BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UM 1633

	In the Matter of) CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD
	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON,	OF OREGON'S CROSS ANSWERING BRIEF (ISSUE BIFURCATION)
	Investigation into Treatment of Pension Costs in Utility Rates.	
1 2 3 4 5	I. CUB'S TESTIMONY IN UE 262 SUMADE IN ITS ORIGINAL ANSWERING BRIEF. As pointed out by ICNU in its Answering	ERING BRIEF AND NOW IN THIS
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"[t]he Commission has been presented with change the ratemaking treatment of pension PacifiCorp. Instead of adopting potentially Commission opened this proceeding "to red on a general, non-utility-specific basis." The three different proposals are indicative of the	n costs from NW Natural, PGE and y inconsistent pension treatments, the eview the treatment of pension expense
13	The three different proposals are indicative of the need for this UM 1633 docket to be a broad policy docket and for the docket, at a minimum, to be bifurcated in the manner initially proposed	
14	by ALJ Grant. NWIGU also supports this idea. ² Moreover NWIGU notes that its interest lies	
15	only in the <i>Phase One</i> policy docket and not in the <i>Phase Two</i> fact specific docket(s) except	
16	those related to gas utilities. ³ There is, therefore, a need for a <i>Phase One</i> to review pension	
17	policy on a going forward basis. And there is a need for a <i>Phase Two</i> – or as CUB suggested in	
	¹ Answering Brief of ICNU at 2; <i>Re NW Natural</i> , Docket N ² UM 1633 NWIGU's Answering Brief at 3 at lines 10 – 24 ³ UM 1633 NWIGU's Answering Brief at 4 lines 6-11.	To. UG 221, Order No. 12-408 at 4 (Oct.26, 2012). and at 4 lines 1-6.

its Answering Brief for completely individual dockets - to then apply the "past" policy to the past 1

2 pension contributions in excess of FAS 87 (for PGE, PacifiCorp, Avista, Cascade – Idaho Power

says it does not have any - NW Natural's was already ruled upon in UG 2214) and the new policy

on a going forward basis to any new contributions, for each of Oregon's six energy utilities.

By way of example of the need for bifurcation, CUB refers the Commission, and the other

parties, to CUB's Opening Testimony, filed June 14, 2013, in the UE 262 PGE General Rate

Case docket. Based upon the review and analysis of the information available to CUB in that

docket CUB reiterates that any review and action upon the prior contributions in excess of FAS

87 (the *pre-paid assets*) of any utility would constitute retroactive ratemaking⁵ and that, in the

case of PGE, it would result in customers being "greatly overcharge[ed]".

For all of the above reasons, and also for all the additional reasons set forth in CUB's prior Answering Brief, CUB does not support Staff and the Companies' position that this docket should not be bifurcated. Instead CUB reiterates that there is a clear need for bifurcation and for at least two separate phases. The first, a generic policy docket – what pension policy should be in place for all of Oregon's energy utilities on a forward going basis. And second, one or multiple, fact specific proceedings related to whether the companies, upon whose prior pension contributions in excess of FAS 87 expense the Commission has yet to rule, should be permitted a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

⁴ UM 1633 NWIGU's Answering Brief at 2 lines 11-24 and at 3 liens 1-9.

⁵ UE 262 CUB/100 Jenks/12 at lines 17-22 and at 13 liens 1-2: "While the utilities who are advocating this approach claim the method does not involve retroactive ratemaking - because they are only looking for a return on the prepaid asset going forward - in order for the Commission to verify that the prepaid asset really exists, that the prepaid asset really represents cash contributions in excess of FAS 87, and also to verify that customers have not already compensated the utility for its cash contributions by overpaying FAS 87, would require a historic true up of pension-related costs and revenues that would violate the general prohibition on retroactive ratemaking and the general prohibition on single issue ratemaking."

2	respectfully requests that the Commission divide this UM 1633 docket into at least two phases.
3	II. CONCLUSION.
4	CUB continues to believe that Idaho Power Company should not be excused from this
5	docket because the stated purpose of this docket is to set pension policy on a forward going basis
6	for all of Oregon's energy utilities. If Idaho Power Company is excused from this proceeding
7	such excusal should be predicated on Idaho Power Company acknowledging that it is knowingly
8	giving up the right to participate in, and to challenge, the setting of utility pension policy for the
9	state of Oregon.
10	CUB also continues to believe strongly that, for administrative efficiency, this docket
11	should be bifurcated. It could be bifurcated as initially stated by Judge Grant in his Prehearing
12	Memorandum or bifurcated as suggested by CUB in its Answering Brief. Should, however, the
13	Commission rule in favor of the Joint Utilities and fail to bifurcate this docket, CUB respectfully
14	reserves all of its rights to argue, on any and all of the issues it deems relevant, in the un-
15	bifurcated single - phase docket.
16	
17	
18	
19	

return on those past contributions in excess of cumulative FAS 87 expense.⁷ CUB, therefore,

1

20

⁷ CUB notes once more for the record that it is CUB's position that the companies should not be permitted any such return on past contributions. "Changing the rules and creating value where it did not previously exist is simply a transfer of money from customers to shareholders." UE 262 CUB/100 Jenks/13 lines 10-12. For CUB's position on FAS 87 and the parameters for future utility pension policy please see UE 262 CUB/100 Jenks/13 – 16.

Respectfully submitted,

G. Catriona McCracken, OSB #933587

General Counsel, Regulatory Program Director

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Suite 400

Portland OR 97205

(503) 227-1984 ph

(503) 274-2956 fax

Catriona@oregoncub.org

UM 1633 – CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 21st day of June, 2013, I served the foregoing **CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON'S CROSS ANSWERING BRIEF (ISSUE BIFURCATION)** in docket UM 1633 upon each party listed in the UM 1633 PUC Service List by email and, where paper service is not waived, by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, and upon the Commission by email and by sending one original and five copies by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, to the Commission's Salem offices.

(W denotes waiver of paper service)

(C denotes service of Confidential material authorized)

W AVISTA CORPORATION

C DAVID J MEYER
PO BOX 3727
SPOKANE WA 99220-3727
david.meyer@avistacorp.com

W AVISTA UTILITIES

C PATRICK EHRBAR PO BOX 3727 SPOKANE WA 99220-3727 pat.ehrbar@avistacorp.com

W CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT

C HAAGENSEN & LLOYD LLP CHAD M STOKES 1001 SW 5TH - STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97204-1136 cstokes@cablehuston.com

W CASCADE NATURAL GAS
MICHAEL PARVINEN
8113 W GRANDRIDGE BLVD
KENNEWICK WA 99336
michael.parvinen@cngc.com

W DAVISON VAN CLEVE PC

C S BRADLEY VAN CLEVE 333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204 byc@dyclaw.com

W AVISTA UTILITIES

C ELIZABETH ANDREWS PO BOX 3727 SPOKANE WA 99220-3727 liz.andrews@avistacorp.com

W CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT

C HAAGENSEN & LLOYD TOMMY A BROOKS 1001 SW FIFTH AVE, STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97204-1136 tbrooks@cablehuston.com

W CASCADE NATURAL GAS PAMELA ARCHER 8113 W GRANDRIDGE BLVD KENNEWICK WA 99336 pamela.archer@cngc.com

W CASCADE NATURAL GAS MARYALICE ROSALES 8113 W GRANDRIDGE BLVD KENNEWICK WA 99336 maryalice.rosales@cngc.com

W DAVISON VAN CLEVE PC

C IRION A SANGER 333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204 ias@dvclaw.com

W IDAHO POWER COMPANY

LISA D NORDSTROM PO BOX 70 BOISE ID 83707-0070 lnordstrom@idahopower.com

W IDAHO POWER COMPANY

REGULATORY DOCKETS PO BOX 70 BOISE ID 83707-0070 dockets@idahopower.com

W MCDOWELL RACKNER & GIBSON W NORTHWEST INDSUTRIAL GAS

C LISA F RACKNER 419 SW 11TH AVE., SUITE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 dockets@mcd-law.com

C USERS EDWARD FINKLEA 326 FIFTH ST LAKE OSWEGO OR 97034 efinklea@nwigu.org

W NORTHWEST NATURAL

E-FILING 220 NW 2ND AVE PORTLAND OR 97209 efiling@nwnatural.com

W NORTHWEST NATURAL

C MARK R THOMPSON 220 NW 2ND AVE PORTLAND OR 97209 mark.thompson@nwnatural.com

W PACIFIC POWER

SARAH WALLACE 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST STE 1800 PORTLAND OR 97232 sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com

W PACIFIC POWER

C R BRYCE DALLEY 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST., STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97232 bryce.dalley@pacificorp.com

W PACIFIC POWER

OREGON DOCKETS 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST, STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97232 oregondockets@pacificorp.com

W PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

C JAY TINKER 121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC-0702 PORTLAND OR 97204 pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com

W PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

DOUGLAS C TINGEY 121 SW SALMON 1WTC13 PORTLAND OR 97204 doug.tingey@pgn.com

W PUC STAFF - OREGON DOJ

C JASON W JONES 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 jason.w.jones@state.or.us

W PUC OF OREGON

BRIAN BAHR PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97308-2148 brian.bahr@state.or.us

//

//

Respectfully submitted,

G. Catriona McCracken,

Attorney #933587

General Counsel/

Regulatory Program Dir.

Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon

610 SW Broadway, Ste. 400

Portland, OR 97205

(503) 227-1984 phone

(503) 274-2596 fax

Catriona@oregoncub.org