ISSUED: May 10, 2018

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UM 1934

In the Matter of

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

NOTICE

2018 Request for Proposals for Renewable Resources.

The Public Utility Commission of Oregon will hold a Special Public Meeting in this docket as follows:

DATE: May 16, 2018

TIME: 1:30 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Public Utility Commission

Hearing Room

201 High Street SE, Suite 100

Salem, Oregon 97301

At the Regular Public Meeting on May 8, 2018, the Commission asked Portland General Electric and the Independent Evaluator (IE) to make filings in advance of the May 16 Special Public Meeting. This notice provides additional direction on the timing of the filings, the content the Commission requests from the IE, and the expected format for the Special Public Meeting.

(1) Filing of an Updated Draft RFP

PGE is asked to file an updated copy of its draft RFP with redline changes from the March 9, 2018 copy. This will allow stakeholders and the Commission to more clearly identify areas of agreement and unresolved issues. PGE should make this filing as soon as possible and no later than noon on May 11, 2018.

(2) The IE's Comments

The IE is asked to review the updated redline RFP and report all of his remaining recommendations. This updated report and list of conditions or changes should include any recommendations that carry over from the IE's April 6, 2018 assessment. The IE's

comments should also answer the below questions, which reflect elements of issues that need more information for Commission decision:

Issue #2, Firm Transmission: Parties have stated that a longer time period for the conditional firm bridge (beyond the two years proposed by PGE) will expand the pool of bidders by allowing projects flexibility as Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) implements transmission system upgrades. Parties have also stated that a project with a conditional firm bridge would be energized to qualify for the Production Tax Credit (PTC), but a very small amount of PTCs may not be delivered due to curtailment.

Does the wording of the revised RFP make clear that bids with a conditional firm transmission bridge would be allowed to bid? Can the IE recommend what amount of time (beyond 2 years) would be a reasonable amount to increase flexibility for bidders?

How will conditional firm transmission impact a bidder's score? Will a conditional firm transmission bridge impact a project's capacity value, and does capacity value impact scoring?

Issue #6, 15 vs. 60 Minute Scheduling: Parties have stated that the cost impact to PGE from 15 minute scheduling is very small, particularly with proper scheduling penalties included in the contract. Parties have also stated that 15 minute scheduling is the most efficient for the overall system, and balancing costs can be addressed in future cost recovery proceedings.

Will there be any difference in scoring between the benchmark resource and third party resources for balancing costs?

Issue #7, Specified Energy: The IE's April 6 comments recommended that PPA over-deliveries should be measured on an annual basis. The IE further recommended that under-deliveries be addressed with liquidated damages (not the payment for replacement energy and RECs).

Does the IE have any changes to its recommendations on specified energy?

Issue #8, Redlines Diminish Score: Parties have stated that it is unfair for bids to lose points for redlines to the pro-forma contracts.

Would potential redlines affect significant, threshold-type terms, or are they more likely for smaller, less significant contract terms? Does the IE have a recommendation on whether redlines should impact non-price scoring?

Issues #20 and #21, Prohibiting Capital Additions and NDA Damage Cap: Parties raised concerns with these two issues, stating that PGE should remove language that prohibits capital additions. Parties have also asked that the damage cap be increased from \$100,000 to \$500,000.

Does the IE have recommendations on these two issues?

(3) Special Public Meeting Agenda

The Commission will follow its standard procedure for the first portion of the meeting. First, Staff may summarize its remaining recommendations; then PGE may provide its comments, and then stakeholders may individually state their recommendations. The Commission will limit each party to 5 minutes, and Commissioners may ask questions of the speaker and the IE at any point.

During the second phase of the meeting, the Commission will hear from the IE on remaining recommendations, deliberating and deciding on each issue. At the end of the meeting, we will summarize the decisions and a Commission order will issue within one week.

Dated this 10th day of May, 2018, at Salem, Oregon.

Sarah Rowe

Administrative Law Judge