

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

UM 1877-UM 1882, UM 1884-UM 1886, UM 1888-UM 1890

In the Matters of

BOTTLENOSE SOLAR, LLC;  
VALHALLA SOLAR, LLC;  
WHIPSNAKE SOLAR, LLC;  
SKYWARD SOLAR, LLC;  
LEATHERBACK SOLAR, LLC; PIKA  
SOLAR, LLC; COTTONTAIL SOLAR,  
LLC; OSPREY SOLAR, LLC; WAPITI  
SOLAR, LLC; BIGHORN SOLAR,  
LLC; MINKE SOLAR LLC; HARRIER  
SOLAR LLC,

Complainants,

v.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC  
COMPANY,

Defendant.

PREHEARING CONFERENCE  
REPORT

DISPOSITION: PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE REVISED

A further prehearing conference was held in this docket on February 9, 2018. Appearances by counsel for the complainants and defendant were noted on the record. Commission Staff did not participate in the proceeding.

At the prehearing conference, the administrative law judge (ALJ) noted that the following motions and responses were currently awaiting disposition by the Commission:

Complainants' Motion to Compel Discovery	December 21, 2017
PGE's Response to Complainants' Motion to Compel Discovery	January 11, 2018
Complainants' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Discovery	January 18, 2018
PGE Motion to Stay Discovery and Procedural Schedule	January 24, 2018
PGE Motion for Summary Judgment	January 24, 2018
Complainants' Response in Opposition to PGE Motion to Stay Discovery	February 2, 2018
PGE Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Discovery	February 7, 2018
Complainants' Motion to Suspend Response to Motion for Summary Judgment and Set a Scheduling Conference	February 7, 2018

PGE Response to Complainants' Motion to Suspend Complainants' Response to PGE's Motion for Summary Judgment February 8, 2018  
 Complainants' Motion to Supplement Complainants' Motion to Compel February 9, 2018

At the conference, complainants also stated their intention to (1) seek to amend their complaints in order to make new factual allegations and claims for relief and (2) file a motion for summary judgment couching the legal questions at issue on terms other than as set forth by PGE in its motion for summary judgment.

Upon conclusion of the discussion, the ALJ suspended the current schedule for the resolution of pending matters relating to discovery and the filing of testimony and directed the parties to address the pending motion or, potentially, motions for summary judgment according to the following schedule:

Complainants' Response to PGE Motion for Summary Judgment	March 9, 2018
PGE Reply to Complainants' Response to Motion for Summary Judgment	March 30, 2018
First date on which Complainants may file Motion for Summary Judgment	March 30, 2018
Complainants' Sur-Response to PGE Reply Motion for Summary Judgment	April 13, 2018

Dated this 13th day of February, 2018, at Salem, Oregon.




---

Allan J. Arlow  
 Administrative Law Judge