

May 26, 2010

Public Utility Commission Attn: Filing Center 550 Capitol St. NE #215 P.O. Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308

Re: Docket No. UE-219; Testimony

Enclosed for filing in this matter is the Direct Testimony of Alan R. Dale by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kurt Burkholder

Assistant Attorney General Of Attorneys for Intervenor

kbb:lal/2064448

Encl. & one copy

Cc w/ encl.: Service list

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, on May 26, 2010, I served the foregoing Direct Testimony of Alan R. Dale on behalf of the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife upon the parties on the service list, by U.S. Mail, and by electronic mail where paper service has been waived.

/s/ Kurt Burkholder Kurt Burkholder

	(W denotes waiver of paper		
	service)		
W	AMERICAN RIVERS	W	S CRAIG TUCKER
	STEVE ROTHERT		PO Box 282
	432 Broad St		Oreleans CA 95556
	Nevada City, CA 95959		ctucker@karuk.us
	srothert@americanrivers.org		
W	AMERICAN RIVERS	$\frac{1}{W}$	KLAMATH WATER USERS ASSN
	BRETT SWIFT		J LAURENCE CABLE
	320 SW Stark St – Ste 418		1001 SW 5 th Ave Ste 2000
	Portland OR 97204		Portland OR 97204
	bswift@amrivers.org		lcable@cablehuston.com
	ICNU	W	KLAMATH WATER USERS ASSN
	MELINDA J DAVISON	''	RICHARD LORENZ
	333 SW Taylor – Suite 400		1001 SW 5 th Ave Ste 2000
	Portland OR 97204		Portland OR 97204
	mjd@dvclaw.com		rlorenz@cablehuston.com
W	KLAMATH TRIBES AND		DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
''	KLAMATH WATER		DAVID HATTON
	PROJECT		1162 Court St NE
	CARL ULLMAN		Salem OR 97301
	PO Box 957		david.hatton@state.or.us
	Chiloquin OR 97624		
	bullman3@earthlink.net		
W	NCCFFF		KATHERINE A MCDOWELL
''	MARK C ROCKWELL		520 SW Sixth Ave – Suite 830
	19737 Wildwood West Dr		Portland OR 97204
	Penn Valley CA 95946		katherine@mcd-law.com
	summerhillfarmpv@aol.com		

W	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE KEN HOMOLKA 3406 Cherry Ave NE Salem OR 97303 ken.homolka@state.or.us	W	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY CHRIS STINE 165 E 7 th Ave – Suite 100 Eugene OR 97401 chris.stine@state.or.us
W	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE RICK KEPLER 3406 Cherry Ave NE Salem OR 97303 rick.j.kepler@state.or.us	W	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY STEVE KIRK 475 NE Bellevue Dr Bend OR 97701 steve.kirk@state.or.us
	OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ED DURRENBERGER PO Box 2148 Salem OR 97308 ed.durrenberger@state.or.us	W	OREGON WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT Mary Grainey 725 Summer St NE – Suite A Salem OR 97301 mary.s.grainey@wrd.state.or.us
W	PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOC GLEN H SPAIN PO Box 11170 Eugene OR 97440 fishlifr@aol.com	W	OREGON WATER RESOURCES DEPARTMENT RON C KOHANEK 725 Summer St NE – Suite A Salem OR 97301 ron.c.kohanek@wrd.state.or.us
	PACIFICORP OREGON DOCKETS 825 NE Multnomah St – Suite 2000 Portland OR 97232 oregondockets@pacificorp.com		RANDALL J FALKENBERG RFI CONSULTING INC PMB 362 8343 Roswell Rd Sandy Springs GA 30350 consultrfi@aol.com
W	SALMON RIVER RESTORATION COUNCIL PETER BRUCKER HCR 4 BOX 1089 Sawyers Bar CA 06027 ptb92day@gmail.com	W	TROUT UNLIMITED CHARLTON H BONHAM 828 San Pablo Ave – Suite 208 Albany CA 94706 cbonham@tu.org

W	WATERWATCH OF OREGON LISA BROWN 213 SW Ash St – Suite 208 Portland OR 97204 lisa@waterwatch.org	W	TROUT UNLIMITED KATE MILLER 227 SW Pine Street – Suite 200 Portland OR 97204 kmiller@tu.org
W	YUROK TRIBE JOHN CORBETT PO Box 1027 Klamath CA 95548 jcorbett@yuroktribe.nsn.us	W	NATURAL HERITAGE INSTITUTE RICHARD ROOS-COLLINS 100 Pine St – Suite 1550 San Francisco CA 94111 rrcolins@n-h-i.org
W	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON GORDON FEIGHNER 610 SW Broadway – Suite 308 Portland OR 97205 gordon@oregoncub.org	W	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON ROBERT JENKS 610 SW Broadway – Suite 308 Portland OR 97205 bob@oregoncub.org
W	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON G CATRIONA MCCRACKEN 610 SW Broadway – Suite 308 Portland OR 97205 catriona@oregoncub.org	W	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON RAYMOND MYERS 610 SW Broadway – Suite 308 Portland OR 97205 ray@oregoncub.org
W	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON KEVIN ELLIOTT PARKS 610 SW Broadway – Suite 308 Portland OR 97205 kevin@oregoncub.org		

Docket No. UE-219 Exhibit ODFW/1 Witness: Alan R. Dale

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OREGON

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE

Direct Testimony of Alan R. Dale

May 2010

1	Intro	luction
2	Q:	Please state your name, office address, and present position with the Oregon
3		Department of Fish and Wildlife ("ODFW").
4		_ · F ,
5	A.	My name is Alan R. Dale. My office address is 61734 Parrell Road, Bend, Oregon
6		97702. My present position is Region Manager for the High Desert Region of ODFW.
7		
8	Quali	fications
9	Q:	Briefly describe your educational background and professional experience.
10	A:	Both my Bachelor of Science (1977) and Master of Science (1986) Degrees in Wildlife
11		Biology are from Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado. Over the course of
12		my 30 years of professional work in natural resources, I have been employed by the U.S.
13		Forest Service (USFS), the Denver Water Department (DWD), and for the last twenty
14		years the Oregon Department of Fish in Wildlife (ODFW). I served as a Biologist with
15		the USFS and an Environmental Planner with the DWD. With ODFW I have served as
16		the Fish Habitat Program Manager in Headquarters, the Special Programs Manager (fish)
17		in the Central Region, and as the Region Manager in the High Desert Region.
18		
19	Q:	Briefly describe the scope of your duties with ODFW.
20	A:	In my current position as Region Manager, I supervise the implementation of ODFW's
21		fish and wildlife programs across an eleven-county area of Central and Southeast Oregon.
22		Through subordinate supervisors, I manage over 105 employees with a region budget of
23		over \$21 million. I also serve on the Department's Executive Leadership Team (ELT)
24		which provides input and direction to the overall leadership of the agency. As a member
25		of ELT, I often represent the agency in high profile issues related to my region.
26		

1	Q:	Have you personally been involved in negotiations related to the Klamath
2		Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement ("KHSA")?
3	A:	Yes, I have been a member of the state's negotiating team, along with representatives of
5		the Department of Environmental Quality, Water Resources Department, and Governor's
6		office.
7	Purp	ose of Testimony
8	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
9	A:	I will describe: the interests of ODFW and the state in resolving resource issues in the
10		Klamath basin; the state's involvement in re-licensing proceedings before the Federal
11		Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), and in negotiation of the KHSA; and the
12		terms of the KHSA that are pertinent to PacifiCorp's application to collect two
13		surcharges to fund the costs of removing four PacifiCorp dams on the Klamath River.
14	ODF	W and State Interests
15	Q:	Please describe the interests of ODFW and the state in resolving resource issues in
16	•	the Klamath basin.
17		
18	A:	The Klamath basin has been the site of significant resource conflicts for decades. The
19		most significant resource allocation conflicts have centered over water and have pitted
20		agricultural interests against fish managers and tribes. The conflicts culminated in three
21		recent crises: the shut-off of irrigation diversions during the drought of 2001 to protect
22		fish populations, a large die-off of Coho and Chinook salmon in 2002 when irrigation
23		diversions were resumed, and the curtailment of recreation and tribal fishing and the total
24		shut-down of commercial fishing across a large area of the Pacific in Oregon and
25		California in 2006 to protect Klamath fish stocks. During the same time, PacifiCorp was
26		seeking a new FERC license for its Klamath River Hydroelectric Project. The

1		convergence of these events provided an opportunity for stakeholders to find a better way
2		to address the basin's resource issues in a collaborative way.
3		
4		The interests of ODFW and the state in these Klamath basin efforts may be broadly stated
5		to include restoration of salmonid fisheries in the basin, improvement of water quality in
6		the Klamath River, and certainty of water supplies for farms, ranches, wildlife refuges,
7		and the river.
8		
9	Q:	What is the role of dam removal in this effort?
10	A:	Dam removal is essential. The Klamath Hydroelectric Project has blocked the natural
11		migration of salmon to tributaries in the Upper Klamath basin since 1918. Removal of
12		the four dams (Iron Gate, Copco 1, and Copco 2 in California, and J. C. Boyle in Oregon)
13		will restore fish access to over 400 miles of riverine fish habitat. In the judgment of
14		ODFW, dam removal ensures the greatest success in restoration of salmonid fisheries in
15		the Klamath system - more so than other methods of reintroduction that have been
16		studied, including fish ladders or trap and haul of fish with dams in place.
17		
18	ODF	W and State Involvement
19	Q:	Please describe ODFW and the state's involvement in the FERC relicensing
20		proceeding and KHSA negotiations.
21	A:	ODFW and other state agencies are intervenors in the FERC proceeding. ODFW
22	71.	
23		provided FERC with recommended license conditions to protect and enhance fish and
24		wildlife resources pursuant to the Federal Power Act. Federal resource agencies provided
25		mandatory license conditions. Those conditions were the minimum necessary for re-
26		establishing runs of andromous fish into the Klamath River Basin of Oregon.

1		After PacifiCorp contested the federal agency conditions and they were subsequently
2		upheld by an administrative law judge, the parties began negotiation of a dam removal
3		alternative to relicensing. Those negotiations were temporarily suspended while all
4		parties except PacifiCorp negotiated the separate Klamath Basin Restoration Agreement.
5		That agreement, among other things, addresses water allocation and management among
6		multiple uses and provides for extensive work to restore fish habitat and water quality in
7		the Klamath basin, which, in conjunction with dam removal under the KHSA, is designed
8		to ensure the greatest success in reintroduction and restoration of fisheries.
9		Once the separate basin agreement was agreed to in principle, the parties returned
10		
11		to the table with PacifiCorp and completed negotiation of the KHSA. The two
12		settlements were executed on February 18, 2010. The Director of ODFW, Roy Elicker,
13		signed the settlements along with other state agency heads, Governors Kulongoski and
		Schwarzenegger, three Indian tribes, and a coalition of irrigation districts, commercial
1415		fishing and conservation organizations, federal and California agencies, and local
		counties. Secretary of Interior Ken Salazar and NOAA Administrator
16		Dr. Jane Lubchenco were signatories to the KHSA.
17 18	KHSA	Terms Pertinent to PacifiCorp's Application
19	Q:	Briefly describe elements of the KHSA that are relevant to PacifiCorp's surcharge
20	Q.	application.
21	A:	One of PacifiCorp's stated objectives during negotiation of the KHSA was protection for
22		customers from the potential liabilities associated with dam removal. The other parties
23		were willing to accommodate that objective and achieve that certainty for PacifiCorp
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
24		customers. The KHSA provides for transfer of the hydroelectric project to a dam
25		removal entity other than PacifiCorp and legislated immunity for PacifiCorp regarding
26		dam removal after transfer. KHSA Section 2.1.1.E.

1		The KHSA provides for funding of dam removal costs from three sources – the customer
2		surcharges in Oregon, a customer surcharge in California, and a California bond. The
3		Oregon surcharges are intended to produce Oregon's share of customer contributions
4		through annual collections that remain approximately the same during the collection
5		period. KHSA Section 4.1.1.A.
6 7 8 9		The surcharges were also designed so that the customer contribution to dam removal costs would be fully funded, including accrued interest, by the year 2020. This is the target date for dam removal under the KHSA. KHSA Section 7.3.1.
10		Finally, the surcharges were designed to generate moneys that would be available in a
11		trust account for expenditures for dam removal activities as early as the summer of 2012.
12		Under the KHSA, the Secretary of the Department of Interior will determine by
13		March 31, 2012 whether dam removal will proceed. KHSA Section 3.3.4. If the
14		determination is affirmative, and the two states concur within 60 days of the
15		determination, the designated dam removal entity will commence to develop dam
16		removal plans, apply for necessary permits, and undertake initial actions in preparation
17		for dam removal. KHSA Section 7.2.1. Those activities are intended to be paid for from
18		the surcharge trust accounts. KHSA Sections 1.4 (definition of 'Facilities Removal'),
19		4.2.4.
20	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony?
21	A:	Yes.
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Page 6 - Direct Testimony of Alan R. Dale KBB/lal/2063461



May 26, 2010

Public Utility Commission Attn: Filing Center 550 Capitol St. NE #215 P.O. Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308

Re: Docket No. UE-219; Testimony

Enclosed for filing in this matter by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife is the Direct Testimony of Nancy Pustis.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kurt Burkholder

Assistant Attorney General Of Attorneys for Intervenor

kbb:lal/2064448-v2

Encl. & one copy

Cc w/ encl.: Service list

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, on May 26, 2010, I served the foregoing Direct Testimony of Nancy Pustis on behalf of the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife upon the parties on the service list, by U.S. Mail, and by electronic mail where paper service has been waived.

/s/ Kurt Burkholder Kurt Burkholder

	(W denotes waiver of paper		
	service)		
W	AMERICAN RIVERS STEVE ROTHERT 432 Broad St Nevada City, CA 95959	W	S CRAIG TUCKER PO Box 282 Oreleans CA 95556 ctucker@karuk.us
	srothert@americanrivers.org		
W	AMERICAN RIVERS BRETT SWIFT 320 SW Stark St – Ste 418 Portland OR 97204 bswift@amrivers.org	W	J LAURENCE CABLE 1001 SW 5 th Ave Ste 2000 Portland OR 97204 lcable@cablehuston.com
	ICNU MELINDA J DAVISON 333 SW Taylor – Suite 400 Portland OR 97204 mjd@dvclaw.com	W	KLAMATH WATER USERS ASSN RICHARD LORENZ 1001 SW 5 th Ave Ste 2000 Portland OR 97204 rlorenz@cablehuston.com
W	KLAMATH TRIBES AND KLAMATH WATER PROJECT CARL ULLMAN PO Box 957 Chiloquin OR 97624 bullman3@earthlink.net		DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE DAVID HATTON 1162 Court St NE Salem OR 97301 david.hatton@state.or.us
W	NCCFFF MARK C ROCKWELL 19737 Wildwood West Dr Penn Valley CA 95946 summerhillfarmpv@aol.com		KATHERINE A MCDOWELL 520 SW Sixth Ave – Suite 830 Portland OR 97204 katherine@mcd-law.com

	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE	W	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
	KEN HOMOLKA	ľ	CHRIS STINE
	3406 Cherry Ave NE		165 E 7 th Ave – Suite 100
	Salem OR 97303		Eugene OR 97401
	ken.homolka@state.or.us		chris.stine@state.or.us
w	OREGON DEPARTMENT	w	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF
	OF FISH AND WILDLIFE		ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
	RICK KEPLER		STEVE KIRK
	3406 Cherry Ave NE		475 NE Bellevue Dr
	Salem OR 97303		Bend OR 97701
	rick.j.kepler@state.or.us		steve.kirk@state.or.us
	OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY	W	OREGON WATER RESOURCES
	COMMISSION		DEPARTMENT
	ED DURRENBERGER		Mary Grainey
	PO Box 2148		725 Summer St NE – Suite A
	Salem OR 97308		Salem OR 97301
	ed.durrenberger@state.or.us		mary.s.grainey@wrd.state.or.us
W	PACIFIC COAST	W	OREGON WATER RESOURCES
	FEDERATION OF		DEPARTMENT
	FISHERMEN'S ASSOC		RON C KOHANEK 725 Summer St NE – Suite A
	GLEN H SPAIN		Salem OR 97301
	PO Box 11170		ron.c.kohanek@wrd.state.or.us
	Eugene OR 97440 fishlifr@aol.com		ron.c.konanek(www.state.or.us
	PACIFICORP	-	RANDALL J FALKENBERG
	OREGON DOCKETS		RFI CONSULTING INC
	825 NE Multnomah St – Suite		PMB 362
	2000		8343 Roswell Rd
	Portland OR 97232		Sandy Springs GA 30350
	oregondockets@pacificorp.com		consultrfi@aol.com
W	SALMON RIVER	W	TROUT UNLIMITED
, ,	RESTORATION COUNCIL		CHARLTON H BONHAM
	PETER BRUCKER		828 San Pablo Ave – Suite 208
	HCR 4		Albany CA 94706
	BOX 1089		cbonham@tu.org
	Sawyers Bar CA 06027		
	ptb92day@gmail.com		
	province (Committee)		

W	WATERWATCH OF OREGON LISA BROWN 213 SW Ash St – Suite 208 Portland OR 97204 lisa@waterwatch.org	W	TROUT UNLIMITED KATE MILLER 227 SW Pine Street – Suite 200 Portland OR 97204 kmiller@tu.org
W	YUROK TRIBE JOHN CORBETT PO Box 1027 Klamath CA 95548 jcorbett@yuroktribe.nsn.us	W	NATURAL HERITAGE INSTITUTE RICHARD ROOS-COLLINS 100 Pine St – Suite 1550 San Francisco CA 94111 rrcolins@n-h-i.org
W	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON GORDON FEIGHNER 610 SW Broadway – Suite 308 Portland OR 97205 gordon@oregoncub.org	W	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON ROBERT JENKS 610 SW Broadway – Suite 308 Portland OR 97205 bob@oregoncub.org
W	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON G CATRIONA MCCRACKEN 610 SW Broadway – Suite 308 Portland OR 97205 catriona@oregoncub.org	W	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON RAYMOND MYERS 610 SW Broadway – Suite 308 Portland OR 97205 ray@oregoncub.org
W	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON KEVIN ELLIOTT PARKS 610 SW Broadway – Suite 308 Portland OR 97205 kevin@oregoncub.org		

Docket No. UE-219 Exhibit ODFW/2 Witness: Nancy Pustis

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OREGON

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE

Direct Testimony of Nancy Pustis

May 2010

1	Intro	luction
2	Q:	Please state your name, office address, and present position with the Oregon
3		Department of State Lands ("DSL").
4		
5	A.	My name is Nancy Pustis. My office address is 775 Summer Street NE, Suite 100,
6		Salem, Oregon 97301-1279. My present position is Western Region Manager, Land
7		Management Division.
8	Quali	fications
9	Q:	Briefly describe your educational background and professional experience.
10	A:	I have a bachelor of science degree from Oregon State University in Agriculture
11		Economics, minor in Range Management. I have worked for the Department of State
12		Lands for nearly 11 years in the land management and wetland and waterway programs.
13	Q:	Briefly describe the scope of your duties with DSL.
14	A:	I oversee the proprietary programs for the department on the western side of the state. I
15		make ownership determinations on state uplands and submerged and submersible lands.
16		This includes authorization of all non-exempt uses of state-owned waterway and uplands.
17		Authorizations include uses or structures requiring waterway leases, easements, and
18		special uses of state-owned land such as communication sites, and alternative energy.
19	_	
20	Purpo	ose of Testimony
21	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
22	A:	I will describe: the state's claim to ownership of the beds and banks of the Klamath
23		River; lease requirements under state law for the occupancy of state-owned beds and
24		banks by hydroelectric projects; and an estimate of rent that would be required for the
25		Klamath River Hydroelectric Project if it were relicensed by FERC.
26		

Page 2 - Direct Testimony of Nancy Pustis KBB/lal/2066914

State's Claim to Ownership of Beds and Banks 1 Q: Please describe the state's claim to ownership of the beds and banks of the Klamath 2 River. 3 A: Under the Equal Footing Doctrine, all lands underlying waters that were navigable at the 4 time of statehood are owned by the state. These lands, generally located below the line of 5 ordinary high water, are also known as submerged and submersible lands, or beds and 6 banks. The State Land Board is authorized to determine navigability, and to assert title to 7 submerged and submersible lands. ORS 274.402, 274.404. In 1986, the Land Board 8 determined the Klamath River to be a navigable water for purposes of title, from the 9 California border (River Mile ("RM") 208) to a point above the present Keno Dam (RM 10 231.5) at RM 233. 11 Lease Requirements for Hydroelectric Projects 12 13 Please describe lease requirements under state law for the occupancy of state-owned Q: 14 beds and banks by hydroelectric projects. 15 DSL rules found at OAR 141-087 require a lease or easement for hydroelectric projects A: 16 occupying state-owned submerged and submersible lands. The rules also require 17 payment of rent under a lease, the amount of which may be based on six percent of the 18 per acre value of adjacent upland, adjusted annually for inflation. OAR 141-087-0050. 19 20 Rent for the Klamath River Hydroelectric Project 21 Q: What rent is currently being assessed PacifiCorp? 22 A: Consistent with Section 2.5 of the KHSA, PacifiCorp has applied for a lease for the J.C. 23 Boyle Dam and Keno Dam structures. While the leases have yet to be finalized, DSL 24 estimates the annual rent for the J.C. Boyle Dam to be \$4,324, and for the Keno Dam to 25 26

Page 3 - Direct Testimony of Nancy Pustis

KBB/lal/2066914

1		be \$149. A lease and rent might also be required for the J.C. Boyle powerhouse, once its
2		location in relation to the Klamath River at the time of statehood is confirmed.
3	Q:	What is your estimate of the rent that could be required for the Klamath River
4		Hydroelectric Project if it were relicensed by FERC?
5		
6	A:	In addition to requiring a lease for submerged and submersible lands occupied by the J.C.
7		Boyle and Keno Dam structures, DSL could elect to require a lease for submerged and
8		submersible lands that are inundated by the J.C. Boyle and Keno Reservoirs. According
9		to FERC Final Environmental Impact Statement, Table 2-1 (Nov. 2007), the J.C. Boyle
10		Reservoir is 3.6 miles long. Behind Keno Dam at River Mile 231.5, the state claims
11		ownership to River Mile 233, or 1.5 miles of submerged and submersible lands
12		underlying the Keno Reservoir. The department has not determined as of this time that it
13		would require rent for inundated lands at the Klamath River Hydroelectric Project, but it
14		is a future option available to it.
15		Assuming DSL required a lease and rent for submerged and submersible lands occupied
16		by both structures and reservoirs, I estimate the annual rent for the J.C. Boyle Dam at
17		approximately \$4,324; for the J.C. Boyle Reservoir at approximately \$359; for the Keno
18		Dam at approximately \$149; and for the Keno Reservoir at approximately \$3,300. The
19		difference in estimated rents reflects different adjacent upland values. These amounts
20		would total \$8,132 per year.
21		would total \$6,132 per year.
22		FERC may issue a new license for a term ranging from 30 to 50 years. Applying
23		the assumptions described above, the annual rents would be the following, for 30-year,
24		40-year, and 50-year license terms by way of example:
25		
26		

Page 4 - Direct Testimony of Nancy Pustis KBB/lal/2066914

	\$8,132 x 30 = \$243,960 \$8,132 x 40 = \$325,280
	\$8,132 x 40 = \$325,280 \$8,132 x 50 = \$406,600
	Again, these are estimates only. Actual rental amounts would be determined based on
	verification of the acreage of submerged and submersible lands occupied by the project,
	verification of adjacent upland values, and adjustment for inflation. Further, this estimate
	assumes that the methodology used to determine rent set forth in DSL rules would be the
	same at the time of relicensing as it is today.
0	
Q:	Are these potential rental amounts discussed in the direct testimony of Corey E.
	Scott (Exhibit PPL/300)?
A:	No.
0.	Does this conclude your testimony?
A:	Yes.
	Q: A: A:

Page 5 - Direct Testimony of Nancy Pustis KBB/lal/2066914