

March 12, 2014

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Public Utility Commission of Oregon 3930 Fairview Industrial Drive SE Salem, Oregon 97302-1166

Attn: Filing Center

Re: UM 1633—PacifiCorp's Rebuttal Testimony

PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power (PacifiCorp or Company) submits for filing its rebuttal testimony in the above-referenced proceeding.

Informal questions concerning this filing may be directed to Gary Tawwater, Manager, Regulatory Affairs, at (503) 813-6805.

Sincerely,

R. Bryce Dalley

Vice President, Regulation

Enclosures

cc: Service List-UM 1633

Docket No. UM 1633 Exhibit PAC/100 Witness: Douglas K. Stuver

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

PACIFICORP

Rebuttal Testimony of Douglas K. Stuver

March 2014

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and present position with
2		PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power (PacifiCorp or Company).
3	A.	My name is Douglas K. Stuver and my business address is 825 NE Multnomah
4		St., Suite 1900, Portland, Oregon, 97232. My present position is Senior Vice
5		President and Chief Financial Officer.
6	Q.	What are your responsibilities as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial
7		Officer?
8	A.	My primary responsibilities include the accounting, treasury, tax, financial
9		planning and analysis, external financial reporting, and internal audit functions for
10		PacifiCorp.
11	Q.	Have you previously testified in this proceeding?
12	A.	Yes. I am one of witnesses on behalf of the Joint Utilities in this proceeding. My
13		credentials were provided in the Joint Utilities' direct testimony.1
14		SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY
15	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
16	A.	My testimony responds to the reply testimony of the Public Utility Commission of
17		Oregon (Commission) Staff, the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon (CUB), and
18		the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities and Northwest Industrial Gas
19		Users (ICNU/NWIGU) in this docket. This testimony supplements the joint
20		rebuttal testimony filed by the investor-owned utilities. I address only factual
21		issues specifically related to PacifiCorp.

Joint Testimony/101, Stuver/1.

CUB states that PacifiCorp's actual pension expense was negative from 1998 1 Q. through 2005.² Is CUB's assertion correct? 2 3 A. No, CUB is incorrect. For 1998 through 2005, PacifiCorp's actual FAS 87 expense totaled \$137 million, excluding special charges.³ PacifiCorp had only 4 two years with negative FAS 87 expense during that period: \$11.6 million of 5 6 negative FAS 87 expense for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001, and \$11.0 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002.⁴ 7 8 Q. CUB also states that customers should have received the negative FAS 87 9 expense during that period. Did PacifiCorp give customers the benefit of 10 negative FAS 87 expense during that time? 11 Yes. CUB incorrectly assumes that PacifiCorp did not reflect negative FAS 87 A. 12 expense in rates.⁵ PacifiCorp's practice has been to reflect in rates the FAS 87 13 expense determined by its actuaries for the applicable test periods, including any negative FAS 87 expense. For example, in PacifiCorp's general rate case filed in 14 docket UE 116, PacifiCorp's test period revenue requirement was reduced by a 15 16 negative pension expense of \$11.3 million. This amount was not challenged in 17 the settlement in UE 116. This coincides with negative FAS 87 expense of \$11.0 million for the year ended March 31, 2002.⁶ 18

² CU B/100, Jenks-McGovern/10. In CUB's reply testimony, references made to 2005 represent PacifiCorp's fiscal year ended March 31, 2006. All references to 2005 in this testimony also address that fiscal year.

⁵ CUB/100, Jenks-McGovern/14, 16.

³ See attached Exhibit PAC/101.

⁴ *Id*.

⁶ In the Matter of PacifiCorp PacifiCorp's Proposal to Restructure and Reprice its Services in Accordance with the Provisions of SB 1149, Order No. 01-787 (Sept. 7, 2001).

1	Q.	Was negative FAS 87 expense a significant contributor towards the creation
2		of and growth in the prepaid pension asset balance?
3	A.	No. Because only \$22.6 million of negative FAS 87 expense has occurred since
4		1998, it was not a significant contributor towards the growth in PacifiCorp's
5		prepaid pension asset balance. Furthermore, customers benefited from these
6		negative FAS 87 expense amounts as described above.
7	Q.	CUB states that PacifiCorp carried an average accrued pension liability of
8		\$63 million per year from 1998 through 2005 that was not used to reduce rate
9		base. 7 Is CUB's statement a fair description of PacifiCorp's average accrued
10		position?
11	A.	No. Although CUB is correct that PacifiCorp carried an average accrued liability
12		of \$63 million per year for that period, CUB fails to tell the entire story. From
13		2006 through 2012, PacifiCorp had an average prepaid pension asset of
14		\$141 million that also was not included in rate base. Furthermore, over the period
15		from 1998 through 2012, PacifiCorp had an average prepaid pension asset of
16		\$32 million.
17		PacifiCorp used FAS 87 for accounting purposes after its adoption in
18		1987, but did not use FAS 87 for ratemaking purposes until 1998. In that 10-year
19		period, FAS 87 expense exceeded contributions, leading to the accumulation of
20		\$87 million of FAS 87 expense in excess of contributions as a regulatory asset on
21		a total-company basis. In 2001, the Commission did not allow recovery of this
22		amount, citing Staff's testimony describing it as a non-recurring cost resulting

from the transition from recovery of pension expense in rates on a cash

⁷ CUB/100, Jenks-McGovern/10, lines 9-10.

23

- 1 contribution basis to FAS-87-based recovery in 1998. PacifiCorp's average
- 2 accrued liability from 1998 to 2005 reflected this \$87 million of FAS 87 expense,
- and its current prepaid pension asset continues to be reduced by this amount, even
- 4 though the \$87 million was never recovered from Oregon customers.
- 5 Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- 6 A. Yes.

⁸ Order No. 01-787 at 42.

Docket No. UM 1633 Exhibit PAC/101 Witness: Douglas K. Stuver

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

PACIFICORP

Exhibit Accompanying Rebuttal Testimony of Douglas K. Stuver

March 2014

PacifiCorp Exhibit PAC/101 (Dollars in millions)

	1998	03/31/00	03/31/01	03/	/31/02	03	3/31/03	03/31/04	03/31/05	03/31/06	Total
FAS 87 (expense) benefit and special charges ¹	\$ (133.6)	\$ (20.4)	\$ (63.2) \$	11.0	\$	1.9	\$ (18.9)	\$ (35.8)) \$ (60.6)	\$ (319.5)
Remove special charges	 110.9	(4.1)	74.8		-		(4.1)	-	-	5.5	183.0
FAS 87 (expense) benefit, excluding special charges	\$ (22.7)	\$ (24.5)	\$ 11.6	\$	11.0	\$	(2.2)	\$ (18.9)	\$ (35.8)) \$ (55.1)	\$ (136.5)

¹Per Staff Exhibit 102, page 10.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, in Docket UM 1633, on the date indicated below by email and/or US Mail, addressed to said parties at his or her last-known address(es) indicated below.

Tommy A. Brooks (W) (C)
Cable Huston Benedict Haagensen &Lloyd
1001 SW Fifth Ave, Suite 2000
Portland, OR 97204-1136
tbrooks@cablehuston.com

OPUC Dockets (W) Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97205 dockets@oregoncub.com

G. Catriona McCracken (W) (C) Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97205 catriona@oregoncub.com

E-Filing (W)
Northwest Natural
220 NW 2nd Ave
Portland, OR 97209
efiling@nwnatural.com

Douglas C. Tingey (W) (C) Portland General Electric 121 SW Salmon St. – 1WTC1301 Portland, OR 97204 doug.tingey@pgn.com

R. Bryce Dalley (W) (C) Pacific Power 825 NE Multnomah St, Ste 2000 Portland, OR 97232 bryce.dalley@pacificorp.com

Regulatory Dockets (W) Idaho Power Company PO Box 70 Boise, ID 83707-0070 dockets@idahopower.com

Lisa F. Rackner (W) (C) McDowell Rackner & Gibson PC 419 SW 11th Ave., Suite 400 Portland, OR 97205 dockets@mcd-law.com Chad M. Stokes (W) (C)
Cable Huston Benedict Haagensen &Lloyd
1001 SW Fifth Ave, Suite 2000
Portland, OR 97204-1136
cstokes@cablehuston.com

Robert Jenks (W) (C) Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97205 bob@oregoncub.com

Mark R. Thompson (W) (C) Northwest Natural 220 NW 2nd Ave Portland, OR 97209 Mark.thompson@nwnatural.com

Jay Tinker (W) (C)
Portland General Electric
121 SW Salmon St – 1WTC-0702
Portland, OR 97204
Pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com

Oregon Dockets (W)
Pacific Power
825 NE Multnomah St, Ste 2000
Portland, OR 97232
oregondockets@pacificorp.com

Sarah Wallace (W) (C)
Pacific Power
825 NE Multnomah St, Ste 1800
Portland, OR 97232
Sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com

Lisa D. Nordstrom (W) (C) Idaho Power Company PO Box 70 Boise, ID 83707-0070 Inordstrom@idahopower.com

Brian Bahr (W) (C) Public Utility Commission of Oregon PO Box 1088 Salem, OR 97308-1088 brian.bahr@state.or.us Jason W. Jones (W) (C) Oregon Department of Justice Business Activities Section 1162 Court St NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 jason.w.jones@state.or.us

Patrick Ehrbar (W) (C) Avista Utilities PO Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220-3727 pat.ehrbar@avistacorp.com

Irion A. Sanger (W) (C) Davison Van Cleve 333 SW Taylor, Ste 400 Portland, OR 97204 ias@dyclaw.com

Edward Finklea (W) (C) Northwest Industrial Gas Users 326 Fifth Street Lake Oswego, OR 97034 efinklea@nwigu.org

Michael Parvinen (W) (C) Cascade Natural Gas 8113 W. Grandridge Blvd. Kennewick, WA 99336 Michael.parvinen@cngc.com

Ralph Smith (W) (C) Larkin & Associates PLLC 15728 Farmington Rd. Livonia, MI 48154 rsmithla@aol.com Elizabeth Andrews (W) (C) Avista Utilities PO Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220-3727 Liz.andrews@avistacorp.com

David J. Meyer (W) (C) Avista Corporation PO Box 3727 Spokane, WA 99220-3727 david.meyer@avistacorp.com

S. Bradley Van Cleve (W) (C)
Davison Van Cleve PC
333 SW Taylor, Ste 400
Portland, OR 97204
bvc@dvclaw.com

Pamela Archer (W) (C) Cascade Natural Gas 8113 W. Grandridge Blvd. Kennewick, WA 99336 Pamela.archer@cngc.com

Maryalice Rosales (W) (C) Cascade Natural Gas 8113 W. Grandridge Blvd. Kennewick, WA 99336 Maryalice.rosales@cngc.com

DATED: March 12, 2014

Amy Eissler

Coordinator, Regulatory Operations