BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

ARB 69(1), ARB 370(1 & 2), ARB 790, ARB 794, ARB 795, ARB 798

In the Matter of)	
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF,)))	ORDER
Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments Submitted Pursuant to)	
Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.)	

DISPOSITION: AGREEMENTS AND AMENDMENTS APPROVED

The Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) Staff recommended approval of certain negotiated interconnection agreements and amendments submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). The identification of the agreements and amendments and the basis for Staff's recommendation are set forth in a Public Meeting Report, which is attached as Appendix A and incorporated by reference.

At the May 8, 2007, Public Meeting, the Commission adopted Staff's recommendation and approved the identified agreements and amendments. The Commission Staff concluded that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreements and amendments. Accordingly, all agreements and amendments listed in Staff report are approved, effective from the date of the public meeting.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the agreements and amendments identified in Appendix A are approved.

Made, entered, and effective _____

MAY 1 5 2007

Michael Grant

Chief Administrative Law Judge Administrative Hearings Division

A party may request rehearing or reconsideration of this order pursuant to ORS 756.561. A request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed with the Commission within 60 days of the date of service of this order. The request must comply with the requirements in OAR 860-014-0095. A copy of any such request must also be served on each party to the proceeding as provided by OAR 860-013-0070(2). A party may appeal this order to a court pursuant to applicable law.

ITEM NO. CA6

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF REPORT PUBLIC MEETING DATE: May 8, 2007

REGULAR	CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATE N/A	
DATE:	April 26, 2007	
то:	Public Utility Commission	
FROM:	Celeste Hari	
THROUGH:	Lee Sparling, Dave Booth, and Kay Marinos	
SUBJECT:	OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments Submitted Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.	

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve the negotiated interconnection agreements and amendments listed below.

DISCUSSION:

Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act) requires that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. See Section 252(e)(2).

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, the effective date of any submitted agreement will be the date the Commission signs an



Arbitration Agreements April 26, 2007 Page 2

order approving it, and any provision stating that the parties' agreement is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff has reviewed the following negotiated interconnection agreements submitted for Commission approval:

Docket	Parties to the Agreement
ARB 69(1)	Indigenous Telephone, Inc. f/k/a Village Telephone, Inc. and Qwest Corporation
ARB 370(1&2)	Sprint Communications Limited Partnership and Verizon
	Northwest Inc.
ARB 790	WTI, LLC and Qwest Corporation
ARB 794	Alyricatel, Inc. and Qwest Corporation
ARB 795	Axxis Communications, Inc. and Qwest Corporation
ARB 798	Airespring, Inc. and Verizon Northwest Inc.

Staff recommends approval of these agreements. Staff finds that the agreements neither discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers nor appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreements.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

The negotiated interconnection agreements and amendments listed above be approved.

ARB Agreements

APPENDIX APPENDIX PAGE 2 OF 2