ITEM NO. CAL

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF REPORT PUBLIC MEETING DATE: January 10, 2017

REGULAR	CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATEN/A
DATE:	December 14, 2016
TO:	Public Utility Commission
FROM:	Armando Fimbres \mathcal{H} MH&F Jason Eisdorfer, Bryan Conway, and Kay Marinos
THROUGH:	Jason Eisdorfer, Bryan Conway, and Kay Marinos
SUBJECT:	OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve the new negotiated interconnection agreement and the amendments to previously approved interconnection agreements listed below, with the agreement and amendments to be considered legally enforceable on the date of Commission approval.

DISCUSSION:

47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e) require that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(4), the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. See 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(2).

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto is not legally enforceable until approved by a state commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e). Accordingly, although the contracting parties may state in the agreement that each will abide by the

ARB Agreements or Amendments December 14, 2016 Page 2

agreement prior to its approval by the Commission, the legally enforceable date under 47 U.S.C. Section 252 of any submitted agreement or amendment is the date the Commission approves it.

Staff has reviewed the following agreement and amendments to previously approved agreements submitted for Commission approval:

Docket	Parties to the Agreement or Amendments
ARB 665(6)	Level 3 Communications, LLC and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 856(2)	Peerless Networks of Oregon, LLC and Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC
ARB 1143	Sonic Systems, Inc. and CenturyTel of Eastern Oregon, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink; CenturyTel of Oregon, Inc. d/b/a CenturyLink

Staff recommends approval of the agreement and amendments. Staff finds that the agreement and amendments do not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and do not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(2) to reject the agreement or amendments.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

Approve the new agreement and amendments to previously approved agreements listed above.

ca- ARB Agreement_12141616.docx