ORDER NO. 19-203

ENTERED Jun 06 2019

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

# **OF OREGON**

ARB 1185, ARB 118(1), ARB 665(8)

In the Matter of

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF,

ORDER

Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments Submitted Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

DISPOSITION: STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED

At its Public Meeting on June 6, 2019, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon adopted Staff's recommendation in this matter. The Staff Report with the recommendation is attached as Appendix A.

OP OREGON

BY THE COMMISSION:

**Nolan Moser**Chief Administrative Law Judge
Administrative Hearings Division

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.

ITEM NO. CA1

# PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF REPORT PUBLIC MEETING DATE: June 6, 2019

REGULAR	CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATE N/A
DATE:	May 23, 2019
TO:	Public Utility Commission
FROM:	Kay Marinos
THROUGH:	Jason Eisdorfer and Bryan Conway
SUBJECT:	OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve the new negotiated interconnection agreement and related amendment as well as the amendment to a previously approved agreement listed below, with the agreement and amendments to be considered legally enforceable on the date of Commission approval.

#### DISCUSSION:

47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e) require that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(4), the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. See 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(2).

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto is not legally enforceable until approved by a state commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e). Accordingly, although the contracting parties may state in the agreement that each will abide by the

ARB Agreements May 23, 2019 Page 2

agreement prior to its approval by the Commission, the legally enforceable date under 47 U.S.C. Section 252 of any submitted agreement or amendment is the date the Commission approves it.

Staff has reviewed the following new agreement and related amendment, as well as an amendment to another previously approved agreement, submitted for Commission approval:

Docket	Parties to the Agreement or Amendment
ARB 1185 & ARB 1185(1)	Teliax, Inc. and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink
ARB 665(8)	Level 3 Communications, LLC and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink

Staff recommends approval of the agreement and amendments. Staff finds that the agreement and amendments do not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreement and amendments.

## PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

Approve the new negotiated interconnection agreement and amendments listed above.

CA - ARBs 6-6-19