

Public Utility Commission

201 High St SE Suite 100

Salem, OR 97301

Mailing Address: PO Box 1088

Salem, OR 97308-1088

Consumer Services

1-800-522-2404

Local: 503-378-6600

Administrative Services

503-373-7394

January 29, 2016

Via Electronic Filing

OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ATTENTION: FILING CENTER PO BOX: 1088 SALEM OR 97308-1088

RE: <u>Docket No. UM 1623</u> – In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY Application for Deferral Accounting of Excess Pension Costs and Carrying Costs on Cash Contributions.

Attached for filing are electronic copies of Staff's Errata pages of Opening Testimony filed January 22, 2016.

- the numbers in the third column (lightly shaded) in the figure of Staff/100 Bahr/11, has been replaced.
- Staff/100 Bahr 15, Line 19 20 "Columbia Crossing" as been changed to "Cascade Crossing", also lightly shaded.

/s/ Kay Barnes Kay Barnes PUC- Utility Program (503) 378-5763 kay.barnes@state.or.us 1

Figure 3. Annual Returns on S&P 500, 1987-2015

rigaro or Ammaarike	tarrio ori oar ooo,	1007 2010
<u>Year</u>	Return	<u>Change</u> (percentage points)*
1987	5.81%	
1988	16.54%	10.73
1989	31.48%	14.94
1990	-3.06%	-34.54
1991	30.23%	33.29
1992	7.49%	-22.74
1993	9.97%	2.48
1994	1.33%	-8.64
1995	37.20%	35.87
1996	22.68%	-14.52
1997	33.10%	10.42
1998	28.34%	-4.76
1999	20.89%	-7.45
2000	-9.03%	-29.92
2001	-11.85%	-2.82
2002	-21.97%	-10.12
2003	28.36%	50.33
2004	10.74%	-17.62
2005	4.83%	-5.91
2006	15.61%	10.78
2007	5.48%	-10.13
2008	-36.55%	-42.03
2009	25.94%	62.49
2010	14.82%	-11.12
2011	2.10%	-12.72
2012	15.89%	13.79
2013	32.15%	16.26
2014	13.52%	-18.63
2015	1.36%	-12.16
*abanga in returns calculated by Ctoff		

*change in returns calculated by Staff

3

2

4

5

expense calculations specify that a long-term forecast of returns should be

to year and are by nature unpredictable. For this precise reason FAS 87

Clearly, returns on investments of almost any kind can vary greatly from year

respectively. During this time, the Company's authorized ROE was 10 percent.¹⁷

To show the effect of the deferral amounts on PGE's 2012 and 2013 ROE, Staff referred to the Company's annual Statement of Operations from those years. Staff calculated that the proposed deferral amounts, \$2.9 million for 2012 and \$13.5 million for 2013, are equal to approximately 18 basis points and 86 basis points of PGE's authorized ROE. These amounts are well within the 250 basis point range that the Commission has concluded represents a reasonable level of risk between rate cases.

The Commission has also determined that earning a return less than that authorized by the Commission is not reason alone for deferred accounting.¹⁹

Finally, we note that PGE claims that without deferral, its return on equity will drop to 8 percent. That is far from a dire figure. We find that the impact of excess hydro costs is not significant enough in this case to warrant a deferral.

Though the Company earned less than that authorized by the Commission in 2012 and 2013, PGE'S actual ROE in 2012 was only slightly less. From a review of the Company's financial statements, it appears that a major reason for the Company's lower 2013 ROE was expenses attributed to the Cascade Crossing project.

¹⁷ See PGE/100, Batzler-Hager/6, line 14.

¹⁸ Staff's calculations are included as Exhibit Staff/102, Bahr/1.

¹⁹ See Order 04-108 at page 9.