PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF REPORT PUBLIC MEETING DATE: April 23, 2013

REGULAR	CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATE	N/A
DATE:	April 9, 2013	
TO:	Public Utility Commission	
FROM:	Mitch Moore	
THROUGH:	Jason Eisdorfer, Bryan Conway, and Kay Marinos	
SUBJECT:	OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Requ Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Ac	submitted

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve the new negotiated agreement and amendment to a previously negotiated agreement listed below, with the agreement and the amendment to be considered legally enforceable on the date of Commission approval.

DISCUSSION:

47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e) (hereafter "Section 252") require that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. See Section 252(e)(2).

ARB Agreements April 9, 2013 Page 2

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto is not legally enforceable until approved by a state commission. See Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, although the contracting parties may state in the agreement that each will abide by the agreement prior to its approval by the Commission, the legally enforceable date under Section 252 of any submitted agreement or amendment is the date the Commission approves it.

Staff has reviewed the following agreement and amendment submitted for Commission approval:

Docket	Parties to the Amendment or Agreement
ARB 1027	Metropolitan Telecommunications of Oregon, Inc. and Citizens
ARB 958(3)	Telecommunications Company of Oregon Neutral Tandem, Inc. and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink
	QC

Staff recommends approval of the agreement and the amendment. Staff finds that the agreement and the amendment do not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under the Act to reject the agreement or the amendment.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

The new agreement and amendment to a previously negotiated agreement listed above be approved.

ARB agreements 4-26-13.doc