CASE: UM 1802 WITNESS: BRITTANY ANDRUS

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

STAFF EXHIBIT 300

Cross-Response Testimony

Docket No: UM 1802 Staff/300 Andrus/1

Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.

A. My name is Brittany Andrus. I am a Senior Utility Analyst employed in the Energy Resources and Planning Division of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (OPUC). My business address is 201 High Street SE., Suite 100, Salem, Oregon 97301.

Q. Have you previously provided testimony in this case?

A. Yes, I provided testimony on May 5, 2017 in response to PacifiCorp's January 2017 initial opening testimony. I also provided testimony on August 14, 2017 in response to PacifiCorp's supplemental opening testimony filed July 21, 2017.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

A. I provide brief responses to the August 14, 2017 response testimony of Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (ICNU) and the testimony of the Renewable Energy Coalition (Coalition) and Community Renewable Energy Association (CREA).

Q. Please summarize the Staff positions.

A. Staff takes the following positions, which have not changed since the August 14, 2017 testimony:

- Questions regarding the year of PacifiCorp's renewable resource deficiency, and the avoided cost to be used during that deficiency period, are out of the scope of this docket, which is to address whether and how to calculate nonstandard renewable avoided cost prices.
- 2. Nonstandard renewable avoided cost prices should be offered to any QF technology, and should not be restricted to the next "like" resource in the IRP. Because PacifiCorp states repeatedly that its PDDRR methodology cannot be used for all QF technologies, the Commission should direct PacifiCorp to offer nonstandard renewable avoided cost prices using the method employed prior to the opening of this docket,

Docket No: UM 1802 Staff/300 Andrus/2

which is that PacifiCorp is allowed to adjust standard avoided cost prices based on the FERC-announced factors on which avoided costs are based.

- 3. The market floor should be retained during the sufficiency period until such time as PacifiCorp demonstrates that a specific QF is unable to get to a market for sale, or that it creates the situation in which PacifiCorp is forced to back down a thermal resource.
- 4. Only QFs with executed contracts should be included in the queue when calculating a nonstandard avoided cost price. There may be merit in examining the possibility of establishing milestones and other requirements in the nonstandard pricing and contract process, but Staff does not believe that the process should be subject to change until issues of avoided resources and deficiency have been addressed.
- Q. Please explain whether parties responding in this round of testimony take positions similar to or different from Staff.
- A. The Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities, the Renewable Energy
 Coalition (REC), and Community Renewable Energy Association (CREA) also
 filed testimony on August 14, 2017. ICNU recommends that the "Commission
 keep the current pricing stream in place for renewable fixed avoided cost
 prices, with a 2028 RPS deficiency period" until "there is greater clarify
 surrounding the new wind in the Company's IRP."

 Coalition/CREA and
 ICNU urge the Commission to reject PacifiCorp's "like-for-like" proposal set out
 in its initial testimony and to reject PacifiCorp's revised proposal under which
 resources procured for economic reasons, ahead of PacifiCorp's regulatory
 compliance need, would not impact PacifiCorp's avoided cost prices.

 The
 Coalition/CREA recommend the Commission "simply direct PacifiCorp to use
 its PDPDDR methodology to generate a non-standard avoided cost rate

¹ ICNU/200, Mullins/4.

² REC-CREA/200, Lowe-Skeahan/14.

Docket No: UM 1802 Staff/300 Andrus/3

according to existing Commission policy, and save these policy questions for a more appropriate forum." ICNU recommends that the "commission keep the current pricing stream in place for renewable fixed avoided cost prices, with a 2028 RPS deficiency period."

Q. Does Staff agree with the testimony of ICNU and the Coalition/REC?

A. Staff agrees that PacifiCorp's proposals should be rejected and notes that the rationale offered by the Coalition/REC is similar to that provided by Staff.

However, Staff does not agree that the Commission should allow PacifiCorp to continue with the PDDRR method. PacifiCorp's testimony reflects that the PDDRR method has limited adaptability and cannot be used for all resource types. In this circumstance, Staff recommends that the Commission require PacifiCorp to use a method that is adaptable and can be used for all resource types, which is to base non-standard prices on the standard price, with adjustments to take into account the individual characteristics of the contracting QF as allowed under FERC's rules.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes.

³ REC-CREA/200, Lowe-Skeahan/14.

⁴ ICNU/200, Mullins/4.

_