Miller Nash LLP www.millernash.com 3400 U.S. Bancorp Tower 111 S.W. Fifth Avenue Portland, OR 97204-3699 (503) 224-5858 (503) 224-0155 fax

4400 Two Union Square 601 Union Street Seattle, WA 98101-1367 (206) 622-8484 (206) 622-7485 fax

500 E. Broadway, Suite 400 Post Office Box 694 Vancouver, WA 98666-0694 (360) 699-4771 (360) 694-6413 fax

Hong N. Huynh hong.huynh@millernash.com (503) 205-2485 direct line (503) 205-8532 direct fax

June 17, 2004

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

Ms. Carol Hulse Oregon Public Utility Commission Administrative Hearings Division 550 Capitol Street N.E., Suite 215 Salem, Oregon 97301

Subject: UM 1083

Dear Ms. Hulse:

In response to an informal inquiry from Staff, RCC Minnesota, Inc. ("RCC"), will take this opportunity to explain the difference between the FCC-licensed Cellular Geographic Service Area ("CGSA") shown on the enclosed map and the requested ETC service area boundary shown on the map provided as Exhibit A to RCC's Application dated May 9, 2003. Staff noted that the southwestern portion of the requested ETC service area shown on the May 2003 map is characterized by cloud-like curves, whereas the corresponding boundaries shown on the enclosed map are largely comprised of straight lines.

The straight lines on the enclosed map reflect the fact that, while RCC's predicted 32 dBu contour extends across its market boundary in some areas, RCC's CGSA extends only as far as its cellular market boundary in the areas in question. The May 2003 map erroneously included areas beyond the market boundary within its predicted 32 dBu contour. The FCC's rules provide certain enumerated conditions under which a cellular provider's CGSA can extend into a neighboring licensee's market, and none of those conditions are present here. Accordingly, the CGSA boundaries shown on the enclosed map are correct and should supersede the information provided on the May 2003 map.

Very truly yours,

Hong N. Huynh

