

ENTERED APR 01 2014

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

ARB 547(1), ARB 1055

In the Matter of

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF
OREGON STAFF,Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection
Agreements and Amendments Submitted
Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996.

ORDER

DISPOSITION: STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION AS CORRECTED ADOPTED

At its Public Meeting on April 1, 2014, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon adopted Staff's recommendation in this matter, as corrected to read:

ARB 547(1) T-Mobile USA, Inc. and CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc.
d/b/a CenturyLink, and CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc. d/b/a
CenturyLink

The Staff Report with the recommendation is attached as Appendix A.¹



BY THE COMMISSION:

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Becky L. Beier".

Becky L. Beier
Commission Secretary

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order under ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-001-0720. A copy of the request must also be served on each party to the proceedings as provided in OAR 860-001-0180(2). A party may appeal this order by filing a petition for review with the Court of Appeals in compliance with ORS 183.480 through 183.484.

¹ The names of the parties to the first amendment for docket ARB 547 in the Staff Report, page 2 of Appendix A, is corrected from Cricket Communications, Inc. and Pioneer Telephone Cooperative to T-Mobile USA, Inc. and CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink, and CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink.

ITEM NO. CA1

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON
STAFF REPORT
PUBLIC MEETING DATE: April 1, 2014

REGULAR _____ CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATE _____ N/A

DATE: March 19, 2014

TO: Public Utility Commission

FROM: Armando Fimbres

THROUGH: Jason Eisdorfer, Bryan Conway, and Kay Marinos

SUBJECT: OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve the new negotiated interconnection agreement and the amendment to a previously approved interconnection agreement listed below, with the agreement and amendment to be considered legally enforceable on the date of Commission approval.

DISCUSSION:

47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e) (Section 252) require that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. See Section 252(e)(2).

ARB Amendment or Agreement
March 19, 2014
Page 2

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto is not legally enforceable until approved by a state commission. See Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, although the contracting parties may state in the agreement that each will abide by the agreement prior to its approval by the Commission, the legally enforceable date under Section 252 of any submitted agreement or amendment is the date the Commission approves it.

Staff has reviewed the following new agreement and amendment to a previously approved agreement submitted for Commission approval:

Docket	Parties to the Amendment or Agreement
ARB 547(1)	Cricket Communications, Inc. and Pioneer Telephone Cooperative
ARB 1055	Onvoy, Inc. and Citizens Telecommunications Company of Oregon

Staff recommends approval of the agreement and amendment. Staff finds that the agreement and amendment do not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreement or amendment.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

The new agreement and the amendment to a previously approved agreement listed above be approved.

Ca1- ARB Agreement_031914.docx