PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON STAFF REPORT PUBLIC MEETING DATE: April 5, 2016

REGULAR	CONSENT X EFFECTIVE DATE	N/A	_
DATE:	March 21, 2016		
TO:	Public Utility Commission		
FROM:	Armando Fimbres		
THROUGH:	Jason Eisdorfer, Bryan Conway, and Kay Marinos		
SUB IECT.	OBECON BUILD IC LITH ITY COMMISSION STAFE.	Dogwoot to opprove	

OREGON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF: Request to approve Negotiated Interconnection Agreements and Amendments submitted pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve the new negotiated interconnection agreement listed below, with the agreement to be considered legally enforceable on the date of Commission approval.

DISCUSSION:

47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e) require that any negotiated interconnection agreement, including amendments to an existing agreement, be submitted to a state commission for approval. Under 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(4), the Commission must approve or reject such agreements within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement, or portion thereof, discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- the implementation of such agreement, or portion thereof, is not (ii) consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. See 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(2).

An interconnection agreement or amendment thereto is not legally enforceable until approved by a state commission. See 47 U.S.C. Sections 252(a) and (e). Accordingly, although the contracting parties may state in the agreement that each will abide by the agreement prior to its approval by the Commission, the legally enforceable date under

ARB Agreement March 21, 2016 Page 2

47 U.S.C. Section 252 of any submitted agreement or amendment is the date the Commission approves it.

Staff has reviewed the following agreement submitted for Commission approval:

Docket	Parties to the Agreement
ARB 1113	Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and Asotin Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom

Staff recommends approval of the agreement. Staff finds that the agreement does not discriminate against non-party telecommunications carriers and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Accordingly, Staff concludes that there is no basis under 47 U.S.C. Section 252(e)(2) to reject the agreement.

PROPOSED COMMISSION MOTION:

The new agreement listed above be approved.

ca- ARB Agreement_032116.docx