1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
2	OF OREGON
3	UE 296
4	In the Matter of
5	PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, STAFF'S PREHEARING
6	2016 Transition Adjustment Mechanism MEMORANDUM
7	
8	
9	In accordance with the schedule set in this matter, Staff of the Public Utility Commission
10	of Oregon (Staff) submits its Prehearing Memorandum. Staff's understanding of the purpose of
11	the prehearing memorandum is intended to inform the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) of the
12	status of Staff's issues so that the ALJ can prepare accordingly for the hearing. As such, Staff
13	will not submit argument in support of its issues at this time.
14	In brief summary, the following are the existing issues that Staff is actively pursuing with
15	PacifiCorp's 2016 Transition Adjustment Mechanism (TAM) filing:
16	(1) Modeling of the transmission transfer capability between PacifiCorp's east and
17	west "balancing authority areas" (referred to as BAAs Nexus Modeling). See Staff/100, Ordonez/2, 8-11; Staff/200, Ordonez/2, 5-10 (as corrected by errata sheets filed August
18 12); and	
19	(referred to as Day-Ahead and Real-Time Modeling). See Staff/100, Ordonez/2, 17-2
20	
21	Further, in its Cross-Answering Testimony, in an effort to be helpful to the parties and to
22	the ALJ, Staff took the opportunity to weigh-in on issues raised by the Industrial Customers of
23	Northwest Utilities (ICNU), Noble Solutions (Noble) and by the Citizens' Utility Board (CUB)
24	in their respective Opening Testimonies (collectively, the Intervenors). See generally Staff/200,
25	Ordonez/1- 5; 15-28. With one exception, Staff stands by the positions set forth in its Cross-
26	Answering Testimony on the Intervenors' issues. As to the exception, based upon PacifiCorp's

1	Reply Testimony, Staff withdraws its support for Noble's "freed-up RECs" issue. See Staff/200,
2	Ordonez/25-26.
3	Finally, while Staff offered its opinion in its Cross-Answering Testimony upon the
4	various issues raised by the Intervenors, Staff does not intend to actively pursue these issues
5	should an evidentiary hearing be held in this proceeding. In other words, with the one exception
6	for "freed up RECs," Staff will continue to support its position taken in its Cross-Answering
7	Testimony on various Intervenor issues in post-hearing briefing. However, Staff does not intend
8	to present further testimony on the Intervenor issues at the hearing nor does Staff intend to cross
9	examine witnesses on these issues.
10	DATED this day of August, 2015.
11	Respectfully submitted,
12	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM
13	Attorney General
14	Michael
15	Michael T. Weirich, #82425
16	Assistant Attorney General Of Attorneys for Staff of the Public Utility
17	Commission of Oregon
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26