



WENDY MCINDOO
Direct (503) 595-3922
wendy@mcd-law.com

May 11, 2016

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

PUC Filing Center
Public Utility Commission of Oregon
PO Box 1088
Salem, OR 97308-1088

Re: UE 301 – In the Matter of IDAHO POWER COMPANY’s 2016 Annual Power Cost Update

Attention Filing Center:

Attached for filing in the above-referenced matter is an electronic copy of the Stipulation and the Joint Explanatory Brief.

Please contact this office with any questions.

Very truly yours,


Wendy McIndoo
Office Manager

Attachment

1 **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**
2 **OF OREGON**

3 **UE 301**

4 In the Matter of

STIPULATION

5 IDAHO POWER COMPANY

6 2016 ANNUAL POWER COST UPDATE
7

8 This Stipulation resolves all issues among the parties to Idaho Power Company's ("Idaho
9 Power" or "Company") 2016 Annual Power Cost Update ("APCU") filed pursuant to Order No.
10 08-238.¹ The APCU updates the Company's net power supply expense and results in new
11 rates, which the mechanism permits to go into effect June 1, 2016.

12 **PARTIES**

13 1. The parties to this Stipulation are Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon
14 ("Staff"), the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon ("CUB"), and Idaho Power (together, the
15 "Stipulating Parties").

16 **BACKGROUND**

17 2. Pursuant to Order No. 08-238, Idaho Power annually updates its net power supply
18 expense included in rates through an automatic adjustment clause, the APCU. The APCU is
19 comprised of two components—an "October Update" and a "March Forecast." The October
20 Update contains the Company's forecasted net power supply expense reflected on a normalized
21 per unit basis for an April through March test period. The March Forecast contains the
22 Company's net power supply expense based upon updated actual forecasted conditions.
23 Pursuant to Order No. 10-191 the Company allocates the APCU revenue requirement to
24 individual customer classes on the basis of the total generation-related revenue requirement
25

26 ¹ *Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism*, Docket UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (Apr. 28, 2008).

1 approved in the Company's last general rate case, instead of the previous equal cents per
2 kilowatt-hour approved in Order No. 08-238.² Order No. 10-191 also directs the Company to
3 adjust its base rates to reflect changes in revenue requirement related to the October Update,
4 while the rates resulting from the March Forecast are listed on Schedule 55. The rates
5 associated with the October Update and the March Forecast mechanisms are intended, under
6 the mechanisms, to become effective on June 1 of each year.

7 3. On October 23, 2015, Idaho Power filed testimony and exhibits for the 2016
8 October Update component of the APCU ("2016 October Update").³ Pursuant to Order No. 08-
9 238, Idaho Power reviewed all the inputs and provided the changes in the 2016 October Update
10 for the following variables: (1) fuel prices and transportation costs, (2) Public Utility Regulatory
11 Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA") expense, (3) normalized load and normalized sales, (4)
12 contracts for wholesale power and power purchases and sales, (5) forward price curve, (6) heat
13 rates, (7) planned outages and forced outage rates, and (8) the Oregon state allocation factor.⁴
14 As part of the fuel expense update, the Company made changes to its treatment of Oil, Handling
15 and Administrative and General ("OHAG") expenses at its coal-fired generation units, removing
16 them from the AURORA model and treating them as fixed rather than variable costs.⁵ Idaho
17 Power made this change to better align the dispatch of the coal-fired generation units with the
18 actual operational decisions that result in the dispatch of those plants and to produce a more
19 accurate forecast of net power supply expenses to be included for recovery in the APCU.⁶

20 4. The test period for the 2016 October Update was April 2016 through March 2017
21 and included updates to the above referenced variables for all Company-owned resources and

22 ² *Re Idaho Power Company's 2010 Annual Power Cost Update*, Docket UE 214, Order No. 10-
23 191 (May 24, 2010).

24 ³ See Idaho Power/100-108.

25 ⁴ Idaho Power/100, Noe/5 and 10.

26 ⁵ Idaho Power/100, Noe/7.

⁶ Idaho Power/100, Noe/7-8.

1 updated sales and load forecasts.⁷ The 2016 October Update specifically accounted for
2 changes in natural gas and coal prices, and generation and expenses related to contracts
3 entered into pursuant to PURPA.⁸

4 5. The filed 2016 October Update resulted in a cost per unit of \$24.08 per megawatt-
5 hour ("MWh"),⁹ representing an increase of \$0.64 per MWh over last year's October Update.¹⁰

6 6. The 2016 October Update also included the Company's proposed method of
7 allocation, which was consistent with the revenue spread methodology approved by the
8 Commission in Order No. 10-191.¹¹

9 7. On November 20, 2015, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Allan Arlow held a
10 prehearing conference at which the parties to UE 301 agreed upon a procedural schedule that
11 would allow the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Commission") to issue an order on Idaho
12 Power's 2016 APCU prior to June 1, 2016.¹²

13 8. On October 27, 2015, CUB filed its Notice of Intervention.

14 9. Staff and CUB served discovery on Idaho Power and conducted a thorough
15 investigation of the 2016 October Update. On February 12, 2016, Staff filed Opening Testimony
16 and found that Idaho Power's filing followed all of the applicable rules and orders.¹³ Staff also
17 raised concerns related to the Company's change to its modeling of OHAG expenses, and
18
19
20

21 ⁷ Idaho Power/100, Noe/6 and 10.

22 ⁸ Idaho Power/100, Noe/9-10 and 15-16.

23 ⁹ Idaho Power/100, Noe/13.

24 ¹⁰ Idaho Power/100, Noe/13.

25 ¹¹ Idaho Power/100, Noe/16-17; Idaho Power/107.

26 ¹² *Re Idaho Power Company's 2016 Annual Power Cost Update*, Docket UE 301, Prehearing
Conference Memorandum at 1 (Nov. 20, 2015).

¹³ Staff/100, Gibbens/1.

1 charges recorded in Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”) account 501.¹⁴ CUB did
2 not file Opening Testimony.¹⁵

3 10. Idaho Power filed Reply Testimony on March 17, 2016, in which the Company
4 responded to the concerns raised by Staff regarding the treatment of OHAG expense.¹⁶
5 Specifically, Idaho Power explained that including the OHAG expenses as fixed costs, rather
6 than variable costs, more accurately reflects the Company’s dispatch of resources.¹⁷

7 11. On March 25, 2016, Idaho Power filed the 2016 March Forecast component of the
8 APCU (“2016 March Forecast”). The 2016 March Forecast consisted of direct testimony
9 describing the Company’s estimate of the expected net power supply expense for the upcoming
10 water year—April 2016 through March 2017.¹⁸ Order No. 08-238 calls for the March Forecast
11 to update the following variables: fuel prices, transportation costs, wheeling expenses, planned
12 and forced outages, heat rates, forecast of normalized sales and loads updated for significant
13 changes since the October Update, forecast hydro generation, wholesale power purchase and
14 sale contracts, forward price curve, PURPA expenses, and the Oregon state allocation factor.¹⁹
15 Idaho Power reviewed all the variables for the March Forecast and the following variables
16 changed since the 2016 October Update: (1) fuel prices, (2) planned outage schedule, (3) forced
17 outage rates, (4) normalized sales and loads, (5) forecast of hydro generation and current
18 reservoir levels from stream flow conditions using the most recent water supply forecast from
19 the Northwest River Forecast Center (“NRFC”), (6) known power purchases and surplus sales

20

21 _____
¹⁴ Staff/100, Gibbens/4-5.

22 ¹⁵ See *Re Idaho Power Company’s 2016 Annual Power Cost Update*, Docket UE 301, CUB’s
23 Letter (Feb. 12, 2016).

24 ¹⁶ See Idaho Power/200.

25 ¹⁷ See Idaho Power/200, Noe/1-2.

26 ¹⁸ Idaho Power/300-305.

¹⁹ *Re Idaho Power Company’s Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment
Mechanism*, Docket UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (Apr. 28, 2008).

1 made in compliance with the Company's Energy Risk Management Policy, (7) forward price
2 curve, and (8) PURPA contract expenses.²⁰

3 12. The fuel prices were updated to reflect changes in forecast natural gas and coal
4 costs.²¹ The increase in the per-unit cost of the generation for the Jim Bridger and Valmy power
5 plants was attributed to higher operating costs spread over lower production volumes.²² OHAG
6 expenses were removed from the AURORA model and included as a fixed-cost input consistent
7 with the October Update.²³ Forecast natural gas prices decreased as a result of lower demand
8 and higher gas supply nationally.²⁴

9 13. Idaho Power's forecast for normalized load decreased due to a revised load
10 forecast from one of the Company's large industrial customers that occurred between the
11 October and March filings.²⁵

12 14. The Company updated the hydro forecast.²⁶ Expected streamflows into Brownlee
13 Reservoir were 24 percent higher than last year's levels, but remained below the 30-year
14 average.²⁷ Hydro generation was greater than last year's modeled generation, but the increase
15 was not more substantial because of the decreased flows coming from the upper Snake Basin.²⁸

16 15. The 2016 March Forecast also included increased PURPA expenses. Updated
17 contract values drove the increase in expense even though there was a slight decrease in total
18 generation compared to the forecast prepared for the October Update.²⁹

19 ²⁰ Idaho Power/300, Noe/3-4.

20 ²¹ Idaho Power/300, Noe/4-6.

21 ²² Idaho Power/300, Noe/4-5.

22 ²³ Idaho Power/300, Noe/4.

23 ²⁴ Idaho Power/300, Noe/5-6.

24 ²⁵ Idaho Power/300, Noe 6-7.

25 ²⁶ Idaho Power/300, Noe/7-8.

26 ²⁷ Idaho Power/300, Noe/7.

²⁸ Idaho Power/300, Noe/7-8.

²⁹ Idaho Power/300, Noe/6.

1 16. The Company calculated a cost per unit for the 2016 March Forecast of \$25.56
2 per MWh, which is \$0.56 per MWh more than last year's per unit cost of \$25.00 per MWh.³⁰ A
3 high level analysis of the increase suggests that it is driven by increased amounts of PURPA
4 generation on the Company's system compared to last year's March Forecast.³¹

5 17. The overall proposed revenue impact of the combined October and March rates
6 was an increase of approximately 0.71 percent, or \$393,076.³²

7 18. The 2016 March Forecast also included the Company's proposed rate spread used
8 to spread the revenue requirement to the various customer classes. The Company's proposed
9 allocation conformed to the methodology approved by the Commission in Order No. 10-191.³³

10 19. Staff and CUB issued discovery, conducted a thorough investigation, and filed
11 testimony addressing the March forecast.³⁴ Staff reviewed every updated input used in the
12 March Forecast and found no errors associated with the calculations used in the APCU.³⁵
13 Additionally, Staff recommended that stakeholders work together to design and test a cost
14 forecasting model to address its previously identified concerns regarding the modeling of OHAG
15 expenses.³⁶ CUB recommended that the Commission deny the Company's proposed modeling
16 changes, and that the Company should continue to work with the parties to address the issue
17 of accurately forecasting costs. CUB also noted that at the time its rebuttal testimony was filed
18 it still had several data requests outstanding and was continuing to work with parties to
19 understand all related issues.³⁷

20

21 ³⁰ Idaho Power/300, Noe/9-10.

22 ³¹ Idaho Power/300, Noe/11.

23 ³² Idaho Power/300, Noe/1.

24 ³³ Idaho Power/300, Noe/12-13; Idaho/304.

25 ³⁴ See Staff/200; CUB/100-103.

26 ³⁵ Staff/200, Gibbens/3

³⁶ Staff/200, Gibbens/4-10.

³⁷ CUB/100, McGovern/18.

1 20. Settlement conferences and workshops were held on January 20, February 18,
2 and April 5, 2016. Through these discussions, parties addressed the modeling of OHAG
3 expenses, and made progress toward developing a methodology that parties believe is a
4 reasonable reflection of expenses appropriate for recovery through the APCU. More
5 specifically, parties discussed the nature of OHAG expenses, and the fact that most of these
6 expenses vary with overall production at each coal-fired generation facility. However, per the
7 terms of the operating agreements at each coal plant, the Company is required to pay an
8 amount of OHAG expenses proportional to its ownership share regardless of its level of
9 dispatch.

10 21. To address the unique nature of OHAG expenses, through settlement discussions
11 the idea of a hybrid model was developed. The intent of the hybrid model is to separately identify
12 variable costs associated with Idaho Power's dispatch of each plant and Idaho Power's share
13 of OHAG expenses incurred due to the dispatch of each plant by the Company's ownership
14 partners. The general concept of the hybrid approach is to only include the portion of OHAG
15 expenses associated with Idaho Power's dispatch in the AURORA model, while separately
16 accounting for Idaho Power's fixed percentage of OHAG expenses resulting from dispatch by
17 the Company's ownership partners.

18 22. Ultimately the Stipulating Parties resolved all the issues in this case through these
19 discussions, developing an agreed-upon adjustment to the Company's filed request in the
20 current proceeding, as well as plans for further discussions of the OHAG modeling issue
21 following the Company's 2017 APCU filing as detailed below. Thereafter Staff moved to
22 suspend the schedule and ALJ Arlow granted the motion.³⁸

23

24

25

26

³⁸ *Re Idaho Power Company's 2016 Annual Power Cost Update*, Docket UE 301, Ruling (Apr. 21, 2016).

1 **AGREEMENT**

2 23. The Stipulating Parties agree to reduce Idaho Power's requested revenue
3 requirement increase of \$393,076 million by \$151,411, representing a compromise between the
4 Stipulating Parties related to the treatment of modeled OHAG expenses at the Company's coal-
5 fired generation units. The calculation of the stipulated revenue requirement change is detailed
6 in Exhibit Nos. 1 through 5 attached to this Stipulation.

7 24. The Stipulating Parties agree that Idaho Power's 2017 APCU filing, in response to
8 the concerns raised by parties, will model OHAG using the hybrid methodology that includes in
9 the AURORA model a per-unit cost intended to reflect the amount of OHAG expense driven by
10 Idaho Power's dispatch of each plant.³⁹

11 25. The Stipulating Parties agree that after the initial 2017 APCU filing, the Stipulating
12 Parties will hold workshops to discuss the hybrid model filed by the Company and the treatment
13 of expenses related to the Company's proportionate share of OHAG resulting from its ownership
14 partners' dispatch at each plant.⁴⁰

15 26. The Stipulating Parties agree that the Company's allocation methodology
16 conforms to that adopted by the Commission in Order No. 10-191.

17 27. The Stipulating Parties agree that rates agreed to by the terms of this Stipulation
18 should be made effective on June 1, 2016, as permitted by the APCU mechanism.

19 28. The Stipulating Parties agree the result is in conformance with the methodology
20 adopted by the Commission in Order No. 08-238.

21 29. The Stipulating Parties agree that the rate increase resulting from the Stipulation
22 results in rates that are fair, just, and reasonable.

23 30. The Stipulating Parties agree to submit this Stipulation to the Commission and
24 request that the Commission approve the Stipulation as presented.

25

³⁹ Stipulation ¶ 22.

26 ⁴⁰ Stipulation ¶ 23.

1 31. This Stipulation will be offered into the record of this proceeding as evidence
2 pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(7). The Stipulating Parties agree to support this Stipulation
3 throughout this proceeding and any appeal, (if necessary) provide witnesses to sponsor this
4 Stipulation at the hearing, and recommend that the Commission issue an order adopting the
5 settlements contained herein.

6 32. If this Stipulation is challenged, the Stipulating Parties agree that they will continue
7 to support the Commission's adoption of the terms of this Stipulation. The Stipulating Parties
8 agree to cooperate in cross-examination and put on such a case as they deem appropriate to
9 respond fully to the issues presented, which may include raising issues that are incorporated in
10 the settlements embodied in this Stipulation.

11 33. The Stipulating Parties have negotiated this Stipulation as an integrated document.
12 If the Commission rejects all or any material part of this Stipulation, or adds any material
13 condition to any final order that is not consistent with this Stipulation, each Stipulating Party
14 reserves its right, pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(9), to present evidence and argument on the
15 record in support of the Stipulation or to withdraw from the Stipulation. Stipulating Parties shall
16 be entitled to seek rehearing or reconsideration pursuant to OAR 860-001-0720 in any manner
17 that is consistent with the agreement embodied in this Stipulation.

18 34. By entering into this Stipulation, no Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have
19 approved, admitted, or consented to the facts, principles, methods, or theories employed by any
20 other Stipulating Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation, other than those specifically
21 identified in the body of this Stipulation. No Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have agreed
22 that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding,
23 except as specifically identified in this Stipulation.

24 35. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart
25 shall constitute an original document.

26

1 36. This Stipulation is entered into by each Stipulating Party on the date entered below
2 such Stipulating Party's signature.

3

4 STAFF

5 By: 

6 Date: 5/11/16

7

8 IDAHO POWER

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

9

10 By: _____

By: _____

11 Date: _____

Date: _____

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 36. This Stipulation is entered into by each Stipulating Party on the date entered below
2 such Stipulating Party's signature.

3
4 STAFF

5 By: _____

6 Date: _____

7
8 IDAHO POWER

9 By:  _____

10 Date: May 11, 2016 _____

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

By: _____

Date: _____

1 36. This Stipulation is entered into by each Stipulating Party on the date entered below
2 such Stipulating Party's signature.

3

4 STAFF

5

6 By: _____

7

8 Date: _____

9

10 IDAHO POWER

11

12 By: _____

13

14 Date: _____

15

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

By: Michael P. Gatz

Date: 5/11/16

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ANNUAL POWER COST UPDATE
April 2016 - March 2017

<u>Line</u>	<u>OCTOBER APCU</u>	
1	Forecast of Normalized Sales (MWh)	14,616,871
2	Total Net Power Supply Expense	\$349,801,676
3	October APCU Rate (\$/MWh)	\$23.93
 <u>MARCH FORECAST</u>		
4	Forecast of Normalized Sales (MWh)	14,604,270
5	Total Net Power Supply Expense	\$370,013,190
6	March Forecast Rate (\$/MWh)	\$25.34
7	Sales Adjusted Forecast Power Cost Change	\$20,592,021
8	Portion of Change Allowed	95%
9	Forecast Change Allowed	\$19,562,420
10	March Forecast Rate Adjustment (\$/MWh)	\$1.34
11	<u>Combined Rate (\$/MWh)</u>	<u>\$25.27</u>

Idaho Power Company
Rate Spread Exhibit for October Update APCU -- O&M Outside AURORA

General Rate Case (UE 233): Marginal Cost-of-Service Study and Stipulated Revenue Spread														
2011 Test Period														
Line	Description	(A) TOTAL SYSTEM	(B) RESIDENTIAL	(C) GEN SRV SECONDARY	(D) GEN SRV SECONDARY	(E) GEN SRV PRIMARY	(F) GEN SRV TRANS	(G) AREA LIGHTING	(H) LG POWER PRIMARY	(I) LG POWER TRANS	(J) IRRIGATION SECONDARY	(K) UNMETERED GEN SERVICE	(L) MUNICIPAL ST LIGHT	(M) TRAFFIC CONTROL
		[1]	[2]	[7]	[9-5]	[9-P]	[9-T]	[15]	[19-P]	[19-T]	[24-5]	[40]	[41]	[42]
1	Normalized Sales (kWh)	650,158,581	198,842,419	17,842,896	114,256,218	15,099,088	2,832,509	483,936	179,189,047	74,155,867	46,649,265	12,900	778,108	16,328
2	Current Revenue	\$39,873,591	\$15,355,932	\$1,559,400	\$6,975,915	\$798,102	\$154,997	\$112,462	\$8,213,065	\$3,123,393	\$3,454,271	\$972	\$123,851	\$1,231
4	Demand Related Marginal Cost													
5	Generation - Staff Adj.	\$11,049,450	\$4,082,443	\$268,043	\$1,671,178	\$207,813	\$35,425	\$625	\$1,790,415	\$1,483,718	\$1,508,400	\$158	\$1,035	\$200
6	Transmission - Staff Adj.	\$12,432,118	\$4,593,297	\$301,584	\$1,880,300	\$233,817	\$39,858	\$703	\$2,014,458	\$1,669,382	\$1,697,153	\$177	\$1,165	\$225
7	Distribution	\$6,945,625	\$3,215,110	\$181,233	\$1,319,947	\$100,783	\$0	\$5,738	\$798,946	\$0	\$1,314,267	\$161	\$9,350	\$89
9	Energy Related Marginal Cost													
10	Generation	\$28,547,004	\$8,940,577	\$802,452	\$5,140,232	\$649,911	\$117,743	\$21,383	\$7,662,010	\$3,097,424	\$2,079,568	\$570	\$34,414	\$722
11	Transmission - Staff Adj.	\$4,144,040	\$1,297,863	\$116,488	\$746,184	\$94,345	\$17,092	\$3,104	\$1,112,259	\$449,639	\$301,881	\$83	\$4,996	\$105
13	Simple-Summed Energy-Related and Demand-Related Marginal Costs													
14	Generation Marginal Costs - Staff Adj.	\$39,596,454	\$13,023,020	\$1,070,495	\$6,811,410	\$857,724	\$153,168	\$22,008	\$9,452,425	\$4,581,142	\$3,587,968	\$728	\$35,449	\$922
15	Transmission Marginal Costs - Staff Adj.	\$16,576,157	\$5,891,160	\$418,072	\$2,626,484	\$328,162	\$56,950	\$3,807	\$3,126,717	\$2,119,021	\$1,999,034	\$260	\$6,160	\$330
17	Customer Related Marginal Cost	\$2,805,903	\$1,967,110	\$385,570	\$177,410	\$6,719	\$1,390	\$0	\$15,208	\$2,535	\$246,967	\$228	\$1,892	\$873
19	Total Functionalized Revenue Requirement													
20	Generation - Staff Adj.	\$25,202,690	\$8,289,003	\$681,357	\$4,335,384	\$545,931	\$97,490	\$14,008	\$6,016,360	\$2,915,844	\$2,283,701	\$463	\$22,563	\$587
21	Transmission	\$4,272,366	\$1,518,397	\$107,755	\$676,954	\$84,581	\$14,678	\$981	\$805,885	\$546,160	\$515,234	\$67	\$1,588	\$85
22	Distribution													
25	Demand-Related	\$8,930,530	\$4,133,917	\$233,025	\$1,697,158	\$129,585	\$0	\$7,378	\$1,027,267	\$0	\$1,689,855	\$207	\$12,022	\$114
26	Customer-Related													
27	Allocated	\$2,859,472	\$2,004,665	\$392,931	\$180,797	\$6,847	\$1,417	\$0	\$15,498	\$2,583	\$251,682	\$232	\$1,928	\$890
28	Direct Assignment	\$419,424	\$188,447	\$34,356	\$12,375	\$69	\$14	\$78,778	\$83	\$14	\$21,953	\$42	\$83,209	\$83
29	Total Staff-Adjusted Allocation	\$41,684,482	\$16,134,429	\$1,449,425	\$6,902,669	\$767,013	\$113,599	\$101,145	\$7,865,094	\$3,464,601	\$4,762,425	\$1,011	\$121,310	\$1,759
31	Revenue Deficiency - Staff Adj. Allocation	\$1,810,890	\$778,497	(\$109,975)	(\$73,246)	(\$31,089)	(\$41,398)	(\$11,317)	(\$347,971)	\$341,208	\$1,308,154	\$39	(\$2,541)	\$528
32	% Increase Required by Staff Adj. Alloc. Approach	4.54%	5.07%	-7.05%	-1.05%	-3.90%	-26.71%	-10.06%	-4.24%	10.92%	37.87%	4.02%	-2.05%	42.91%
33	\$ Increase Recommended per Stipulation	\$1,810,890	\$862,348	\$44,153	\$197,517	\$22,598	\$0	\$0	\$232,545	\$212,777	\$235,318	\$44	\$9,507	\$84
34	% Increase Recommended per Stipulation	4.54%	5.62%	2.83%	2.83%	2.83%	0.00%	0.00%	2.83%	6.81%	6.81%	4.56%	2.83%	6.81%
35	Average Rate Given Stipulation (\$/kWh)	0.0641	0.0816	0.0899	0.0628	0.0544	0.0547	0.2324	0.0471	0.0450	0.0791	0.0788	0.1637	0.0805
36	Final Revenue Allocation	\$41,684,481	\$16,218,280	\$1,603,553	\$7,173,432	\$820,700	\$154,997	\$112,462	\$8,445,610	\$3,336,170	\$3,689,589	\$1,016	\$127,358	\$1,315
38	Spread Floors and Ceilings:													
39	No increase for those warranting a decrease greater than 8%													
40	2.83% increase for those warranting a decrease less than 8%													
41	No increase greater than one-and-one-half times the average increase													
2016 October Update APCU: Baseline Revenue Requirement Spread and Rates Development Employing the UE 233 Test Period Figures														
42	2016 October Update APCU Cost of Service (Allocator -- Line 14)	\$337,322	\$110,943	\$9,120	\$58,026	\$7,307	\$1,305	\$187	\$80,525	\$39,027	\$30,566	\$6	\$302	\$8
43	% Increase Required Due to APCU (Proposed) (Line 42/Line 36)	0.81%	0.68%	0.57%	0.81%	0.89%	0.84%	0.17%	0.95%	1.17%	0.83%	0.61%	0.24%	0.60%
44	Loss-Adjusted 2011 Normalized Sales (kWh)	650,158,581	198,842,419	17,842,896	114,256,218	15,099,088	2,832,509	483,936	179,189,047	74,155,867	46,649,265	12,900	778,108	16,328
45	2016 October Update APCU Incremental Rate given 2011 Test Period Sales (Mills per kWh) (1000*(Line 42/Line 44))	0.519	0.558	0.511	0.508	0.484	0.461	0.387	0.449	0.526	0.655	0.480	0.388	0.481
46	APCU Incremental Rate for 2016 October Update (Mills per kWh) (Line 45*(Column A:(Line 44/Line 47)))	0.490	0.582	0.490	0.484	0.383	0.517	0.423	0.494	0.367	0.457	1.113	0.327	0.374
47	Loss-Adjusted 2016-2017 Normalized Sales (kWh)	688,412,209	190,548,481	18,605,426	119,961,908	19,082,992	2,526,070	443,024	163,113,247	106,358,304	66,823,696	5,568	922,474	21,019
48	Projected October Update APCU 2016-2017 Revenues (Line 46 * Line 47)	\$337,322	\$110,943	\$9,120	\$58,026	\$7,307	\$1,305	\$187	\$80,525	\$39,027	\$30,566	\$6	\$302	\$8

Notes:

- 2016 October Update APCU Revenues = \$0.49/MWh x 688,412.209 MWhs = \$ 337,322 (Line 52, Column A)
- \$0.49 = \$23.93 (2016 October Update) - \$23.44 (2015 October APCU Rate)

Idaho Power Company
Rate Spread Exhibit for March Forecast APCU

General Rate Case (UE 233): Marginal Cost-of-Service Study and Stipulated Revenue Spread													
2011 Test Period													
Line	(A) TOTAL SYSTEM	(B) RESIDENTIAL	(C) GEN SRV	(D) GEN SRV SECONDARY	(E) GEN SRV PRIMARY	(F) GEN SRV TRANS	(G) AREA LIGHTING	(H) LG POWER PRIMARY	(I) LG POWER TRANS	(J) IRRIGATION SECONDARY	(K) UNMETERED GEN SERVICE	(L) MUNICIPAL ST LIGHT	(M) TRAFFIC CONTROL
Description	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
1 Normalized Sales (kWh)	650,158,581	198,842,419	17,842,896	114,256,218	15,099,088	2,832,509	483,936	179,189,047	74,155,867	46,649,265	12,900	778,108	16,328
2 Current Revenue	\$39,873,591	\$15,355,932	\$1,559,400	\$6,975,915	\$796,102	\$154,997	\$112,462	\$8,213,065	\$3,123,393	\$3,454,271	\$972	\$123,851	\$1,231
4 Demand Related Marginal Cost													
5 Generation - Staff Adj.	\$11,049,450	\$4,082,443	\$268,043	\$1,671,178	\$207,813	\$35,425	\$625	\$1,790,415	\$1,483,718	\$1,508,400	\$158	\$1,035	\$200
6 Transmission - Staff Adj.	\$12,432,118	\$4,593,297	\$301,584	\$1,880,300	\$233,817	\$39,858	\$703	\$2,014,458	\$1,669,382	\$1,697,153	\$177	\$1,165	\$225
7 Distribution	\$6,945,625	\$3,215,110	\$181,233	\$1,319,947	\$100,783	\$0	\$5,738	\$798,946	\$0	\$1,314,267	\$161	\$9,350	\$89
9 Energy Related Marginal Cost													
10 Generation	\$28,547,004	\$8,940,577	\$802,452	\$5,140,232	\$649,911	\$117,743	\$21,383	\$7,662,010	\$3,097,424	\$2,079,568	\$570	\$34,414	\$722
11 Transmission - Staff Adj.	\$4,144,040	\$1,297,863	\$116,488	\$746,184	\$94,345	\$17,092	\$3,104	\$1,112,259	\$449,639	\$301,881	\$83	\$4,996	\$105
13 Simple-Summed Energy-Related and Demand-Related Marginal Costs													
14 Generation Marginal Costs - Staff Adj.	\$39,596,454	\$13,023,020	\$1,070,495	\$6,811,410	\$857,724	\$153,168	\$22,008	\$9,452,425	\$4,581,142	\$3,587,968	\$728	\$35,449	\$922
15 Transmission Marginal Costs - Staff Adj.	\$16,576,157	\$5,891,160	\$418,072	\$2,626,484	\$328,162	\$56,950	\$3,807	\$3,126,717	\$2,119,021	\$1,999,034	\$260	\$6,160	\$330
17 Customer Related Marginal Cost	\$2,805,903	\$1,967,110	\$385,570	\$177,410	\$6,719	\$1,390	\$0	\$15,208	\$2,535	\$246,967	\$228	\$1,892	\$873
19 Total Functionalized Revenue Requirement													
20 Generation - Staff Adj.	\$25,202,690	\$8,289,003	\$681,357	\$4,335,384	\$545,931	\$97,490	\$14,008	\$6,016,360	\$2,915,844	\$2,283,701	\$463	\$22,563	\$587
22 Transmission	\$4,272,366	\$1,518,397	\$107,755	\$676,954	\$84,581	\$14,678	\$981	\$805,885	\$546,160	\$515,234	\$67	\$1,588	\$85
24 Distribution													
25 Demand-Related	\$8,930,530	\$4,133,917	\$233,025	\$1,697,158	\$129,585	\$0	\$7,378	\$1,027,267	\$0	\$1,689,855	\$207	\$12,022	\$114
26 Customer-Related													
27 Allocated	\$2,859,472	\$2,004,665	\$392,991	\$180,797	\$6,847	\$1,417	\$0	\$15,498	\$2,583	\$251,682	\$232	\$1,928	\$890
28 Direct Assignment	\$419,424	\$188,447	\$34,356	\$12,375	\$69	\$14	\$78,778	\$83	\$14	\$21,953	\$42	\$83,209	\$83
30 Total Staff-Adjusted Allocation	\$41,684,482	\$16,134,429	\$1,449,425	\$6,902,669	\$767,013	\$113,599	\$101,145	\$7,865,094	\$3,464,601	\$4,762,425	\$1,011	\$121,310	\$1,759
31 Revenue Deficiency - Staff Adj. Allocation	\$1,810,890	\$778,497	(\$109,975)	(\$73,246)	(\$31,089)	(\$41,398)	(\$11,317)	(\$347,971)	\$341,208	\$1,308,154	\$39	(\$2,541)	\$528
32 % Increase Required by Staff Adj. Alloc. Approach	4.54%	5.07%	-7.05%	-1.05%	-3.90%	-26.71%	-10.06%	-4.24%	10.92%	37.87%	4.02%	-2.05%	42.91%
33 \$ Increase Recommended per Stipulation	\$1,810,890	\$862,348	\$44,153	\$197,517	\$22,598	\$0	\$0	\$232,545	\$212,777	\$235,318	\$44	\$3,507	\$84
34 % Increase Recommended per Stipulation	4.54%	5.62%	2.83%	2.83%	2.83%	0.00%	0.00%	2.83%	6.81%	6.81%	4.56%	2.83%	6.81%
35 Average Rate Given Stipulation (\$/kWh)	0.0641	0.0816	0.0899	0.0628	0.0547	0.0547	0.2324	0.0471	0.0450	0.0791	0.0788	0.1637	0.0805
36 Final Revenue Allocation	\$41,684,481	\$16,218,280	\$1,603,553	\$7,173,432	\$820,700	\$154,997	\$112,462	\$8,445,610	\$3,336,170	\$3,689,589	\$1,016	\$127,358	\$1,315
38 Spread Floors and Ceilings:													
39 No increase for those warranting a decrease greater than 8%													
40 2.83% increase for those warranting a decrease less than 8%													
41 No increase greater than one-and-one-half times the average increase													

2016 March Forecast APCU: Baseline Revenue Requirement Spread and Rates Development Employing the UE 233 Test Period Figures													
42 2016 March Forecast APCU Cost of Service (Allocator -- Line 14)	\$922,472	\$303,395	\$24,939	\$158,684	\$19,982	\$3,568	\$513	\$220,212	\$106,726	\$83,588	\$17	\$826	\$21
43 % Increase Required Due to APCU (Proposed) (Line 42/Line 36)	2.21%	1.87%	1.56%	2.21%	2.43%	2.30%	0.46%	2.21%	3.20%	2.27%	1.67%	0.65%	1.63%
44 Proposed Combined Revenue Spread (Line 36 + Line 42)	\$42,606,953	\$16,521,675	\$1,628,492	\$7,332,116	\$840,682	\$158,565	\$112,975	\$8,665,822	\$3,442,896	\$3,773,177	\$1,033	\$128,184	\$1,336
45 Loss-Adjusted 2011 Normalized Sales (kWh)	650,158,581	198,842,419	17,842,896	114,256,218	15,099,088	2,832,509	483,936	179,189,047	74,155,867	46,649,265	12,900	778,108	16,328
46 2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rate given 2011 Test Period Sales (Mills per kWh) [1000*(Line 42/Line 45)]	1.419	1.526	1.398	1.389	1.323	1.260	1.059	1.229	1.439	1.792	1.314	1.061	1.316
47 APCU Incremental Rate for 2016 March Forecast (Mills per kWh) (Line 46*(Column A:[Line 45/Line 48]))	1.340	1.592	1.340	1.323	1.047	1.413	1.157	1.350	1.003	1.251	3.044	0.895	1.022
48 Loss-Adjusted 2016-2017 Normalized Sales (kWh)	688,412,209	190,548,481	18,605,426	119,961,908	19,082,992	2,526,070	443,024	163,113,247	106,358,304	66,823,696	5,568	922,474	21,019
49 Projected March Forecast APCU 2016-2017 Revenues (Line 47 * Line 48)	\$922,472	\$303,395	\$24,939	\$158,684	\$19,982	\$3,568	\$513	\$220,212	\$106,726	\$83,588	\$17	\$826	\$21

Notes:

1 2016 March Forecast APCU Revenues = \$1.34/MWh x 688,412,209 MWhs =

\$ 922,472 (Line 49, Column A)

**Idaho Power Company
 Calculation of Revenue Impact
 State of Oregon
 Revised October Update / March Forecast Filing
 Effective June 1, 2016**

**Summary of Revenue Impact
 Current Billed Revenue to Proposed Billed Revenue**

Line No	Tariff Description	Rate Sch. No.	Average Number of Customers	Normalized Energy (kWh)	Current Billed Revenue	Mills Per kWh	Total Adjustments to Billed Revenue	Proposed Total Billed Revenue	Mills Per kWh	Percent Change Billed to Billed Revenue
<u>Uniform Tariff Rates:</u>										
1	Residential Service	1	13,694	190,548,481	\$18,948,137	99.44	\$101,181	\$19,049,318	99.97	0.53%
2	Small General Service	7	2,531	18,605,426	\$1,969,491	105.86	\$6,772	\$1,976,263	106.22	0.34%
3	Large General Service	9	913	141,570,970	\$10,924,451	77.17	\$57,228	\$10,981,679	77.57	0.52%
4	Dusk to Dawn Lighting	15	0	443,024	\$110,409	249.22	\$181	\$110,591	249.63	0.16%
5	Large Power Service	19	6	269,471,551	\$16,553,536	61.43	\$75,908	\$16,629,444	61.71	0.46%
6	Agricultural Irrigation Service	24	1,856	66,823,696	\$6,546,289	97.96	\$67	\$6,546,356	97.96	0.00%
7	Unmetered General Service	40	2	5,568	\$537	96.51	\$10	\$547	98.24	1.79%
8	Street Lighting	41	25	922,474	\$145,239	157.45	\$311	\$145,550	157.78	0.21%
9	Traffic Control Lighting	42	8	21,019	\$1,997	95.02	\$7	\$2,004	95.34	0.34%
10	Total Uniform Tariffs		19,035	688,412,209	\$55,200,087	80.18	\$241,665	\$55,441,752	80.54	0.44%
12	Total Oregon Retail Sales		19,035	688,412,209	\$55,200,087	80.18	\$241,665	\$55,441,752	80.54	0.44%

(1) Updated April 2016-March 2017 Test Year

**Idaho Power Company
Calculation of Revenue Impact
State of Oregon
Revised October Update / March Forecast Filing
Effective June 1, 2016**

**Summary of Revenue Impact - Rates 9, 19, and 24 Distribution Level Detail
Current Billed Revenue to Proposed Billed Revenue**

Line No	Tariff Description	Rate Sch. No.	Average Number of Customers	Normalized Energy (kWh)	Current Billed Revenue	Mills Per kWh	Total Adjustments to Billed Revenue	Proposed Total Billed Revenue	Mills Per kWh	Percent Change Billed to Billed Revenue
<u>Uniform Tariff Rates:</u>										
1	Large General Secondary	9S	907	119,961,908	\$9,421,862	78.54	\$51,344	\$9,473,205	78.97	0.54%
2	Large General Primary	9P	5	19,082,992	\$1,330,473	69.72	\$4,599	\$1,335,072	69.96	0.35%
3	Large General Transmission	9T	1	2,526,070	\$172,117	68.14	\$1,286	\$173,402	68.65	0.75%
4	Total Schedule 9		913	141,570,970	\$10,924,451	77.17	\$57,228	\$10,981,679	77.57	0.52%
6	Large Power Secondary	19S	0	0	\$0	0.00	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00%
7	Large Power Primary	19P	5	163,113,247	\$10,208,256	62.58	\$56,763	\$10,265,019	62.93	0.56%
8	Large Power Transmission	19T	1	106,358,304	\$6,345,281	59.66	\$19,144	\$6,364,425	59.84	0.30%
9	Total Schedule 19		6	269,471,551	\$16,553,536	61.43	\$75,908	\$16,629,444	61.71	0.46%
11	Irrigation Secondary	24S	1,856	66,823,696	\$6,546,289	97.96	\$67	\$6,546,356	97.96	0.00%
12	Irrigation Transmission	24T	0	0	\$0	0.00	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00%
13	Total Schedule 24		1,856	66,823,696	\$6,546,289	97.96	\$67	\$6,546,356	97.96	0.00%