# **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

### **OF OREGON**

UM 1437

In the Matter of

TRACFONE WIRELESS, INC.

Application for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier

# JOINT TESTIMONY OF TRACFONE, STAFF, CUB, AND OEM

# **IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION**

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

.25

#### I. INTRODUCTION

0.

# Please state your names and positions.

My name is Jose Fuentes. I am Director of Government Relations for TracFone A. Wireless, Inc. ("TracFone"). I am responsible for facilitating TracFone's designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier ("ETC") by state utility commissions and for implementing SafeLink Wireless® Lifeline service throughout the United States. My witness qualifications statement is included as Exhibit Joint/101 to this testimony. My name is Kay Marinos. I am the Program Manager of the Competitive Issues Section of the Telecommunications Division of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (the "Commission"). My witness qualifications statement is included as Exhibit Joint/102 to this testimony.

My name is David Poston. I am the Central Services Administrator of the Commission. The Central Services Division includes the Residential Service Protection Fund. I have worked at the Commission since 2006, and have served as a Senior Financial Analyst in 16 the Utility Division prior to accepting my current position in January 2007. In addition to 17 the RSPF program, I am also responsible for the Commission's Consumer Services program, Business Services, and Central Administrative Support, and serve as the agency's Chief Financial Officer. My educational background includes an MBA as well as undergraduate degrees in Business & Economics, and Engineering. My name is Bob Jenks. I am Executive Director of the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon ("CUB"). My witness qualifications statement is included as Exhibit Joint/103 to this testimony.

My name is Mark Tennyson. I am the Director of the Technology and Response Section 1 2 of Oregon Emergency Management ("OEM"). My witness qualifications statement is 3 included as Exhibit Joint/104 to this testimony. OEM intervened in this matter for the 4 limited purpose of raising issues that are directly related to filings in this matter that 5 affect or impact 9-1-1 emergency reporting systems and PSAPs in Oregon. 6 Consequently, OEM's participation in this joint testimony is similarly limited. OEM 7 expresses no opinion – and is not qualified to testify – with regard to matters not directly 8 9 pertinent to the 9-1-1 system issues addressed in this testimony. 10 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony? 11 The purpose of our joint testimony is to describe and support the stipulation A. 12 ("Stipulation") among TracFone, Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon 13 ("Staff"), CUB, and OEM, filed on June 10, 2011, and to explain why our testimony 14 today is so different from the original testimony filed in this docket. Docket UM 1437 15 was opened to consider TracFone's applications for designation as an ETC and Eligible 16 17 Telecommunications Provider under Oregon law ("ETP") (collectively referred to as the 18 "Applications" and further defined herein). **í**9 Q. Does the Stipulation resolve all of the issues in this proceeding? 20 Yes. TracFone, Staff, CUB, and OEM (the "Parties") agree that TracFone's Applications A. 21 for ETC and ETP status, as modified by, and subject to, the terms and conditions set forth 22 in the Stipulation will satisfy all applicable legal requirements and will be in the public 23 24 interest, and that the Commission should issue an order approving the Applications 25 subject to the terms and conditions contained in the Stipulation. 26

Yes. While the Commission granted Oregon Telecommunications Association ("OTA") permission to intervene as a party in this docket, OTA subsequently withdrew as a party to this proceeding. All remaining parties are Parties to the Stipulation.

Are all parties to the proceeding signatories to the Stipulation?

# **GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE APPLICATION**

TracFone is a provider of pre-paid wireless telecommunications service in the United States with more than 18 million customers nationwide. TracFone's non-Lifeline customers pre-pay for the amount of minutes of airtime they desire and can add minutes at any time. If their minutes run out, they cannot make or receive calls until they purchase additional minutes (but customers can always call 9-1-1). Some plans are offered on a 30-day or monthly basis, others are not. TracFone also offers two unlimited usage plans on a 30-day or monthly basis. Pre-paid wireless services require customers to pay in advance of usage and do not allow for payment after services are rendered. TracFone also offers separate service plans only to eligible Lifeline customers. These plans do not require any out-of-pocket payment by the customer. The services in these plans are funded by the Federal Universal Service Fund ("FUSF"). An additional \$3.50 equivalent of free service is also provided at TracFone's expense.

What does TracFone propose to offer to Lifeline customers in Oregon?

TracFone was the first company in the United States to offer a wireless telecommunications service to qualified Lifeline customers without charges for out-ofpocket payments from the customer. TracFone proposes to offer the same Lifeline

26

1

Q.

1		services to eligible customers in Oregon that it currently provides in other states.
. 2		TracFone will provide, at its own expense, a fully E-9-1-1 compliant handset to
3		customers free of charge. Initially, TracFone will provide Lifeline-supported service to
4		customers without the need for them to establish credit or for TracFone to issue a bill
5		each month, provided that each customer is verified as eligible for the Lifeline service by
6		
7		the Commission in accordance with Oregon requirements. Thus, TracFone will bring the
8		advantages of subsidized mobile telecommunications service to segments of the
9		population that to date may have had difficulty subscribing to wireless service, which
10		typically requires a term commitment with a substantial early termination charge, up-
11		front costs of purchasing a handset, and establishment of credit.
12	<b>Q.</b>	What service plans will TracFone offer to Lifeline customers in Oregon?
13	A.	Upon certification, TracFone will offer customers a choice of three different SafeLink
14	Π.	
15		Wireless <sup>®</sup> Lifeline customer service plans:
16		1) 250 free minutes each month, which do not carry over to the
17		next month if unused, with texting available at a rate of one
18		text per minute of airtime;
19		2) 125 free minutes each month, which carry over to
20		
21		the following month if unused, with texting available at
22		a rate of one text per minute of airtime;
23		3) 68 free minutes each month, which carry over to the following
24		month if unused, with texting available at a rate of 3 texts per
25		each minute of airtime, plus International Long Distance calling
26		

to over 60 destinations.

All minutes of airtime may be used to send or receive local calls, intrastate long distance calls, and interstate long distance calls (under the third option, the minutes may also be used to originate international calls). The minutes may also be used for roaming with no additional roaming charges, so Lifeline customers will be able to use the service wherever they travel within the United States (assuming they are in areas with wireless coverage) either within or outside the State of Oregon. The service (whichever option is selected) will include important vertical features such as call waiting, caller ID, and voice mail. Also TracFone will provide, at its own expense, all SafeLink Wireless<sup>®</sup> Lifeline customers with an E9-1-1-compliant wireless handset. Handsets will be delivered to customers upon enrollment in the program with the first month's allotment of minutes preloaded in the phone.

# Q. Will TracFone offer other calling plans to Lifeline customers that it regularly offers to non-Lifeline customers?

A. Yes. TracFone has committed to offer Lifeline customers the ability to apply Lifeline
 discounts to monthly plans marketed as NET 10, and has also committed to engage in
 good faith discussions with Walmart to make available "Straight Talk" service plans to
 Lifeline customers. These commitments and service offerings are discussed in more
 detail below.

23 Q. How is TracFone different from other Oregon ETCs?

A. TracFone is the first applicant for ETC certification in Oregon that is a reseller of
 wireless telecommunications services and does not own facilities used to provide

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

	telecommunications service. As discussed in more detail below, TracFone has received
	approval from the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") to be an ETC
	notwithstanding the fact that TracFone is not a facilities-based provider. TracFone has
	been designated as an ETC in 37 states. The differences between TracFone's business
	model and those of facilities-based providers has led the FCC and other states to impose
	additional conditions on TracFone that they have not required of all other ETCs.
0	
Q.	What sorts of financial support will TracFone receive?
A.	TracFone will receive support only from the FUSF. TracFone will not request support
	from the Oregon Residential Service Protection Fund ("RSPF"). <sup>1</sup> Instead, TracFone will
	provide customers with an additional \$3.50 in support from its own funds and will
	receive Tier III support (\$1.75 per month) from the FUSF. For this reason, the Parties
	agree that the Commission should waive OAR 860-033-0035(1)(c), which provides that
	the monthly OTAP benefit includes the State of Oregon support of \$3.50, if required. In
	addition, TracFone will receive support from the FUSF only for serving low-income
	customers. It will not request or receive funds from the FUSF for the purpose of
÷	providing telecommunications service to high-cost areas.
	III. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE STIPULATION
Q.	Please generally describe the Stipulation.
A.	In the Stipulation, the Parties agree that the Applications, modified by and subject to the
	terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation, will satisfy the applicable legal
	requirements and that approval of the Applications subject to the terms and conditions set
	Q.

<sup>1</sup> TracFone has reserved the right to seek RSPF support; however, it will submit a revised ETP application to the
 26 Commission if it chooses to seek such support.

forth in the Stipulation is in the public interest. The Parties recommend that the Commission, designate TracFone as an ETC and ETP in Oregon subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation.

4 5

6

7

13

**Q**.

1

2

3

# Please describe the genesis of the Stipulation.

TracFone's Applications and pre-filed testimony explained why TracFone thought it had A. met all the applicable legal requirements for designation as an ETC and ETP and that Tracfone thought approval of the Applications was in the public interest. However, 8 9 during the course of this proceeding, the other Parties identified Oregon-specific 10 requirements and issues that needed to be addressed before the Commission could 11 approve TracFone's Applications. Testimony submitted by Staff, CUB and OEM fully 12 explains the concerns of those Parties. The Parties explored these issues through extensive discovery and in a number of settlement discussions. The Stipulation, filed 14 prior to this Joint Testimony, contains the proposed resolution of the concerns raised in 15 Staff and Intervenor testimony and during settlement discussions and includes a number 16 17 of specific terms and conditions that the intervening parties and Staff believe are 18 necessary to a finding that approval of Tracfone's Applications is in the public interest. 19 **Q**.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do TracFone's Applications present any new issues for the Commission?

Yes. There are aspects of TracFone's Applications that are different from the ETC and A. ETP applications this Commission has previously considered. First, TracFone is a pure reseller of wireless service; it does not own any facilities that it uses to provide telecommunications service. TracFone's underlying carriers are major providers of wireless telecommunications service in Oregon: Verizon Wireless, AT&T Mobility, and

T-Mobile. Second, TracFone proposes to offer limited specific service offerings, under the brand name SafeLink Wireless<sup>®</sup> Lifeline that will be available only to Lifeline customers. In addition, customers eligible for Lifeline will be able to subscribe to these services without paying out of their own pockets. (As a result of negotiations, Tracfone will offer other subsidized services through its NET 10 product, and perhaps its Straight Talk product offered through Walmart.) The issues raised by these differences have been explored through extensive discovery, addressed in pre-filed testimony, discussed in settlement conferences, and are addressed in the Stipulation, as discussed more fully below.

11

12

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

# Please briefly explain the first issue.

A. Section 214(e)(1)(A) of the federal Communications Act of 1934, as amended, requires 13 ETCs to provide services supported by the FUSF using their own facilities or a 14 combination of their own facilities and resale of other providers' services. In 2005, the 15 FCC granted TracFone's petition and agreed to forbear from application or enforcement 16 17 of that requirement as to Lifeline, provided certain conditions are met (the "Forbearance 18 Order").<sup>2</sup> The Oregon Commission's ETC designation order, Order No. 06-292 (the 19 "ETC Order"), and its rules for ETP designation require a designated carrier to own 20 facilities. Commission recognition of the Forbearance Order relieves TracFone of the 21 facilities requirement. TracFone agrees to abide by the conditions in the Forbearance 22 Order. 23

- 24
  - Q. Please briefly explain the second issue.
- 25

<sup>26</sup> <sup>2</sup> In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, 20 FCC Rcd 15095 (2005).

1 A. TracFone's Applications propose that TracFone would offer three specific service plans 2 to Lifeline-eligible customers in Oregon, all under the brand name "SafeLink 3 Wireless®." Each of these service plans would be provided at no cost to the customer; 4 that is, both the wireless handset and a specified amount of airtime each month would be 5 provided free of charge. This proposed set of limited service offerings raised issues 6 regarding (1) the application of certain Commission rules and statutes to TracFone's 7 offerings and (2) the public interest, that the Commission has not considered before. 8 9 Q. If the Commission rejects any part of the Stipulation, are the Parties entitled to 10 reconsider their participation in the Stipulation? 11 Yes. Paragraph 40 of the Stipulation<sup>3</sup> provides that if the Commission rejects all or any A. 12 material portions of the Stipulation or imposes additional material conditions in 13 approving the Stipulation, any Party that is disadvantaged by such action shall have the 14 right, upon written notice to the Commission and all Parties within 15 business days of 15 the Commission's order, to withdraw from the Stipulation, pursue its rights under 16 17 OAR 860-001-0350, and/or seek reconsideration or appeal of the Commission's order; 18 provided, however, that any Party seeking to withdraw from the Stipulation must, prior to 19 such withdrawal, engage in good faith negotiations with the other Parties. 20 Q. When would TracFone begin offering its Lifeline services in Oregon if the 21 **Commission approves the Stipulation?** 22 There are a few steps required before TracFone may offer services as an ETC. First, of A. 23 course, the Commission must issue an order approving the Applications and the 24 25 26 <sup>3</sup> Hereinafter, the term "Paragraph" refers to a numbered paragraph of the Stipulation.

Stipulation and granting the limited waivers TracFone requests. Prior to that, TracFone must complete the applicable requirements included in the Stipulation. Pursuant to Paragraph 14, TracFone must provide the Commission statements from its underlying carriers indicating: (a) the ability of such carriers to remain operational in the event of emergencies; and (b) that such carriers will treat 9-1-1 calls from TracFone customers in the same manner as those carriers treat 9-1-1 calls from their own retail customers. TracFone must also submit an accurate map identifying the ILEC wire centers that define its designated service area, consistent with initial designation requirement 3.1.1 in Appendix A of the ETC Order. Pursuant to Paragraph 16, TracFone must ask each of its underlying carriers to provide outage data to the Commission so that TracFone can comply with Appendix A, recertification requirement 5.2. and submit the carriers' responses to the Commission. Pursuant to Paragraph 31, TracFone must submit to the Commission written certification that all handsets offered to customers are E9-1-1capable and are able to provide to PSAPs the information specified under the FCC's Phase I and Phase II E911 rules.

<sup>18</sup>Upon provision of the above required remaining items to the Commission the Parties <sup>19</sup>request that consistent with Paragraph 39, the Commission approve the Stipulation and <sup>20</sup>issue a designation order as soon as possible. While there is no statutory time period <sup>21</sup>applicable to a Commission decision in this proceeding, TracFone would like to begin to <sup>23</sup>offer its Lifeline service in Oregon at the earliest possible time. Upon issuance of an <sup>24</sup>order granting designation, TracFone agrees to give Staff an opportunity to review its <sup>25</sup>advertising material, as well as give Staff 60 days notice prior to actually beginning to

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 2

3

4

offer its Lifeline service to eligible customers. Paragraph 26.

# IV. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

# Q. When did TracFone file its original and amended applications in this proceeding?

A. On August 7, 2009, TracFone filed its Application for designation as an ETC with the 5 Commission. TracFone filed a First Amended Application on October 27, 2009. 6 7 TracFone filed an Application for approval as an ETP under OAR Chapter 860, Division 8 033 (the "RSPF Rules"), on April 9, 2010. On August 24, 2010, TracFone requested 9 suspension of the schedule in the docket and the Commission granted that request. On 10 November 8, 2010, TracFone requested reactivation of the docket. TracFone filed a 11 Second Amended Application on January 7, 2011. TracFone's Second Amended 12 Application for designation as an ETC and its Application for approval as an ETP are 13 together referred to herein as the "Applications." 14

15

Q. Did the Parties engage in discovery?

16 A. Yes, there was extensive discovery. Staff issued 16 sets of data requests to TracFone, 17 comprising a total of 207 individual requests (not counting subparts separately). CUB 18 issued 14 sets of data requests to TracFone, comprising a total of 81 individual requests 19 (not counting subparts separately). TracFone responded to these data requests, and also 20 provided supplemental responses as requested by Staff and CUB. In addition, TracFone 21 issued one set of data requests each to Staff, CUB, and OEM, to which those parties 22 23 responded.

24

25

26

# Q. Did the Parties pre-file written testimony and exhibits?

A. Yes. TracFone filed direct testimony in support of its original application on June 11,

60904-0002/LEGAL21131631.2

1		2010, and the other Parties filed responsive testimony on August 3, 2010. TracFone filed
2		supplemental testimony and exhibits on August 23, 2010, with respect to changes in its
3		proposed service offerings. The Parties subsequently agreed that, in view of changes in
4		its service offerings announced by TracFone on or about August 16, 2010, TracFone
5		would file a Second Amended Application and that the Parties could respond with
6		additional testimony. Thus, on January 7, 2011, TracFone filed its Second Amended
7		
8		Application and the direct testimony of Jose Fuentes and exhibits in support of the
9		Second Amended Application. On March 23, 2011, Staff, CUB, and OEM filed
10		responsive testimony and exhibits. TracFone filed reply testimony on April 12, 2011.
11	Q.	What are the legal standards that apply to TracFone's Application?
12	A.	The federal requirements for ETC designation are set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(2) and
13 14		rules of the FCC, 47 C.F.R. § 54.101(a) and 54.202(a). The Oregon requirements for
14		ETC designation were established by the Commission in the ETC Order. One of those
16		requirements is to offer Lifeline and OTAP services. In order to offer Lifeline and OTAP
17		services in Oregon, an ETC must receive designation as an ETP. ETP requirements are
18		found in the Commission rules.
19	Q.	What do the FCC's rules require for certification as an ETC?
20		
21	А.	The FCC's rules require that ETCs offer a number of specific services and functionalities.
22		The rules also require that ETCs commit to meeting several specific obligations.
23		TracFone addressed each of these requirements and its ability to meet them in its
24		Application and pre-filed testimony. The FCC requirements are very similar to the
25		Commission's requirements and are addressed in the context of the Commission's
26		

1		requirements. The Parties refer the Commission to TracFone's discussion of these items
2		in the Applications for specific information.
3	Q.	What additional requirements has the FCC imposed on TracFone?
4	A.	In the Forbearance Order and in subsequent orders, the FCC imposed additional
6		requirements on TracFone, which TracFone addressed in its Applications and pre-filed
7		testimony. TracFone agrees to meet these additional requirements as conditions of
8	£	designation in Oregon.
9	Q.	What are the Commission requirements for ETC designation in Oregon?
10	A.	The Commission established requirements for ETC designation in Oregon in the ETC
11		Order. While generally mirroring the FCC requirements, there are differences. Since the
12		release of that order, the Commission has required all carriers that wish to be designated
13 14		in Oregon to meet those requirements.
15		The ETC Order sets forth a number of requirements for both initial certification as an
16		ETC and ongoing certification. TracFone addressed these requirements in its Application
17		and pre-filed testimony. There was no dispute in this case about TracFone's ability to
18		meet several of these requirements and these requirements are not discussed further in
19		this testimony. However, Staff and CUB raised concerns about TracFone's inability to
20		meet some of the other ETC requirements and those concerns are identified and discussed
21		in detail in Staff and CUB's testimony and are addressed in the Stipulation in the manner
22 23		described below.
23	Q.	What are the Commission's requirements for ETP designation?
25	χ.	
26		

1	A.	The requirements for ETP designation are found in OAR 860-033-0001 through 860-
2		033-0100. Staff initially expressed several concerns regarding TracFone's ability and
3		willingness to meet the requirements. Those concerns are detailed in Staff testimony and
4.		are the subject of waiver requests included in this Stipulation.
5		V. THE STIPULATION
6 7	Q.	Please describe the settlement discussions among the Parties.
8	А.	On May 26, 2010, the Parties held a workshop/settlement conference. The Parties held
9		further settlement conferences, both in person and via teleconference, on July 13, 2010,
10		March 29, 2011, April 19, 2011, April 20, 2011, May 25, 2011, and June 7, 2011. The
11		settlement conferences have been open to all parties to this docket.
12	Q.	Please describe some of the major issues addressed in the settlement discussions and
13		the Stipulation.
14		
15	А.	Many significant issues were raised and discussed, most of which resulted in agreements
16		reflected in the Stipulation. One major issue that was discussed was how to ensure that
17		TracFone's certification as an ETC and ETP would be in the public interest. The
18		following are some of the more important issues discussed during the proceeding:
19		• Explicit definition of TracFone's designated service area;
20		• The level of benefits TracFone would provide to Lifeline customers;
21		• Access by TreeFone systemers to emergency corriges and sympact for the
22		<ul> <li>Access by TracFone customers to emergency services and support for the provision of such services;</li> </ul>
23		• The existence of an obligation of TracFone's Lifeline customers to pay the
24		RSPF surcharge and an alternative payment by TracFone to contribute to the Commission's costs of verifying the initial and ongoing eligibility of Oregon
25		residents to receive Lifeline services;
26		

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	0
7	Q.
8	A.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

1

•

- The Lifeline customer application process;
  - Proration of customer benefits and Lifeline support to TracFone for partial months of service;
- Methods to minimize the potential for waste, fraud and abuse associated with TracFone's Lifeline offering; and,
  - Monitoring of changes in service offerings and advertising.

Please explain the issue relating to describing TracFone's designated service area. The ETC Order requires applicants for designation as an ETC to clearly identify their proposed designated service area through (1) a map showing the requested designated service area overlaid on the boundaries of ILEC wire centers and (2) a list of ILEC wire centers included in the designated service area, indicating whether each will be fully or partially included. With its Application, TracFone filed a "Waiver Request" requesting that the Commission waive these requirements because TracFone did not have information that related its proposed wireless service area to ILEC wire centers. Because TracFone resells the services of other wireless carriers, it does not maintain, nor does it have access to, detailed information regarding exactly where its customers can receive wireless service or coverage, particularly as it relates to the boundaries of ILEC wire 19 centers.

20 21

22

23

24

25

#### 0. How did the Parties resolve this issue in the Stipulation?

During the course of the proceeding, Staff directed TracFone to provide a map of ILEC Α. wire centers that enabled TracFone to construct a map that overlays its service area on the boundaries of ILEC wire centers. TracFone was also able to develop a list of ILEC wire centers that are fully or partially included within its service area.

1 Consistent with the ETC Order's preference for exclusion of partially-served wire 2 centers, and in light of the fact that as a reseller TracFone cannot offer service where its 3 underlying carriers do not provide coverage, the Parties agreed to limit initial designation 4 to only those wire centers with full coverage. TracFone may submit a subsequent request 5 in the future to include other areas and will discuss with Staff how to implement an 6 appropriate approach. In addition, the wire centers of a few rural ILECs where TracFone 7 cannot provide coverage throughout the ILEC's entire study area were also excluded. 8 9 Accordingly, the Parties agreed that the list of ILEC wire centers attached to the 10 Stipulation as Exhibit A constitutes the designated service area for which TracFone 11 requests certification at this time. A map indicating the location of these wire centers was 12 not completed prior to finalization of the Stipulation. However, by the terms of the 13 Stipulation, TracFone must provide such a map prior to receiving designation. 14 Q. Are there any other issues relating to the designated service area? 15 A. Yes. TracFone is not requesting designation to serve Tribal areas in Oregon as an ETC at 16 17 this time. This is because the FCC's rules currently require an ETC to charge customers 18 in Tribal lands a minimum of \$1.00 per month for Lifeline service. TracFone does not 19 have a mechanism in place to bill and collect this minimum charge. In addition, residents 20 of Tribal Lands are entitled to \$25 of subsidized service, and TracFone currently does not 21 offer a plan that reflects the larger support amount and correspondingly more minutes 22 than its standard Lifeline offerings. The Parties agreed to exclude wire centers containing 23 24 Tribal lands from TracFone's designated service area at this time. 25 0. Please explain the issue relating to the level of benefits TracFone would provide and

how that was resolved.

1

2 A. To date, all ETCs designated in Oregon provide the Lifeline benefits as a discount to their 3 standard charges for the services provided. TracFone's proposed Lifeline service is not 4 based on that approach. TracFone has designed a service that is offered without any out-5 of-pocket cost to only Lifeline-eligible customers.<sup>4</sup> A primary interest of Staff and CUB 6 was to ensure that the product or products offered reflect the value of the Lifeline support 7 that TracFone will receive from the FUSF, and the \$3.50 that TracFone will contribute. 8 9 TracFone's original application proposed only one SafeLink Wireless® service plan that 10 included 68 minutes of airtime per month, including calls to international destinations 11 (currently plan 3 as described above). CUB and Staff thought that TracFone should offer 12 a greater number of minutes. In response to comments like these in Oregon and 13 elsewhere, in August 2010, TracFone announced two new SafeLink Wireless® service 14 options that would be available nationwide in addition to the 68-minute plan. One of 15 those options includes 250 free minutes of airtime per month, which may also be used for 16 17 text messaging. CUB and Staff are satisfied that the new plans proposed by TracFone 18 provide adequate value for the level of Lifeline support customers are entitled to receive. 19 0. Are there any other issues that relate to the level of benefits provided?

A. Yes. OAR 860-033-0010 requires an ETP to offer discounts on all service offerings that include "basic telephone service." The Parties disagree about whether this rule applies to TracFone's services, based on the definition of "basic telephone service." TracFone does not believe the rule is applicable and also thinks that its offering of a choice of three,

25

20

21

22

23

24

<sup>4</sup> This is different than the services to be offered under the brand name NET 10, or possibly Straight Talk through
 Walmart.

custom-designed, Lifeline-only plans, each of which includes a package of all features provided with TracFone's voice service, including call waiting, caller ID and voice mail, satisfies the purpose of the rule. Staff wanted TracFone to agree to also provide Lifeline discounts on all calling plans that it currently offers to non-Lifeline customers, as all other ETCs in Oregon are required to do.

6 7

1

2

3

4

5

# Q. How did the Parties resolve this issue?

A. As reflected in Paragraph 28 of the Stipulation, TracFone has agreed to allow Lifeline 8 9 customers to apply Lifeline discounts on its monthly "NET 10" service plans by no later 10 than March 31, 2012. TracFone also agreed to engage in good faith negotiations with 11 Walmart, which sells TracFone service plans under the name "Straight Talk," to allow 12 Lifeline customers to apply the Lifeline discount to those plans. Paragraph 29. Straight 13 Talk is currently available to non-Lifeline customers at \$45 per month for unlimited 14 voice, text and web access. TracFone will report to Staff quarterly with respect to the 15 progress of such negotiations. See Paragraph 29. Accordingly, the Parties agreed to 16 17 support TracFone's request that the Commission waive OAR 860-033-0010 to the extent 18 that TracFone will be unable to provide discounts on all its services prior to designation. 19 Are there any additional commitments regarding the benefits to TracFone's Lifeline Q.

# customers?

21 22

23

24

20

A. Yes. TracFone has committed that its Lifeline customers will be able to purchase additional airtime minutes at a rate no higher than \$0.10 per minute. The \$0.10 per minute rate will be available to Oregon Lifeline customers in connection with the purchase of any TracFone prepaid airtime card, whether available for purchase at retail

26

.

1		vendor locations or for online purchase through TracFone's website (www.tracfone.com).
2		This will include a minimum minute upgrade of 100 minutes of additional usage for
3		\$9.99 (plus applicable taxes) for online purchases. See Paragraph 24. TracFone also
4		agreed to display this information prominently on its SafeLink Wireless® website
5		(www.safelink.com). <i>Id</i> .
6	0	
7	Q.	Please describe the issue relating to access by TracFone customers to emergency
8		services.
9	A.	OEM and Staff wanted to ensure that TracFone's customers would have adequate access
10		to emergency services by dialing 9-1-1. Providing such access to 9-1-1 is also required
11		by the FCC's rules and the Forbearance Order. TracFone committed to the other Parties
12 13		that its customers will have the same access to emergency services as do the customers of
13		the underlying carriers. This is guaranteed in the stipulation by: (1) TracFone's
15		commitment to provide E9-1-1 capable handsets to all of its customers (Paragraph 31)
16		and (2) TracFone's submission of statements from each of its underlying carriers
17		indicating (a) their ability to remain operational in the event of emergencies and (b) that
18		they will treat 9-1-1 calls from TracFone customers in the same way they treat such calls
19		from their own retail customers (Paragraph 14).
20	Q.	Are there any other issues relating to access to emergency services?
21		
22	A.	Yes, there are two. The first is that the FCC's Forbearance Order requires TracFone to
23 .		obtain certification from affected Public Safety Answering Points ("PSAPs") that
24		TracFone provides access to 9-1-1. The FCC issued a subsequent order allowing
25		TracFone to self-certify that it provides such access under certain conditions. TracFone
26		

2 its validity is not disputed by any Party. In addition, OEM initially raised a concern 3 about PSAPs' ability to contact TracFone's customers in what are known as "exigent 4 circumstances;" OEM is now satisfied on that topic. 5 Q. What is the second additional issue? 6 The second additional issue relates to financial support for the 9-1-1 system. As a A. 7 provider of pre-paid wireless service, TracFone has asserted that it is not subject to 8 9 collecting and/or remitting the 911 tax from its customers. Staff and OEM believe that 10 TracFone's new Lifeline customers could put significant increased burdens on the 911 11 system without contributing to the corresponding increase in costs. In response to this 12 concern, TracFone agrees that it will cooperate with OEM to support legislation that 13 would establish competitively neutral and non-discriminatory means for collection of the 14 9-1-1 tax from consumers of non-billed services such as prepaid wireless services. 15 Paragraph 30. 16 17 Q. Please explain the issue regarding the existence of an obligation of TracFone's 18 Lifeline customers to pay the RSPF surcharge and an alternative payment by 19 TracFone to contribute to the Commission's costs of verifying the initial and 20 ongoing eligibility of Oregon residents to receive Lifeline services. 21 A. One of the issues raised by Staff was whether TracFone's Lifeline customers would be 22 required to pay the RSPF surcharge that is assessed pursuant to 1987 Or. Laws c. 290 § 7, 23 24 appearing as a note following ORS 759.690, and OAR 860-033-0006. TracFone 25 maintained that its Lifeline customers would not be subject to the RSPF surcharge 26

has made the self-certification in this case in conformance with the FCC conditions, and

1 because, among other reasons, they would not be "paying retail subscriber[s]" as the term 2 is used in the statute. TracFone also asserted that it could not collect the RSPF surcharge 3 from its customers in the manner required by the Commission's rules (OAR 860-033-4 0006(3)) because it does not render bills to those customers, nor does it charge anything 5 to those customers for the basic Lifeline service. 6 Staff disagreed with TracFone's analysis as to its proposed Lifeline service offerings. 7 Staff and CUB also asserted that even if TracFone's customers are not required to pay the 8 9 RSPF surcharge, the public interest requires that TracFone or its customers contribute to 10 the costs the Commission incurs to perform initial and ongoing verification of the 11 eligibility of Oregon residents for Lifeline service. 12 0. How is this issue resolved in the Stipulation? 13 A. In Paragraph 19, TracFone agreed to pay the RSPF surcharge to the Commission for each 14 of its enrolled Oregon Lifeline customers on behalf of those customers. The surcharge 15 currently is \$0.12 per month per instrument. TracFone has committed to make this 16 17 payment for at least two years following the effective date of a Commission order 18 approving TracFone's Applications. TracFone further agreed that it will contribute to 19 the Residential Service Protection Fund, through the Commission, an 20 additional amount per month for each of its enrolled Oregon Lifeline customers to help 21 offset any incremental costs to the RSPF program. The amount of the additional 22 contribution will be the difference between \$0.20 per month per Oregon Lifeline 23 24 customer and the amount of the RSPF surcharge. Thus, the initial additional contribution 25 amount per month per Oregon Lifeline customer will be \$0.08. TracFone agreed that it 26

will make the additional contribution amount for a period not less than two years from the date of ETC and ETP designations, subject to certain conditions discussed below.

**Q**.

# What will happen after that two-year period?

A. TracFone may commence a proceeding to determine the applicability of the RSPF surcharge to TracFone's Lifeline customers; however, TracFone has agreed that the decision in any such proceeding will not apply before the date that is two years after the effective date of a Commission order approving TracFone's Applications. Also, in the event it is determined that TracFone is liable for payment of RSPF surcharges for non-Lifeline customers, TracFone will not be required to make the additional contribution for any period for which TracFone pays the RSPF surcharge for its non-Lifeline customers. In addition, if the law governing application of the RSPF surcharge changes, the Parties agree to meet and discuss whether TracFone should be required to continue to make the additional contribution. In addition, Staff may recommend that the Commission pursue legislation in the 2012 session of the Oregon Legislature to clarify or modify application of the RSPF surcharge. TracFone will continue to pay the amount agreed upon in the Stipulation until one of these events results in a clear change in the legal obligation.

Q. Is TracFone requesting a waiver of any rules in this regard?

 A. Yes, TracFone is requesting a waiver of OAR 860-033-0006(3)–(9), which provides for an RSPF surcharge and establishes general requirements in relation to the surcharge, including the submission of remittance reports and payments. The waiver relates only to non-Lifeline customers. Notwithstanding this waiver request, TracFone agreed to follow the rules to remit payments described in Paragraph 19 and related reports according to the

time frames and processes set forth in OAR 860-033-0006(4)–(9). Paragraph 20. In view of TracFone's agreement to make the payment described above and to comply with these procedures, the other Parties support this request.

**Q**.

# Please describe the issue regarding the Lifeline application process.

A. In most, but not all, other states in which TracFone offers Lifeline service, TracFone itself receives a customer's application and verifies the applicant's eligibility for Lifeline benefits initially, and annually thereafter. In Oregon, the Commission receives applications and verifies the applicant's initial and monthly continuing eligibility for Lifeline benefits. The application form the Commission generally uses, however, requires the applicant to provide information including his or her existing telephone number with the ETC from whom he or she wants Lifeline service; the form also requires the applicant to be the person named on that provider's bill. These requirements could restrict potential TracFone customers from applying because they do not have active service or an assigned phone number, and TracFone does not render a bill. Staff and CUB agreed that these requirements on the application form limit the ability of Oregon citizens to apply for Lifeline service from carriers such as TracFone.

0.

# How was this issue resolved?

A. Staff agreed to revise the application form for applicants for Lifeline service so that it
(1) requires that the applicant's name be on the phone account, not the phone bill and
(2) does not require that the applicant currently have telephone service from its desired
ETC at the time of the application. *See* Paragraph 21. The Commission will still receive the information it needs to verify the applicant's eligibility for Lifeline service and the

1 2

3

4

application will not impede customer access to TracFone's Lifeline service.

# Q. Please explain the issue relating to proration of customer benefits and Lifeline support to TracFone for partial months of service.

A. OAR 860-033-0035(2) requires ETPs to provide the OTAP benefit for a "billing period" 5 and to prorate the benefit if a customer is eligible for less than an entire billing period. 6 TracFone, as a provider of prepaid service, does not issue bills and does not have billing 7 periods. Customers enrolled in TracFone's SafeLink Wireless® Lifeline program receive 8 9 the same number of free minutes of service, irrespective of when during the month the 10 customers are enrolled. For example, a customer selecting TracFone's 250 minute plan 11 who enrolls on May 1 will receive 250 minutes. Another customer selecting the same 12 250 minute plan who enrolls on May 15 also will receive 250 minutes. TracFone is also 13 technically unable to prorate the Lifeline benefit to customers, and is not required to do 14 so in any of the other states where it provides Lifeline service. On this basis, TracFone 15 originally requested a waiver of OAR 860-033-0035(2). 16

17The Parties agreed that the pro-rating rule applies to funds from the OTAP program. As18TracFone will not claim these funds, no waiver is needed. However, Staff and CUB had19a remaining concern that TracFone would receive an entire month's worth of FUSF20Lifeline support even when TracFone provides a customer with service for less than a full21month.

23

# Q. How is this issue resolved in the Stipulation?

- A. TracFone agreed that it would not request Lifeline support for a handset that it ships to an
   Oregon customer on or after the twentieth (20th) day of any calendar month. See
- 26

Paragraph 22. This does not prohibit TracFone from shipping a handset during that time;however, if TracFone does so, it will not request FUSF support for that partial month.The Parties agree that this is a reasonable resolution of the concern Staff and CUB raised.

4 5

6

Q.

1

2

Ż

# Please explain the issue regarding the potential for waste, fraud and abuse associated with TracFone's Lifeline offering.

CUB and Staff raised a concern that TracFone could continue to receive Lifeline support A. 7 even if its customers fail to use their phone for an extended period of time. While the 8 9 Parties agree such a situation would not be of concern if the customer chooses not to 10 make any calls (for example, if the customer uses the phone only for emergencies or is 11 traveling abroad or in the hospital for an extended period), it would be of concern if the 12 phone were not used for a different reason (e.g., if the customer loses the phone.) To 13 address this concern, TracFone agreed to implement a policy used in all other states 14 where it provides Lifeline service as an ETC. Under that policy, after 60 days of non-15 usage (no calls or text messages are made or received), TracFone de-enrolls the customer 16 17 and ceases to request Lifeline benefits for that customer. TracFone then attempts to 18 contact that customer over a 30-day period by various methods and will re-enroll the 19 customer if he or she requests re-enrollment in that time. If TracFone is unable to re-20 enroll a customer, it will deactivate the phone (which can still be used to make 9-1-1 21 calls). TracFone agreed to implement this non-usage policy in Oregon. See Paragraph 22 23, and Exhibit D. 23

Other measures are included in the Stipulation to address concerns regarding the potential for waste, fraud and abuse. The primary measure is the requirement to follow OTAP

26

24

procedures for verification of the eligibility of Lifeline customers, on an initial and continuing monthly basis. OTAP procedures will also ensure only one resident of a household is receiving Lifeline benefits, and only from one Lifeline provider.<sup>5</sup>

4 5

6

Q.

1

2

3

# Please explain the issue regarding monitoring of changes in service offerings and TracFone's advertising.

After being satisfied that TracFone's proposed Lifeline service offerings provide fair A. 7 value to customers in light of the amount of support TracFone would receive, CUB and 8 9 Staff raised a concern that TracFone not change its service plans in such a way that 10 decreases the level of benefit available. Because it would be impossible to predict all of 11 the changes TracFone may make to its service plans in response to a competitive 12 marketplace, the Parties agreed that TracFone would submit all material revisions to its 13 Lifeline service offerings to Staff and to CUB for review at least thirty (30) calendar days 14 before implementing them in Oregon. See Paragraph 27. TracFone agreed that it would 15 discuss any concerns Staff and CUB might have and work in good faith to resolve them. 16 17 *Id.* TracFone also agreed to give Staff at least 60 calendar days advance notice before it 18 begins offering Lifeline services in Oregon. See Paragraph 26.

19 20

# Q. What agreement did TracFone make with respect to advertising?

A. In response to Staff's request, TracFone agreed to submit proposed advertising to Staff at
 least twenty-one (21) calendar days in advance of publishing the advertisement. See
 Paragraph 26. Staff intends to ensure that that advertising be accurate and reflect Oregon
 eligibility requirements. TracFone also agreed that it would discuss any concerns Staff

25

26

<sup>5</sup> See 1997 Universal Service Order, 12 FCC Rcd at 8957, para. 341.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

-12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

might have and work in good faith to resolve them. Id.

# Q. Does the Stipulation address issues of service quality?

A. Yes, in several ways. First, the Stipulation memorializes TracFone's policy to ensure that its customers have a working handset that they can use from their residence. Paragraph 25. TracFone's underlying carriers utilize different network technologies and customers may have a much stronger signal at their residence from one type of network than from the other. Thus, if TracFone activates a customer's Lifeline service on a network using one wireless technology (i.e., either GSM or CDMA) and the customer is unable to utilize the service from his or her residence, TracFone will replace the handset with one utilizing the other technology, provided that the customer resides in an area where service is available from a carrier which utilizes the other technology. If service is not available using either technology, TracFone will report that case to the Commission and the customer may chose another Lifeline provider. By way of example, if TracFone activates a customer on a network of a carrier which utilizes CDMA technology and the customer complains that the underlying carrier's coverage is not satisfactory at his or her residence, then TracFone will provide the customer with a GSM handset and will activate the customer's Lifeline service on a GSM network, if a GSM provider has coverage where the customer resides. TracFone will provide monthly reports to the Commission with respect to handsets replaced under this policy. In addition, TracFone will replace the handset of a Lifeline customer at no charge one time during the first year of service if it is not working for any reason. See Paragraph 25.

25

Q.

- What other terms of the Stipulation address service quality?
- 26

Staff and CUB want to receive information that will allow them to monitor TracFone's 1 Α. 2 customer service and other issues. Accordingly, the Parties have developed a list of 3 information that TracFone will provide on a quarterly basis to both Staff and CUB. See 4 Paragraph 36, Exhibit F. This list is based on information that TracFone provides to 5 other state commissions and includes a few other measures unique to Oregon. 6 TracFone also agreed that its Lifeline customers may make customer service calls by 7 dialing "611" without deducting any of their airtime minutes. See Paragraph 33. 8 9 Has TracFone made any commitment regarding Commission Staff access to Q. 10 **TracFone personnel?** 11 Yes, in Paragraph 32, TracFone agreed to make available adequate personnel for daily 12 communications with Staff regarding Lifeline questions and concerns and Lifeline 13 reporting issues. TracFone will also make available adequate personnel for raising issues 14 to appropriate levels of authority above the customer service representative level for 15 resolution, including but not limited to issues related to loss prevention. The personnel 16 17 made available will have the authority to deactivate a customer's phone. TracFone will 18 provide designated personnel's contact information including but not limited to e-mail 19 addresses and telephone numbers to the RSPF manager. 20 What other reports has TracFone agreed to provide the Commission? Q. 21 In addition to complying with the reporting rules in the RSPF Rules, TracFone has made A. 22 a number of other commitments to provide reports and information to the Commission. 23

weekly basis of the information for newly enrolled customers and to also notify the RSPF

Pursuant to OAR 860-033-0046, TracFone has agreed to notify the RSPF manager on a

26

24

manager of any discrepancy that prevents a customer from receiving Lifeline (e.g., an 1 2 undeliverable shipment of activated handset, customer refused package, etc.) in an 3 electronic format accessible by the Commission. Paragraph 34. TracFone also agreed to 4 report to Staff all customers receiving Lifeline in a given month, including the customers' 5 names, addresses, phone numbers and Commission-assigned OTAP identification 6 numbers. Paragraph 35. TracFone agreed to identify on the report customers whose 7 addresses, phone numbers or plans have changed in TracFone's records. Id. TracFone 8 9 also agreed to provide Staff a copy of Oregon-specific, monthly Lifeline Worksheets 10 (Form 497) that it submits to the Universal Service Administrative Company from which 11 it claims or seeks low-income reimbursement or support. Paragraph 37. 12 Please explain the commitment in Paragraph 30. Q. 13 OEM raised an issue in this proceeding about the application of the 9-1-1 tax to A. 14 TracFone's Lifeline customers. TracFone does not believe that the 9-1-1 tax would 15

17 responsible for collection of the 9-1-1 tax, this proceeding was not the appropriate forum 18 in which to pursue determination of the issue. OEM introduced legislation in the 2011 19 session of the Oregon Legislature intended to clearly impose the 9-1-1 tax on customers 20 situated similarly to TracFone's Lifeline customers, as well as others, and intends to 21 pursue such legislation in the 2012 legislative session if required. In Paragraph 30, 22 TracFone agrees to cooperate with OEM to support legislation that would establish 23 competitively neutral and non-discriminatory means for collection of the 9-1-1 tax from 24 25 consumers of non-billed services such as prepaid wireless services.

apply. The parties ultimately agreed that because the Department of Revenue is

26

1	Q.	Please explain why the Commission should waive, in whole or in part, the rules and
2		other requirements identified in Paragraph 16 and Exhibit C of the Stipulation.
3	A.,	As previously discussed, certain of the RSPF Rules and requirements in the ETC Order
4		cannot be met by TracFone given its business model. Some of these rules and
5		requirements are discussed elsewhere in this testimony. The Parties agreed to request
6		that the Commission waive the following additional requirements to the extent indicated:
8 9	· .	• OAR 860-033-0030(6), to the extent it requires that the name of the applicant appear on the billing statement on the condition that the name of the applicant appears on TracFone's account with the customer;
10		• Order No. 06-292, Appendix A, Initial Requirement 4.2, to the extent it requires TracFone to show signal strengths;
11 12		<ul> <li>Order No. 06-292, Appendix A, Recertification Requirement 2.1.1, to the extent it requires an ETC to describe how the ETC complies with 47 C.F.R. § 54.202(a)(1)(i);</li> </ul>
13 14 15 16		• Order No. 06-292, Appendix A, Recertification Requirement 5.2, on the condition that TracFone provide, or request that its underlying carriers provide, to the Oregon Commission the same data for Oregon outages that the underlying carriers submit to the FCC pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 54.209(a)(2); TracFone will make such requests, and submit the carriers' responses, prior to ETC designation; and
17 18		• Order No. 06-292, Appendix A, Recertification Requirement 6.2, to the extent it requires an ETC to report service troubles by wireless switch, provided that TracFone will report to the Commission data regarding service quality complaints received by it from Oregon customers.
19	Q.	Please explain why the Commission should waive OAR 860-033-0030(6) to the
20		extent described above.
21 22	A.	This rule provides that "[t]he name of the applicant [for OTAP benefits] must appear on
23		the billing statement for the telecommunications service in order for the recipient to
24		qualify for OTAP benefits." The rule also provides that the Commission may waive this
25		requirement for good cause. As described earlier in our testimony with respect to the
26		

1		application process, the Parties agree that applying this requirement to TracFone would
2		limit the ability of Oregon consumers to apply for Lifeline service from TracFone
3		because TracFone does not issue bills to its customers. Accordingly, the Parties agreed
4		that the Commission should modify the application process to require only that the name
5		of the applicant appear on TracFone's account with the customer.
6	Q.	Please explain why the Commission should waive Initial Requirement 4.2 to the
7 8		extent described above.
o 9	٨	
	A.	Initial Requirement 4.2 requires applicants for ETP designation to show the extent of
10		their current network coverage and, for wireless applicants only, also signal strengths.
11		TracFone resells wireless services of other carriers, and does not have access to their
12		coverage maps depicting signal strength. Given that TracFone does not have access to
13 14		this information, that it is reselling the services of three major wireless carriers, and that it
15		has provided a significant amount of information describing its service area, the Parties
16		agree the Commission should waive this requirement.
17	Q.	Please explain why the Commission should waive Recertification Requirement 2.1.1
18	•	to the extent described above.
19	A.	This subsection requires a wireless ETC to "[r]eport on number of unfulfilled service
20		requests during the past calendar year, noting location of each such request, and
21		
22		description of ETC's attempts to provide service; [and provide] a brief description of how
23		the ETC ensures that every request for service that cannot be immediately fulfilled is
24		recorded and processed further under the 6-step process set forth in 47 C.F.R. §
25		54.202(a)(1)(i)." TracFone seeks a waiver only to the extent this requires an ETC to
26		

1		describe how the ETC complies with 47 C.F.R. § 54.202(a)(1)(i). TracFone does not
2		own and operate a network. As a reseller, TracFone does not have the ability to expand
3		or make any changes to the networks of its underlying carriers. It is for this reason that
4		the proposed designated service area is limited to only those areas where TracFone has
5		represented that it can provide coverage. Therefore, the Parties agree the Commission
6		should grant TracFone a waiver of this requirement.
7		
8	Q.	Please explain why the Commission should waive Recertification Requirement 5.2 to
9		the extent described above.
10	A	This subsection requires a wireless ETC to file an annual outage report consistent with
11		47 C.F.R. § 54.209(a)(2). Section 54.209(a)(2) requires the annual outage report to
12		include information detailing: (A) the date and time of onset of the outage; (B) a brief
13 14		description of the outage and its resolution; (C) the particular services affected; (D) the
15		geographic areas affected by the outage; (E) steps taken to prevent a similar situation in
16		the future; and (F) the number of customers affected. TracFone typically does not
17		receive outage information from its underlying carriers in Oregon or elsewhere.
18		Therefore, TracFone sought a partial waiver of this requirement to the extent that it is
19		unable to provide all of the information required by Section 54.209(a)(2) of the FCC's
20	•	rules (47 C.F.R. § 54.209(a)(2)). That FCC rule requires ETCs designated by the FCC to
21		
22		provide certain outage information to the FCC. TracFone complies with its obligations
23		under that FCC rule by having its underlying carriers submit the outage information
24		directly to the FCC with letters indicating that the information is being submitted on
25		behalf of TracFone pursuant to Section 54.209(a)(2). TracFone agrees to make requests
26		

.

1		to its underlying carriers to provide outage reports in fulfillment of this condition.
2		Paragraph 16(d). TracFone will submit the carriers' responses to its requests prior to
3		ETC designation.
4	Q.	Please explain why the Commission should waive Recertification Requirement 6.2 to
5 6		the extent described above.
7	A	This subsection requires a wireless ETC to report service troubles per 100 handsets by
8		wireless switch. As a reseller, TracFone does not own or operate any switches, and
9		therefore, cannot report troubles by wireless switch. Furthermore, TracFone's underlying
10		carriers do not provide TracFone with trouble reports in this form. TracFone has agreed
11		to provide the required data on an aggregate basis for Oregon, but will not be able to
12		report it disaggregated by switch. Paragraph 16(e). Therefore, the Parties agree that the
13 14		Commission should waive this requirement only to the extent that reporting is switch-
14		specific.
16	Q.	Are the waivers of these rules and requirements consistent with demonstrating that
17		approval of TracFone's Application is in the public interest?
18	A.	Yes. Waiver of these requirements is appropriate to enable TracFone to provide
19		SafeLink Wireless® service to qualified low-income Oregon households. In
20		combination with approving the other terms and conditions included in the Stipulation,
21		granting these waivers is consistent with the public interest.
22	0	Is the Commission required to waive any other rules to grant TracFone's
23	Q.	
24		Application?
25	A.	Yes. As discussed in this testimony, the Parties request that the Commission also waive
26		

application of OAR 860-033-0006(3)-(9), 860-033-0010, 860-033-0030(6), and 860-033-0035(1) and (2), but only to the extent discussed.

Are there any issues with respect to the Commission's ability to waive these rules?

A. Staff, OEM and CUB understand that the Commission may waive a rule only if, a statute,
that rule or another rule specifically provides that the Commission may waive the rule.
For example, OAR 860-033-0030(6) expressly provides: "The Commission may waive
this requirement if it determines that good cause exists." The other three rules mentioned
above are not subject to such express waiver provisions. TracFone does not understand
the Commission's authority to be so limited.

Q. Why and how do the Parties propose the Commission waive these rules?

The Parties agree that good cause exists for the Commission to waive these other rules A. 13 for the reasons discussed above. The Parties recognize that the RSPF Rules were 14 developed assuming that providers are facilities-based, and charge customers some 15 amount of money for their services and render bills to do so. Accordingly, the Parties 16 17 agree that the Commission should open a rulemaking proceeding to consider the above-18 discussed specific revisions to the RSPF Rules in light of changes to the 19 telecommunications marketplace in general and changes to the market for provision of 20 Lifeline services in particular. 21

Pending completion of such a rulemaking proceeding, the Parties request that the
 Commission issue a temporary rule expressly establishing the Commission's authority to
 waive the specific rules discussed herein, for good cause shown, and then exercise the
 authority granted by that rule in issuing an order granting TracFone's Application subject

26

1

2

3

11

12

**Q**.

	to the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation. See Paragraph 17.
	VI. CHANGE IN CUB'S POSITION
Q.	CUB, please explain the change in CUB's position from the original testimony it
	filed in this docket until today where you are supporting the Stipulation filed in this
·	matter.
A.	CUB did not like TracFone's original application, offered phone plan or attitude to the
	Commission and Intervenors. CUB felt that that the offered plan was too expensive and,
	among many other things, did not have adequate customer support. CUB was also
	concerned that TracFone would not work well with Commission Staff. CUB's initial
	Reply testimony in this docket, CUB/100, Jenks/22 noted what CUB was looking for
	from providers who want to become ETCs and ETPs in Oregon to provide lifeline
	cellular service:
	The PUC Staff will take a serious look at the proposal, as will representatives of customers. The provider will have to answer
	questions and will have to <i>prove</i> that its application is in the public interest. To meet this burden of proof, an applicant must be willing
	to work with parties and to provide responsive answers to questions that relate to its provision of service. Basic questions
	about the service, such as how many minutes, paid for with USF funds, are never received by customers, need to be answered.
	CUB is appreciative of the fact that TracFone took to heart CUB's testimony and the
	testimony of Staff and other intervenors, changed its offerings, responded to CUB's data
	requests and worked with Staff and Intervenors to resolve noted issues. Today, CUB is
	supportive of TracFone's designation as an ETC and ETP provider and thinks that the
	plans offered are in the public interest subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the
	plans offered are in the public interest subject to the terms and conditions set form in the

1		Stipulation.
2		VII. CONCLUSION
3	Q.	What do the Parties recommend regarding the Stipulation?
4		
5	A.	The Parties recommend that the Commission adopt the Stipulation as the basis for
. 6		resolving all the contested issues in this proceeding, and that the Commission grant
7		TracFone's designation as an ETC and ETP in Oregon subject to the terms and conditions
8		of the Stipulation.
9	Q.	Does this conclude your joint testimony?
10	A.	Yes.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Joint/101 Fuentes, Marinos, Poston, Jenks, Tennyson/1

# JOSÉ A. FUENTES

#### Public Relations, Communications, Public Affairs, Strategic Development

Successful Government and Public Relations expert with 15 years of experience in managing media relations, directing crisis communications, building press relationships, and spearheading lobbying for a number of public relations and governmental organizations including the previous Presidential Administration. Maximize media coverage and create strategic communications plans. Organize press conferences and media events to support legislative initiatives. Write press releases, statements, and talking points and deliver press briefings. Proven leader committed to providing superior government and public relations in a variety of contexts. Fluent in Spanish.

Strategic Planning • Team Building • Leadership • Crisis Communications • Document Creation New Business Development • Public Relations • Event Management • Press Releases Media Placement • Media Relations • Meeting Planning • Public Speaking • Issues Management

#### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

#### **TracFone Wireless Inc., Miami, Florida • 2008 - Present** A telecommunications company with 600 employees.

#### **Director of Government and Public Relations**

Direct, manage and responsible for the launch of the company's newest Lifeline brand of service SafeLink Wireless in all fifty states and territories. Forge, nurture and manage government relations with various agencies throughout the United States. Develop and execute all public relations strategies for SafeLink Wireless. Identify and develop relationships with non-profit organizations that help serve the low-income population. Provide phone, radio and television interviews with international, national, state, local and media outlets.

### FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Tallahassee, Florida • 2006-2007

A governmental organization with 10,000 employees serving the State of Florida.

#### **Director of Communications**

Built and maintained positive media relations as Spokesman for the Speaker of the House. Managed media scheduling, organized press conferences and roundtable events, and fielded interview requests. Wrote and approved press releases, statements, talking points, and opinions for news organizations on Speaker's behalf and delivered press conference background briefings to media state-wide. Negotiated strategic media placement and coverage to maximize Speaker's exposure for select issues and markets. Engaged in phone, radio, and television interviews with local, state, and national media outlets. Counseled Speaker on critical issues management and response to media inquiries. Managed crisis communications with media sources to enhance Speaker leadership.

#### MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, Miami, Florida • 2005-2006

A governmental organization with over 30,000 employees serving Miami-Dade County.

#### **Director of Public and Intergovernmental Affairs**

Supervised 7 employees as member of the Mayor's senior staff. Lobbied on Mayor's behalf to the State Legislature, U.S. Congress, and U.S. Senate for issues including homeland security funding, hurricane relief assistance, and homestead exemption rate increases. Represented Mayor with key local, civic, and business leaders in Miami-Dade area.

#### WHITE AND PARTNERS, Herndon, Virginia • 2004-2005

A national advertising and PR firm with 30+ employees.

#### Director of Public Relations

Managed 10 accounts including automobiles, B2B computer providers, healthcare, and hotels, as well as major client The Department of Defense. Launched PR and electronic, print, and broadcast media initiatives to increase brand awareness and drive company growth. Analyzed and improved brand strategy by performing market research and competitive analysis. Supervised 6 employees.

#### US AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT (USAID), Washington, DC • 2003-2004 An international development organization with 15,000 employees.

#### **Director of Communications**

Spearheaded media inquiries targeting Afghanistan and Iraq reconstruction as Chief Spokesperson for the Agency. Managed controversial crisis communications with major worldwide media and advised senior staff on critical issues management. Fielded press inquiries on a range of subjects Iraq Reconstruction, Afghanistan Reconstruction, Sudan, Africa, and hurricanes. Wrote statements, talking points, and questions/answers for top USAID administrators. Directed strategic event management in major media markets with national and international policy implications and interfaced extensively with Spanish media outlets. Supervised 8 employees.

# **Deputy Director of Vice Presidential Advance**

Directed all internal operations and logistics for the office. Liaised with key governmental bodies regarding event planning, including Department of Defense, United States Secret Service, CIA, Media Production, White House Communications Agency, and State Department. Delivered briefings to the Vice President on national and international events. Trained volunteers on policies and procedures. Supervised 5 employees and 100+ volunteers.

**OTHER ROLES:** Deputy Site-Press Lead/Operations Director, Presidential Inaugural Committee (2000-2001); Press Officer, Bush-Cheney Transition Team (2000); Lead Press Advance Representative to Secretary Dick Cheney, Bush-Cheney 2000 (2000); Grassroots Coordinator, NRA Institute for Legislative Action (2000); and Program Manager, New America Alliance (1999-2000), House Foreign Relations Committee (1997-199), Bob Dole for President (1995-1996).

#### EDUCATION

Bachelor of Arts in Criminology, Minor in Business Law University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida One year of legal coursework at the Appalachian School of Law

#### WITNESS EXPERIENCE

Provided oral and/or written testimony regarding TracFone Wireless, Inc.'s SafeLink Wireless<sup>®</sup> Lifeline service in the following proceedings:

Idaho Public Utilities Commission: In the Matter of TracFone Wireless, Inc. for Designation as an <u>Eligible Telecommunications</u>, Case No. TFW-T-09-01, February 25, 2011 (written direct testimony); March 23, 2011 (written rebuttal testimony), March 31, 2011 (oral testimony).

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission: In the Matter of the Petition of TracFone Wireless, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Indiana for the Limited Purpose of Offering Lifeline Service to Qualified Households, Docket No. 41052-ETC-54, July 20, 2010 (written direct testimony); October 13, 2010 (written revised direct testimony); January 27, 2011 (oral testimony).

Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Cable: <u>TracFone Wireless</u>, Inc., Annual <u>Verification of SafeLink Wireless Lifeline Subscribers</u>, D.T.C. 09-9, April 13, 2010 (oral testimony).

**Nevada Public Utilities Commission:** <u>Application of TracFone Wireless, Inc. d/b/a SafeLink Wireless</u> to be designated as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Nevada pursuant to NAC 704.6804 and Section 254 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Docket No. 09-10037, February 24, 2010 (written direct testimony).

**New Mexico Public Regulation Commission:** In the Matter of the Petition of TracFone Wireless, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of New Mexico for the Limited Purpose of Offering Lifeline Service to Qualified Households, Case No. 09-00300-UT, April 28, 2010 (written supplemental direct testimony); April 29, 2010 (written amended supplemental direct testimony); April 30, 2010 (written second supplement to direct testimony); May 28, 2010 (written rebuttal testimony); June 3, 2010 (written first supplement to rebuttal testimony); June 7, 2010 (written second supplement to rebuttal testimony); September 27, 2010 (written third supplement to direct testimony); November 2, 2010 (written supplemental testimony); November 9, 2010 (oral testimony).

**Utah Public Service Commission:** In the Matter of the Petition of TracFone Wireless, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Utah for the Limited Purpose of Offering Lifeline Service to Qualified Households, Docket No. 09-2511-01, March 2, 2010 (written direct testimony); April 20, 2010 (written rebuttal testimony); June 7, 2010 (oral testimony).

# WITNESS QUALIFICATION STATEMENT

NAME: Kay Marinos

EMPLOYER: Public Utility Commission of Oregon

TITLE: Program Manager, Competitive Issues

ADDRESS: 550 Capitol St NE Suite 215 Salem, Oregon 97301-2551

EDUCATION: PhD/ABD and MA in Economics University of Hawaii, 1981

> BA in Economics Hofstra University, 1975

# PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

<u>Program Manager, Competitive Issues</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon, 2007 – Present

Manage group responsible for telecommunications competitive issues, competitive provider certifications, carrier agreements, wholesale service quality, Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) designations, federal universal service programs and ILEC service territory allocations. Staff member of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service.

<u>Senior Telecommunications Analyst</u>, Public Utility Commission of Oregon, 2004 - 2007

Responsible for federal ETC designations, annual ETC recertifications, and universal service issues. Developed ETC requirements adopted by the Commission and served as expert witness in Docket UM 1217.

# Senior Consultant, Verizon Communications, 2000 - 2003

Managed special project teams to ensure compliance with regulatory and legal requirements in various aspects of national telecommunications business, including new product development, interconnection, proprietary information and billing.

# Senior Specialist, Bell Atlantic & NYNEX, 1988 - 2000

As subject matter expert, performed wide range of analytic functions to develop and support company's objectives in federal regulatory proceedings. Major issues included Telecom Act implementation, competitive markets, interconnection, pricing flexibility, price caps, rate restructuring, cost recovery, and cost allocation. <u>Manager</u>, National Exchange Carrier Association, 1984 -1988 Managed development of telecom industry forecasts of interstate usage and dedicated access services used to determine nationwide carrier pool rates.

<u>Business Research Analyst</u>, GTE Hawaiian Telephone, 1982 - 1983 Developed revenue and demand forecasts for budgeting and network planning.

<u>Economist & Planner</u>, State of Hawaii, 1978 – 1982 Managed energy conservation and emergency planning projects, lectured in economics at the University of Hawaii, and supervised economic and demographic studies for urban redevelopment in industrial area of Honolulu.

#### WITNESS QUALIFICATION STATEMENT

NAME: Bob Jenks

**EMPLOYER:** Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon

- **TITLE:** Executive Director
- ADDRESS: 610 SW Broadway, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97205
- **EDUCATION:** Bachelor of Science, Economics Willamette University, Salem, OR

**EXPERIENCE:** Provided testimony or comments in a variety of OPUC dockets, including UE 88, UE 92, UM 903, UM 918, UE 102, UP 168, UT 125, UT 141, UE 115, UE 116, UE 137, UE 139, UE 161, UE 165, UE 167, UE 170, UE 172, UE 173, UG 152, UM 995, UM 1050, UM 1071, UM 1147, UM 1121, UM 1206, UM 1209, UE 178, UE 179, UE 180, UE 189, UE 196, UE 204, UE 207, UE 208, UE 210, UE 215, UE 217, UE 219, UG 153, UG 163, UG 170, UG 181, UM 1234, UM 1264, UM 1283, UM 1286, UM 1354, UM 1416, UM 1431. Participated in the development of a variety of Least Cost Plans including providing analysis of the costs of carbon regulation, analysis of new coal plants, and analysis of the closure of the Boardman coal plant. Participated in proceedings analyzing and establishing conditions on electric, natural gas, and telecommunication mergers. Provided analysis related to expanding energy efficiency programs and renewable energy in Oregon. Provided testimony to Oregon Legislative Committees on consumer issues relating to energy and telecommunications, including issues related to energy efficiency standards, electric deregulation, renewable portfolio standards, and utility taxes. Lobbied the Oregon Congressional delegation on behalf of CUB.

> Between 1982 and 1991, worked for the Oregon State Public Interest Research Group, the Massachusetts Public Interest Research Group, and the Fund for Public Interest Research on a variety of public policy issues.

**MEMBERSHIP:** Oregon Energy Planning Council

Oregon Department of Energy Advisory Committee

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Fiscal Advisory Committee for BART rulemaking

National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates

# Joint/103

Fuentes, Marinos, Poston, Jenks, Tennyson/2 Board of Directors, Environment Oregon Research and Policy Center

# WITNESS QUALIFICATION STATEMENT

NAME: Mark Tennyson

EMPLOYER: Office of Emergency Management Oregon Military Department

TITLE: Director, Technology and Response Section / State 9-1-1 Program

ADDRESS: Anderson Readiness Center 3225 State Street Salem, OR 97301