

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1017(2)

In the Matter of

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF
OREGON

Expansion of the Oregon Universal Service
Fund to Include the Service Areas of Rural
Telecommunications Carriers, Extension of
Memorandum of Understanding.

ORDER

DISPOSITION: EXTENSION GRANTED

On March 18, 2009, the Oregon Exchange Carrier Association, the Oregon Telecommunications Association, and the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) Staff filed a joint Motion to extend the effective date of the existing Memorandum of Understanding for another three years and to maintain the current support per line amounts for three years. There were no objections received from parties to the docket. A description of the case procedural history and details of the request are contained in the Staff Report, attached as Appendix A, and incorporated by reference.

At its public meeting on June 16, 2009, the Commission adopted Staff's recommendation to adopt the Motion to Extend the Effective Date of the UM 1017 Memorandum of Understanding.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:


1. The Motion to Extend the Effective Date of the Memorandum of Understanding is granted.
2. The rural companies' support per line amounts, shown in Appendix B, effective with the July 2009 Oregon Universal Service Fund, are approved.

3. The Oregon Exchange Carrier Association and the rural companies shall apply the projected annual Oregon Universal Service Fund support, based on the revised support per line, as an offset first to the rural companies' intrastate Carrier Common Line revenue requirement, contained in the Oregon Exchange Carrier Association's pending 2009 annual access charge filing, and then to other services as specified in the Stipulation adopted in Order No. 03-082.

Made, entered, and effective JUN 23 2009.



Lee Beyer
Chairman



John Savage
Commissioner



Ray Baum
Commissioner



ITEM NO. 2

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON
STAFF REPORT
PUBLIC MEETING DATE: June 16, 2009

REGULAR X CONSENT _____ EFFECTIVE DATE June 17, 2009

DATE: June 5, 2009

TO: Public Utility Commission

FROM: Roger White *RW*

THROUGH: *li* Lee Sparling and *BR* Bryan Conway

SUBJECT: OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF:
(Docket No. UM 1017) Expansion of the Oregon Universal Service Fund to include the Service Areas of Rural Telecommunications Carriers, extension of Memorandum of Understanding.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission adopt the "Motion to Extend the Effective Date on UM 1017 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)" (Motion). The Motion, included as Appendix A, asks for a Commission order extending the existing MOU for an additional three years. Staff also recommends the Commission approve the ongoing line support amounts that were established for the incumbent rural telecommunications companies (rural companies) by the existing MOU. The support per line amounts that staff is requesting to be extended are shown in Appendix B.

DISCUSSION:

Background Information

The Commission on February 3, 2003, in docket UM 1017, issued Order No. 03-082, which expanded the Oregon Universal Service Fund (OUSF) to include the rural companies. Order No. 03-082 adopted a stipulation that outlined the methodology for computing company specific support per line based on each company's cost of service, the amount of federal support the company is receiving, and the benchmark rate¹. The stipulation also specified that the first priority of the OUSF support is to reduce the Carrier Common Line (CCL) Access element revenue requirement. This stipulation also

¹ Before any rural company can receive support, its cost of providing a loop, calculated using the separations rules defined in 47 CFR Part 36, less any federal USF support, less federal interstate loop support, must exceed a twenty-one dollar benchmark. The per line support amount that the company receives is the difference between its adjusted cost for a loop and the benchmark.

UM 1017 Extension of the 2006 MOU
June 5, 2009
Page 2

established the maximum time interval between updates of the embedded cost studies that produce the support per line. This was established in Paragraph 5 of the stipulation:

The interval for reviewing and updating the embedded cost calculations will not be longer than three years, unless extended by the Commission. Companies may request, or the Commission may initiate, a more frequent review, but not more frequently than once a calendar year. A company requesting a more frequent review will do so by November 15 for the previous calendar year. The OUSF study area support per line per month amount will remain unchanged until the next embedded cost review.

Staff conducted the first embedded cost studies in 2003. These studies were used to establish each of the rural company's monthly, OUSF support per line² that existed until 2006.

2006 Memorandum of Understanding:

In 2006 Staff updated each company's support per line based on the company's costs found in the 2004 Form I. Based on staff's updated cost review, the increase in the rural companies' support per line showed the annual disbursement from the fund to them would increase from \$8.9 to \$16.3 million, an 81 percent increase. Based on this study the OUSF surcharge rate would have increased by from 7.12 percent to 7.87 percent effective January 1, 2007.

Staff was concerned with the magnitude of the increase in the surcharge rate and conducted a workshop on March 8, 2006, to address the problem. This workshop was followed by another workshop on March 30, 2006, during which the rural companies elected to go along with staff's proposal to limit the overall increase for the rural companies to 15 percent and to allow staff to develop the support per line for each company based on this restriction.

The following bullets summarize the key points of the 2006 MOU:

- Based on the then current line counts, the projected distribution to rural companies was allowed to increase from \$8.9 million to just under \$10.3 million.

² The definition of basic service is found at ORS 860-032-0190(2), which states "basic telephone service" means retail telecommunications service that is single party, has voice grade or equivalent transmission parameters and tone-dialing capability, provides local exchange calling, and gives customer access to, but does not include extended area service (EAS), long distance service, relay service for the hearing and speech impaired, operator service such as call completion assistance, special billing arrangements, service and trouble assistance, and billing inquiry, directory assistance and emergency 9-1-1 services including E-9-1-1 where available.

UM 1017 Extension of the 2006 MOU
June 5, 2009
Page 3

- The support-per-line amounts for each of the rural companies was set for the next three years based on an allocation of the \$10.3 million. The allocation ratio was established using the 2004 Form I information for each company.
- The support per line amounts were to stay fixed until the next triennial review in 2009.
- Any party was allowed to file a petition³ to seek Commission review of the OUSF plan upon:
 - Issuance of an FCC order changing the federal contribution method, unless such change can only be implemented through legislation.
 - Issuance of an FCC order changing the intercarrier compensation mechanism if such order may have an effect on intrastate access charges or OUSF issues.
 - An increase to the contribution base or a decrease in the number of Eligible Telecommunication Carriers receiving support from the OUSF such that increasing the support per line does not increase the contribution or surcharge rates.
- The Commission's Order No. 03-082 in UM 1017 would not be changed by the MOU except for the interim limitation on the OUSF support per line calculation.

2009 Memorandum of Understanding:

On March 18, 2009, Mike Weirich, the Attorney for Commission Staff, and Richard Finnegan, the Attorney for the Oregon Telecommunications Association and the Oregon Exchange Carrier Association, signed a Motion to extend the effective date of the existing MOU for another three years and to maintain the current support per line amounts for three years as well. Prior to signing, all parties to the MOU were contacted to determine if any of them objected to the extension; none filed an objection.

If the Motion had not been signed, the support per line amounts would have been updated to reflect the current costs of the rural companies and those costs would have triggered a docket to review ways to control the increase in the surcharge rate. Richard Finnegan proposed the extension of the MOU for a number of reasons: his concern

³ The parties to the MOU agree that the interim limitation will not automatically terminate because a petition is filed, but will continue until the Commission issues a final order, which grants, denies, or takes other appropriate final action upon the petition.

UM 1017 Extension of the 2006 MOU
June 5, 2009
Page 4

about the substantial increase in the draw of the rural companies⁴ and the resulting increase in the OUSF surcharge, uncertainty about what is going to happen at the federal level, and the cost associated with a docket.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

The Commission:

- adopt the Motion to Extend the Effective Date of the UM 1017 Memorandum of Understanding; and
- issue an order:
 - approving the rural companies' support per line amounts shown in Appendix B effective with the July 2009 OUSF distributions; and
 - instructing OECA and the rural companies to apply the projected annual OUSF support, based on the revised support per line, as an offset first to the rural companies' intrastate CCL revenue requirement, contained in OECA's pending 2009 annual access charge filing⁵, and then to other services as specified in the stipulation adopted in Order No. 03-082.

UM 1017 MOU EXT/rw

⁴ Based on the triennial review just completed by staff, disbursements to the small companies would have increased from \$10.3 million to \$25.6 million, up by \$9.3 million since the 2006 review, and the surcharge rate would have increased to over 9% from the current 7.12%.

⁵ OECA Advices 108 and 109, effective July 1, 2009, are scheduled for the June 30, 2009, Public Meeting.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

UM 1017

In the Matter of the Investigation into
Expansion of the Oregon Universal Service
Fund to Include the Service Areas of Rural
Telecommunications Carriers.

**MOTION TO EXTEND EFFECTIVE DATE
ON MEMORANDUM OF
UNDERSTANDING**

This Motion to Extend Effective Date on Memorandum of Understanding ("Motion") is brought jointly by the Public Utility Commission of Oregon Staff ("Staff"), the Oregon Exchange Carrier Association ("OECA") on behalf of its members and the Oregon Telecommunications Association ("OTA") on behalf of its members. Appendix A lists the members of OECA and OTA.

BACKGROUND

In Order No. 03-082 entered in this Docket, the Commission established the initial Oregon Universal Service Fund ("OUSF") support for rural telephone companies. The Commission further directed that a triennial review of the costs of the companies drawing from the rural company portion of the OUSF be undertaken.

In 2006, the first triennial review was undertaken. This review indicated that a substantial increase in the draw from the OUSF was a probable outcome of the review. Because of the effect that a substantial increase in the draw would have on the surcharge rate for the OUSF, various


**MOTION TO EXTEND EFFECTIVE DATE ON
MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING - 1**

Law Office of
Richard A. Finnigan
2112 Black Lake Blvd. SW
Olympia, WA 98512
(360) 956-7001

1 the completion of the next triennial review or upon the happening of other conditions as specified in
2 the Memorandum of Understanding.

3 Respectfully submitted this 16th day of March, 2009.

5 By: _____
6 MICHAEL T. WEIRICH, OSB No. 82425
7 Attorney for Commission Staff

8 By:  _____
9 RICHARD A. FINNIGAN, OSB No. 965357
10 Attorney for the Oregon Telecommunications
11 Association and the Oregon Exchange Carrier
12 Association

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 MOTION TO EXTEND EFFECTIVE DATE ON
MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING - 3

Law Office of
Richard A. Finnigan
2112 Black Lake Blvd. SW
Olympia, WA 98512
(360) 956-7001

APPENDIX A

Oregon Exchange Carrier Association

Asotin Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom
Beaver Creek Cooperative Telephone Company
Canby Telephone Association d/b/a Canby Telcom
Cascade Utilities, Inc. d/b/a Reliance Connects
CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc.
CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc.
Citizens Telecommunications Company of Oregon d/b/a Frontier Communications of Oregon
Clear Creek Mutual Telephone Company
Colton Telephone Company d/b/a Coltontel
Eagle Telephone System, Inc.
Gervais Telephone Company
Helix Telephone Company
Home Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom
Midvale Telephone Exchange
Molalla Communications Company
Monitor Cooperative Telephone Company
Monroe Telephone Company
Mt. Angel Telephone Company
Nehalem Telecommunications, Inc.
North-State Telephone Co.
Oregon-Idaho Utilities, Inc.
Oregon Telephone Corporation
People's Telephone Co.
Pine Telephone System, Inc.
Pioneer Telephone Cooperative
Roome Telecommunications Inc.
St. Paul Cooperative Telephone Association
Scio Mutual Telephone Association
Stayton Cooperative Telephone Company
Trans-Cascades Telephone Company

MONTHLY PER LINE SUPPORT

Ln. No.	Company	Current Support/ln/mo
1	Asotin	\$0.00
2	Beaver Creek	\$8.10
3	Canby	\$4.20
4	Cascade Utilities	\$2.71
5	CenturyTel	\$4.26
6	Citizens	\$3.53
7	Clear Creek	\$2.51
8	Colton	\$0.00
9	Eagle	\$7.24
10	Gervais	\$6.20
11	Helix	\$10.95
12	Home	\$1.95
13	Malheur	\$4.39
14	Midvale	\$0.00
15	Molalla	\$7.68
16	Monitor	\$9.21
17	Monroe	\$11.53
18	Mount Angel	\$0.26
19	Nehalem	\$1.75
20	North State	\$0.22
21	Oregon	\$0.00
22	Oregon-Idaho	\$8.43
23	Peoples	\$0.00
24	Pine	\$0.00
25	Pioneer	\$2.75
26	Roome	\$13.61
27	Scio	\$0.00
28	Sprint	\$2.94
29	Stayton	\$2.35
30	St. Paul	\$3.76
31	TransCascades	\$0.00