

WENDY McIndoo Direct (503) 595-3922 wendy@mcd-law.com

May 11, 2016

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

PUC Filing Center
Public Utility Commission of Oregon
PO Box 1088
Salem, OR 97308-1088

Re: UE 301 – In the Matter of IDAHO POWER COMPANY's 2016 Annual Power Cost

Update

Attention Filing Center:

Attached for filing in the above-referenced matter is an electronic copy of the Stipulation and the Joint Explanatory Brief.

Please contact this office with any questions.

Very truly yours,

Wendy McIndoo Office Manager

Attachment

1	OF ORE	
2	UE 30	1
3	Ĭ	
4	In the Matter of	STIPULATION
5	IDAHO POWER COMPANY	
6	2016 ANNUAL POWER COST UPDATE	
7		
8	This Stipulation resolves all issues among t	he parties to Idaho Power Company's ("Idaho
9	Power" or "Company") 2016 Annual Power Cost	Jpdate ("APCU") filed pursuant to Order No.
10	08-238.1 The APCU updates the Company's ne	t power supply expense and results in new
11	rates, which the mechanism permits to go into effe	ect June 1, 2016.
12	PARTIE	ES .
13	1. The parties to this Stipulation are Sta	ff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon
14	("Staff"), the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	("CUB"), and Idaho Power (together, the
15	"Stipulating Parties").	
16	BACKGRO	DUND
17	2. Pursuant to Order No. 08-238, Idaho	Power annually updates its net power supply
18	expense included in rates through an automatic a	djustment clause, the APCU. The APCU is
19	comprised of two components—an "October Upo	ate" and a "March Forecast." The October
20	Update contains the Company's forecasted net pov	ver supply expense reflected on a normalized
21	per unit basis for an April through March test	period. The March Forecast contains the
22	Company's net power supply expense based u	pon updated actual forecasted conditions.
23	Pursuant to Order No. 10-191 the Company al	locates the APCU revenue requirement to
24	individual customer classes on the basis of the t	otal generation-related revenue requirement
25 =		
26	¹ Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Aut Mechanism, Docket UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (A	· ·

approved in the Company's last general rate case, instead of the previous equal cents per kilowatt-hour approved in Order No. 08-238.² Order No. 10-191 also directs the Company to adjust its base rates to reflect changes in revenue requirement related to the October Update, while the rates resulting from the March Forecast are listed on Schedule 55. The rates associated with the October Update and the March Forecast mechanisms are intended, under

the mechanisms, to become effective on June 1 of each year.

3. On October 23, 2015, Idaho Power filed testimony and exhibits for the 2016 October Update component of the APCU ("2016 October Update").³ Pursuant to Order No. 08-238, Idaho Power reviewed all the inputs and provided the changes in the 2016 October Update for the following variables: (1) fuel prices and transportation costs, (2) Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA") expense, (3) normalized load and normalized sales, (4) contracts for wholesale power and power purchases and sales, (5) forward price curve, (6) heat rates, (7) planned outages and forced outage rates, and (8) the Oregon state allocation factor.⁴ As part of the fuel expense update, the Company made changes to its treatment of Oil, Handling and Administrative and General ("OHAG") expenses at its coal-fired generation units, removing them from the AURORA model and treating them as fixed rather than variable costs. ⁵ Idaho Power made this change to better align the dispatch of the coal-fired generation units with the actual operational decisions that result in the dispatch of those plants and to produce a more accurate forecast of net power supply expenses to be included for recovery in the APCU.⁶

4. The test period for the 2016 October Update was April 2016 through March 2017 and included updates to the above referenced variables for all Company-owned resources and

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 2 - STIPULATION: UE 301

² Re Idaho Power Company's 2010 Annual Power Cost Update, Docket UE 214, Order No. 10-191 (May 24, 2010).

³ See Idaho Power/100-108.

⁴ Idaho Power/100, Noe/5 and 10.

⁵ Idaho Power/100, Noe/7.

⁶ Idaho Power/100, Noe/7-8.

updated sales and load forecasts.⁷ The 2016 October Update specifically accounted for changes in natural gas and coal prices, and generation and expenses related to contracts entered into pursuant to PURPA.⁸

- 5. The filed 2016 October Update resulted in a cost per unit of \$24.08 per megawatt-hour ("MWh"),9 representing an increase of \$0.64 per MWh over last year's October Update.10
- 6. The 2016 October Update also included the Company's proposed method of allocation, which was consistent with the revenue spread methodology approved by the Commission in Order No. 10-191.¹¹
 - 7. On November 20, 2015, Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Allan Arlow held a prehearing conference at which the parties to UE 301 agreed upon a procedural schedule that would allow the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Commission") to issue an order on Idaho Power's 2016 APCU prior to June 1, 2016.¹²
 - 8. On October 27, 2015, CUB filed its Notice of Intervention.
- 9. Staff and CUB served discovery on Idaho Power and conducted a thorough investigation of the 2016 October Update. On February 12, 2016, Staff filed Opening Testimony and found that Idaho Power's filing followed all of the applicable rules and orders. Staff also raised concerns related to the Company's change to its modeling of OHAG expenses, and

19

18

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

⁷ Idaho Power/100, Noe/6 and 10.

⁸ Idaho Power/100, Noe/9-10 and 15-16.

⁹ Idaho Power/100, Noe/13.

^{23 &}lt;sup>10</sup> Idaho Power/100, Noe/13.

^{24 &}lt;sup>11</sup> Idaho Power/100, Noe/16-17; Idaho Power/107.

¹² Re Idaho Power Company's 2016 Annual Power Cost Update, Docket UE 301, Prehearing Conference Memorandum at 1 (Nov. 20, 2015).

^{26 &}lt;sup>13</sup> Staff/100, Gibbens/1.

charges recorded in Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") account 501.¹⁴ CUB did
 not file Opening Testimony.¹⁵

10. Idaho Power filed Reply Testimony on March 17, 2016, in which the Company responded to the concerns raised by Staff regarding the treatment of OHAG expense.¹⁶ Specifically, Idaho Power explained that including the OHAG expenses as fixed costs, rather than variable costs, more accurately reflects the Company's dispatch of resources.¹⁷

11. On March 25, 2016, Idaho Power filed the 2016 March Forecast component of the APCU ("2016 March Forecast"). The 2016 March Forecast consisted of direct testimony describing the Company's estimate of the expected net power supply expense for the upcoming water year—April 2016 through March 2017. Order No. 08-238 calls for the March Forecast to update the following variables: fuel prices, transportation costs, wheeling expenses, planned and forced outages, heat rates, forecast of normalized sales and loads updated for significant changes since the October Update, forecast hydro generation, wholesale power purchase and sale contracts, forward price curve, PURPA expenses, and the Oregon state allocation factor. Udaho Power reviewed all the variables for the March Forecast and the following variables changed since the 2016 October Update: (1) fuel prices, (2) planned outage schedule, (3) forced outage rates, (4) normalized sales and loads, (5) forecast of hydro generation and current reservoir levels from stream flow conditions using the most recent water supply forecast from the Northwest River Forecast Center ("NRFC"), (6) known power purchases and surplus sales

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

^{21 &}lt;sup>14</sup> Staff/100, Gibbens/4-5.

¹⁵ See Re Idaho Power Company's 2016 Annual Power Cost Update, Docket UE 301, CUB's Letter (Feb. 12, 2016).

^{23 &}lt;sup>16</sup> See Idaho Power/200.

^{24 17} See Idaho Power/200, Noe/1-2.

^{25 &}lt;sup>18</sup> Idaho Power/300-305.

¹⁹ Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment 26 Mechanism, Docket UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (Apr. 28, 2008).

- 1 made in compliance with the Company's Energy Risk Management Policy, (7) forward price 2 curve, and (8) PURPA contract expenses.²⁰
 - 12. The fuel prices were updated to reflect changes in forecast natural gas and coal costs.²¹ The increase in the per-unit cost of the generation for the Jim Bridger and Valmy power plants was attributed to higher operating costs spread over lower production volumes.²² OHAG expenses were removed from the AURORA model and included as a fixed-cost input consistent with the October Update.²³ Forecast natural gas prices decreased as a result of lower demand and higher gas supply nationally.²⁴
 - 13. Idaho Power's forecast for normalized load decreased due to a revised load forecast from one of the Company's large industrial customers that occurred between the October and March filings.²⁵
 - 14. The Company updated the hydro forecast.²⁶ Expected streamflows into Brownlee Reservoir were 24 percent higher than last year's levels, but remained below the 30-year average.²⁷ Hydro generation was greater than last year's modeled generation, but the increase was not more substantial because of the decreased flows coming from the upper Snake Basin.²⁸
 - 15. The 2016 March Forecast also included increased PURPA expenses. Updated contract values drove the increase in expense even though there was a slight decrease in total generation compared to the forecast prepared for the October Update.²⁹

```
19
               20 Idaho Power/300, Noe/3-4.
20
               <sup>21</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/4-6.
               <sup>22</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/4-5.
21
               <sup>23</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/4.
22
               <sup>24</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/5-6.
23
               <sup>25</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe 6-7.
               <sup>26</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/7-8.
24
               <sup>27</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/7.
25
               <sup>28</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/7-8.
26
               <sup>29</sup> Idaho Power/300, Noe/6.
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Page 5 - STIPULATION: UE 301

- 1 16. The Company calculated a cost per unit for the 2016 March Forecast of \$25.56 2 per MWh, which is \$0.56 per MWh more than last year's per unit cost of \$25.00 per MWh.³⁰ A 3 high level analysis of the increase suggests that it is driven by increased amounts of PURPA 4 generation on the Company's system compared to last year's March Forecast.³¹
 - 17. The overall proposed revenue impact of the combined October and March rates was an increase of approximately 0.71 percent, or \$393,076.32
 - 18. The 2016 March Forecast also included the Company's proposed rate spread used to spread the revenue requirement to the various customer classes. The Company's proposed allocation conformed to the methodology approved by the Commission in Order No. 10-191.³³
 - 19. Staff and CUB issued discovery, conducted a thorough investigation, and filed testimony addressing the March forecast.³⁴ Staff reviewed every updated input used in the March Forecast and found no errors associated with the calculations used in the APCU.³⁵ Additionally, Staff recommended that stakeholders work together to design and test a cost forecasting model to address its previously identified concerns regarding the modeling of OHAG expenses.³⁶ CUB recommended that the Commission deny the Company's proposed modeling changes, and that the Company should continue to work with the parties to address the issue of accurately forecasting costs. CUB also noted that at the time its rebuttal testimony was filed it still had several data requests outstanding and was continuing to work with parties to understand all related issues.³⁷

```
21 30 Idaho Power/300, Noe/9-10.
31 Idaho Power/300, Noe/11.
32 Idaho Power/300, Noe/1.
23 33 Idaho Power/300, Noe/12-13; Idaho/304.
24 34 See Staff/200; CUB/100-103.
35 Staff/200, Gibbens/3
36 Staff/200, Gibbens/4-10.
26 37 CUB/100, McGovern/18.
```

Page 6 - STIPULATION: UE 301

20. Settlement conferences and workshops were held on January 20, February 18, and April 5, 2016. Through these discussions, parties addressed the modeling of OHAG expenses, and made progress toward developing a methodology that parties believe is a reasonable reflection of expenses appropriate for recovery through the APCU. More specifically, parties discussed the nature of OHAG expenses, and the fact that most of these expenses vary with overall production at each coal-fired generation facility. However, per the terms of the operating agreements at each coal plant, the Company is required to pay an amount of OHAG expenses proportional to its ownership share regardless of its level of dispatch.

21. To address the unique nature of OHAG expenses, through settlement discussions the idea of a hybrid model was developed. The intent of the hybrid model is to separately identify variable costs associated with Idaho Power's dispatch of each plant and Idaho Power's share of OHAG expenses incurred due to the dispatch of each plant by the Company's ownership partners. The general concept of the hybrid approach is to only include the portion of OHAG expenses associated with Idaho Power's dispatch in the AURORA model, while separately accounting for Idaho Power's fixed percentage of OHAG expenses resulting from dispatch by the Company's ownership partners.

22. Ultimately the Stipulating Parties resolved all the issues in this case through these discussions, developing an agreed-upon adjustment to the Company's filed request in the current proceeding, as well as plans for further discussions of the OHAG modeling issue following the Company's 2017 APCU filing as detailed below. Thereafter Staff moved to suspend the schedule and ALJ Arlow granted the motion.³⁸

38 Re Idaho Power Company's 2016 Annual Power Cost Update, Docket UE 301, Ruling (Apr. 21, 2016).

AGREEMENT

- 2 23. The Stipulating Parties agree to reduce Idaho Power's requested revenue requirement increase of \$393,076 million by \$151,411, representing a compromise between the Stipulating Parties related to the treatment of modeled OHAG expenses at the Company's coal-fired generation units. The calculation of the stipulated revenue requirement change is detailed in Exhibit Nos. 1 through 5 attached to this Stipulation.
 - 24. The Stipulating Parties agree that Idaho Power's 2017 APCU filing, in response to the concerns raised by parties, will model OHAG using the hybrid methodology that includes in the AURORA model a per-unit cost intended to reflect the amount of OHAG expense driven by Idaho Power's dispatch of each plant.³⁹.
 - 25. The Stipulating Parties agree that after the initial 2017 APCU filing, the Stipulating Parties will hold workshops to discuss the hybrid model filed by the Company and the treatment of expenses related to the Company's proportionate share of OHAG resulting from its ownership partners' dispatch at each plant.⁴⁰
- 15 26. The Stipulating Parties agree that the Company's allocation methodology conforms to that adopted by the Commission in Order No. 10-191.
 - 27. The Stipulating Parties agree that rates agreed to by the terms of this Stipulation should be made effective on June 1, 2016, as permitted by the APCU mechanism.
- 19 28. The Stipulating Parties agree the result is in conformance with the methodology 20 adopted by the Commission in Order No. 08-238.
- 29. The Stipulating Parties agree that the rate increase resulting from the Stipulation results in rates that are fair, just, and reasonable.
- 23 30. The Stipulating Parties agree to submit this Stipulation to the Commission and 24 request that the Commission approve the Stipulation as presented.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

^{25 &}lt;sup>39</sup> Stipulation ¶ 22.

^{26 &}lt;sup>40</sup> Stipulation ¶ 23.

- 31. This Stipulation will be offered into the record of this proceeding as evidence pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(7). The Stipulating Parties agree to support this Stipulation throughout this proceeding and any appeal, (if necessary) provide witnesses to sponsor this Stipulation at the hearing, and recommend that the Commission issue an order adopting the settlements contained herein.
 - 32. If this Stipulation is challenged, the Stipulating Parties agree that they will continue to support the Commission's adoption of the terms of this Stipulation. The Stipulating Parties agree to cooperate in cross-examination and put on such a case as they deem appropriate to respond fully to the issues presented, which may include raising issues that are incorporated in the settlements embodied in this Stipulation.
 - 33. The Stipulating Parties have negotiated this Stipulation as an integrated document. If the Commission rejects all or any material part of this Stipulation, or adds any material condition to any final order that is not consistent with this Stipulation, each Stipulating Party reserves its right, pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(9), to present evidence and argument on the record in support of the Stipulation or to withdraw from the Stipulation. Stipulating Parties shall be entitled to seek rehearing or reconsideration pursuant to OAR 860-001-0720 in any manner that is consistent with the agreement embodied in this Stipulation.
 - 34. By entering into this Stipulation, no Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have approved, admitted, or consented to the facts, principles, methods, or theories employed by any other Stipulating Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation, other than those specifically identified in the body of this Stipulation. No Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have agreed that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding, except as specifically identified in this Stipulation.
- 35. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart shall constitute an original document.

1	36. This Stipulation is entered into by each	ch Stipulating Party on the date entered below
2	such Stipulating Party's signature.	H
3		
4	STAFF	
5	By:	
6	Date: 5/11/16	
7		
8	IDAHO POWER	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON
9	Ву:	Ву:
10	Date:	Date:
11		
12 13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	9	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		ř
24		
25		
26		

1	36. This Stipulation is entered into by e	each Stipulating Party on the date entered below
2	such Stipulating Party's signature.	
3		
4	STAFF	
5	By:	
6	Date:	
7		
8	IDAHO POWER	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON
9	By: Marker	By:
10	Date: May 11, 2016	Date:
11	0	
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

1	36. This Stipulation is entered into by	each Stipulating Party on the date entered below
2	such Stipulating Party's signature.	
3		
4	STAFF	
5	By:	
6	Date:	
7		
8	IDAHO POWER	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON
9	Ву:	By: Will V. With
10	Date:	Date: 5/11/16
11 12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

IPCO POWER SUPPLY EXPENSES FOR APRIL 1, 2016 — MARCH 31, 2017 (Multiple Gas Prices/87 Years of Hydro Conditions) Repriced Using UE 195 Settlement Methodology - October Update AVERAGE with Variable Coal Handling Costs included in AURORA dispatch

Hydroelectric Generation (MWh)	<u>April</u> 888,731.9	<u>May</u> 951,673.5	June 924,401 1	<u>July</u> 702,807 2	<u>August</u> 481,418.0	September 564,226 8	October 545,378 2	November 459,707 6	<u>December</u> 681,241 4	<u>January</u> 761,062 3	<u>February</u> 840,101.3	March 861,316,6	Annual 8,662,066,1
Bridger Energy (MWh) AURORA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000)	70,010.3 \$ 2,022.3 \$ 41.3 \$ 1,981.0 \$ 294.9 \$ 2,275.8	57,850.9 \$ 1,668.7 \$ 34.1 \$ 1,634.6 \$ 294.9	131,482 2 \$ 3,740 0 \$ 77,6 \$ 3,662 5 \$ 294 9	330,743 4 \$ 9,280 6 \$ 195 1 \$ 9,085 5 \$ 294 9	328,908 3 \$ 9,225 9 \$ 194.1 \$ 9,031.9 \$ 294.9	173,527 2 \$ 4,907 3 \$ 102 4 \$ 4,804 9 \$ 294 9 \$ 5,099 8	142,016 1 \$ 4,053 0 \$ 83 8 \$ 3,969 2 \$ 294 9 \$ 4,264 1	251,283 3 5 7,106 1 5 148 3 5 6,957 8 5 294 9	315,694,7 \$ 8,818 0 \$ 186 3 \$ 8,631,8 \$ 294 9	255,650 0 5 7,154 2 5 150 8 5 7,003 3 5 294 9	191,286 1 \$ 5,345 2 \$ 112 9 \$ 5,232 3 \$ 294 9	212,284 3 \$ 5,952 0 \$ 125 2 \$ 5,826 8 \$ 294 9	2,460,736,9
Boardman Energy (MWNh) AURORA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Expense less Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000) IPC Share of OHAG Expense (\$ x 1000) Total Expense (\$ x 1000)	5,198 0 5 133 7 5 1.9 5 131 8 5 0.03 5 131.9	4,320,9 5 113,1 5 1.6 5 111,5 5 0.03 5 111,5	16,801 0 \$ 430 1 \$ 62 \$ 423 9 \$ 0.03 \$ 423 9	33,757 3 \$ 845 7 \$ 12 5 \$ 833 2 \$ 0.03 \$ 833 2		26,845 9 5 678 8 9 9 668 8 0 03 668 9	23,486 8 597 0 8 7 588 3 0 03 588 3	\$ 10.6 \$ 712.4 \$ 0.03	32,681.7 \$ 819.5 \$ 12.1 \$ 807.4 \$ 0.03 \$ 907.4	\$ 8.3 \$ 616.1 \$ 0.03	5 472 8 5 0.03	\$ 69 \$ 5122 \$ 003	264,528 B \$ 6,831 1 \$ 97 9 \$ 6,733 2 \$ 0.4 \$ 6,733 6
Valmy Energy (MWh) AURORA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Expense less Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000) IPO Share of OHAG Expense (\$ x 1000) Total Expense (\$ x 1000)	2,332 9 \$ 84 9 \$ 2 9 \$ 81 9 \$ 122 2 \$ 204 1	\$ 4.4	8,086 0 5 280 7 5 10 2 5 270 5 5 122 2 5 392 7		\$ 1,067.1 \$ 122.2		14,109 2 \$ 490 5 \$ 17 8 \$ 472 7 \$ 122 2 \$ 594 9	\$ 26.1 5 690.1	\$ 1,134.9 \$ 122.2	\$ 21.4 \$ 562.7 \$ 122.2	\$ 19.3 \$ 509.2	\$ 88 \$ 2407 \$ 1222	213,452 8 7,252 6 269 0 6,983 6 1,466 0 8,449 6
Langley Gulch Energy (MWh) Expense (\$ x 1000)	164,339 3 \$ 2,890 5	163,637 B \$ 2,754.1	183,347 0 \$ 3,116 2	198,360 1	198,511,5 \$ 3,504,2	192,260 8	195,933 9	169,671.2	169,916 1	160,987,5	150,126.8	168,969 4	2,116,061 5
Danskin Energy (MWh) Expense (\$ x 1000)	2,039 9 \$ 37.8	1,805.2 \$ 35.9	14,944 4 \$ 345 6	71,335,9 \$ 1,868.5	63,200 6 \$ 1,634 3	37,197 9 \$ 910 4	26,166 6 \$ 583 2	10,692.5 \$ 251.6	5,148 9 \$ 128 1	1,580.4 \$ 39.8	2,521.4 \$ 64.0	835 7 \$ 19 9	237,469 2 \$ 5,919 2
Bennett Mountain Energy (MWh) Expense (\$ x 1000)	248 1 \$ 4.4	102 9 \$ 2.1	4,889 1 \$ 105 9	43,071,3 \$ 1,093.9	32,613,4 \$ 797.0	15,078 0 \$ 338 9	10,541 5 \$ 215 7	4,527,5 \$ 99.7	1,685 4 \$ 39 9	183.7 \$ 4.3	266 9 \$ 6 3	32 6 \$ 0 8	113,240.3 \$ 2,708.8
Fixed Capacity Charge - Gas Transportation (\$ x 1000)	s 735 4	\$ 759.4	\$ 744.4	\$ 778.0	\$ 778,0	\$ 753.4	\$ 759.4	\$ 735.4	\$ 759.4	\$ 759.4	\$ 6873	\$ 759 4	\$ 9,008,8
Purchased Power (Excluding CSPP) Market Energy (MWh) Elkhorn Wind Energy (MWh) Neal Hot Springs Energy (MWh) Raft River Geothermal Energy (MWh) Total Energy Excl CSPP (MWh)	2,916 3 25,790 0 14,424 2 6,213 3 49,343 8	6,860.3 24,592.0 10,940.5 5,111.2 47,504.0	21,821 3 24,055 4 11,065 3 5,097 5 62,039 5	87,840 3 26,880 8 7,822 4 5,661 1 128,204 6	86,712.9 24,330.6 9,924.6 5,734.4 126,702.6	42,708.3 20,734.6 11,286.0 5,757.2 80,486.0	10,515.7 21,842.2 12,896.6 7,594.7 52,849.2	48,160 4 29,820 2 16,671.7 6,634 5 101,286 9	15,754 0 29,732 8 17,970 0 6,897 6 70,354 3	34,482 0 24,269 2 18,765 7 6,890 5 84,407 4	2,491 2 24,158 8 16,385 0 6,324 0 49,359 0	4,219.4 28,532.8 16,782.0 6,504.2 56,038.4	364,482,3 304,739,1 164,934,1 74,420,3 908,575,7
Market Expense (\$ x 1000) Elkhorn Wind Expense (\$ x 1000) Neal Hot Springs Expense (\$ x 1000) Raft River Geothermal Expense (\$ x 1000) Total Expense Excl. CSPP (\$ x 1000)	\$ 71.7 \$ 1,115.4 \$ 1,155.2 \$ 289.1 \$ 2,631.4	\$ 154.4 \$ 1,063.6 \$ 876.2 \$ 237.8 \$ 2,332.0	\$ 469.6 \$ 1,415.7 \$ 1,209.1 \$ 322.7 \$ 3,417.0	\$ 2,696.8 \$ 1,898.1 \$ 1,025.7 \$ 430.0 \$ 6,050.5	\$ 2,994,7 \$ 1,718,0 \$ 1,301,3 \$ 435,6 \$ 6,449,6		\$ 332 6 \$ 1,285 4 \$ 1,409 2 \$ 480 7 \$ 3,508 0	\$ 2,105 6 \$ 2,186 0 \$ 504 0	5 578 2 5 2,099 4 5 2,356 2 5 523 9 5 5,557 8	\$ 1,471.0 \$ 2,098.6 \$ 445.3	\$ 1,464 3 \$ 1,832 3 \$ 408 7	\$ 309 0	\$ 18,127.7
Surplus Sales Energy (MWh) Revenue Including Transmission Costs (\$ x 1000) Transmission Costs (\$ x 1000) Revenue Excluding Transmission Costs (\$ x 1000)	388,307 6 \$ 8,653 9 \$ 388 3 \$ 8,265 6	287,920 7 \$ 5,874 3 \$ 287 9 \$ 5,586 4	221,457 5 \$ 4,320 0 \$ 221 5 \$ 4,098 6	39,030 6 \$ 1,086 4 \$ 39 0 \$ 1,047 3		50,758.0 \$ 1,520.8 \$ 50.8 \$ 1,470.0	163,808 3 \$ 4,698 6 \$ 163 8 \$ 4,534 8	\$ 57.4		5 138 7	\$ 314.3	396,607 9 \$ 11,259 4 \$ 396 6 \$ 10,862 B	\$ 2,235 6
Net Hedges Energy (MWh) Cost(\$ X 1000)	s -	s -	s -	s -	\$ -	\$ -	s 🧐	s -	s -	s -	s :	s :	s: 0
Net Power Supply Expenses (\$ x 1000)	\$ 645.7	\$ 2,582,5	\$ 8,404.3	\$ 23,715.2	\$ 23,660 2	\$ 14,585,6	S 9,456.9	\$ 18,267,5	\$ 16,780.6	\$ 14,096,2	\$ 5,011.2	\$ 3,702.5	\$ 140,908.3
PURPA (\$ x 1000)	\$16,759.31	\$18,807,64	\$21,649.88	\$23,505.36	\$21,062.57	\$18,736,52	\$16,919.82	\$15,975.03	\$15,565.85	\$12,045.69	\$14,314.33	\$13,551.38	\$ 208,893.4
Total Net Power Supply Expenses (\$ x 1000)	\$ 17,405.0	\$ 21,390 1	\$ 30,054.2	\$ 47,220.6	\$ 44,722.8	\$ 33,322,1	\$ 26,376,7	\$ 34,242,5	\$ 32,346.4	S 26,141,9	\$ 19,325.5	3 17,253.9	\$ 349,801.676
Sales at Customer Level (In 000s MWH)	1,028 406	1,049,929	1,230 508	1,474,064	1,554,059	1,387,063	1,110,593	1,032,641	1,153 609	1,277,132	1,213,385	1,105 482	14,616,871
Hours in Month	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744	744	672	744	8760
Unit Cost / MWH (for PCAM)	\$16 92	\$20.37	\$24,42	\$32,03	\$28.78	\$24 02	\$23.75	\$33 16	\$28.04	\$20 47	\$15 93	\$15,61	\$23.93
Prices Used in Purchased Power & Surplus Sales Above: Heavy Load Portion of Purchased Power considered HL Purchase Purchased Power HL Price Portion of Surplus Sales considered HL Surplus Sales	64.25% 27.21 62.70%	64 25% 26 23 62 70%	64 25% 25 59 62 70%	64.25% 35.21 62.70%	64 25% 38 40 62 70%	64,25% 36,31 62,70%	64 25% 32 96 62 70%	64 25% 35 53 62 70%	64 25% 38 53 62 70%	64 25% 37 85 62 70%	64 25% 36 41 62 70%	64 25% 32 82 62 70%	
Surplus Sales HL Price	25 24	24.33	23.75	32.67	35.63	33 69	30.58	32 97	35.74	35 11	33.78	30.45	
Light Load Portion of Purchased Power considered LL Purchase: Purchased Power LL Price	35,75% 19 86	35 75% 15 82	35.75% 14.20	35 75% 22 59	35 75% 27 59	35.75% 27.17	35.75% 29.23	35.75% 31.16	35.75% 33.42	35.75% 32.57	35,75% 31,16	35 75% 28 59	
Portion of Surplus Sales considered LL Surplus Sales Surplus Sales LL Price	37.30% 17.32	37,30% 13,79	37,30% 12,38	37 30% 19 70	37 30% 24 06	37,30% 23,69	37 30% 25 49	37.30% 27 _. 17	37 30% 29 15	37 30% 28 40	37 30% 27 17	37.30% 24.93	

IPCO POWER SUPPLY EXPENSES FOR APRIL 1, 2016 -- MARCH 31, 2017 (One Hydro Condition) Repriced Using UE 195 Settlement Methodology - March Forecast with Variable Coal Handling Costs included in AURORA dispatch

Line No		April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	Annual
1	Hydroelectric Generation (MWh)	789,980 9	921,428 8	790,376.7	607,454_9	437,657_4	517,995,6	484,929_1	373,156,8	450,648.7	700,537.8	836,632 4	886,675 1	7,797,474.2
2	Bridger Energy (MWh) AURORA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Expense less Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000) IPC Share of OHAG Expense (\$ x 1000)	294.9	294 9	3,710 1 114 7 2 2 112 5 294 9	266,182 4 7,618 5 157 0 7,461 4 294 9	278,869.0 7,960.5 164.5 7,796.0 294.9	75,787 3 2,268 7 44 7 2,224 0 294 9	107,333.7 3,121,3 63.3 3,058.0 294.9	236,508,6 6,786,6 139,5 6,647,0 294,9	314,979 3 8,887 9 185 8 8,702 0 294 9	187,393 6 5,454 7 110 6 5,344 1 294 9	33,950 3 1,020 3 20 0 1,000 3 294 9	10,205 8 311 4 6 0 305 4 294 9	1,514,920 2 43,544 5 893 8 42,650 7 3,538 4
3	Total Expense (\$ x 1000)	5 294.9	\$ 294.9	\$ 407.4	\$ 7,756.3									46,189 1
5	Boardman Energy (MWh) AURORA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Expense less Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000) IPC Share of OHAG Expense (\$ x 1000) Total Expense (\$ x 1000)	824.4 25.3 0.3 25.0 29.7 \$ 54.7	824 4 25 3 0 3 25 0 29 7 54 7	8,217 2 217 3 3 0 214 2 29 7 243.9	34,603 6 858 7 12 8 845 9 29 7 \$ 875 6	37,970 2 940 7 14 0 926 7 29 7 \$ 956 4	24,123 4 610.8 8.9 601.8 29.7 \$ 631.5	21,577 2 553 5 8 0 545 6 29 7 \$ 575 3	24,240 7 616 0 9 0 607 0 29 7 \$ 636 7	39,490 0 974 0 14 6 959 4 29 7 989 1	21,743 1 601 0 8 0 592 9 29 7 622 6	8,228 3 245 7 3 0 242 7 29 7 272 4	4,871 6 152 9 1 8 151 1 29 7 \$ 180 8 \$	226,714 1 5,821 3 83 9 5,737 4 356 4 6,093 8
5.	Vallmy Energy (MWh) AURORA Modeled Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Modeled Handling Expense (\$ x 1000) AURORA Expense (\$ s x 1000) AURORA Expense (\$ s x 1000)	5	-	: :		35 4 52	***	0.000000	0)00000	13,677 0 507 3 17 2 490 0		(6) (6)	5 5	13,677.0 507.3 17.2 490.0
7	IPC Share of OHAG Expense (\$ x 1000) Total Expense (\$ x 1000)	\$ 122.2 \$ 122.2			\$ 122.2 \$ 122.2	\$ 122.2 \$ 122.2	\$ 122.2 \$ 122.2	\$ 122.2 \$ 122.2	\$ 122.2 \$ 122.2	3 122.2			\$ 122.2 \$ 122.2 \$	1,466 0
8 9	Langley Gulch Energy (MWh) Expense (\$ x 1000)	183,192.5 \$ 2,281.9	190,046 4	191,988 5	198,344 9	198,638 5	192,228 6	191,850 1	183,382.4	189,339 0	174,118 6	121,742.4	152,326.5 \$ 3,273.9 \$	1,956 0 2,167,198.4 36,546.6
10 11	Danskin Energy (MWh) Expense (\$ x 1000)	15,588 2 \$ 326 1	44,628 5 \$ 847 5	87,308 4 \$ 1,748 6	103,823 4 \$ 2,425 9	112,554 4 \$ 2,714 2	74,913.4 \$ 1,797.2	12,646 4 \$ 342 3	207 1 \$ 7 0	433 \$ 17	43.4 \$ 1.6	\$.	108.0	451,864.5 10,215.9
12 13	Bennett Mountain Energy (MWh) Expense (\$ x 1000)	2,294 0 \$ 48 9	5,774 9 \$ 111 8	49,261.8 \$ 988.8	79,527,5 \$ 1,867,6	82,084 9 \$ 1,990 6	48,984 5 \$ 1,194 5	1,412.0 \$ 38.8	\$ = \frac{\varphi}{\pi}	\$ 250	s -	s .	s - s	269,339 5 6,241 1
14	Fixed Capacity Charge - Gas Transportation (\$ x 1000)	\$ 723.2	\$ 7468	\$ 732 2	\$ 765.4	\$ 765.4	\$ 741.2	\$ 746.8	\$ 723.2	\$ 746.8	\$ 748.8	\$ 677.7	\$ 7488 \$	8,866.1
15 16 17 18 19	Purchased Power (Excluding PURPA) Market Energy (MWh) Eikhorn Wind Energy (MWh) Neal Hot Springs Energy (MWh) Raff River Geothermal Energy (MWh) Total Energy Excl PURPA (MWh)	8,542 5 25,790 0 14,424 2 6,213 3 54,970 0	1,767 0 24,592 0 10,940 5 5,111 2 42,410 7	68,183 4 23,934 6 11,065 3 5,097 5 108,280 8	31,128,7 26,559,8 7,822,4 5,661,1 71,172,0	116,521.4 24,064.4 9,924.6 5,734.4 156,244.8	70,466 4 19,958 8 11,286 0 5,757 2 107,468 3	41,121,7 20,960,4 12,896,6 7,594,7 82,573,5	100,493,0 30,426,8 16,671,7 6,634,5 154,226,0	91,118 1 29,073 2 17,970 0 6,897 6 145,058 8	94,347 9 24,269 2 18,765 7 6,890 5 144,273 3	20,676 7 24,158 8 16,385 0 6,324 0 67,544 5	9,869,1 28,532,8 16,782,0 6,504,2 61,688,1	654,235 8 302,320 5 164,934 1 74,420 3 1,195,910 7
20 21 22 23 24	Market Expense (\$ x 1000) Elkhorn Wind Expense (\$ x 1000) Neal Hot Springs Expense (\$ x 1000) Raft River Geothermal Expense (\$ x 1000) Total Expense Excl. CSPP (\$ x 1000)	5 1,115.4 \$ 1,155.2	\$ 1,063 6 \$ 876 2 \$ 237 8	5 1,408 5 \$ 1,209 1	\$ 424 3 \$ 1.875 4 \$ 1,025 7 \$ 430.0 \$ 3,755 4	\$ 1,699 2 \$ 1,301 3 \$ 435 6	\$ 1,443 0 \$ 1,174 6 \$ 1,233 2 \$ 364 4 \$ 4,215.2	\$ 841.7 \$ 1,233.5 \$ 1,409.2 \$ 480.7 \$ 3,965.1	\$ 2,186.0 \$ 504.0	\$ 2,052 9 \$ 2,356 2 \$ 523 9	\$ 1,471 0 \$ 2,098 6 \$ 445 3	5 1,464 3 5 1,832 3 5 408 7	\$ 200 0 \$ \$ 1,271 1 \$ \$ 1,375 6 \$ \$ 309 0 \$ \$ 3,155 7 \$	13,292 1 17,977 9 18,058 7 4,751 3 54,080 0
25 26 27 28	Surplus Sales Energy (MWh) Revenue Including Transmission Costs (\$ x 1000) Transmission Costs (\$ x 1000) Revenue Excluding Transmission Costs (\$ x 1000)	264,664 3 \$ 2,691 4 \$ 263 0 \$ 2,428 4	\$ 255.2	\$ 84.5	85,351.5 \$ 1,439.6 \$ 95.0 \$ 1,344.6	\$ 32.5	5 16.2	\$ 36.6	5 6,3	5 27.4	\$ 25.6	\$ 115.4	184,038.5 \$ 3,654.6 \$ \$ 188.7 \$ \$ 3,465.9 \$	1,098,550 2 15,898 8 1,146 5 14,752 3
29 30	Net Hedges Energy (MWh) Cost(\$ X 1000)	s -	s ====	s .	159,200.0 \$ 4,229.8	32,400.0 \$ 1,134.0	s	s -	s =	s :===	s :	\$ -	\$ - \$	191,600 0 5,363 8
31	Net Power Supply Expenses (\$ x 1000)	\$ 4,053.8	\$ 4,594.8	\$ 9,287.2	\$ 23,185,6	\$ 23,941.4	\$ 13,733,3	\$ 11,579.7	\$ 19,117,9	\$ 22,698.2	\$ 16,981 3	\$ 7,007 2	\$ 4,619.5	160,800.1
32	PURPA (\$ x 1000)	\$17,176.58	\$19,451,45	\$21,602.23	\$22,935 21	\$21,132,72	\$18,179.57	\$16,191.63	\$16,100.42	\$15,794.73	\$12,316.84	\$14,576.81	\$13,754.93 \$	209,213.1
33	Total Net Power Supply Expenses (\$ x 1000)	\$ 21,230 4	\$ 24,046.2	\$ 30,889 4	\$ 46,121.0	\$ 45,074.1	\$ 31,912,9	\$ 27,771.4	\$ 35,218.3	\$ 38,492 9	\$ 29,298 2	\$ 21,584.0	\$ 18,374.4	370,013.190
34	Sales at Customer Level (In 000s MWH)	1,027,006	1,048 528	1,229 108	1,472,664	1,552 659	1,385 664	1,109 193	1,031,240	1,152,209	1,277,132	1,213,385	1,105,482	14,604 270
35	Hours in Month	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744	744	672	744	8760
36	Unit Cost / MWH (for PCAM)	\$20 67	\$22 93	\$25 13	\$31.32	\$29.03	\$23.03	\$25.04	\$34 15	\$33,41	\$22.94	\$17.79	\$16.62	\$25.34
37 38 39	Prices Used in Purchased Power & Surplus Sales Above: Heavy Load Portion of Purchased Power considered HL Purchases Purchased Power HL Price Portion of Surplus Sales considered HL Surplus Sales	2.27% 12 00 75,81%	16 19% 10 96 68 55%	34.96% 13.04 68.85%	19 69% 19 43 84 28%	24 31 92 91%	36 02% 22 70 63 56%	37 19% 21 66 55 45%	41 16% 23 95 50 71%	17.19% 28.26 94.15%	14 82% 26 55 85 98%	13 40% 26 08 78 99%	1.45% 22.13 76.55%	
40	Surplus Sales HL Price	11.13	10 17	12.10	18.03	22 56	21 06	20 10	22 22	26 22	24 63	24.20	20.53	
41 42	Light Load Portion of Purchased Power considered LL Purchases Purchased Power LL Price	97.73% 8.19	83 81% 5 52	65 04% 6 85	60 31% 12 21	73 60% 19 12	63 98% 19 22	62 81% 19 76	58 84% 21 15	82 81% 24 79	85 18% 23 29	86 60% 23 94	98 55% 20 24	
43 44	Portion of Surplus Sales considered LL Surplus Sales Surplus Sales LL Price	24 19% 7 15	31.45% 4.81	31,15% 5 98	15 72% 10 65	7 09% 16 67	36 44% 16 77	44.55% 17.23	49 29% 18 45	5 85% 21 62	14 02% 20 31	21 01% 20 87	23 45% 17 65	

ANNUAL POWER COST UPDATE April 2016 - March 2017

OCTOBER APCU	
Forecast of Normalized Sales (MWh)	14,616,871
Total Net Power Supply Expense	\$349,801,676
October APCU Rate (\$/MWh)	\$23.93
MARCH FORECAST	
Forecast of Normalized Sales (MWh)	14,604,270
Total Net Power Supply Expense	\$370,013,190
March Forecast Rate (\$/MWh)	\$25.34
Sales Adjusted Forecast Power Cost Change	\$20,592,021
Portion of Change Allowed	95%
Forecast Change Allowed	\$19,562,420
March Forecast Rate Adjustment (\$/MWh)	\$1.34
Combined Rate (\$/MWh)	\$25.27
	Forecast of Normalized Sales (MWh) Total Net Power Supply Expense October APCU Rate (\$/MWh) MARCH FORECAST Forecast of Normalized Sales (MWh) Total Net Power Supply Expense March Forecast Rate (\$/MWh) Sales Adjusted Forecast Power Cost Change Portion of Change Allowed Forecast Change Allowed

Idaho Power Company Rate Spread Exhibit for October Update APCU -- O&M Outside AURORA

		General Kate (.ase (UE 233):		·Of-Service Stu · Test Period	ay and Stipul	ated Revenue S	pread					
Description	(A) TOTAL SYSTEM	(B) RESIDENTIAL (1)	(C) GEN SRV (7)	(D) GEN SRV SECONDARY (9-5)	(E) GEN SRV PRIMARY (9-P)	(F) GEN SRV TRANS (9-T)	(G) AREA LIGHTING (15)	(H) LG POWER PRIMARY (19-P)	(I) LG POWER TRANS (19-T)	(J) IRRIGATION SECONDARY (24-5)	(K) UNMETERED GEN SERVICE (40)	(L) MUNICIPAL ST LIGHT {41]	(M) TRAFF CONTR [42]
Normalized Sales (kWh)	650,158,581	198,842,419	17,842,896	114,256,218	15,099,088	2,832,509	483,936	179,189,047	74,155,867	46,649,265	12,900	778,108	1
Current Revenue	\$39,873,591	\$15,355,932	\$1,559,400	\$6,975,915	\$798,102	\$154,997	\$112,462	\$8,213,065	\$3,123,393	\$3,454,271	\$972	\$123,851	
Demand Related Marginal Cost													
Generation - Staff Adj	\$11,049,450	\$4,082,443	\$268,043	\$1,671,178	\$207,813	\$35,425	\$625	\$1,790,415	\$1,483,718	\$1,508,400	\$158	\$1,035	
Transmission - Staff Adj	\$12,432,118	\$4,593,297	\$301,584	\$1,880,300	\$233,817	\$39,858	\$703	\$2,014,458	\$1,669,382	\$1,697,153	\$177	\$1,165	
Distribution	\$6,945,625	\$3,215,110	\$181,233	\$1,319,947	\$100,783	\$0	\$5,738	\$798,946	\$0	\$1,314,267	\$161	\$9,350	
Energy Related Marginal Cost													
Generation	\$28,547,004	\$8,940,577	\$802,452	\$5,140,232	\$649,911	\$117,743	\$21,383	\$7,662,010	\$3,097,424	\$2,079,568	\$570	\$34,414	
Transmission - Staff Adj.	\$4,144,040	\$1,297,863	\$116,488	\$746,184	\$94,345	\$17,092	\$3,104	\$1,112,259	\$449,639	\$301,881	\$83	\$4,996	
Simple-Summed Energy-Related and Demand-Related Marginal Costs													
Generation Marginal Costs - Staff Adj.	\$39,596,454	\$13,023,020	\$1,070,495	\$6,811,410	\$857,724	\$153,168	\$22,008	\$9,452,425	\$4,581,142	\$3,587,968	6770	\$3E 440	
Transmission Marginal Costs - Staff Adj	\$16,576,157	\$5,891,160	\$418,072	\$2,626,484	\$328,162	\$56,950	\$22,008	\$9,452,425 \$3,126,717	\$4,581,142	\$3,587,968 \$1,999,034	\$728 \$260	\$35,449 \$6,160	
	4	+-,,	* ,	44,-49,10	4020,202	<i>\$50,550</i>	\$5,007	JJ,120,717	72,113,021	\$1,999,034	3200	30,100	
Customer Related Marginal Cost	\$2,805,903	\$1,967,110	\$385,570	\$177,410	\$6,719	\$1,390	\$0	\$15,208	\$2,535	\$246,967	\$228	\$1,892	
Total Functionalized Revenue Requirement Generation - Staff Adj.	\$25,202,690	\$8,289,003	CC91 257	64 335 384	ĆE45 024	£07.400	ć14.000	00.046.369	42.045.044	44	4		
deneration - Staff Adj.	\$25,202,690	\$8,289,003	\$681,357	\$4,335,384	\$545,931	\$97,490	\$14,008	\$6,016,360	\$2,915,844	\$2,283,701	\$463	\$22,563	
Transmission	\$4,272,366	\$1,518,397	\$107,755	\$676,954	\$84,581	\$14,678	\$981	\$805,885	\$546,160	\$515,234	\$67	\$1,588	
									*,	,	*	V2,500	
Distribution													
Demand-Related	\$8,930,530	\$4,133,917	\$233,025	\$1,697,158	\$129,585	\$0	\$7,378	\$1,027,267	\$0	\$1,689,855	\$207	\$12,022	
Customer-Related Allocated	\$2,859,472	\$2,004,665	\$392,931	£150 707	\$6,847	\$1,417	40	445.400	4				
Direct Assignment	\$419,424	\$188,447	\$392,931	\$180,797 \$12,375	\$6,847	\$1,417	\$0 \$78,778	\$15,498 \$83	\$2,583 \$14	\$251,682 \$21,953	\$232 \$42	\$1,928 \$83,209	
57 555 155 <u>1</u> 57 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 1	J+15,+12+	\$100,447	\$5.,550	V12,373	200	214	370,776	203	214	\$21,955	\$42	\$63,209	
Total: Staff-Adjusted Allocation	\$41,684,482	\$16,134,429	\$1,449,425	\$6,902,669	\$767,013	\$113,599	\$101,145	\$7,865,094	\$3,464,601	\$4,762,425	\$1,011	\$121,310	
Revenue Deficiency - Staff Adj. Allocation	\$1,810,890	\$778,497	(\$109,975)	(\$73,246)	(\$31,089)	(\$41,398)	(\$11,317)	(\$347,971)	\$341,208	\$1,308,154	\$39	(\$2,541)	
% Increase Required by Staff Adj. Alloc. Approach	4.54%	5,07%	-7.05%	-1.05%	-3.90%	-26.71%	-10.06%	-4.24%	10,92%	37.87%	4.02%	-2.05%	
\$ Increase Recommended per Stipulation	\$1,810,890	\$862,348	\$44,153	\$197,517	\$22,598	\$0	\$0	\$232,545	\$212,777	\$235,318	\$44	\$3,507	
% Increase Recommended per Stipulation	4.54%	5.62%	2.83%	2.83%	2.83%	0.00%	0.00%	2.83%	6.81%	6.81%	4.56%	2.83%	
Average Rate Given Stipulation (\$/kWh) Final Revenue Allocation	0.0641 \$41,684,481	0.0816 \$16,218,280	0.0899 \$1,603,553	0,0628 \$7,173,432	0.0544 \$820,700	0,0547 \$154,997	0,2324	0.0471	0.0450	0.0791	0,0788	0.1637	
rma Revenue Allocation	\$41,684,481	\$16,218,280	\$1,603,553	\$7,173,432	\$820,700	\$154,997	\$112,462	\$8,445,610	\$3,336,170	\$3,689,589	\$1,016	\$127,358	
Spread Floors and Ceilings:													
No increase for those warranting a decrease greater than 8%													
2-83% increase for those warranting a decrease less than 8%													
No increase greater than one-and-one-half times the average increase								_					
2016 Octobe	er Update APC	U: Baseline R	evenue Req	uirement Spre	ead and Rate	s Developm	nent Employin	g the UE 233	Test Period Fi	igures			
2016 October Update APCU Cost of Service (Allocator Line 14)	\$337,322	\$110,943	\$9,120	\$58,026	\$7,307	\$1,305	\$187	\$80,525	\$39,027	\$30,566	\$6	¢ana	
% Increase Required Due to APCU (Proposed) (Line 42/(Line 36)	0.81%	0.68%	0.57%	0.81%	0.89%	0.84%	0.17%	0.95%	1.17%	0.83%	0.61%	\$302 0.24%	
Loss-Adjusted 2011 Normalized Sales (kWh)	650,158,581	198,842,419	17.842.896	114,256,218	15,099,088	2,832,509	483,936	179,189,047	74,155,867	46,649,265	12,900	778,108	
2016 October Update APCU Incremental Rate given 2011 Test Period Sales	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,, .±2		_1,200,210	_5,055,000	2,002,000	-05,550	113,103,047	74,133,667	70,043,203	12,300	//6,108	
(Mills per kWh) (1000*(Line 42/Line 44))	0,519	0.558	0.511	0.508	0.484	0.461	0.387	0.449	0.526	0.655	0.480	0,388	
APCU Incremental Rate for 2016 October Update (Mills per kWh)	0.400	0.502	0.400	0.404	0.202	0.545	0.400	0.46:		1000316			- 12
(Line 45*(Column A:(Line 44/Line 47)))	0.490	0.582	0.490	0.484	0.383	0.517	0.423	0.494	0.367	0.457	1.113	0.327	0
Loss-Adjusted 2016-2017 Normalized Sales (kWh)	688,412,209	190,548,481	18,605,426	119,961,908	19,082,992	2,526,070	443,024	163,113,247	106,358,304	66,823,696	5,568	922,474	
Projected October Update APCU 2016-2017 Revenues (Line 46 * Line 47)	\$337,322	\$110,943	\$9,120	\$58,026	\$7,307	\$1,305	\$187	COD 525	******	day	1992	Tag 2020	
- Alexandre October Abaste N. Co Soto-Sott venerings franc 40 . Pine 41.	3337,368	7110,243	39,120	336,020	21/201	21,305	5187	\$80,525	539,027	\$30,566	56	5302	

Notes:

\$ 337,322 (Line 52, Column A)

^{1 2016} October Update APCU Revenues = \$0.49/MWh x 688,412,209 MWhs =

^{2 \$0.49 =\$23.93 (2016} October Update) - \$23.44 (2015 October APCU Rate)

Idaho Power Company Rate Spread Exhibit for March Forecast APCU

		Genera	Rate Case (UE 2	33): Marginal Cost 201	-of-Service Study 1 Test Period	and Stipulated R	evenue Spread						
	(A) TOTAL SYSTEM	(B) RESIDENTIAL	(C) GEN SRV	(D) GEN SRV SECONDARY	(E) GEN SRV PRIMARY	(F) GEN SRV TRANS	(G) AREA LIGHTING	(H) LG POWER PRIMARY	(I) LG POWER TRANS	(J) IRRIGATION SECONDARY	(K) UNMETERED GEN SERVICE	(L) MUNICIPAL ST LIGHT	(M) TRAFFIC CONTROL
Description		(1)	(7)	(9-S)	(9-P)	(9-T)	(15)	(19-P)	(19-T)	(24-S)	(40)	(41)	[42]
Normalized Sales (kWh)	650,158,581	198,842,419	17,842,896	114,256,218	15,099,088	2,832,509	483,936	179,189,047	74,155,867	46,649,265	12,900	778,108	16,32
Current Revenue	\$39,873,591	\$15,355,932	\$1,559,400	\$6,975,915	\$798,102	\$154,997	\$112,462	\$8,213,065	\$3,123,393	\$3,454,271	\$972	\$123,851	\$1,23
Demand Related Marginal Cost													
Generation - Staff Adj	\$11,049,450	\$4,082,443	\$268,043	\$1,671,178	\$207,813	\$35,425	\$625	\$1,790,415	\$1,483,718	\$1,508,400	\$158	\$1,035	\$20
Transmission - Staff Adj.	\$12,432,118	\$4,593,297	\$301,584	\$1,880,300	\$233,817	\$39,858	\$703	\$2,014,458	\$1,669,382	\$1,697,153	\$177	\$1,165	\$22
Distribution	\$6,945,625	\$3,215,110	\$181,233	\$1,319,947	\$100,783	\$0	\$5,738	\$798,946	\$0	\$1,314,267	\$161	\$9,350	\$8
Energy Related Marginal Cost													
Generation	\$28,547,004	\$8,940,577	\$802,452	\$5,140,232	\$649,911	\$117,743	\$21,383	\$7,562,010	\$3,097,424	\$2,079,568	\$570	\$34,414	\$72
Transmission - Staff Adj	\$4,144,040	\$1,297,863	\$116,488	\$746,184	\$94,345	\$17,092	\$3,104	\$1,112,259	\$449,639	\$301,881	\$83	\$4,996	\$10
Simple-Summed Energy-Related and Demand-Related Marginal Costs													
Generation Marginal Costs - Staff Adj	\$39,596,454	\$13,023,020	\$1,070,495	\$6,811,410	\$857,724	\$153,168	\$22,008	\$9,452,425	\$4,581,142	\$3,587,968	\$728	\$35,449	\$93
Transmission Marginal Costs - Staff Adj	\$16,576,157	\$5,891,160	\$418,072	\$2,626,484	\$328,162	\$56,950	\$3,807	\$3,126,717	\$2,119,021	\$1,999,034	\$260	\$6,160	\$33
Customer Related Marginal Cost	\$2,805,903	\$1,967,110	\$385,570	\$177,410	\$6,719	\$1,390	\$0	\$15,208	\$2,535	\$246,967	\$228	\$1,892	\$87
Total Functionalized Revenue Requirement													
Generation - Staff Adj.	\$25,202,690	\$8,289,003	\$681,357	\$4,335,384	\$545,931	\$97,490	\$14,008	\$6,016,360	\$2,915,844	\$2,283,701	\$463	\$22,563	\$58
Transmission	\$4,272,366	\$1,518,397	\$107,755	\$676,954	\$84,581	\$14,678	\$981	\$805,885	\$546,160	\$515,234	\$67	\$1,588	\$8
Distribution													
Demand-Related	\$8,930,530	\$4,133,917	\$233,025	\$1,697,158	\$129,585	\$0	\$7,378	\$1,027,267	\$0	\$1,689,855	\$207	\$12,022	\$1:
Customer-Related					,		*****	¥-/	**	4-,,	\$20.	410,012	7
Allocated	\$2,859,472	\$2,004,665	\$392,931	\$180,797	\$6,847	\$1,417	\$0	\$15,498	\$2,583	\$251,682	\$232	\$1,928	\$89
Direct Assignment	\$419,424	\$188,447	\$34,356	\$12,375	\$69	\$14	\$78,778	\$83	\$14	\$21,953	\$42	\$83,209	\$6
Total: Staff-Adjusted Allocation	\$41,684,482	\$16,134,429	\$1,449,425	\$6,902,669	\$767,013	\$113,599	\$101,145	\$7,865,094	\$3,464,601	\$4,762,425	\$1,011	\$121,310	\$1,75
Revenue Deficiency - Staff Adj. Allocation	\$1,810,890	\$778,497	(\$109,975)	(\$73,246)	(\$31,089)	(\$41,398)	(\$11,317)	(\$347,971)	\$341,208	\$1,308,154	\$39	(\$2,541)	\$52
% Increase Required by Staff Adj. Alloc. Approach	4,54%	5.07%	-7,05%	-1.05%	-3 90%	-26 71%	-10,06%	-4,24%	10.92%	37.87%	4.02%	-2.05%	42.91
S Increase Recommended per Stipulation	\$1,810,890	\$862,348	\$44,153	\$197,517	\$22,598	\$0	\$0	\$232,545	\$212,777	\$235,318	\$44	\$3,507	\$E
% Increase Recommended per Stipulation	4.54%	5.62%	2.83%	2.83%	2.83%	0.00%	0.00%	2.83%	6.81%	6.81%	4.56%	2.83%	6.81
Average Rate Given Stipulation (\$/kWh)	0.0641	0.0816	0.0899	0.062B	0.0544	0.0547	0,2324	0.0471	0.0450	0.0791	0.0788	0,1637	0.080
Final Revenue Allocation	\$41,684,481	\$16,218,280	\$1,603,553	\$7,173,432	\$820,700	\$154,997	\$112,462	\$8,445,610	\$3,336,170	\$3,689,589	\$1,016	\$127,358	\$1,31
Spread Floors and Ceilings:													
No increase for those warranting a decrease greater than 8% 2.83% increase for those warranting a decrease less than 8% No increase greater than one-and-one-half times the average incre													

	201	6 March Foreca	st APCU: Baselii	ne Revenue Re	quirement Spre	ad and Rates D	evelopment Em	ploying the U	E 233 Test Perio	d Figures				
	2016 March Forecast APCU Cost of Service (Allocator Line 14) % Increase Required Due to APCU (Proposed) (Line 42/(Line 36)	\$922,472	\$303,395 1.87%	\$24,939	\$158,684	\$19,982	\$3,568	\$513	\$220,212	\$106,726	\$83,588	\$17	\$826	521
	Proposed Combined Revenue Spread (Line 36 + Line 42)	\$42,606,953	\$16,521,675	\$1,628,492	\$7,332,116	2.43% \$840,682	2.30% \$158,565	0.46% 5112.975	2.61% 58.665.822	3.20% \$3,442,896	2.27% \$3.773.177	1.67% \$1,033	0.65% \$128,184	1.63% \$1.336
45	Loss-Adjusted 2011 Normalized Sales (kWh)	650,158,581	198,842,419	17,842,896	114,256,218	15,099,088	2,832,509	483,936	179,189,047	74,155,867	46,649,265	12,900	778,108	16,328
	2016 March Forecast Update APCU Incremental Rate given 2011 Test Period Sales (Mills per kWh) (1000*(Line 42/Line 45))	1.419	1,526	1.398	1.389	1.323	1.260	1.059	1.229	1.439	1.792	1.314	1.061	1.316
	APCU Incremental Rate for 2016 March Forecast (Mills per kWh) (Line 46*(Column A:[Line 45/Line 48]))	1.340	1.592	1.340	1.323	1.047	1.413	1.157	1.350	1.003	1.251	3.044	0.895	1.022
48	Loss-Adjusted 2016-2017 Normalized Sales (kWh)	688,412,209	190,548,481	18,605,426	119,961,908	19,082,992	2,526,070	443,024	163,113,247	106,358,304	66,823,696	5,568	922,474	21,019
49	Projected March Forecast APCU 2016-2017 Revenues (Line 47 * Line 48)	5922,472	\$303,395	524,939	\$158,684	\$19,982	\$3,568	5513	5220.212	\$106,726	\$83,588	517	5826	\$23

Notes:

^{1 2016} March Forecast APCU Revenues = \$1,34/MWh x 688,412,209 MWhs =

Idaho Power Company Calculation of Revenue Impact State of Oregon Revised October Update / March Forecast Filing Effective June 1, 2016

Summary of Revenue Impact Current Billed Revenue to Proposed Billed Revenue

							Total			Percent
		Rate	Average	Normalized	Current		Adjustments	Proposed		Change
Line		Sch.	Number of	Energy	Billed	Mills	to Billed	Total Billed	Mills	Billed to Billed
<u>No</u>	Tariff Description	<u>No.</u>	Customers	(kWh)	Revenue	Per kWh	Revenue	Revenue	Per kWh	Revenue
	Uniform Tariff Rates:									
1	Residential Service	1	13,694	190,548,481	\$18,948,137	99.44	\$101,181	\$19,049,318	99,97	0.53%
2	Small General Service	7	2,531	18,605,426	\$1,969,491	105,86	\$6,772	\$1,976,263	106,22	0.34%
3	Large General Service	9	913	141,570,970	\$10,924,451	77.17	\$57,228	\$10,981,679	77.57	0.52%
4	Dusk to Dawn Lighting	15	0	443,024	\$110,409	249,22	\$181	\$110,591	249.63	0.16%
5	Large Power Service	19	6	269,471,551	\$16,553,536	61.43	\$75,908	\$16,629,444	61.71	0.46%
6	Agricultural Irrigation Service	24	1,856	66,823,696	\$6,546,289	97.96	\$67	\$6,546,356	97.96	0.00%
7	Unmetered General Service	40	2	5,568	\$537	96,51	\$10	\$547	98.24	1.79%
8	Street Lighting	41	25	922,474	\$145,239	157.45	\$311	\$145,550	157.78	0.21%
9	Traffic Control Lighting	42	8	21,019	\$1,997	95.02	\$7	\$2,004	95.34	0.34%
10	Total Uniform Tariffs	-	19,035	688,412,209	\$55,200,087	80.18	\$241,665	\$55,441,752	80,54	0.44%
12	Total Oregon Retail Sales		19,035	688,412,209	\$55,200,087	80,18	\$241,665	\$55,441,752	80.54	0.44%

Idaho Power Company Calculation of Revenue Impact State of Oregon Revised October Update / March Forecast Filing Effective June 1, 2016

Summary of Revenue Impact - Rates 9, 19, and 24 Distribution Level Detail Current Billed Revenue to Proposed Billed Revenue

							Total			Percent
		Rate	Average	Normalized	Current		Adjustments	Proposed		Change
Line		Sch.	Number of	Energy	Billed	Mills	to Billed	Total Billed	Mills	Billed to Billed
<u>No</u>	Tariff Description	No.	Customers	(kWh)	Revenue	Per kWh	Revenue	Revenue	<u>Per kWh</u>	Revenue
					14					
	Uniform Tariff Rates:									
1	Large General Secondary	9S	907	119,961,908	\$9,421,862	78.54	\$51,344	\$9,473,205	78.97	0.54%
2	Large General Primary	9P	5	19,082,992	\$1,330,473	69.72	\$4,599	\$1,335,072	69.96	0.35%
3	Large General Transmission	9T	1	2,526,070	\$172,117	68.14	\$1,286	\$173,402	68,65	0.75%
4	Total Schedule 9		913	141,570,970	\$10,924,451	77.17	\$57,228	\$10,981,679	77.57	0.52%
6	Large Power Secondary	19S	0	0	\$0	0.00	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00%
7	Large Power Primary	19P	5	163,113,247	\$10,208,256	62.58	\$56,763	\$10,265,019	62.93	0.56%
8	Large Power Transmission	19T	1	106,358,304	\$6,345,281	59.66	\$19,144	\$6,364,425	59.84	0.30%
9	Total Schedule 19	/.=	6	269,471,551	\$16,553,536	61.43	\$75,908	\$16,629,444	61.71	0.46%
11	Irrigation Secondary	24S	1,856	66,823,696	\$6,546,289	97.96	\$67	\$6,546,356	97.96	0.00%
12	Irrigation Transmission	24T	0	0	\$0	0.00	\$0	\$0	0.00	0.00%
13	Total Schedule 24	_	1,856	66,823,696	\$6,546,289	97.96	\$67	\$6,546,356	97,96	0.00%