ENTERED:

AUG 12 2014

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UM 1702

In the Matter of

QWEST CORPORATION, dba CENTURYLINK QC,

ORDER

Petition for Approval of 2014 Addition to Non-Impaired Wire Center List.

DISPOSITION:

PETITION GRANTED; NON-IMPAIRED WIRE CENTER

LIST AMENDED

In this order we grant the petition of Qwest Corporation, dba CenturyLink QC (CenturyLink) to approve the addition of CenturyLink's Portland Belmont wire center to the Non-Impaired Wire Center List.

I. BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Under Section 251 (d)(2) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, incumbent local exchange carriers such as CenturyLink (ILECs) are required to make available to Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLECs) certain Unbundled Network Elements (UNEs) in the provision of telecommunications services to the public, until such time as there is a sufficient number of fiber-based co-located service providers available in the designated wire center. Wire centers lacking the requisite number of competitive service providers were said to be competitively "impaired." In 2007, we approved a list of CenturyLink non-impaired wire centers and the procedures for adding wire centers to that list as competitive circumstances changed.²

On June 23, 2014, pursuant to OAR 860-001-0400, CenturyLink filed a Petition for Approval of 2014 Addition to Non-impaired Wire Center List (Petition) based on the number of fiber-based co-located providers in the Portland Belmont wire center. Modified Protective Order 14-244 was entered on June 27, 2014 and on July 7, 2014, CenturyLink then submitted highly confidential information supported by affidavit. On July 9, 2014, Integra Telecom of Oregon, Inc. (Integra) filed a petition to intervene in the proceeding and a prehearing conference was held on July 24, 2014. At the prehearing conference, the Integra petition to intervene was granted and, without objection, representatives of the Commission Staff indicated that from their review, it appeared that the Portland Belmont wire center had at least four fiber-based co-located competitive providers on site.

¹ Order on Remand, In the Matter of Review of Unbundled Access to Network Elements, Review of Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, CC Docket No. 01-338, WC Docket No. 04-313 (FCC re. February 4, 2005).

² See Order Nos. 07-318 (Jul 27, 2007), and 07-328 (Jul 31, 2007), In the Matter of Covad Communications Company, et al Request for Commission Approval of Non-Impairment Wire Center List.

The administrative law judge adopted a schedule setting August 6, 2014 as the last day for filing objections to the CenturyLink petition. On August 6, Integra filed comments indicating that it had reviewed the information provided by Centurylink and was unopposed to the petition. No other comments on the petition were received by the Commission.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

The Commission has reviewed the petition, affidavit, and supporting exhibits and finds that the proposed designation of the Portland Belmont wire center, when analyzed in accordance with the criteria set forth in previous Commission orders, is supported by the record.

III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

In light of the findings of fact set forth above, the proposed designation of the Portland Belmont wire center complies with the applicable federal and state statutes, rules regulations and case law.

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Petition for Approval of 2014 Addition to Non-impaired Wire Center List filed by Qwest Corporation, dba CenturyLink QC is granted.
- 2. The List of Non-Impaired Wire Centers is amended by the addition of the following wire center:

Wire	CCLI Code	Non-Impairment	Non-Impaired
Center	•	Classification	Elements
Portland	PLTDOR13	Tier 1	DS1, DS3 Transport
Belmont			and Dark Fiber

Made, entered, and effective

AUG 12 2014

Susan K. Ackerman

Chair

John Savage

Commissioner

Stephen M. Bloom Commissioner

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order by filing a petition for review with the Court of Appeals in compliance with ORS 183.480 through 183.484.