

ORDER NO. 16 206

ENTERED MAY 31 2016

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

UE 301

In the Matter of

IDAHO POWER COMPANY,

ORDER

2015 Annual Power Cost Update.

**DISPOSITION: STIPULATION ADOPTED; ANNUAL POWER COST UPDATE APPROVED**

In this order, we adopt the stipulation of the parties and approve Idaho Power Company's Annual Power Cost Update (APCU). The APCU updates the company's net power supply expenses and results in new rates to go into effect June 1, 2016.

**I. INTRODUCTION**

In Order No. 08-238, we approved an automatic adjustment clause for Idaho Power that allows the company to annually update its net power supply expense included in rates. The APCU is comprised of two components: an October Update and a March Forecast. The October Update contains the company's forecasted net power supply expense reflected on a normalized and unit basis for an April through March test period. The March Forecast contains the company's net power supply expenses based on updated actual forecast conditions. The APCU mechanism allows for the rates from the October Update and March Forecast to become effective on June 1 of each year.

**II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

On October 23, 2015, Idaho Power filed testimony and exhibits for its 2016 APCU, including the October Update which estimated what the normal power supply expenses would be for the 12-month test year, April 2016 through March 2017. The company subsequently filed the March Forecast on March 25, 2016.

Following discovery, the submission of reply testimony to the March Forecast and settlement discussion, the company, the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon (CUB) and the Commission Staff filed a stipulation, attached as Appendix A, settling all of the outstanding issues between the parties. The stipulation was supported by a joint explanatory brief.

*APC 16-17*

### III. THE 2016 APCU

Idaho Power's 2016 October Update projects a cost per unit of \$24.08 per megawatt-hour (MWh), an increase of \$0.64 per MWh over the previous year's October Update.<sup>1</sup> The update addressed the following variables: fueling prices, transportation costs, heat rates, planned and forced outage rates, forecast of normalized load and normalized sales, contracts for wholesale power and power purchases and sales, forward price curve, PURPA contract expense, and the Oregon state allocation factor. In the 2015 October Update, Idaho Power also included a proposed allocation method, which the company represented as being consistent with the revenue spread methodology we approved in Order No. 10-191.<sup>2</sup>

Idaho Power's 2016 March Forecast calculates a cost per unit of \$25.56 per MWh, \$0.56 per MWh more than the previous year's \$25.00 per MWh per unit cost. In the forecast, Idaho Power updated its forecast for the April 2016 through March 2017 water year and addressed the following:

1. Fuel prices were updated to reflect changes in forecast natural gas and coal costs. The increase in the per-unit cost of the generation for the Jim Bridger and Valmy power plants was attributed to higher operating costs spread over lower production volumes.<sup>3</sup> Oil, Handling, and Administrative and General (OHAG) expenses were removed from the AURORA model and included as a fixed-cost input consistent with the October Update. Forecast natural gas prices decreased as a result of lower demand and higher gas supply nationally.<sup>4</sup>
2. The forecast for normalized load decreased due to a revised load forecast by a large industrial customer that occurred between the October and March filings.<sup>5</sup>
3. The updated hydro forecast reflected a 24 percent expected increase over last year's streamflows into Brownlee Reservoir, although still remaining below the 30-year average.<sup>6</sup> Although hydro generation was greater than the previous year's modeled generation, the increase was not more substantial because of the decreased flows coming from the upper Snake Basin.<sup>7</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Idaho Power/100, Noe/13.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* at 16-17; Idaho Power/107, Noe.

<sup>3</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/ 4-6.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.* at 4-5.

<sup>5</sup> *Id.* at 6-7.

<sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 7.

<sup>7</sup> *Id.* at 7-8.

4. PURPA expenses increased due to updated contract values, despite a slight decrease in total generation compared to the forecast prepared for the October Update.<sup>8</sup>

Combining the 2015 October Update and 2016 March Forecast results in an overall proposed combined rate increase of approximately 0.71 percent or \$0.4 million.<sup>9</sup> Idaho Power proposes to spread the revenue requirement changes among the various customer classes in conformance with Order No. 10-191.<sup>10</sup>

Following filing of testimony and settlement discussions, the parties reached a settlement of all issues. On May 11, 2016, the parties filed a stipulation and joint explanatory brief.

#### **IV. THE STIPULATION**

The parties agree that we should adopt Idaho Power's 2016 APCU subject to certain changes in the current filing, and the adoption of conditions regarding the 2017 APCU filing. Specifically, the parties agree that:

1. Idaho Power's requested revenue requirement increase of \$393,076 should be reduced by \$151,411. This reduction represents a compromise between the stipulating parties related to the treatment of modeled OHAG expenses at the company's coal-fired generation units.<sup>11</sup>
2. Idaho Power's allocation methodology conforms to that adopted by the Commission in Order No. 10-191.<sup>12</sup>
3. The rates agreed to by the terms of the stipulation should be made effective on June 1, 2016, as permitted by the APCU mechanism.<sup>13</sup>
4. Idaho Power's 2017 APCU filing will model OHAG using the hybrid methodology that includes in the AURORA model, a per-unit cost intended to reflect the amount of OHAG expense driven by the company's dispatch of each plant.<sup>14</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> *Id.* at 6.

<sup>9</sup> *Id.* at 1.

<sup>10</sup> *Id.*

<sup>11</sup> Stipulation at ¶ 23 and exhibits 1-5 thereto.

<sup>12</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 26.

<sup>13</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 27.

<sup>14</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 24.

5. After the initial 2017 APCU filing, the stipulating parties will hold workshops to discuss the hybrid model filed by Idaho Power and the treatment of expenses related to the company's proportionate share of OHAG resulting from its ownership partners' dispatch at each plant.<sup>15</sup>

#### V. DISCUSSION

We find that the stipulation is supported by competent evidence in the record, appropriately resolves the issues in the case, and results in just and reasonable rates.<sup>16</sup> Both Staff and CUB conducted a thorough investigation of the company's testimony and exhibits, served numerous data requests, participated in settlement conferences and filed responsive testimony. Staff and all parties entered into the stipulation that resolves all relevant issues in this proceeding and have each executed the joint explanatory brief. No person has filed an objection to the stipulation.

We have examined the stipulation, the joint explanatory brief, and the pertinent record in the case. We find that the stipulation is supported by the record, which includes the company's testimony and exhibits describing the detailed calculations supporting both the 2015 October Update and the 2016 March Forecast, Staff and CUB's testimony thereon and the stipulated modifications to the March 2016 Forecast. We therefore conclude that the resulting rates are just and reasonable for resolution of the issues in this docket. The stipulation should be adopted in its entirety.

#### VI. ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The stipulation between Idaho Power Company, the Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon, and the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon, attached as Appendix A, is adopted.
2. Idaho Power must file revised rate schedules consistent with this order to be effective no earlier than June 1, 2016.

---

<sup>15</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 25.

<sup>16</sup> See, e.g., *In the Matter of PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power 2010 Transition Adjustment Mechanism*, Docket No. UE 207, Order No. 09-432 at 6 (Oct 30, 2009).

3. Idaho Power's 2017 Annual Power Cost Update filing shall conform to the terms of the stipulation.

Made, entered, and effective MAY 31 2016.



John Savage  
Commissioner



Stephen M. Bloom  
Commissioner



A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order by filing a petition for review with the Court of Appeals in compliance with ORS 183.480 through 183.484.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

UE 301

4 In the Matter of  
5 IDAHO POWER COMPANY  
6 2016 ANNUAL POWER COST UPDATE

## **STIPULATION**

8        This Stipulation resolves all issues among the parties to Idaho Power Company's ("Idaho  
9        Power" or "Company") 2016 Annual Power Cost Update ("APCU") filed pursuant to Order No.  
10      08-238.<sup>1</sup> The APCU updates the Company's net power supply expense and results in new  
11      rates, which the mechanism permits to go into effect June 1, 2016.

## PARTIES

13           1. The parties to this Stipulation are Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon  
14       ("Staff"), the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon ("CUB"), and Idaho Power (together, the  
15       "Stipulating Parties").

## BACKGROUND

17       2. Pursuant to Order No. 08-238, Idaho Power annually updates its net power supply  
18 expense included in rates through an automatic adjustment clause, the APCU. The APCU is  
19 comprised of two components—an "October Update" and a "March Forecast." The October  
20 Update contains the Company's forecasted net power supply expense reflected on a normalized  
21 per unit basis for an April through March test period. The March Forecast contains the  
22 Company's net power supply expense based upon updated actual forecasted conditions.  
23 Pursuant to Order No. 10-191 the Company allocates the APCU revenue requirement to  
24 individual customer classes on the basis of the total generation-related revenue requirement

<sup>26</sup> *1 Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism.* Docket UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (Apr. 28, 2008).

1 approved in the Company's last general rate case, instead of the previous equal cents per  
2 kilowatt-hour approved in Order No. 08-238.<sup>2</sup> Order No. 10-191 also directs the Company to  
3 adjust its base rates to reflect changes in revenue requirement related to the October Update,  
4 while the rates resulting from the March Forecast are listed on Schedule 55. The rates  
5 associated with the October Update and the March Forecast mechanisms are intended, under  
6 the mechanisms, to become effective on June 1 of each year.

7       3. On October 23, 2015, Idaho Power filed testimony and exhibits for the 2016  
8 October Update component of the APCU ("2016 October Update").<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Order No. 08-  
9 238, Idaho Power reviewed all the inputs and provided the changes in the 2016 October Update  
10 for the following variables: (1) fuel prices and transportation costs, (2) Public Utility Regulatory  
11 Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA") expense, (3) normalized load and normalized sales, (4)  
12 contracts for wholesale power and power purchases and sales, (5) forward price curve, (6) heat  
13 rates, (7) planned outages and forced outage rates, and (8) the Oregon state allocation factor.<sup>4</sup>  
14 As part of the fuel expense update, the Company made changes to its treatment of Oil, Handling  
15 and Administrative and General ("OHAG") expenses at its coal-fired generation units, removing  
16 them from the AURORA model and treating them as fixed rather than variable costs.<sup>5</sup> Idaho  
17 Power made this change to better align the dispatch of the coal-fired generation units with the  
18 actual operational decisions that result in the dispatch of those plants and to produce a more  
19 accurate forecast of net power supply expenses to be included for recovery in the APCU.<sup>6</sup>

20       4. The test period for the 2016 October Update was April 2016 through March 2017  
21 and included updates to the above referenced variables for all Company-owned resources and  
22

---

23           <sup>2</sup> Re Idaho Power Company's 2010 Annual Power Cost Update, Docket UE 214, Order No. 10-  
24 191 (May 24, 2010).

25           <sup>3</sup> See Idaho Power/100-108.

26           <sup>4</sup> Idaho Power/100, Noe/5 and 10.

27           <sup>5</sup> Idaho Power/100, Noe/7.

28           <sup>6</sup> Idaho Power/100, Noe/7-8.

1 updated sales and load forecasts.<sup>7</sup> The 2016 October Update specifically accounted for  
2 changes in natural gas and coal prices, and generation and expenses related to contracts  
3 entered into pursuant to PURPA.<sup>8</sup>

4       5. The filed 2016 October Update resulted in a cost per unit of \$24.08 per megawatt-  
5 hour ("MWh"),<sup>9</sup> representing an increase of \$0.64 per MWh over last year's October Update.<sup>10</sup>

6       6. The 2016 October Update also included the Company's proposed method of  
7 allocation, which was consistent with the revenue spread methodology approved by the  
8 Commission in Order No. 10-191.<sup>11</sup>

9       7. On November 20, 2015, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Allan Arlow held a  
10 prehearing conference at which the parties to UE 301 agreed upon a procedural schedule that  
11 would allow the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Commission") to issue an order on Idaho  
12 Power's 2016 APCU prior to June 1, 2016.<sup>12</sup>

13       8. On October 27, 2015, CUB filed its Notice of Intervention.

14       9. Staff and CUB served discovery on Idaho Power and conducted a thorough  
15 investigation of the 2016 October Update. On February 12, 2016, Staff filed Opening Testimony  
16 and found that Idaho Power's filing followed all of the applicable rules and orders.<sup>13</sup> Staff also  
17 raised concerns related to the Company's change to its modeling of OHAG expenses, and  
18

19

20

21       <sup>7</sup> Idaho Power/100, Noe/6 and 10.

22       <sup>8</sup> Idaho Power/100, Noe/9-10 and 15-16.

23       <sup>9</sup> Idaho Power/100, Noe/13.

24       <sup>10</sup> Idaho Power/100, Noe/13.

25       <sup>11</sup> Idaho Power/100, Noe/16-17; Idaho Power/107.

26       <sup>12</sup> *Re Idaho Power Company's 2016 Annual Power Cost Update*, Docket UE 301, Prehearing  
Conference Memorandum at 1 (Nov. 20, 2015).

26       <sup>13</sup> Staff/100, Gibbens/1.

1 charges recorded in Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") account 501.<sup>14</sup> CUB did  
2 not file Opening Testimony.<sup>15</sup>

3           10. Idaho Power filed Reply Testimony on March 17, 2016, in which the Company  
4 responded to the concerns raised by Staff regarding the treatment of OHAG expense.<sup>16</sup>  
5 Specifically, Idaho Power explained that including the OHAG expenses as fixed costs, rather  
6 than variable costs, more accurately reflects the Company's dispatch of resources.<sup>17</sup>

7           11. On March 25, 2016, Idaho Power filed the 2016 March Forecast component of the  
8 APCU ("2016 March Forecast"). The 2016 March Forecast consisted of direct testimony  
9 describing the Company's estimate of the expected net power supply expense for the upcoming  
10 water year—April 2016 through March 2017.<sup>18</sup> Order No. 08-238 calls for the March Forecast  
11 to update the following variables: fuel prices, transportation costs, wheeling expenses, planned  
12 and forced outages, heat rates, forecast of normalized sales and loads updated for significant  
13 changes since the October Update, forecast hydro generation, wholesale power purchase and  
14 sale contracts, forward price curve, PURPA expenses, and the Oregon state allocation factor.<sup>19</sup>  
15 Idaho Power reviewed all the variables for the March Forecast and the following variables  
16 changed since the 2016 October Update: (1) fuel prices, (2) planned outage schedule, (3) forced  
17 outage rates, (4) normalized sales and loads, (5) forecast of hydro generation and current  
18 reservoir levels from stream flow conditions using the most recent water supply forecast from  
19 the Northwest River Forecast Center ("NRFC"), (6) known power purchases and surplus sales  
20

21           <sup>14</sup> Staff/100, Gibbens/4-5.

22           <sup>15</sup> See *Re Idaho Power Company's 2016 Annual Power Cost Update*, Docket UE 301, CUB's  
Letter (Feb. 12, 2016).

23           <sup>16</sup> See Idaho Power/200.

24           <sup>17</sup> See Idaho Power/200, Noe/1-2.

25           <sup>18</sup> Idaho Power/300-305.

26           <sup>19</sup> *Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment  
Mechanism*, Docket UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (Apr. 28, 2008).

1 made in compliance with the Company's Energy Risk Management Policy, (7) forward price  
 2 curve, and (8) PURPA contract expenses.<sup>20</sup>

3           12. The fuel prices were updated to reflect changes in forecast natural gas and coal  
 4 costs.<sup>21</sup> The increase in the per-unit cost of the generation for the Jim Bridger and Valmy power  
 5 plants was attributed to higher operating costs spread over lower production volumes.<sup>22</sup> OHAG  
 6 expenses were removed from the AURORA model and included as a fixed-cost input consistent  
 7 with the October Update.<sup>23</sup> Forecast natural gas prices decreased as a result of lower demand  
 8 and higher gas supply nationally.<sup>24</sup>

9           13. Idaho Power's forecast for normalized load decreased due to a revised load  
 10 forecast from one of the Company's large industrial customers that occurred between the  
 11 October and March filings.<sup>25</sup>

12           14. The Company updated the hydro forecast.<sup>26</sup> Expected streamflows into Brownlee  
 13 Reservoir were 24 percent higher than last year's levels, but remained below the 30-year  
 14 average.<sup>27</sup> Hydro generation was greater than last year's modeled generation, but the increase  
 15 was not more substantial because of the decreased flows coming from the upper Snake Basin.<sup>28</sup>

16           15. The 2016 March Forecast also included increased PURPA expenses. Updated  
 17 contract values drove the increase in expense even though there was a slight decrease in total  
 18 generation compared to the forecast prepared for the October Update.<sup>29</sup>

---

19           <sup>20</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/3-4.

20           <sup>21</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/4-6.

21           <sup>22</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/4-5.

22           <sup>23</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/4.

23           <sup>24</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/5-6.

24           <sup>25</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe 6-7.

25           <sup>26</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/7-8.

26           <sup>27</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/7.

27           <sup>28</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/7-8.

28           <sup>29</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/6.

1           16. The Company calculated a cost per unit for the 2016 March Forecast of \$25.56  
2 per MWh, which is \$0.56 per MWh more than last year's per unit cost of \$25.00 per MWh.<sup>30</sup> A  
3 high level analysis of the increase suggests that it is driven by increased amounts of PURPA  
4 generation on the Company's system compared to last year's March Forecast.<sup>31</sup>

5           17. The overall proposed revenue impact of the combined October and March rates  
6 was an increase of approximately 0.71 percent, or \$393,076.<sup>32</sup>

7           18. The 2016 March Forecast also included the Company's proposed rate spread used  
8 to spread the revenue requirement to the various customer classes. The Company's proposed  
9 allocation conformed to the methodology approved by the Commission in Order No. 10-191.<sup>33</sup>

10          19. Staff and CUB issued discovery, conducted a thorough investigation, and filed  
11 testimony addressing the March forecast.<sup>34</sup> Staff reviewed every updated input used in the  
12 March Forecast and found no errors associated with the calculations used in the APCU.<sup>35</sup>  
13 Additionally, Staff recommended that stakeholders work together to design and test a cost  
14 forecasting model to address its previously identified concerns regarding the modeling of OHAG  
15 expenses.<sup>36</sup> CUB recommended that the Commission deny the Company's proposed modeling  
16 changes, and that the Company should continue to work with the parties to address the issue  
17 of accurately forecasting costs. CUB also noted that at the time its rebuttal testimony was filed  
18 it still had several data requests outstanding and was continuing to work with parties to  
19 understand all related issues.<sup>37</sup>

20

---

21          <sup>30</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/9-10.

22          <sup>31</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/11.

23          <sup>32</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/1.

24          <sup>33</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/12-13; Idaho/304.

25          <sup>34</sup> See Staff/200; CUB/100-103.

26          <sup>35</sup> Staff/200, Gibbens/3

27          <sup>36</sup> Staff/200, Gibbens/4-10.

28          <sup>37</sup> CUB/100, McGovern/18.

1           20. Settlement conferences and workshops were held on January 20, February 18,  
2 and April 5, 2016. Through these discussions, parties addressed the modeling of OHAG  
3 expenses, and made progress toward developing a methodology that parties believe is a  
4 reasonable reflection of expenses appropriate for recovery through the APCU. More  
5 specifically, parties discussed the nature of OHAG expenses, and the fact that most of these  
6 expenses vary with overall production at each coal-fired generation facility. However, per the  
7 terms of the operating agreements at each coal plant, the Company is required to pay an  
8 amount of OHAG expenses proportional to its ownership share regardless of its level of  
9 dispatch.

10          21. To address the unique nature of OHAG expenses, through settlement discussions  
11 the idea of a hybrid model was developed. The intent of the hybrid model is to separately identify  
12 variable costs associated with Idaho Power's dispatch of each plant and Idaho Power's share  
13 of OHAG expenses incurred due to the dispatch of each plant by the Company's ownership  
14 partners. The general concept of the hybrid approach is to only include the portion of OHAG  
15 expenses associated with Idaho Power's dispatch in the AURORA model, while separately  
16 accounting for Idaho Power's fixed percentage of OHAG expenses resulting from dispatch by  
17 the Company's ownership partners.

18          22. Ultimately the Stipulating Parties resolved all the issues in this case through these  
19 discussions, developing an agreed-upon adjustment to the Company's filed request in the  
20 current proceeding, as well as plans for further discussions of the OHAG modeling issue  
21 following the Company's 2017 APCU filing as detailed below. Thereafter Staff moved to  
22 suspend the schedule and ALJ Arlow granted the motion.<sup>38</sup>

23

24

25

---

26          <sup>38</sup> *Re Idaho Power Company's 2016 Annual Power Cost Update*, Docket UE 301, Ruling (Apr. 21,  
2016).

1

## AGREEMENT

2        23. The Stipulating Parties agree to reduce Idaho Power's requested revenue  
3 requirement increase of \$393,076 million by \$151,411, representing a compromise between the  
4 Stipulating Parties related to the treatment of modeled OHAG expenses at the Company's coal-  
5 fired generation units. The calculation of the stipulated revenue requirement change is detailed  
6 in Exhibit Nos. 1 through 5 attached to this Stipulation.

7        24. The Stipulating Parties agree that Idaho Power's 2017 APCU filing, in response to  
8 the concerns raised by parties, will model OHAG using the hybrid methodology that includes in  
9 the AURORA model a per-unit cost intended to reflect the amount of OHAG expense driven by  
10 Idaho Power's dispatch of each plant.<sup>39</sup>.

11        25. The Stipulating Parties agree that after the initial 2017 APCU filing, the Stipulating  
12 Parties will hold workshops to discuss the hybrid model filed by the Company and the treatment  
13 of expenses related to the Company's proportionate share of OHAG resulting from its ownership  
14 partners' dispatch at each plant.<sup>40</sup>

15        26. The Stipulating Parties agree that the Company's allocation methodology  
16 conforms to that adopted by the Commission in Order No. 10-191.

17        27. The Stipulating Parties agree that rates agreed to by the terms of this Stipulation  
18 should be made effective on June 1, 2016, as permitted by the APCU mechanism.

19        28. The Stipulating Parties agree the result is in conformance with the methodology  
20 adopted by the Commission in Order No. 08-238.

21        29. The Stipulating Parties agree that the rate increase resulting from the Stipulation  
22 results in rates that are fair, just, and reasonable.

23        30. The Stipulating Parties agree to submit this Stipulation to the Commission and  
24 request that the Commission approve the Stipulation as presented.

25

<sup>39</sup> Stipulation ¶ 22.

26

<sup>40</sup> Stipulation ¶ 23.

1           31. This Stipulation will be offered into the record of this proceeding as evidence  
2 pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(7). The Stipulating Parties agree to support this Stipulation  
3 throughout this proceeding and any appeal, (if necessary) provide witnesses to sponsor this  
4 Stipulation at the hearing, and recommend that the Commission issue an order adopting the  
5 settlements contained herein.

6           32. If this Stipulation is challenged, the Stipulating Parties agree that they will continue  
7 to support the Commission's adoption of the terms of this Stipulation. The Stipulating Parties  
8 agree to cooperate in cross-examination and put on such a case as they deem appropriate to  
9 respond fully to the issues presented, which may include raising issues that are incorporated in  
10 the settlements embodied in this Stipulation.

11          33. The Stipulating Parties have negotiated this Stipulation as an integrated document.  
12 If the Commission rejects all or any material part of this Stipulation, or adds any material  
13 condition to any final order that is not consistent with this Stipulation, each Stipulating Party  
14 reserves its right, pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(9), to present evidence and argument on the  
15 record in support of the Stipulation or to withdraw from the Stipulation. Stipulating Parties shall  
16 be entitled to seek rehearing or reconsideration pursuant to OAR 860-001-0720 in any manner  
17 that is consistent with the agreement embodied in this Stipulation.

18          34. By entering into this Stipulation, no Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have  
19 approved, admitted, or consented to the facts, principles, methods, or theories employed by any  
20 other Stipulating Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation, other than those specifically  
21 identified in the body of this Stipulation. No Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have agreed  
22 that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding,  
23 except as specifically identified in this Stipulation.

24          35. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart  
25 shall constitute an original document.

26

1       36. This Stipulation is entered into by each Stipulating Party on the date entered below  
2       such Stipulating Party's signature.

3

4       STAFF

5

6

7

By: Mike L  
Date: 5/11/16

8       IDAHO POWER

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

1       36. This Stipulation is entered into by each Stipulating Party on the date entered below  
2 such Stipulating Party's signature.

3

4       STAFF

5

6       By: \_\_\_\_\_

7

8       IDAHO POWER

9

10      By: Mark R. Miller

11      Date: May 11, 2016

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

1           36. This Stipulation is entered into by each Stipulating Party on the date entered below  
2 such Stipulating Party's signature.

3

4         STAFF

5

6         By: \_\_\_\_\_

7         Date: \_\_\_\_\_

8         IDAHO POWER

9

10        By: \_\_\_\_\_

11        Date: \_\_\_\_\_

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

By: W. P. Gatz

Date: 5/11/16

## IPCO POWER SUPPLY EXPENSES FOR APRIL, 2016 – MARCH 31, 2017 (Multiple Gas Prices/87 Years at Hydro Conditions)

Reprinted Using IUE 185 Settlement Methodology - October Update

AVERAGE

with Variable Cost Handling Costs Indicated in ALURPA Dispatch

	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	Annual
Bridger	\$89,731.9	\$51,673.5	\$92,401.1	\$70,269.7	\$81,415.0	\$55,225.8	\$45,378.2	\$45,707.6	\$61,241.4	\$71,052.2	\$40,101.3	\$81,316.6	\$6,652,056.1
Hydroelectric Generation (MWh)													
Energy (MWh)													
ALURPA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 76,010.3	\$ 57,853.9	\$ 131,482.2	\$ 35,723.4	\$ 386,908.3	\$ 173,227.2	\$ 142,076.1	\$ 257,283.3	\$ 375,684.7	\$ 255,650.0	\$ 191,286.1	\$ 212,284.3	\$ 2,460,736.8
ALURPA Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 2,022.3	\$ 1,688.7	\$ 3,740.0	\$ 5	\$ 9,280.6	\$ 9,225.6	\$ 4,067.3	\$ 4,050.1	\$ 7,061.5	\$ 8,918.3	\$ 7,154.2	\$ 5,345.2	\$ 5,652.5
AURORA Expense less Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 1,901.6	\$ 1,641.3	\$ 776.5	\$ 1,085.5	\$ 1,041.1	\$ 102.4	\$ 1,039.6	\$ 1,048.3	\$ 186.3	\$ 150.6	\$ 112.5	\$ 5,125.2	\$ 1,451.8
IPCO Share of OHAG Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 2,275.8	\$ 2,294.5	\$ 3,692.6	\$ 3,692.6	\$ 3,692.6	\$ 1,989.5	\$ 1,989.5	\$ 1,989.5	\$ 6,931.8	\$ 7,003.5	\$ 5,232.3	\$ 5,226.8	\$ 6,972.5
Total Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 1,929.5	\$ 1,929.5	\$ 3,597.3	\$ 3,597.3	\$ 3,597.3	\$ 3,288.8	\$ 3,098.6	\$ 4,284.1	\$ 7,252.7	\$ 8,926.6	\$ 7,288.2	\$ 5,272.7	\$ 6,121.6
Boardman													
Energy (MWh)	\$ 5,198.0	\$ 4,320.9	\$ 16,801.0	\$ 33,757.3	\$ 34,520.8	\$ 26,645.8	\$ 23,486.8	\$ 26,684.7	\$ 32,581.7	\$ 22,387.7	\$ 17,165.0	\$ 16,379.1	\$ 284,528.8
ALURPA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 1,327.7	\$ 1,113.5	\$ 432.1	\$ 432.1	\$ 432.1	\$ 432.1	\$ 432.1	\$ 432.1	\$ 819.5	\$ 819.5	\$ 624.4	\$ 479.2	\$ 619.0
AURORA Expense less Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 1,318.6	\$ 1,115.3	\$ 1,641.6	\$ 423.9	\$ 423.9	\$ 354.5	\$ 669.8	\$ 588.3	\$ 712.4	\$ 607.4	\$ 616.1	\$ 472.8	\$ 572.2
IPCO Share of OHAG Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 1,319.5	\$ 1,116.5	\$ 1,929.5	\$ 423.9	\$ 423.9	\$ 423.9	\$ 423.9	\$ 423.9	\$ 603.3	\$ 603.3	\$ 603.3	\$ 472.8	\$ 572.2
Total Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 1,319.5	\$ 1,116.5	\$ 1,929.5	\$ 3,597.3	\$ 3,597.3	\$ 3,288.8	\$ 3,098.6	\$ 4,284.1	\$ 7,252.7	\$ 8,926.6	\$ 7,288.2	\$ 5,272.7	\$ 6,121.6
Valmy													
Energy (MWh)	\$ 2,382.9	\$ 1,266.6	\$ 1,266.6	\$ 260.7	\$ 39,285.7	\$ 33,127.2	\$ 17,543.2	\$ 14,108.2	\$ 20,746.1	\$ 36,408.5	\$ 18,659.5	\$ 15,321.2	\$ 6,671.2
ALURPA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 349.9	\$ 219.8	\$ 44.4	\$ 102.5	\$ 1,313.1	\$ 1,038.9	\$ 1,038.9	\$ 1,038.9	\$ 580.2	\$ 405.6	\$ 716.2	\$ 528.8	\$ 752.6
AURORA Expense less Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 312.9	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 221.3	\$ 221.3	\$ 144.7	\$ 21.4	\$ 240.5
IPCO Share of OHAG Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 204.1	\$ 204.1	\$ 244.4	\$ 362.7	\$ 362.7	\$ 362.7	\$ 362.7	\$ 362.7	\$ 477.2	\$ 477.2	\$ 115.4	\$ 527.2	\$ 658.0
Total Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 164,339.3	\$ 163,637.6	\$ 163,347.0	\$ 188,360.1	\$ 188,511.5	\$ 192,260.8	\$ 195,833.9	\$ 196,916.1	\$ 180,987.5	\$ 180,989.4	\$ 180,989.4	\$ 2,116,081.5	\$ 41,143.0
Langley Gulch/Valmy													
Energy (MWh)	\$ 2,880.5	\$ 2,754.1	\$ 2,754.1	\$ 3,116.2	\$ 3,272.3	\$ 3,264.2	\$ 3,355.0	\$ 3,355.0	\$ 3,473.1	\$ 3,361.6	\$ 4,059.0	\$ 3,822.1	\$ 3,359.2
Derrick													
Energy (MWh)	\$ 2,035.9	\$ 1,805.2	\$ 355.6	\$ 345.6	\$ 1,944.4	\$ 71,355.9	\$ 63,200.6	\$ 37,197.8	\$ 26,686.6	\$ 10,692.5	\$ 5,148.9	\$ 1,500.4	\$ 252.14
Expenses (\$ x 1000)	\$ 37.8	\$ 37.8	\$ 1,686.6	\$ 1,686.6	\$ 1,686.6	\$ 1,686.6	\$ 1,686.6	\$ 1,686.6	\$ 553.2	\$ 251.6	\$ 128.1	\$ 39.8	\$ 64.0
Bennett Mountain													
Energy (MWh)	\$ 245.1	\$ 192.9	\$ 2.1	\$ 105.9	\$ 4,985.1	\$ 43,071.3	\$ 32,613.4	\$ 15,078.0	\$ 10,241.5	\$ 4,627.5	\$ 99.7	\$ 193.7	\$ 265.9
Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 4.4	\$ 4.4	\$ 4.4	\$ 4.4	\$ 1,059.5	\$ 1,059.5	\$ 797.0	\$ 338.9	\$ 215.7	\$ 4,627.5	\$ 99.7	\$ 43.3	\$ 63.3
Fixed Capacity Charge - Gas Transportation (\$ x 1000)	\$ 755.4	\$ 759.4	\$ 759.4	\$ 744.4	\$ 778.0	\$ 778.0	\$ 753.4	\$ 753.4	\$ 753.4	\$ 753.4	\$ 753.4	\$ 753.4	\$ 753.4
Purchased Power (EXCLUDING CSPPP)													
Market Energy (MWh)	\$ 2,976.3	\$ 6,880.3	\$ 21,821.3	\$ 67,840.3	\$ 96,712.9	\$ 42,703.3	\$ 10,515.7	\$ 46,180.4	\$ 15,754.0	\$ 34,482.0	\$ 24,912.2	\$ 24,194.9	\$ 364,482.3
Ebbetts Pass Wind Energy (MWh)	\$ 25,860.0	\$ 24,880.6	\$ 24,880.6	\$ 24,880.6	\$ 24,880.6	\$ 24,880.6	\$ 20,734.0	\$ 21,642.0	\$ 28,922.0	\$ 28,922.0	\$ 28,922.0	\$ 28,922.0	\$ 304,739.1
Neel-Hot Springs Energy (MWh)	\$ 14,242.4	\$ 10,943.0	\$ 11,055.3	\$ 7,822.4	\$ 9,524.6	\$ 11,285.6	\$ 12,586.6	\$ 15,871.7	\$ 17,970.0	\$ 16,785.7	\$ 16,785.7	\$ 16,785.7	\$ 164,434.1
Ralt River Geothermal Energy (MWh)	\$ 6,233.3	\$ 5,097.5	\$ 5,681.1	\$ 5,734.5	\$ 5,734.5	\$ 5,734.5	\$ 5,734.5	\$ 5,734.5	\$ 6,984.7	\$ 6,984.7	\$ 6,984.7	\$ 6,984.7	\$ 74,320.3
Total Energy Excl. CSPPP (MWh)	\$ 49,313.8	\$ 47,504.0	\$ 62,056.5	\$ 128,204.6	\$ 126,024.6	\$ 80,488.0	\$ 52,940.2	\$ 10,268.9	\$ 70,354.4	\$ 49,407.4	\$ 49,407.4	\$ 49,407.4	\$ 98,575.7
Market Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 1,717.7	\$ 154.4	\$ 1,083.6	\$ 468.6	\$ 2,686.8	\$ 2,686.8	\$ 2,686.8	\$ 2,686.8	\$ 1,223.2	\$ 1,223.2	\$ 1,223.2	\$ 1,223.2	\$ 132.1
Ebbetts Pass Wind Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 1,155.2	\$ 876.2	\$ 1,209.1	\$ 1,025.7	\$ 1,301.3	\$ 1,301.3	\$ 1,409.2	\$ 2,080.2	\$ 2,358.2	\$ 2,358.2	\$ 1,484.3	\$ 1,484.3	\$ 18,053.1
Neel-Hot Springs Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 889.1	\$ 327.8	\$ 430.0	\$ 435.6	\$ 384.4	\$ 435.6	\$ 460.7	\$ 504.0	\$ 523.9	\$ 523.9	\$ 1,862.3	\$ 1,862.3	\$ 18,122.7
Ralt River Geothermal Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 2,631.4	\$ 2,322.0	\$ 3,417.0	\$ 6,050.5	\$ 6,449.6	\$ 4,229.1	\$ 3,989.0	\$ 6,431.5	\$ 5,557.8	\$ 5,557.8	\$ 445.3	\$ 445.3	\$ 18,556.7
Total Expenses Excl. CSPPP (\$ x 1000)	\$ 36,930.6	\$ 28,457.5	\$ 39,030.6	\$ 28,457.5	\$ 50,759.0	\$ 163,969.3	\$ 57,459.2	\$ 14,959.2	\$ 1,239.5	\$ 1,239.5	\$ 1,239.5	\$ 1,239.5	\$ 4,131.33
Surplus Sales													
Energy (MWh)	\$ 8,653.9	\$ 8,674.3	\$ 221.5	\$ 221.5	\$ 1,056.4	\$ 902.7	\$ 1,320.5	\$ 4,886.6	\$ 1,957.0	\$ 4,913.3	\$ 4,913.3	\$ 34,431.33	\$ 2,235,582.1
Revenue Including Transmission Costs (\$ x 1000)	\$ 3,883.3	\$ 267.9	\$ 5,568.4	\$ 4,096.6	\$ 1,047.3	\$ 973.0	\$ 59.5	\$ 1,710.5	\$ 574.5	\$ 4,521.9	\$ 4,521.9	\$ 3,479.4	\$ 59,391.0
Transmission Costs (\$ x 1000)	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 0.265.6	\$ 2,235,582.1
Net Purchases													
Net Power Supply Expenses (\$ x 1000)	\$ 6,457.5	\$ 2,582.5	\$ 8,404.3	\$ 23,715.2	\$ 23,660.2	\$ 14,585.6	\$ 1,357,053	\$ 11,039.3	\$ 1,032,641	\$ 1,155,639	\$ 1,277,132	\$ 1,277,132	\$ 1,155,639
PURPA (\$ x 1000)	\$ 16,759.31	\$ 18,807.64	\$ 21,649.88	\$ 23,505.36	\$ 21,649.88	\$ 18,735.52	\$ 15,973.82	\$ 15,973.82	\$ 15,973.82	\$ 15,973.82	\$ 15,973.82	\$ 15,973.82	\$ 140,982.3
Total Net Power Supply Expenses (\$ x 1000)	\$ 17,405.05	\$ 1,048.928	\$ 1,235,508	\$ 47,205.6	\$ 44,722.8	\$ 33,322.1	\$ 2,927.5	\$ 2,927.5	\$ 2,927.5	\$ 2,927.5	\$ 2,927.5	\$ 2,927.5	\$ 15,551.38
Sales at Customer Level (in Cents/MWh)													
Hours in Month	\$ 720	\$ 744	\$ 720	\$ 744	\$ 744	\$ 720	\$ 744	\$ 720	\$ 744	\$ 744	\$ 744	\$ 744	\$ 744
Unit Cost / MWh (for PGM)	\$ 15.632	\$ 20.37	\$ 524.42	\$ 32,03	\$ 528.78	\$ 24,022	\$ 23,75	\$ 23,75	\$ 23,75	\$ 23,75	\$ 23,75	\$ 23,75	\$ 23,75
Price Used in Purchased Power & Surplus Sales Above:													
Heavy Load	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%	\$ 6,425.2%
Purchase Power Considered H.L. Purchase	\$ 27.21	\$ 27.21	\$ 35.21	\$ 35.21	\$ 35.21	\$ 35.21	\$ 35.21	\$ 35.21	\$ 35.21	\$ 35.21	\$ 35.21	\$ 35.21	\$ 35.21
Purchase Power H.L. Price													
Purchase of Surplus Sales Considered H.L. Surplus Sale:	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%	\$ 62.70%
Surplus Sales H.L. Price													
Light Load													
Purchase of Surplus Power Considered Lt. Purchase:	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%	\$ 35.75%
Purchase Power Lt. Price	\$ 19.88	\$ 15.82	\$ 22.59	\$ 22.59	\$ 22.59	\$ 22.59	\$ 22.59	\$ 22.59	\$ 22.59	\$ 22.59	\$ 22.59	\$ 22.59	\$ 22.59
Period of Surplus Sales Considered Lt. Surplus Sale:	\$ 37.30%	\$ 37.30%	\$ 12.38	\$ 12.38	\$ 12.38	\$ 12.38	\$ 12.38	\$ 12.38	\$ 12.38	\$ 12.38	\$ 12.38	\$ 12.38	\$ 12.38
Surplus Sales Lt. Price	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32	\$ 17.32

ORDER NO.

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

APPENDIX A  
Page 13 of 19

IPCO POWER SUPPLY EXPENSES FOR APRIL 1, 2016 – MARCH 31, 2017 (One Hydro Condition) Reprinted Using IUE Settlement Methodology – March Forecast with Variable Coal Handling Costs included in AURORA Dispatch															
Line No.		April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	Actual	
1	Hydroelectric Generation (MWh)														
2	<i>Source:</i>														
	Energy (MWh)														
	AURORA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000)														
	AURORA Worked Handling Expense (\$ x 1000)														
	AURORA Expenses less Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000)														
	AURORA Share of CHAG Expense (\$ x 1000)														
	Total Expense (\$ x 1000)														
3	Boardman	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	\$ 234.9	
4	Energy (MWh)	\$ 824.4	\$ 824.4	\$ 824.7	\$ 827.3	\$ 830.6	\$ 837.0	\$ 843.4	\$ 850.7	\$ 857.2	\$ 864.7	\$ 872.1	\$ 879.6	\$ 886.7	
	AURORA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	\$ 25.3	
	AURORA Worked Handling Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.3	
	AURORA Expenses less Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 25.0	
	AURORA Share of CHAG Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.7	
	Total Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	\$ 54.7	
5	Valley														
6	Energy (MWh)														
	AURORA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000)														
	AURORA Worked Handling Expense (\$ x 1000)														
	AURORA Expenses less Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000)														
	AURORA Share of CHAG Expense (\$ x 1000)														
7	Total Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	\$ 122.2	
8	Lansing Gulch	\$ 183,192.5	\$ 180,046.4	\$ 191,988.5	\$ 198,344.9	\$ 198,688.5	\$ 192,228.6	\$ 191,850.1	\$ 163,382.4	\$ 189,339.0	\$ 174,118.6	\$ 121,742.4	\$ 152,326.5	\$ 2,167,198.4	\$ 35,546.6
9	Energy (MWh)	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	\$ 2,819.9	
10	Danskin	\$ 15,588.2	\$ 44,629.5	\$ 87,308.4	\$ 103,823.6	\$ 112,554.4	\$ 74,933.4	\$ 12,664.4	\$ 207.1	\$ 43.4	\$ 43.4	\$ 43.4	\$ 45,884.5	\$ 10,215.9	
11	Energy (MWh)	\$ 328.1	\$ 847.5	\$ 1,748.6	\$ 2,425.9	\$ 2,714.2	\$ 1,757.2	\$ 1,323.5	\$ 70.1	\$ 17.5	\$ 17.5	\$ 17.5	\$ 10.0	\$ 10.0	
12	Bennett Mountain	\$ 2,264.0	\$ 5,774.9	\$ 49,251.8	\$ 79,527.5	\$ 82,084.9	\$ 48,984.5	\$ 1,442.0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 265,395.6	\$ 6,241.1	
13	Energy (MWh)	\$ 48.9	\$ 111.8	\$ 988.8	\$ 1,057.6	\$ 1,950.6	\$ 1,154.5	\$ 38.8	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,241.1	\$ 6,241.1	
14	Fixed Capacity Charge - Gas Transportation (\$ x 1000)	\$ 723.2	\$ 745.2	\$ 752.2	\$ 753.2	\$ 765.4	\$ 765.4	\$ 741.2	\$ 746.8	\$ 723.2	\$ 746.8	\$ 677.7	\$ 748.8	\$ 8,866.1	
15	Unutilized Power (excluding PURPA)	\$ 85,425.5	\$ 17,671.0	\$ 68,183.4	\$ 31,128.7	\$ 116,521.4	\$ 70,466.4	\$ 41,121.7	\$ 100,433.0	\$ 91,118.1	\$ 94,347.9	\$ 20,676.7	\$ 9,889.1	\$ 65,235.8	
16	Market Energy (MWh)	\$ 287,900.0	\$ 24,532.0	\$ 24,934.6	\$ 26,559.8	\$ 24,084.4	\$ 19,958.8	\$ 20,860.4	\$ 30,428.8	\$ 28,073.2	\$ 24,269.2	\$ 24,168.8	\$ 28,532.6	\$ 302,320.5	
17	Elkhorn Wind Energy (MWh)	\$ 14,424.2	\$ 10,940.5	\$ 10,065.3	\$ 7,822.4	\$ 9,924.6	\$ 11,286.0	\$ 15,671.7	\$ 16,671.7	\$ 17,970.0	\$ 18,765.7	\$ 16,722.0	\$ 16,594.4	\$ 164,524.5	
18	Ratt River Geothermal Energy (MWh)	\$ 6,213.3	\$ 5,111.2	\$ 5,097.5	\$ 5,681.1	\$ 5,734.4	\$ 5,734.7	\$ 15,947.7	\$ 6,654.5	\$ 6,897.6	\$ 6,890.6	\$ 6,924.0	\$ 6,504.2	\$ 74,420.3	
19	Total Energy Each PURPA (MWh)	\$ 54,970.0	\$ 42,410.7	\$ 10,290.8	\$ 11,172.0	\$ 155,244.8	\$ 107,468.3	\$ 65,573.5	\$ 154,226.0	\$ 145,056.8	\$ 144,273.3	\$ 67,544.5	\$ 61,688.1	\$ 1,195,910.7	
20	Market Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 1,151.4	\$ 1,063.6	\$ 1,408.5	\$ 1,875.4	\$ 424.8	\$ 423.4	\$ 1,434.0	\$ 841.7	\$ 1,233.5	\$ 2,243.2	\$ 2,243.2	\$ 200.0	\$ 13,292.1	
21	Elkhorn Wind Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 1,155.2	\$ 876.2	\$ 1,208.1	\$ 1,025.7	\$ 1,301.3	\$ 1,233.2	\$ 1,409.2	\$ 1,168.0	\$ 1,256.2	\$ 1,471.0	\$ 1,471.0	\$ 1,484.3	\$ 1,221.1	
22	Net Real Spikes Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 285.1	\$ 237.6	\$ 322.7	\$ 430.0	\$ 458.5	\$ 364.4	\$ 480.7	\$ 504.0	\$ 523.9	\$ 445.3	\$ 453.9	\$ 1,375.6	\$ 16,058.7	
23	Ratt River Geothermal Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 2,630.5	\$ 2,189.0	\$ 3,255.1	\$ 3,755.4	\$ 5,823.5	\$ 4,252.5	\$ 3,955.1	\$ 7,077.7	\$ 7,265.5	\$ 6,286.1	\$ 4,09.8	\$ 328.5	\$ 4,751.3	
24	Total Expense Each PURPA (\$ x 1000)	\$ 264,684.3	\$ 254,589.7	\$ 81,573.0	\$ 85,351.5	\$ 23,552.3	\$ 28,552.3	\$ 1,134.0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,195.7	\$ 5,080.0	
25	Surplus Sales														
26	Energy (MWh)														
	Revenue Netting Transmission Costs (\$ x 1000)	\$ 2,631.4	\$ 2,189.7	\$ 830.8	\$ 1,438.5	\$ 520.9	\$ 288.3	\$ 17.599.1	\$ 30,365.3	\$ 20,850.4	\$ 20,510.7	\$ 19,727.8	\$ 10,455.4	\$ 109,550.2	
	Transmission Costs (\$ x 1000)	\$ 259.0	\$ 195.5	\$ 745.3	\$ 1,344.6	\$ 488.3	\$ 252.1	\$ 704.3	\$ 63.5	\$ 52.3	\$ 21.7	\$ 453.0	\$ 234.6	\$ 1,148.6	
	Revenue Excluding Transmission Costs (\$ x 1000)	\$ 2,428.4	\$ 1,955.5	\$ 745.3	\$ 1,344.6	\$ 488.3	\$ 252.1	\$ 704.3	\$ 504.3	\$ 504.9	\$ 437.4	\$ 225.5	\$ 3,455.9	\$ 1,752.3	
27	Net Headings														
28	Energy (MWh)														
	Surplus Sales														
	Heavy Load														
	Portion of Purchased Power considered HL Purchases	\$ 4,053.8	\$ 4,594.6	\$ 9,287.2	\$ 23,185.8	\$ 23,914.8	\$ 37,333.3	\$ 11,579.57	\$ 516,191.63	\$ 561,00.12	\$ 515,794.73	\$ 512,316.64	\$ 514,756.81	\$ 513,754.93	\$ 205,231.1
	Purchased Power HL Price	\$ 17,476.58	\$ 19,451.45	\$ 21,602.23	\$ 22,935.21	\$ 21,132.72	\$ 18,179.57	\$ 1,069.84	\$ 31,921.46	\$ 1,031.24	\$ 1,152,209	\$ 1,277,132	\$ 1,219,385	\$ 1,105,482	\$ 14,804,270
	Portion of Surplus Sales considered HL Surplus Sales	\$ 21,239.4	\$ 24,046.2	\$ 30,898.4	\$ 46,121.0	\$ 46,074.1	\$ 31,912.9	\$ 27,771.4	\$ 35,218.3	\$ 38,492.9	\$ 25,298.2	\$ 21,928.2	\$ 1,05,482	\$ 8750	
	Surplus Sales HL Price	\$ 1,122.08	\$ 1,040,525.8	\$ 1,220,108	\$ 1,472,684	\$ 1,552,859	\$ 1,385,864	\$ 1,109,193	\$ 1,031,240	\$ 744	\$ 744	\$ 572	\$ 744	\$ 8750	
29	Units Used in Purchased Power & Surplus Sales Above:														
30	Heavy Load														
	Portion of Purchased Power considered HL Purchases	\$ 2,27%	\$ 16,19%	\$ 34,86%	\$ 19,65%	\$ 24,51	\$ 36,00%	\$ 41,19%	\$ 41,19%	\$ 17,19%	\$ 14,89%	\$ 13,40%	\$ 22,13		
	Purchased Power HL Price	\$ 12.00	\$ 10.95	\$ 13.04	\$ 19.43	\$ 21.70	\$ 21.95	\$ 23.55	\$ 23.55	\$ 26.25	\$ 26.25	\$ 26.08			
	Portion of Surplus Sales considered HL Surplus Sales	\$ 75.81%	\$ 68.55%	\$ 64.20%	\$ 63.59%	\$ 65.45%	\$ 50.71%	\$ 54.19%	\$ 54.19%	\$ 55.99%	\$ 55.99%	\$ 76.55%			
	Surplus Sales HL Price	\$ 11.13	\$ 10.77	\$ 12.10	\$ 18.83	\$ 22.55	\$ 21.66	\$ 20.10	\$ 20.10	\$ 26.22	\$ 26.22	\$ 24.63	\$ 24.20		
31	Light Load														
	Portion of Purchased Power considered HL Purchases	\$ 57.73%	\$ 63.81%	\$ 65.04%	\$ 60.31%	\$ 73.65%	\$ 63,93%	\$ 62.81%	\$ 62.81%	\$ 62.81%	\$ 62.81%	\$ 66,18%	\$ 66,60%		
	Purchased Power HL Price	\$ 8.19	\$ 5.92	\$ 6.85	\$ 12.21	\$ 19.12	\$ 19.22	\$ 21.75	\$ 21.75	\$ 24.79	\$ 24.79	\$ 23.54	\$ 23.54		
	Portion of Surplus Sales considered HL Surplus Sales	\$ 24.15%	\$ 31.45%	\$ 31.15%	\$ 15.72%	\$ 7.05%	\$ 36.44%	\$ 49.25%	\$ 49.25%	\$ 58.97%	\$ 58.97%	\$ 21.01%	\$ 23.45%		
	Surplus Sales HL Price	\$ 7.15	\$ 4.81	\$ 5.98	\$ 10.65	\$ 16.77	\$ 17.23	\$ 18.45	\$ 18.45	\$ 21.62	\$ 21.62	\$ 20.31	\$ 20.31		

ORDER NO. 16

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

ORDER NO. 16206

Settlement Stipulation

Exhibit No. 3

Page 1 of 1

**ANNUAL POWER COST UPDATE**  
**April 2016 - March 2017**

Line**OCTOBER APCU**

1	Forecast of Normalized Sales (MWh)	14,616,871
2	Total Net Power Supply Expense	\$349,801,676
3	October APCU Rate (\$/MWh)	\$23.93

**MARCH FORECAST**

4	Forecast of Normalized Sales (MWh)	14,604,270
5	Total Net Power Supply Expense	\$370,013,190
6	March Forecast Rate (\$/MWh)	\$25.34

7	Sales Adjusted Forecast Power Cost Change	\$20,592,021
8	Portion of Change Allowed	95%
9	Forecast Change Allowed	\$19,562,420

10	<b>March Forecast Rate Adjustment (\$/MWh)</b>	<b>\$1.34</b>
----	--	---------------

11	<b>Combined Rate (\$/MWh)</b>	<b>\$25.27</b>
----	-------------------------------	----------------

**Idaho Power Company**  
**Rate Spread Exhibit for October Update APCU – O&M Outside AURORA**

**General Rate Case (UE 233): Marginal Cost-of-Service Study and Stipulated Revenue Spread**

2011 Test Period

Description	[A] TOTAL SYSTEM	[B] RESIDENTIAL	[C] GEN SRV SECONDARY	[D] GEN SRV PRIMARY ([E] [F])	[G] GEN SRV TRANS	[H] LG POWER PRIMARY ([I] [J])	[L] LG POWER TRANS ([K] [L])	[M] UNLIMITED GEN SERVICE ([O])	[N] MUNICIPAL STUDY ([P])	[O] MUNICIPAL CONTROL ([Q])	
Line 1 Nonresidential Sales (\$/kWh)	\$60,156,581	188,642,219	17,745,286	114,256,218	2,882,509	48,336	74,152,867	\$46,549,405	\$1,323,393	\$1,231	
2 Current Revenue	\$59,173,391	151,355,932	51,355,400	56,375,315	\$798,102	\$154,937	\$8,213,065	\$3,345,271	\$79,108	\$123,851	
3 Demand Related Marginal Cost											
4 Generation - Staff Adj.	\$11,049,450	\$4,082,445	\$268,043	\$1,671,176	\$207,813	\$55,425	\$1,790,415	\$1,495,743	\$1,035	\$200	
5 Transmission - Staff Adj.	\$12,332,118	\$4,593,297	\$30,584	\$1,980,300	\$235,817	\$39,836	\$1,051,382	\$1,097,153	\$1,165	\$225	
6 Distribution	\$6,345,625	\$3,215,110	\$181,233	\$1,319,547	\$1,006,783	\$0	\$798,946	\$0	\$9,350	\$89	
7 Energy Related Marginal Cost											
8 Generation	\$26,547,004	\$4,144,240	\$8,940,577	\$5,140,332	\$649,911	\$117,743	\$21,183	\$7,662,010	\$3,977,424	\$7,722	
9 Transmission - Staff Adj.	\$12,307,463	\$116,488	\$746,186	\$94,345	\$70,092	\$1,104	\$1,112,259	\$445,639	\$83	\$4,396	
10 Simple-Summed Energy-Related and Demand-Related Marginal Costs											
11 Generation Marginal Costs - Staff Adj.	\$19,398,644	\$13,023,020	\$1,070,495	\$8,811,410	\$857,724	\$155,168	\$22,008	\$9,452,425	\$4,581,142	\$3,449	
12 Transmission Marginal Costs - Staff Adj.	\$16,371,537	\$5,891,160	\$410,072	\$2,826,984	\$328,162	\$55,950	\$3,807	\$2,125,021	\$1,995,034	\$6,150	
13 Customer Related Marginal Cost	\$2,403,503	\$1,967,110	\$385,570	\$177,410	\$65,719	\$1,390	\$0	\$15,208	\$245,567	\$228	
14 Total Functionalized Revenue Requirement											
15 Generation - Staff Adj.	\$25,202,680	\$8,289,003	\$681,357	\$4,335,384	\$545,931	\$97,499	\$14,008	\$6,016,360	\$2,915,844	\$463	
16 Customer Related Marginal Cost - Staff Adj.	\$4,272,366	\$1,538,997	\$1,077,755	\$676,354	\$84,501	\$46,678	\$981	\$625,845	\$346,160	\$515,234	
17 Transmission											
18 Distribution	\$8,930,530	\$4,133,917	\$233,025	\$1,697,158	\$126,585	\$0	\$7,378	\$1,027,267	\$0	\$1,689,855	
19 Demand-Related Customer-Related	\$2,855,472	\$2,004,655	\$957,931	\$162,397	\$58,847	\$1,417	\$0	\$15,496	\$5,593	\$232	
20 Allocated Direct Assignment	\$419,424	\$188,447	\$34,356	\$12,375	\$89	\$14	\$78,778	\$93	\$21,959	\$42	
21 Total Staff Adjusted Allocation	\$4,684,482	\$16,134,429	\$1,449,425	\$602,669	\$767,013	\$113,599	\$101,145	\$7,866,094	\$4,762,475	\$121,310	
22 Revenue Deficiency - Staff Adj. Allocation	\$1,810,950	\$778,497	\$1,027,451	\$573,465	\$511,091	\$41,388	\$113,137	\$3,647,971	\$3,413,208	\$5,538	
23 % Increase Required by Staff Adj. Alloc. Approach	4.4%	5.07%	7.05%	1.05%	-3.80%	-26.73%	-10.05%	-4.21%	10.92%	-37.07%	
24 Increase Recommended per Stipulation	\$1,810,950	\$852,348	\$46,158	\$39,751	\$22,908	\$0	\$23,545	\$12,117,777	\$3,245,318	\$44	
25 Average Rate Given Stipulation (\$/kWh)	5.62%	5.62%	5.83%	2.83%	2.83%	0.00%	0.00%	2.83%	6.81%	4.56%	
26 Final Revenue Allocation	0.0641	0.0116	0.0489	0.0526	0.0544	0.0547	0.2326	0.0450	0.0721	0.0738	
27 Spread Floors and Ceilings:	\$1,810,950	\$1,718,280	\$1,403,558	\$1,217,327	\$802,700	\$154,937	\$112,462	\$9,445,510	\$3,336,170	\$1,016	
28 No increase for those warranting a decrease greater than 8%											
29 2.83% increase for those warranting a decrease less than 8%											
30 No increases greater than one-half times the average rate <sup>1</sup>											
31 2.83% increase for those warranting a decrease less than 8%											
32 No increase for those warranting a decrease greater than 8%											
33 2016 October Update APCU Cost of Service (Allocator – Line 14)	\$337,522	\$110,943	\$9,120	\$58,026	\$7,307	\$1,305	\$1,187	\$80,525	\$33,027	\$302	
34 % Increase Required Due to APCU (Proposed) (Line 42/Line 36)	0.81%	0.68%	0.57%	0.57%	D.B.1%	0.80%	0.17%	0.95%	1.17%	0.83%	
35 2016 Adjusted 2011 Normalized Sales (\$/kWh)	\$650,156,581	198,642,419	17,742,896	114,256,218	15,089,088	2,832,509	483,936	\$79,185,047	\$4,155,867	\$46,549,285	
36 (Mills per kWh)	(\$0.09) <sup>2</sup> (Line 42/Line 36)										
37 APCU Incremental Rate for 2016 October Update (Mills per kWh)	<b>0.490</b>	<b>0.582</b>	<b>0.490</b>	<b>0.484</b>	<b>0.383</b>	<b>0.517</b>	<b>0.423</b>	<b>0.494</b>	<b>0.367</b>	<b>0.457</b>	
38 (Line 42/Column 36/Line 47)	638,412,289	150,548,461	11,605,426	119,561,908	19,083,592	2,516,070	443,024	163,113,247	106,358,304	5,568	92,474
39 Projected October Update APCU 2016-2017 Revenues (Line 46 + Line 47)	<b>\$333,322</b>	<b>\$120,643</b>	<b>\$9,120</b>	<b>\$58,026</b>	<b>\$2,307</b>	<b>\$1,305</b>	<b>\$1,187</b>	<b>\$80,525</b>	<b>\$35,027</b>	<b>\$302</b>	<b>\$8</b>
40 Notes:											
41 1. 2016 October Update APCU Revenues = \$0.49/kWh x 682,412,209 MWh/s = 2,516,070 (2015 October APCU Rate)											
42 2016 October Update APCU Cost of Service (Allocator – Line 14)											
43 2016 October Update APCU Baseline Revenue Requirement Spreads and Rates Development Employing the UE 233 Test Period Figures											
44 2016 Adjusted 2011 Normalized Sales (\$/kWh)											
45 (Mills per kWh)											
46 Projected October Update APCU 2016-2017 Revenues (Line 46 + Line 47)											
47 2. \$0.49 = \$33,322 (2016 October Update) - \$23,44 (2015 October APCU Rate)											
48 Projected October Update APCU 2016-2017 Revenues (Line 46 + Line 47)											

IIdaho Power Company  
Rate Spread Exhibit for March Forecast APCU

General Rate Case (UE 233): Marginal Cost-of-Service Study and Stipulated Revenue Spread												
2011 Test Period												
Line	Description	(A) TOTAL SYSTEM	(B) RESIDENTIAL	(C) GEN SERV	(D) GEN SERV SECONDARY	(E) GEN SERV PRIMARY (B)	(F) GEN SERV TRANS (E)	(G) AREA LIGHTING (E)	(H) LG POWER PRIMARY (E)	(I) LG POWER TRANS (E)	(J) UNINTERFERED GEN SERVICE (E)	
1	Residential Sales (kWh)	\$50,156,071	\$18,462,619	\$18,462,619	\$11,442,986	\$11,442,986	\$15,095,985	\$15,095,985	\$1,791,180,047	\$1,791,180,047	\$16,228,162	
2	Current Revenue	\$39,873,597	\$15,555,932	\$15,555,932	\$11,242,986	\$11,242,986	\$7,982,022	\$7,982,022	\$1,112,462	\$1,112,462	\$12,861,231	
3	General Related Marginal Cost											
4	Generation - Staff Adj.	\$11,049,530	\$4,482,443	\$4,482,443	\$1,671,178	\$1,671,178	\$207,813	\$35,455	\$1,750,415	\$1,483,716	\$158	
5	Transmission - Staff Adj.	\$12,432,118	\$1,593,197	\$1,593,197	\$3,000,984	\$3,000,984	\$130,783	\$39,984	\$2,031,458	\$1,669,382	\$177	
6	Distribution	\$6,346,625	\$2,215,110	\$2,215,110	\$1,215,947	\$1,215,947	\$10	\$5,733	\$791,546	\$50	\$1,312,267	
7	Energy Related Marginal Cost											
8	Generation	\$28,547,020	\$8,240,577	\$8,240,577	\$5,140,232	\$5,140,232	\$564,521	\$1,273,145	\$2,135	\$1,652,010	\$2,079,568	
9	Transmission - Staff Adj.	\$4,146,940	\$1,297,863	\$1,297,863	\$746,184	\$746,184	\$1,004	\$1,112,239	\$409,639	\$301,181	\$83	
10	Customer Related Marginal Cost											
11	Transmission - Staff Adj.	\$12,432,020	\$1,593,197	\$1,593,197	\$3,000,985	\$3,000,985	\$207,813	\$35,456	\$2,031,458	\$1,669,382	\$158	
12	Simple-Summed Energy Related and Demand-Delayed Marginal Costs	\$35,595,154	\$15,576,157	\$15,576,157	\$6,911,410	\$6,911,410	\$887,724	\$151,268	\$9,452,425	\$1,587,965	\$728	
13	Generation Margin Costs - Staff Adj.	\$15,576,157	\$5,881,190	\$5,881,190	\$7,628,484	\$7,628,484	\$323,162	\$55,950	\$119,021	\$1,495,034	\$260	
14	Transmission Margin Costs - Staff Adj.											
15	Customer Related Marginal Cost	\$2,485,903	\$1,367,110	\$1,367,110	\$365,570	\$365,570	\$1,719	\$1,390	\$0	\$1,208	\$245,587	
16	18 Total Functionalized Revenue Requirement											
17	Generation - Staff Adj.	\$25,202,690	\$8,209,003	\$8,209,003	\$561,157	\$561,157	\$545,931	\$91,490	\$14,008	\$6,016,360	\$2,283,721	
18	Distribution	\$4,272,956	\$1,215,367	\$1,215,367	\$510,755	\$510,755	\$64,561	\$14,578	\$65,845	\$596,150	\$1,238	
19	Transmission											
20	24 Distribution	\$4,910,930	\$4,133,937	\$4,133,937	\$1,697,158	\$1,697,158	\$129,585	\$0	\$7,378	\$1,027,247	\$0	
21	26 Customer-Related Allocated Direct Assignment	\$2,855,472	\$2,043,665	\$2,043,665	\$1,820,797	\$1,820,797	\$56,847	\$1,417	\$0	\$15,658	\$1,699,655	
22	27 Allocated	\$149,424	\$88,447	\$88,447	\$1,249,275	\$1,249,275	\$58	\$14	\$76,778	\$83	\$207	
23	28 Direct Assignment											
24	29 Total Staff-Allocated Allocation	\$4,684,482	\$1,633,429	\$1,633,429	\$1,449,425	\$1,449,425	\$56,668	\$747,013	\$11,589	\$7,855,984	\$1,011	
25	30 Revenue Deficiency - Staff Adj. Allocation	\$2,810,960	\$720,687	\$720,687	\$1,519,375	\$1,519,375	\$1,245	\$1,245	\$1,317	\$1,317	\$941,238	
26	31 Increase Required for Staff Adj. Alloc. Approach	\$1,409,959	\$626,677	\$626,677	\$1,257,576	\$1,257,576	\$1,056	\$1,257,576	\$10,086	\$4,425	\$59	
27	32 Increase Recommended per Staff Allocation	\$4,547%	\$6,626%	\$6,626%	\$1,044,533	\$1,044,533	\$2,839%	\$30	\$0	\$2,839%	\$44	
28	33 Increase Recommended per Staff Allocation	\$0.0441	\$0.0416	\$0.0416	\$0.0499	\$0.0499	0.054	\$0.0547	\$0.0471	\$0.0460	\$0.057	
29	34 Average Rate Given Substitution (\$/kWh)	\$4,684,421	\$1,623,280	\$1,623,280	\$1,402,555	\$1,402,555	\$77,492	\$80,700	\$1,153,987	\$2,356,170	\$3,078	
30	35 Spread Floors and Ceilings:											
31	36 No increase for those warranting a decrease greater than 5%.											
32	37 No increase greater than one-and-seventh times the average increase.											
33	38 2016 March Forecast APCU Cost of Service (Allocated - Line 14)	992,472	\$303,395	\$303,395	\$15,684	\$15,684	\$1,982	\$3,468	\$513	\$20,212	\$17	
34	39 Increase Required Due to APCU Proposed Line 42 (Line 15)	\$42,605,953	\$16,571,675	\$16,571,675	\$7,215	\$7,215	\$1,292	\$1,292	\$1,459,756	\$3,205	\$1,657%	
35	40 Proposed Combined Revenue Spread Line 35 & Line 42.	650,158,181	196,342,419	196,342,419	17,842,896	17,842,896	\$1,315,215	\$340,682	\$8,035,422	\$3,432,896	\$1,734%	
36	41 Total Allocated 2016 Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period	1,219	1,526	1,526	1,398	1,398	2,031,509	493,995	179,189,047	74,155,867	\$1,238,184	
37	42 APCU Incremental Rate for 2016 March Forecast (Mills per kWh)	1,340	1,592	1,592	1,323	1,047	1,413	1,157	1,003	1,251	1,022	
38	43 Line 45 (Column A) Line 45 (Line 42)	668,12,129	158,545,481	158,545,481	18,505,215	119,916,508	19,032,992	2,526,070	443,024	163,112,247	105,358,304	\$1,558
39	44 Projected March Forecast APCU 2016-2017 Revenues (Line 47 * Line 48)	392,272	\$202,395	\$202,395	\$156,624	\$19,262	\$1,568	\$513	\$220,212	\$105,776	\$1,215	
40	45 Line 45 (Column A) Line 45 (Line 42)											

Note:

1 2016 March Forecast APCU Revenues = \$1.347/Mwh A 688,412,209 MWh's

2 2016 March Forecast APCU 2016-2017 Revenues = \$1.347/Mwh A

\$ 922,472 [line 49, Column A]

3 2016 March Forecast APCU Cost of Service (Allocated - Line 14)

4 2016 March Forecast APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

5 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

6 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

7 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

8 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

9 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

10 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

11 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

12 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

13 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

14 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

15 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

16 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

17 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

18 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

19 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rates Given 2011 Test Period

**Idaho Power Company**  
**Calculation of Revenue Impact**  
**State of Oregon**  
**Revised October Update / March Forecast Filing**  
**Effective June 1, 2016**

**Summary of Revenue Impact**  
**Current Billed Revenue to Proposed Billed Revenue**

<u>Line No.</u>	<u>Tariff Description</u>	<u>Rate Sch. No.</u>	<u>Average Number of Customers</u>	<u>Normalized Energy (kWh)</u>	<u>Current Billed Revenue</u>	<u>Mills Per kWh</u>	<u>Total Adjustments to Billed Revenue</u>	<u>Proposed Total Billed Revenue</u>	<u>Mills Per kWh</u>	<u>Percent Change Billed to Billed Revenue</u>
1	Residential Service	1	13,694	190,548.481	\$18,948,137	99.44	\$101,181	\$19,049,318	99.97	0.53%
2	Small General Service	7	2,531	18,605.426	\$1,959,491	105.86	\$6,772	\$1,976,283	106.22	0.34%
3	Large General Service	9	913	141,570.970	\$10,924,451	77.17	\$57,228	\$10,981,679	77.57	0.52%
4	Dusk to Dawn Lighting	15	0	443,024	\$110,409	249.22	\$181	\$110,581	249.63	0.16%
5	Large Power Service	19	6	269,471.551	\$16,533,536	61.43	\$75,908	\$16,629,444	61.71	0.46%
6	Agricultural Irrigation Service	24	1,856	66,823,696	\$6,546,289	97.96	\$67	\$6,546,356	97.96	0.00%
7	Unmetered General Service	40	2	5,568	\$537	96.51	\$10	\$547	98.24	1.79%
8	Street Lighting	41	25	922,474	\$105,239	157.45	\$511	\$145,550	157.78	0.21%
9	Traffic Control Lighting	42	8	21,013	\$1,997	95.02	\$7	\$2,004	95.34	0.34%
10	Total Uniform Tariffs		19,035	688,412,209	\$55,290,087	80.18	\$241,665	\$55,441,752	80.54	0.44%
12	Total Oregon Retail Sales									

(1) Updated April 2016-March 2017 Test Year

ORDER NO. 16205

ORDER NO. 203

**Idaho Power Company**  
**Calculation of Revenue Impact**  
**State of Oregon**  
**Revised October Update / March Forecast Filing**  
**Effective June 1, 2016**

**Summary of Revenue Impact - Rates 9, 19, and 24 Distribution Level Detail**  
**Current Billed Revenue to Proposed Billed Revenue**

<u>Line No</u>	<u>Tariff Description</u>	<u>Rate Sch. No.</u>	<u>Average Number of Customers</u>	<u>Normalized Energy (kWh)</u>	<u>Current Billed Revenue</u>	<u>Mills Per kWh</u>	<u>Total Adjustments to Billed Revenue</u>	<u>Proposed Total Billed Revenue</u>	<u>Mills Per kWh</u>	<u>Percent Change Billed to Billed Revenue</u>
<u>Uniform Tariff Rates:</u>										
1	Large General Secondary	9S	907	118,961,908	\$9,421,862	78.54	\$51,344	\$9,473,205	78.97	0.54%
2	Large General Primary	9P	5	19,082,982	\$1,330,473	69.72	\$4,399	\$1,335,072	69.96	0.35%
3	Large General Transmission	9T	1	2,526,070	\$172,117	68.14	\$1,286	\$173,402	68.65	0.75%
4	Total Schedule 9		913	141,570,970	\$10,924,451	77.17	\$57,228	\$10,981,679	77.57	0.52%
6	Large Power Secondary	19S	0	0	\$0	0.00	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00%
7	Large Power Primary	19P	5	163,113,247	\$10,208,256	62.58	\$56,763	\$10,265,019	62.93	0.56%
8	Large Power Transmission	19T	1	106,358,304	\$6,345,281	59.66	\$19,144	\$6,364,425	59.84	0.30%
9	Total Schedule 19		6	269,471,551	\$16,553,536	61.43	\$75,908	\$16,629,444	61.71	0.46%
11	Irrigation Secondary	24S	1,856	66,823,696	\$6,546,289	97.96	\$67	\$6,546,356	97.96	0.00%
12	Irrigation Transmission	24T	0	0	\$0	0.00	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00%
13	Total Schedule 24		1,856	66,823,696	\$6,546,289	97.96	\$67	\$6,546,356	97.96	0.00%