

WENDY MCINDOO Direct (503) 595.3922 wendy@mcd-law.com

May 9, 2014

VIA ELECTRONIC AND U.S. MAIL

PUC Filing Center
Public Utility Commission of Oregon
PO Box 1088
Salem, OR 97308-1088

Re: UE 285 - Idaho Power Company's 2013 Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up

Attention Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced matter is an original and five copies of the Stipulation and Joint Explanatory Brief.

A copy of this filing has been served on all parties to this proceeding. Please contact this office with any questions.

Very truly yours,

Wendy McAndoo
Wendy McIndoo
Office Manager

Enclosures

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document in 2 Docket UE 285 on the following named person(s) on the date indicated below by email 3 addressed to said person(s) at his or her last-known address(es) indicated below. 4 5 6 Robert Jenks **OPUC Dockets** Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 7 dockets@oregoncub.org bob@oregoncub.org 8 Michael T. Weirich Catriona McCracken Department of Justice Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 9 Michael.weirich@state.or.us catriona@oregoncub.org 10 **Brittany Andrus** Jorge Ordonez Public Utility Commission of Oregon Public Utility Commission of Oregon 11 brittany.andrus@state.or.us jorge.ordonez@state.or.us 12 13 14 DATED: May 9, 2014 15 endy McIndoo 16 Wendy McIndoo 17 Office Manager 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON		
2	UE 285		
3			
4	In The Matter of STIPULATION		
5	IDAHO POWER COMPANY		
6	2013 Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up.		
7			
8			
9	This Stipulation resolves all issues between the parties related to Idaho Power		
10	Company's ("Idaho Power" or "Company") 2013 Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up		
11	("True-Up") filed pursuant to Order No. 08-238,1 as amended by Order No. 09-373.2 This		
12	True-Up implements the Company's Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism ("PCAM") by		
13	calculating the deviation between actual net power supply expenses ("NPSE") and those		
14	expenses recovered through the Combined Rate.		
15	PARTIES		
16	 The parties to this Stipulation are Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon 		
17	("Staff"), the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon ("CUB"), and Idaho Power (together, the		
18	"Stipulating Parties"). No other party intervened in this docket.		
19	BACKGROUND		
20	2. As part of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Commission") approved		
21	PCAM, each February Idaho Power must file a True-Up that calculates the difference between		
22	the actual NPSE incurred by the Company in the relevant PCAM year (January through		
23			
24	1 Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment		
25	Mechanism, Docket UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (Apr. 28, 2008).		
26	² Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, Docket UE 195, Order No. 09-373 (Sept. 18, 2009).		

December) and the expenses recovered for that period through the Combined Rate.³ NPSE deviations that are eligible, as determined by the terms of Order No. 08-238, are added to the Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up Balancing Account ("True-Up Balancing Account") at the end of each 12 month period ending in December along with 50 percent of the annual interest calculated at the Company's authorized cost of capital.⁴ To be eligible for inclusion in the True-Up Balancing Account, the NPSE deviation must fall outside the NPSE deadband⁵ and pass the earnings test described in Order No. 08-238.⁶

3. On February 21, 2014, Idaho Power filed its True-Up reflecting the NPSE deviation for calendar year 2013. The Company's initial filing used the most recent Oregon Results of Operations ("ROO") report available at the time of the filing—the ROO for 2012. The NPSE deviation was \$40.8 million on a system-wide basis, or \$1.8 million on an Oregon allocated basis—meaning that the Company under-recovered its actual NPSE. The NPSE deadbands, calculated using the 2012 ROO, were \$2.4 million (upper) and negative \$1.2 million (lower). The Oregon-allocated NPSE deviation was within the NPSE deadbands calculated using the 2012 ROO, *i.e.*, the actual NPSE deviation of \$1.8 million was less than

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

¹⁷

¹⁸ Order No. 08-238 at 3.

[&]quot; Id

The NPSE deadband is based upon the Company's capital structure and the rate base, measured on an Oregon basis, from the most recent Oregon ROO report. The Oregon Allocated Power Cost Deviation is compared to the positive and/or negative deadbands. A positive deviation (actual NPSE greater than those recovered through the Combined Rate) constitutes an excess power supply expense. This expense is first reduced by a deadband that is the dollar equivalent of 250 basis points of ROE (Oregon basis). A negative deviation (actual NPSE less than those recovered through the Combined Rate) is a power supply expense savings. This savings is reduced by a deadband that is the dollar equivalent of 125 basis points of ROE (Oregon basis).

Id. at 3. If the Company's earnings are within 100 basis points of its authorized ROE for the previous
 year ending December 31, then no amounts will be added to the True-Up Balancing Account even if the NPSE deviation falls outside the NPSE deadbands.

⁷ Idaho Power/100, Waites/4.

⁸ Idaho Power/100, Waites/5.

the upper deadband of \$2.4 million. Therefore, the Company's initial filing determined that

\$0.00 should be added to the True-Up Balancing Account.9

- 4. This initial filing also discussed the impact of Order No. 11-086, which addressed the appropriate treatment of proceeds from the sale of Renewable Energy Credits ("REC"). 10 Pursuant to that order these proceeds are applied to the power cost deferral currently in amortization.
- 5. On March 6, 2014, CUB filed its Notice of Intervention. On April 10, 2014,

 8 Administrative Law Judge Patrick Power held a prehearing conference at which the parties to

 9 this docket agreed upon a limited procedural schedule, based on the likelihood that the parties

 10 would settle this matter.¹¹
 - 6. As required by Order No. 09-373, on April 15, 2014, the Company filed supplemental testimony that calculated the NPSE deadband using the Company's 2013 ROO report, which was finalized subsequent to the initial February filing. The use of the 2013 ROO resulted in changes to the upper and lower power supply expense deadband values. The upper deadband changed to \$2.7 million and the lower deadband changed to negative \$1.3 million. The use of the 2013 ROO also changed the Oregon allocation percentage used to calculate Oregon's share of the NPSE deviation, which resulted in an NPSE deviation of \$1.8 million. However, these changes did not affect the amount proposed to be included in the True-Up Balancing Account because the Oregon-allocated NPSE deviation was still within the NPSE deadbands calculated using the 2013 ROO. Thus, after updating the analysis using

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

^{22 9} Idaho Power/100, Waites/5.

 ¹⁰ Re Application of Idaho Power Company to Sell RECs, Docket UP 269, Order No. 11-086 (Mar. 17, 2011).

^{24 11} See Prehearing Conference Memorandum (Apr. 10, 2014).

¹² Idaho Power/200, Waites/2.

¹³ Idaho Power/200, Waites/2.

^{26 &}lt;sup>14</sup> Idaho Power/200, Waites/2.

- 1 the 2013 ROO the Company determined that \$0.00 should be added to the True-Up
- 2 Balancing Account.
- 7. Pursuant to the agreed upon schedule Staff, CUB, and the Company participated
- 4 in a settlement conference on April 30, 2014. As a result of the settlement discussions, the
- 5 Stipulating Parties have agreed as follows:

6 AGREEMENT

- 7 8. The Stipulating Parties agree that the Company has correctly calculated the 8 amount of the True-Up for later inclusion in rates as \$0.00 and that this calculation complies 9 with the terms of Orders Nos. 08-238 and 09-373. Thus, the Stipulating Parties agree that the 10 Company will not add any amounts to the True-Up Balancing Account for 2013.
 - 9. The Stipulating Parties agree to submit this Stipulation to the Commission and request that the Commission approve the Stipulation as presented. The Stipulating Parties agree that the adjustments and the rates resulting from the Stipulation are fair, just, and reasonable.
 - 10. This Stipulation will be offered into the record of this proceeding as evidence pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(7). The Stipulating Parties agree to support this Stipulation throughout this proceeding and any appeal, (if necessary) provide witnesses to sponsor this Stipulation at the hearing, and recommend that the Commission issue an order adopting the settlements contained herein.
 - 11. If this Stipulation is challenged by any other party to this proceeding, the Stipulating Parties agree that they will continue to support the Commission's adoption of the terms of this Stipulation. The Stipulating Parties agree to cooperate in cross-examination and put on such a case as they deem appropriate to respond fully to the issues presented, which may include raising issues that are incorporated in the settlements embodied in this Stipulation.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- document. If the Commission rejects all or any material part of this Stipulation, or adds any material condition to any final order that is not consistent with this Stipulation, each Stipulating Party reserves its right, pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(9), to present evidence and argument on the record in support of the Stipulation or to withdraw from the Stipulation. Stipulating Parties shall be entitled to seek rehearing or reconsideration pursuant to OAR 860-001-0720 in any manner that is consistent with the agreement embodied in this Stipulation.
- 13. By entering into this Stipulation, no Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have approved, admitted, or consented to the facts, principles, methods, or theories employed by any other Stipulating Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation, other than those specifically identified in the body of this Stipulation. No Stipulating Party shall be deemed to have agreed that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding, except as specifically identified in this Stipulation.
- 14. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and each signed counterpart shall constitute an original document.

This Stipulation is entered into by each Stipulating Party on the date entered below such Stipulating Party's signature.

STAFF By: Date: 5/7/14	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD By: Date:
IDAHO POWER	
Зу: Date:	

Page 6 - STIPULATION: UE 285

STAFF	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD
Ву:	By: 5-9-2014
Date:	Date: 5- 9- 2014
IDAHO POWER	
Ву:	
Date:	47

Page 6 - STIPULATION: UE 285

1		
2	STAFF	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD
3	By:	By:
5	Date:	Date:
6		
7	IDAHO POWER	
8	By: Wh fair	
9	Date: 5/9/14 ⁰	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Page 6 - STIPULATION: UE 285

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION 1 OF OREGON 2 **UE 285** 3 4 JOINT EXPLANATORY BRIEF In The Matter of 5 IDAHO POWER COMPANY 6 2013 Annual Power Supply Expense 7 True-Up. 8 This brief explains and supports the Stipulation filed in this proceeding on May 9, 2014, 9 among Idaho Power Company ("Idaho Power" or "Company"), the Citizens' Utility Board of 10 Oregon ("CUB"), and Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Staff") (together, the 11 "Stipulating Parties"). The Stipulation resolves all issues raised by the Stipulating Parties 12 13 related to Idaho Power's 2013 Annual Power Supply Expense True-Up ("True-Up"). This True-Up implements the Company's Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism ("PCAM") by 14 calculating the deviation between actual net power supply expenses ("NPSE") and those 15 16 expenses recovered through the Combined Rate. 17 BACKGROUND 18 Idaho Power's PCAM. In Order No. 08-238, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Commission") approved 19 Idaho Power's PCAM.1 As part of the Commission-approved PCAM, each February Idaho 20 21 Power must file a True-Up that calculates the difference between the actual NPSE incurred by 22 the Company in the relevant PCAM year (January through December) and the expenses 23 24 1 Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost Adjustment 25 Mechanism, Docket UE 195, Order No. 08-238 (Apr. 28, 2008). The PCAM was amended by Order No. 09-373. Re Idaho Power Company's Application for Authority to Implement a Power Cost 26 Adjustment Mechanism, Docket UE 195, Order No. 09-373 (Sept. 18, 2009).

- 1 recovered for that period through the Combined Rate.² NPSE deviations that are eligible, as
- 2 determined by the terms of Order No. 08-238, are added to the Annual Power Supply
- 3 Expense True-Up Balancing Account ("True-Up Balancing Account") at the end of each 12
- 4 month period ending in December along with 50 percent of the annual interest calculated at
- 5 the Company's authorized cost of capital.3 To be eligible for inclusion in the True-Up
- 6 Balancing Account, the NPSE deviation must fall outside the NPSE deadband⁴ and pass the
- 7 earnings test described in Order No. 08-238.⁵

B. The 2013 True-Up.

On February 21, 2014, Idaho Power filed its True-Up reflecting the NPSE deviation for calendar year 2013.⁶ The Company's initial filing used the most recent Oregon Results of Operations ("ROO") report available at the time of the filing—the ROO for 2012.⁷ The NPSE deviation was \$40.8 million on a system-wide basis, or \$1.8 million on an Oregon allocated basis—meaning that the Company under-recovered its actual NPSE.⁸ The upper NPSE

Order No. 08-238 at 3. The "Combined Rate" is determined annually in the Company's Annual Power Cost Update ("APCU") proceeding. The Combined Rate has two components—an "October Update"

and a "March Forecast." The October Update contains the Company's forecasted net power supply expense reflected on a normalized and unit basis for an April through March test period. The March

Forecast contains the Company's net power supply expense based upon updated actual forecasted conditions. The rates from the October Update and March Forecast are combined into the "Combined Rate," which is effective on June 1 of each year.

^{18 &}lt;sup>3</sup> *Id*.

⁴ Id. at 3. The NPSE deadband is based upon the Company's capital structure and the rate base, measured on an Oregon basis, from the most recent Oregon ROO report. The Oregon Allocated

Power Cost Deviation is compared to the positive and/or negative deadbands. A positive deviation (actual NPSE greater than those recovered through the Combined Rate) constitutes an excess power supply expense. This expense is first reduced by a deadband that is the dollar equivalent of 250 basis

points of ROE (Oregon basis). A negative deviation (actual NPSE less than those recovered through the Combined Rate) is a power supply expense savings. This savings is reduced by a deadband that is

the dollar equivalent of 125 basis points of ROE (Oregon basis).

 ⁵ Id. at 3. If the Company's earnings are within 100 basis points of its authorized ROE for the previous year ending December 31, then no amounts will be added to the True-Up Balancing Account even if the NPSE deviation falls outside the NPSE deadbands.

⁶ See Idaho Power/100 – 104.

⁷ Idaho Power/100, Waites/2.

^{26 8} Idaho Power/100, Waites/4.

deadband, calculated using the 2012 ROO, was \$2.4 million.9 This deadband applies when 1 actual NPSE is greater than NPSE recovered in rates. The lower NPSE deadband was 2 negative \$1.2 million and applies when actual NPSE is less than NPSE recovered in rates. 10 3 Because the NPSE deviation indicated that the actual NPSE was greater than the NPSE 4 recovered in rates, the upper deadband was applied. The Oregon-allocated NPSE deviation 5 was within the NPSE deadbands calculated using the 2012 ROO, i.e., the actual NPSE 6 deviation of \$1.8 million was less than the upper deadband of \$2.4 million. Therefore, the 7 Company's initial filing determined that \$0.00 should be added to the True-Up Balancing 8 Account.11 9

This initial filing also discussed the impact of Order No. 11-086, which addressed the appropriate treatment of proceeds from the sale of Renewable Energy Credits ("REC"). 12 Pursuant to that order these proceeds are applied to the power cost deferral currently in amortization. 13

On March 6, 2014, CUB filed its Notice of Intervention. On April 10, 2014, Administrative Law Judge Patrick Power held a prehearing conference at which the parties to this docket agreed upon a limited procedural schedule, based on the likelihood that the parties would settle this matter.¹⁴

As required by Order No. 09-373, on April 15, 2014, the Company filed supplemental testimony that calculated the deadband using the Company's 2013 ROO report, which was finalized subsequent to the initial February filing.¹⁵ The use of the 2013 ROO resulted in

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

^{21 9} Idaho Power/100, Waites/5.

^{22 &}lt;sup>10</sup> Idaho Power/100, Waites/5.

¹¹ Idaho Power/100, Waites/5.

 ¹² Idaho Power/100, Waites/6-7; Re Application of Idaho Power Company to Sell RECs, Docket UP
 269, Order No. 11-086 (Mar. 17, 2011).

¹³ Idaho Power/100, Waites/6-7.

^{25 &}lt;sup>14</sup> See Prehearing Conference Memorandum (Apr. 10, 2014).

^{26 &}lt;sup>15</sup> See Idaho Power/200 – 204.

- changes to the upper and lower power supply expense deadband values resulting in an upper 1 deadband of \$2.7 million and a lower deadband of negative \$1.3 million. 16 The use of the 2 2013 ROO also changed the Oregon allocation factor used to determine the Oregon allocated 3 NPSE deviation, resulting in an NPSE deviation of \$1.8 million. ¹⁷ Applying the new deadband 4 values to the new NPSE deviation, however, did not change the ultimate result—the Oregon-5 allocated power supply deviation of \$1.8 million was still less than the upper deadband of \$2.7 6 million.¹⁸ Thus, after updating the analysis using the 2013 ROO the Company determined that 7 \$0.00 should be added to the True-Up Balancing Account. 19 8 Pursuant to the agreed upon schedule Staff, CUB, and the Company participated in a 9 settlement conference on April 30, 2014. As a result of the settlement discussions, the 10 Stipulating Parties have agreed to the terms of the Stipulation. 11
- 12 II. DISCUSSION

13 A. Terms of the Stipulation.

In the Stipulation the Stipulating Parties agree that the Company has correctly calculated the amount of the True-Up for later inclusion in rates as \$0.00 and that this calculation complies with the terms of Order Nos. 08-238 and 09-373.²⁰ Thus, the Stipulating Parties agree that the Company will not add any amounts to the True-Up Balancing Account for 2012.²¹

19 ////

14

15

16

17

18

20 ////

21 ////

22 Idaho Power/200, Waites/2.

23 ¹⁷ Idaho Power/200, Waites/2.

24 ldaho Power/200, Waites/2.

¹⁹ Idaho Power/200, Waites/1-3.

25 Stipulation at ¶ 8.

26 ²¹ Stipulation at ¶ 8.

Page 4 - JOINT EXPLANATORY BRIEF: UE 285

B. The Stipulation Will Result in Just and Reasonable Rates.

The Commission will approve a stipulation if it is an appropriate resolution of the issues in a case²² and results in just and reasonable rates.²³ When evaluating these rates, the Commission examines "the reasonableness of the overall rates."²⁴ Here, the Stipulating Parties agree that the Company will add nothing to the True-Up Balancing Account and therefore customer rates will not be affected by the terms of the Stipulation. Because the Company's filed case reflects correct calculations that conform to Commission precedent, the resulting rates are just and reasonable and fall within the "range of reasonableness" for

10 ////

9

resolution of these issues.²⁵

1

11 /////

12 ////

13 ////

14 ////

15 ////

16 ////

17 ////

18 ////

^{20 &}lt;sup>22</sup> See *Re PacifiCorp's 2010 Transition Adjustment Mechanism*, Docket UE 207, Order No. 09-432 at 6 (Oct. 30, 2009) ("The Commission concludes that the Stipulation is an appropriate resolution of all primary issues in this docket."); See *Re PacifiCorp Request for a General Rate Revision*, Docket UE

^{210,} Order No. 10-022 at 6 (Jan. 26, 2010) ("When considering a stipulation, we have the statutory duty to make an independent judgment as to whether any given settlement constitutes a reasonable

resolution of the issues.").

²³ See Re. PacifiCorp Request for a General Rate, Docket UE 217, Order No. 10-473 at 7 (Dec. 14, 2010) ("We have reviewed the Stipulation, and find that it will result in rates that are fair, just, and reasonable.").

²⁵ Re. Application of Portland General Electric Co. for an Investigation into Least Cost Plant Retirement, Docket DR 10 et al., Order No. 08-487 at 7-8 (Sept. 30, 2008).

²⁵ See Re US West, Docket UM 773, Order No. 96-284 at 31 (Nov. 1, 1999).

1	III. CONCLUSION
2	For all of the above reasons, the Stipulating Parties request that the Commission
3	approve the Stipulation and the resulting rates.
4	Respectfully submitted,
5	DATED: 9/9/14 MCDOWELL RACKNER & GIBSON PC
6	Wh from
7	Lisa F. Rackner Adam Lowney
8	Of Attorneys for Idaho Power
9	IDAHO POWER COMPANY Lisa Nordstrom
10	Lead Counsel PO Box 70
11	Boise, ID 83707
12	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION STAFF Michael Weirich
13	Attorney for Staff Oregon Department of Justice
14	1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096
15	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON
16	Catriona McCracken General Counsel
17	Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW Broadway, Ste. 400
18	Portland, OR 97205
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	