

ISSUED: February 3, 2006

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UE 170

In the Matter of)	
)	
PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY)	RULING
(dba PacifiCorp))	
)	
Reconsideration of Order No. 05-1050.)	

On February 3, 2006, a telephone conference was held in Docket No. UM 1229. The results of that telephone conference make it necessary to clarify the issues in this stage of the UE 170 docket. On January 18, 2006, I issued a prehearing conference report which included three issues to be addressed by the parties. One more issue, labeled as 4., is added. The complete issues list is as follows:

1. Was the Commission required to apply or prohibited from applying SB 408 to this docket?
2. Assume that the Commission could apply SB 408 or "its principles" to this docket. How should SB 408 or "its principles" be applied?
3. Did the \$16.07 million tax adjustment result in rates violative of ORS 756.040?
4. What is the appropriate remedy if the Commission should determine to modify the revenue requirement from the original order?

As stated in my ruling dated this same date in UM 1229, ICNU may raise arguments about whether the Commission can or should use the deferred accounting process as a make-whole remedy.

Dated this 3rd day of February, 2006.

Kathryn A. Logan
Administrative Law Judge