14 12

ENTERED

APR 1 5 2014

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

ARB 715(3), ARB 1061, ARB 1062

In the Matter of

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF,

ORDER

Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments Submitted Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

DISPOSITION: STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION ADOPTED

At its Public Meeting on April 15, 2014, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon adopted Staff's recommendation in this matter. The Staff Report with the recommendation is attached as Appendix A.

BY THE COMMISSION:

Recky L. Beier Commission Secretary

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order by filing a petition for review with the Court of Appeals in compliance with ORS 183.480 through 183.484.

ITEM NO. CA6

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF REPORT PUBLIC MEETING DATE: April 15, 2014

REGULAR	CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATE N	/A
DATE:	April 3, 2014	
TO:	Public Utility Commission	
FROM:	Armando Fimbres Alba Bason Eisdorfer, Bryan Conway, and Kay Marinos	
THROUGH:	Jason Eisdorfer, Bryan Conway, and Kay Marinos	
SUBJECT:	OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Reque Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments:	submitted

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve the new negotiated interconnection agreements and the amendment to a previously approved interconnection agreement listed below, with the agreements and amendment to be considered legally enforceable on the date of Commission approval.

DISCUSSION:

47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e) (Section 252) require that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. See Section 252(e)(2).

ARB Amendments or Agreement April 3, 2014 Page 2

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto is not legally enforceable until approved by a state commission. See Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, although the contracting parties may state in the agreement that each will abide by the agreement prior to its approval by the Commission, the legally enforceable date under Section 252 of any submitted agreement or amendment is the date the Commission approves it.

Staff has reviewed the following new agreements and amendment to a previously approved agreement submitted for Commission approval:

Docket	Parties to the Amendment or Agreements
ARB 715(3)	Zayo Group, LLC and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 1061	MegaPath Corporation and United Telephone Company of the
	Northwest d/b/a CenturyLink
ARB 1062	BCN Telecom, Inc. and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC

Staff recommends approval of the agreements and amendment. Staff finds that the agreements and amendment do not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreements or amendment.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

The new agreements and amendment to a previously approved agreement listed above be approved.

ca6- ARB Agreement_040314.docx