addresses two requests in Or	der No. 05-1250. The Commission authorized		
26	PGE to issue new stock under ORS 757.410 <i>et seq</i> . and authorized the DCR to own more than five percent of the stock under ORS 757.511.			
Page	Page 1 - STAFF RESPONSE TO APPLICATION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF OPUC ORDER			

Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 (503) 378-6322 / Fax: (503) 378-5300

NO. 05-1250 BY UTILITY REFORM PROJECT

1	time the Commission issued Order No. 05-1250 but was not, the Commission should not allow		
2	reconsideration.		
3	The criteria for granting reconsideration are set forth at OAR 860-014-0095(3), which		
4	provides,		
5			
6	(3)	The Commission may grant an application for rehearing or reconsideration if the applicant shows that there is:	
7 8		(a) New evidence which is essential to the decision and which was unavailable and not reasonably discoverable before issuance of the order;	
9		(b) A change in the law or agency policy since the date the order was issued, relating to a matter essential to the decision;	
10		(c) An error of law or fact in the order which is essential to the decision;	
11		(d) Good cause for further examination of a matter essential to the decision.	
12	URP a	asserts the order contains errors of fact of law and that there is good cause for	
13	further examination and thus, that reconsideration is warranted under subsections (c) and (d) of		
14	the rule. Specifically, URP argues that when implemented, Senate Bill 408, which was adopted		
15	by the Oregon legislative assembly in 2005, would require the removal of charges for taxes from		
16	PGE rates if PGE is still owned by Enron. URP argues that therefore, separating PGE from		
17	Enron does not benefit PGE ratepayers, but instead costs them approximately \$93 million per		
18	year for the foreseeable future. (Application 4-5.) URP goes on to assert that in light of the		
19	potential tax benefit stemming from application of SB 408, "OPUC Order No. 05-1250 is		
20	premised upon on an error of fact – that approval of the PGE and Cooper applications will be in		
21	the public interest and will serve the public utility's customers in the public interest, which this		
22	Commission has interpreted as a requirement that the application show a benefit for ratepayers or		
23	at least not cause them any harm." (Application 5.)		
24	Althou	ugh URP relies on OAR 860-015-0095(3)(c) and (d) as authority for its	
25	reconsideration request, the request is properly addressed under OAR 860-015-0095(3)(a) and		
26	(b). URP i	s asking the Commission to examine an issue that could have been raised prior to	
Page	2 - STAFF I	RESPONSE TO APPLICATION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF OPUC ORDER	

NO. 05-1250 BY UTILITY REFORM PROJECT

1	the time the Commission issued OPUC Order No. 05-1250, but was not. OAR 860-015-
2	0095(3)(a) and (b) place limits on such requests. Reconsideration is allowed to consider an issue
3	not raised prior to the issuance of an order only when a party reasonably could not have been
4	expected to raise the issue because evidence was not available or because the order pre-dated a
5	change in law or policy. These circumstances are not present in this case.
6	The governor signed SB 408 into law on September 2, 2005. On September 15, 2005, the
7	Commission promulgated temporary rules implementing SB 408. The record in this case
8	closed on October 27, 2005, more than one month after the Commission adopted the temporary
9	rules implementing SB 408. Further, the Commission did not issue a final order in this matter
10	until December 14, 2005, almost three months after adoption of the temporary rule and more
11	than three months after SB 408 became law. Because URP reasonably could have raised the SB
12	408 issue prior to the time the Commission issued Order No. 05-1250, reconsideration is not
13	appropriate under subsections (a) and (b) of OAR 860-014-0095.
14	URP does not attempt to reconcile the limitations found in OAR 860-014-0095(3)(a) and
15	(b) with its belated arguments regarding the tax implications of Order No. 05-1250. Instead,
16	URP stands the requirements of OAR 860-015-0095(3) on their head by arguing that the
17	Commission's order contains a factual error because it contains no reference to the tax issue,
18	notwithstanding the fact that no party raised it. However, URP cannot circumvent the limitations
19	on reconsideration found in subsections (a) and (b) of 860-014-0095(3) by arguing the
20	Commission's failure to consider the tax implications of its orders is an error of fact and of law.
21	Furthermore, URP's argument is predicated on the unsupported assumption that Enron
22	will continue to own PGE if the Commission rejects the applications at issue in Order No. 05-
23	1250. The record is clear that Enron is seeking to divest itself of PGE, either through a sale or
24	distribution of stock. The court-adopted bankruptcy plan does not provide Enron with the option
25	to retain control of PGE. There is no basis to assume that Enron will retain control of PGE into
26	

1	the indefinite future even if the Commission rejects the applications at issue in Order No. 05-	
2	1250.	
3	Similarly, even if the Commission allowed reconsideration to consider URP's arguments,	
4	there is no evidence on the record regarding the tax issue. The Commission can not lawfully find	
5	a net benefit to PGE customers of denying the application because of the tax effects discussed by	
6	URP, because such a finding would not be supported by any, let alone substantial, evidence in	
7	the record.	
8	Finally, Although URP relies on OAR 860-014-0095(3)(d) in support of its motion, URP	
9	offers no argument as to why there is good cause to allow reconsideration to further examine the	
10	tax issue it belatedly raises. For the reasons discussed above, there is not good cause to allow	
11	reconsideration.	
12	CONCLUSION	
13	URP's request for reconsideration should be denied.	
14	DATED 1: 20th 1 CE 1 2006	
15	DATED this 28 th day of February 2006.	
16	Respectfully submitted,	
17	HARDY MYERS Attorney General	
18	Attorney General	
19	/s/Stephanie S. Andrus	
20	Stephanie S. Andrus, #92512 Assistant Attorney General	
21	Of Attorneys for Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon	
22	Othity Commission of Oregon	
23		
24		
25		
26		

Page 4 - STAFF RESPONSE TO APPLICATION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF OPUC ORDER NO. 05-1250 BY UTILITY REFORM PROJECT

2

I certify that on February 28, 2006, I served the foregoing upon the parties hereto by
electronic mail and by sending a true, exact and full copy by regular mail, postage prepaid or by
shuttle mail to the parties accepting paper service.

JIM ABRAHAMSON		SUSAN ANDERSON
7 COMMUNITY ACTION PO BOX 7964 SALEM OR 97303-020 jim@cado-oregon.org	DIRECTORS OF OREGON	CITY OF PORTLAND OFFICE / SUSTAINABLE DEV 721 NW 9TH AVE SUITE 350 PORTLAND OR 97209-3447 susananderson@ci.portland.or.us
9 JULIE BATES		VENDEROOM
9 JULIE BATES BONNEVILLE POWER	RADMINISTRATION	KEN BEESON EUGENE WATER & ELECTRIC BOARD
10 905 NE 11TH AVE PORTLAND OR 97208		500 EAST FOURTH AVENUE EUGENE OR 97440-2148
11 jabates@bpa.gov		ken.beeson@eweb.eugene.or.us
LOWREY R BROWN CITIZENS' UTILITY BC 610 SW BROADWAY,	OARD OF OREGON	J LAURENCE CABLE CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT ET AL 1001 SW 5TH AVE STE 2000
PORTLAND OR 97205 lowrey@oregoncub.org	j	PORTLAND OR 97204-1136
14		·
BRYAN CONWAY PO BOX 2148		JOAN COTE OREGON ENERGY COORDINATORS ASSOC.
SALEM OR 97309-214		2585 STATE ST NE
bryan.conway@state.o	r.us	SALEM OR 97301 cotej@mwvcaa.org
17 MELINDA J DAVISON DAVISON VAN CLEVE		J JEFFREY DUDLEY CONFIDENTIAL PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC
18 333 SW TAYLOR, STE	. 400	121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC1300
PORTLAND OR 97204 mail@dvclaw.com		PORTLAND OR 97204 jay.dudley@pgn.com
19		
20 JASON EISDORFER CITIZENS' UTILITY BO		JAMES F FELL STOEL RIVES LLP
610 SW BROADWAY S 21 PORTLAND OR 97205		900 SW 5TH AVE STE 2600
PORTLAND OR 97205 jason@oregoncub.org		PORTLAND OR 97204-1268 jffell@stoel.com
22		
23 ANN L FISHER AF LEGAL & CONSULT	TING SERVICES	ANDREA FOGUE LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES
24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 2		PO BOX 928 1201 COURT ST NE STE 200
energlaw@aol.com	0-3703	SALEM OR 97308
25		afogue@orcities.org

26

		·
1	DAVID E HAMILTON	CHRIS JORDAN
1	NORRIS & STEVENS	CITY OF WEST LINN
	621 SW MORRISON ST STE 800	22500 SALAMO ROAD
2	PORTLAND OR 97205-3825	WEST LINN OR 97068
	davidh@norrstev.com	cjordan@ci.west-linn.or.us
3		
,	DAVID KOOCLED CONFIDENTIAL	CECEEDEY M KDONICK I C7
4	DAVID KOOGLER CONFIDENTIAL ENRON CORPORATION	GEOFFREY M KRONICK LC7 BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION
	PO BOX 1188	PO BOX 3621
5	HOUSTON TX 77251-1188	PORTLAND OR 97208-3621
	david.koogler@enron.com	gmkronick@bpa.gov
6		J
	GORDON MCDONALD	DANIEL W MEEK
7	PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT	DANIEL W MEEK ATTORNEY AT LAW
, , ,	825 NE MULTNOMAH STE 800	10949 SW 4TH AVE
8	PORTLAND OR 97232	PORTLAND OR 97219
3	gordon.mcdonald@pacificorp.com	dan@meek.net
9	CUDICTY MONEON	MICHAEL MANODOAN CONFIDENTIAL
-	CHRISTY MONSON LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES	MICHAEL M MORGAN CONFIDENTIAL TONKON TORP LLP
10	LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES 1201 COURT ST. NE STE. 200	888 SW 5TH AVE STE 1600
10	1201 COURT ST. NE STE. 200 SALEM OR 97301	PORTLAND OR 97204-2099
11	cmonson@orcities.org	mike@tonkon.com
11	S. S	
12	PGE- OPUC FILINGS	TIMOTHY V RAMIS
14	RATES & REGULATORY AFFAIRS	RAMIS CREW CORRIGAN LLP
12	PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY	1727 NW HOYT STREET
13	121 SW SALMON STREET, 1WTC0702	PORTLAND OR 97239
4.	PORTLAND OR 97204	timr@rcclawyers.com
14	pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com	
15	LAWRENCE REICHMAN	CRAIG SMITH
15	LAWRENCE REICHMAN PERKINS COIE LLP	BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION
1.	1120 NW COUCH ST - 10 FL	PO BOX 3621L7
16	PORTLAND OR 97209-4128	PORTLAND OR 97208-3621
	Ireichman@perkinscoie.com	cmsmith@bpa.gov
17		- 11 3
	MITCHELL TAYLOR CONFIDENTIAL	RANDALL C TOSH
18	ENRON CORPORATION	CITY OF SALEM
	PO BOX 1188	555 LIBERTY STREET SE, ROOM 205
19	HOUSTON TX 77251-1188	SALEM OR 97301
	mitchell.taylor@enron.com	rtosh@cityofsalem.net
20		
	BENJAMIN WALTERS CONFIDENTIAL	
21	CITY OF PORTAND - OFFICE OF CITY ATTORNEY	
41	1221 SW 4TH AVE - RM 430	
22	PORTLAND OR 97204	
22	bwalters@ci.portland.or.us	
23		
24		Neoma A. Lane
		Neoma A. Lane
25		Legal Secretary
		-
26		Department of Justice
		Regulated Utility & Business Section