BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

ARB 830 In the Matter of) SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P.) Petition For Arbitration of an) Interconnection Agreement with) CENTURYTEL OF OREGON, INC.)

DISPOSITION: REQUEST FOR EXTENSION GRANTED; TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO THE RECORD; MOTION FOR OFFICIAL NOTICE GRANTED

In lieu of a hearing, the parties in this docket agreed to move their testimony into the record in writing without cross-examination. CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc., (CenturyTel), filed their motion to admit testimony and exhibits and supporting affidavits on June 25, 2008. On June 26, 2008, Sprint Communications Company L.P. (Sprint) requested a one-day extension of time for filing its motion. Sprint's request is approved. Sprint filed a motion to admit its prefiled testimony and exhibits and supporting affidavits on June 27, 2008.

The parties' motions to admit are granted. Sprint, however, is directed to file and serve a corrected copy of the Direct Testimony of James R. Burt, including accompanying exhibits, by July 9, 2008. When Sprint filed the testimony on May 5, 2008, it did not appropriately mark its exhibits. The testimony should have been marked as Sprint/1, Burt/[insert correct page number] in the upper right corner of each page. The exhibit marked "JRB-1" should have been marked "Sprint/2, Burt/1-[insert correct page number]" on each page; the exhibit marked "JRB-2" should have been marked "Sprint/3, Burt/1-[insert correct page number]" on each page. ¹ Sprint correctly identified the testimony and exhibits in its motion to admit, and the parties should refer to the testimony and exhibits as identified in Sprint's motion.

¹ Both Sprint and CenturyTel filed testimony and exhibits using consecutive page numbers for each witness. For example, CenturyTel witness Guy E. Miller, III, submitted nine exhibits. Miller exhibit 1 began at page 1 and continued to page 52. Miller exhibit 2 than began at page 53 and continued to page 55. Although I am not ordering Sprint or CenturyTel to correct the page numbering in this docket, both parties should be aware for future dockets that the Commission prefers page numbering begin at page 1 for each exhibit.

The following testimony and exhibits are admitted into the record:

- Sprint/1-3/Burt (Direct Testimony and exhibits of James R. Burt);
- Sprint/4-5/Burt (Reply Testimony and exhibits of James R. Burt);
- Sprint/6-9/Farrar (Rebuttal Testimony and exhibits of Randy G. Farrar);²
- CenturyTel/1-8/Miller (Opening Testimony and exhibits of Guy E. Miller, III);
- CenturyTel/9-11/Hankins (Opening Testimony and exhibits of Ted M. Hankins);³
- CenturyTel/12-13/Watkins (Opening Testimony and exhibits of Steven E. Watkins);
- CenturyTel/14/Miller (Rebuttal Testimony of Guy E. Miller, III);
- CenturyTel/15/Watkins (Rebuttal Testimony of Steven E. Watkins, as amended in Attachment B to the Affidavit of Steven E. Watkins filed June 25, 2008); and
- CenturyTel/16-17/Hankins (Rebuttal Testimony and exhibits of Ted M. Hankins).⁴

In addition, CenturyTel requested that the Commission take Official Notice of the interconnection agreements in ARB 370 (dated July 11, 2001), ARB 526 (dated November 25, 2003), ARB 833 (dated March 25, 2008), and ARB 653 (dated February 1, 2005). CenturyTel states that Sprint has no object to its request. Century-Tel's request is consistent with OAR 860-014-0050(1), which permits the Commission to take official notice of documents that have been made part of the Commission's files in the regular course of performing the Commission's duties. CenturyTel's request is therefore granted.

Dated this 2nd day of July, 2008, at Salem, Oregon.

Sarah K. Wallace Arbitrator

² Portions of Sprint/6/Farrar, as well as all of Sprint/7 & 8/Farrar are designated as confidential.

³ CenturyTel/10 & 11/Hankins were redesignated as confidential by CenturyTel on May 28, 2008.

⁴ CenturyTel/17/Hankins is confidential.