

June 3, 2014

Filed electronically and by UPS Overnight Delivery

Oregon Public Utility Commission Attn: Filing Center P.O. Box 1088 550 Capitol Street NE, Suite 215 Salem, OR 97301

RE: In the Matter of QWEST CORPORATION, Petition for Approval of Price Plan

Pursuant to ORS 759.255. Docket No. UM 1354

Dear Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing are the original and five copies of the Rebuttal Testimony of Douglas Denney on behalf of Integra, in connection with the above-referenced docket. Also enclosed is a Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Kim K. Wagner

Legal & Regulatory Administrator

Eschelon Telecom, Inc. 763-745-8468 (direct)

763-745-8459 (department fax)

Kim.Wagner@integratelecom.com

Enclosures

cc: Service List

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

DOCKET NO. UM 1354

In the Matter of QWEST CORPORATION, Petition for Approval of Price Plan Pursuant to ORS 759.255.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 3rd day of June, 2014, I served the foregoing REBUTTAL

TESTIMONY OF DOUGLAS DENNEY ON BEHALF OF INTEGRA, in the above-entitled

docket, on the parties listed below, via means of e-mail transmission:

Robert Jenks
G. Catriona McCracken
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
610 SW Broadway, Suite 400
Portland, OR 97205
dockets@oregoncub.org;
bob@oregoncub.org
catriona@oregoncub.org

Arthur A. Butler Ater Wynne LLP 601 Union Street, Suite 1501 Seattle, WA 98101 aab@aterwynne.com

Mark P. Trinchero
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
1300 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300
Portland, OR 97201
marktrinchero@dwt.com

Bruce Hellebuyck Oregon Public Utility Commission P.O. Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308-2148 bruce.hellebuyck@state.or.us

Stephen Hayes
Oregon Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 2148
Salem, OR 97308-2148
stephen.hayes@state.or.us

Lawrence Reichman Perkins Coie LLP 1120 NW Couch St., 10th Flr. Portland, OR 97209 lreichman@perkinscoie.com Jason W. Jones
Department of Justice
1162 Court Street NE
Salem, OR 97301
jason.w.jones@state.or.us

Bill Garcia
Windstream Communications
1800 Old Pecos Trail, Suite J
Santa Fe, NM 87505
bill.garcia@windstream.com

Ron Trullinger CenturyLink 310 SW Park Ave., 11th Flr. Portland, OR 97205 ron.trullinger@centurylink.com Renee Willer Frontier Communications. 20575 NW Von Neumann Dr. Beaverton, OR 97006 renee.willer@ftr.com

Maja K. Haium
Sean O'Day
League of Oregon Cities
PO Box 928
Salem, OR 97308
mhaium@orcities.org
soday@orcities.org

William E. Hendricks CenturyLink 902 Wasco Street Hood River, OR 97031 tre.hendricks@centurylink.com

DATED: June 3, 2014.

Kim K Wagner

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

UM 1354

In the Matter of the Petition of)
QWEST CORPORATION for)
Approval of Price Plan Pursuant to)
ORS 759.255)
)

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

DOUGLAS DENNEY

ON BEHALF OF

INTEGRA TELECOM

June 3, 2014

	1	I.	INTRODUCTION	V
--	---	----	--------------	---

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 3 A. My name is Douglas Denney. I work at 18110 SE 34th St; Building One, Suite
- 4 100; in Vancouver, WA 98683. My work addressed changed since I filed
- 5 opening testimony.

6 Q. DID YOU FILE DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?

7 A. Yes. I filed direct testimony on April 2, 2014.

8 Q. ARE THERE ANY EXHIBITS TO YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

9 A. No.

10 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.

- 11 A. In my direct testimony I recommended that, to the extent the Commission
- approves CenturyLink's price plan, it make modifications to that plan associated
- with four areas: 1) Mergers and Acquisitions; 2) Privilege Tax; 3) Service
- Quality; and 4) Affiliate Transactions that could have a negative impact on
- 15 CenturyLink's wholesale customers, such as Integra.
- 16 CenturyLink's response testimony did provide clarification to Integra's concerns
- 17 relating to affiliate transactions. However, CenturyLink did not sufficiently
- address all the issues raised by Integra in my opening testimony.

19 Q. SHOULD CENTURYLINK BE ALLOWED TO ADDRESS ARGUMENTS

- 20 MADE BY INTEGRA IN OPENING TESTIMONY IN ITS
- 21 **SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?**

1	A.	No. To the extent CenturyLink did not address arguments made by Integra in my
2		opening testimony, it should not be allowed to do so in its round of Surrebuttal
3		Testimony. Any arguments in response to Integra's opening testimony should
4		have been made in CenturyLink's rebuttal testimony so that Integra would have
5		the appropriate opportunity to respond.
6		
7	II	. AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS
8	Q.	DID CENTURYLINK ADDRESS INTEGRA'S CONCERN REGARDING
9		AFFILIATED TRANSACTIONS?
10	A.	Yes. In Mr. Felz's testimony, CenturyLink clarified that the request was a
11		continuation of a current waiver and would not impact certain types of
12		agreements that were of concern to Integra. Based on the additional information
13		provided in Mr. Felz's reply testimony, Integra withdraws its request regarding
14		affiliate transactions.
15		
16	II	I. MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS
17	Q.	DID CENTURYLINK ADDRESS INTEGRA'S CONCERN REGARDING
18		COMMISSION OVERSIGHT OF MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS?
19	A.	No. CenturyLink merely reiterated its opening testimony. It further concludes,
20		"Therefore, CenturyLink believes the uncertainties that existed at the time of the

¹ Exhibit CTL/200 Felz/27, lines 15-30.

1 CenturyLink/Qwest merger have been addressed and there is no reason not to 2 eliminate Condition 18 and return this waiver to the Price Plan."²

However, this comment misses the point, as the concern about a waiver of this condition applies to Commission oversight of future mergers and acquisitions, not the previous CenturyLink/Qwest merger. As I pointed out in my opening testimony, the Commission found that the merger conditions imposed upon the CenturyLink/Qwest merger were essential to the conclusion that the merger was in the public interest.³ The Commission should maintain oversight of future mergers, acquisitions and sales of exchanges, and continue to assure that the public interest is protected. CenturyLink's statement that other voice competitors are not subject to this condition⁴ is irrelevant due to the unique role that CenturyLink plays in Oregon's communications market, as both the dominant wholesale provider and large retail competitor.⁵

14

15

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

IV. PRIVILEGE TAX

16 Q. DID CENTURYLINK ADDRESS INTEGRA'S CONCERN REGARDING

17 THE PRIVILEGE TAX?

² Exhibit CTL/200 Felz/27, lines 9-12.

Exhibit Integra/100 Denney/13, lines 5-8.

⁴ Exhibit CTL/200 Felz/27, lines 12-13.

⁵ Exhibit Integra/100 Denney/5, lines 7-16.

A. No. CenturyLink merely affirmed Integra's concerns by clarifying that, if it were granted its requested exemption with respect to the privilege tax, it would increase the tax passed through to Integra, effectively increasing the rates that Integra pays for wholesale services. As explained in my opening testimony, changes in the pass through of the privilege tax on wholesale services, especially those purchased pursuant to interconnection agreements should be dealt with in a generic cost docket, because when these rates were set, they generally took into account existing taxes. Therefore, changing the pass through of this tax for wholesale services, which are regulated by the Commission, could result in double recovery.

11

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

V. RETAIL SERVICE QUALITY REPORTING

- 13 Q. DID CENTURYLINK ADDRESS INTEGRA'S CONCERN REGARDING
- 14 ITS PROPOSED CHANGES TO RETAIL SERVICE QUALITY
- 15 **REPORTING?**
- 16 A. Yes. CenturyLink confirmed, "...nothing in its proposed modifications to retail
- service quality reporting will impact its wholesale service quality reporting."⁹
- Because wholesale service quality reports contain, in part, certain retail service

Exhibit CTL/200 Felz/29, lines 2-5.

Exhibit Integra/100 Denney/15, line 14 – Denney/16, line 2.

⁸ Exhibit Integra/100 Denney/16, lines 3-6.

⁹ Exhibit CTL/200 Felz/28, lines 17-18.

quality performance, 10 Integra recommends, that to the extent the Commission 1 2 approves of changes to retail service quality reporting, it should clarify that there 3 will be no impact on wholesale service quality reports. 4 5 VI. **CONCLUSION** 6 Q. WHAT DOES INTEGRA RECOMMEND TO THIS COMMISSION WITH 7 RESPECT TO CENTURYLINK'S PRICE PLAN? 8 A. Integra recommends that if the Commission approves CenturyLink's price plan, it 9 make the following modifications to the plan: 10 1) The Commission should retain authority over mergers, acquisitions, and sales 11 of exchanges, and reject CenturyLink's proposal to eliminate applicability of these rules and statutes.¹¹ 12 Specifically, the Commission should delete (as shown in strikeout below) from 13 14 CenturyLink's price plan the section "Transactions of Utilities" and 15 corresponding references to the statute, which is contained in Section VI (Waiver of statutes and rules) A (Statutes) of the price plan. 12 16 17 **Transactions of Utilities** 18 759.375 Approval prior to sale, mortgage or disposal of operative utility 19 property. Exhibit Integra/100 Denney/18, lines 3-9. 11 Exhibit Integra/100 Denney/12-14.

Exhibit CTL/109 Felz/6.

1	 759.380 Purchase of stock or property of another utility.
2 3	 759.385 Contracts regarding use of utility property; filing with commission; investigation.
4 5	 759.390 Contracts with affiliated interests; procedure; use in rate proceedings.
6	• 759.393 Applicability of ORS 759.385 and 759.390.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	• Waiver of condition 18 in Commission Order No. 11-095 (Appendix A) in Docket UM 1484 (In the Matter of the Application for Approval of Merger between CenturyTel, Inc. and Qwest Communications International, Inc.). Condition 18 imposed as a condition of approval of the merger the removal of the CenturyLink QC price plan exemption from the requirements of ORS 759.380 and ORS 759.375. Condition 18 did allow an exemption from ORS 759.375(1)(a) for property sales where the sales price is less than \$10 million, except that the sale of any CenturyLink QC exchange will be subject to Commission approval under ORS 759.375. CenturyLink QC seeks reinstatement of the exemption from ORS 759.380 and ORS 759.375 originally granted in Docket UM 1354. The Commission should also delete (as shown in strikethrough below) from CenturyLink's price plan, the reference to OAR 860-027-0015, which is contained in Section VI (Waiver of statutes and rules) B (Rules).
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	OAR 860 027 0025 Applications for Authority to Sell, Lease, Assign, Mortgage, Merge, Consolidate or Otherwise Dispose of or Encumber its Property, or to Acquire Stock, Bonds, or Property of Another Utility. 2) To the extent the Commission allows for a waiver of the limits associated with the city privilege tax pass through, the Commission should rule that the waiver does not apply to wholesale services. ¹⁴

Exhibit CTL/109 Felz/7.

Exhibit Integra/100 Denney/14-16.

1		Specifically, Integra proposes adding the underlined sentence below to the
2		exception associated with OAR 860-022-042, which is contained in Section VI
3		(Waiver of statutes and rules) B (Rules). 15
4 5 6 7 8		OAR 860-022-0042 Relating to City Privilege Taxes, Fees, and Other Assessments Imposed Upon a Large Telecommunications Utility. Partial waiver of paragraph (4) limiting the pass through of privilege taxes to only amounts in excess of 4 percent.
9 10		This waiver will not impact the way CenturyLink passes through city taxes to services sold to wholesale customers.
11		3) To the extent the Commission allows for relaxation of service quality
12		reporting, the Commission should clarify that the relaxation in no way impacts
13		wholesale service quality reporting obligations, including the incorporated
14		reporting of retail results, contained in carriers' interconnection agreements. 16
15		Specifically, Integra proposes adding the underlined sentence below to the
16		exception associated with OAR 860-022-042, which is contained in Section V
17		(Service Quality) A. ¹⁷
18 19		3. Exceptions to the service quality rules will in no way impact service quality reporting obligations associated with Interconnection Agreements.
20		
21	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
22	A.	Yes.

See Exhibit CTL/109 Felz/7.

Exhibit Integra/100 Denney/17-18.

See Exhibit CTL/109 Felz/4.