## **CARRIER-TO-CARRIER AGREEMENT CHECKLIST**

INSTRUCTIONS: Please complete all applicable parts of this form and submit it with related materials when filing a carrier-tocarrier agreement pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252 and OAR 860-016-0000 et al. The Commission will utilize the information contained in this form to determine how to process the filing. **Unless you request otherwise in writing, the Commission will serve all documents related to the review of this agreement electronically to the e-mail addresses listed below.** 

	PARTIES	Requesting Carrier	Affected Carrier
Name	of Party:		
Contac	ct for Processing Q	uestions:	
Nam	ne:		
Tele	phone:		
E-m	ail:		
Contac	t for Legal Questi	ons (if different):	
Nam	ne:		
Tele	phone:		
E-m	ail:		
Other	Persons wanting E	-mail service of documents (if any)	):
Narr	ne:		
E-m	ail:		
2.	Adoption: Adop	with new negotiated amo	For example, parties seeking to adopt a previously approved agreement endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.)
2.	Adoption: Adop	with new negotiated amounts interconnection agreement previo	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission.
	<u>Adoption</u> : Adop Parties to prior a	with new negotiated among the second	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission &
	<u>Adoption</u> : Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do	with new negotiated amounts interconnection agreement previous previous previous previous of the term of t	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission & ler No(s)
	Adoption: Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do • Does filing	with new negotiated amounts interconnection agreement previous previous previous previous of the term of t	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission &
	Adoption: Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do • Does filing NO	with new negotiated among the second	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission & ler No(s) ent previously approved by the Commission?
2.	Adoption: Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do • Does filing NO YES,	with new negotiated among the second	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission & ler No(s) ent previously approved by the Commission?, Order No(s)
	Adoption: Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do • Does filing NO YES, <u>New Agreement</u>	with new negotiated amongs in the second sec	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission & ler No(s) ent previously approved by the Commission?, Order No(s) l agreement.
	Adoption: Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do • Does filing NO YES, <u>New Agreement</u> • Does this fi	with new negotiated amongs in the second sec	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission & ler No(s) ent previously approved by the Commission?, Order No(s)
	Adoption: Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do • Does filing NO YES, <u>New Agreement</u> • Does this fi NO	with new negotiated among the second	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission & ler No(s) ent previously approved by the Commission?, Order No(s) I agreement. the same parties that was previously approved by the Commission?
	Adoption: Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do • Does filing NO YES, <u>New Agreement</u> • Does this fi NO YES,	with new negotiated among the second	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission &
	Adoption: Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do • Does filing NO YES, <u>New Agreement</u> • Does this fi NO YES, <u>Amendment</u> : Ar	with new negotiated among the second	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission &
	Adoption: Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do • Does filing NO YES, <u>New Agreement</u> • Does this fi NO YES, <u>Amendment</u> : Ar • If the origin	with new negotiated among the second	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission &
	Adoption: Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do • Does filing NO YES, <u>New Agreement</u> • Does this fi NO YES, <u>Amendment</u> : Ar • If the origin NO, d	with new negotiated and ots interconnection agreement previous agreement, Ord adopt amendments to base agreement approved in Docket ARB t: Seeks approval of new negotiated ling replace an agreement between approved in Docket ARB mends an existing carrier-to-carrier al agreement was negotiated, has it ecision pending in Docket ARB	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission &
	Adoption: Adop Parties to prior a Approved in Do • Does filing NO YES, <u>New Agreement</u> • Does this fi NO YES, <u>Amendment</u> : Ar • If the origin NO, d YES,	with new negotiated among the second	endments should check both "Adoption" and "Amendment" categories.) ously approved by the Commission &

## AMENDMENT NO. ONE TO CMRS INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT BETWEEN SPRINT and SPRINT PCS

This Amendment is made this 10th day of December, 2003, by and between United Telephone Company of the Northwest ("Sprint") and Sprint Spectrum L.P., a Delaware Limited Partnership, as Agent for WirelessCo, L.P. a Delaware limited partnership, and SprintCom, Inc. a Kansas corporation and Cox Communications PCS, L.P. a Delaware limited partnership and APC PCS, L.L.C. a Delaware limited partnership and PhillieCo, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership and PhillieCo, S, "Carrier"). (Sprint and Carrier may be referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties").

### **BACKGROUND:**

The Parties entered into a CMRS Interconnection Agreement ("Agreement") on July 1, 2002 for the state of Washington.

The Parties wish to amend the Agreement to reflect the terms, conditions and rates for work necessary to allow Carrier to port in or port out individual numbers assigned to Carrier's end user customers associated with Type 1 interconnection arrangements ("Amendment").

In consideration of the promises and agreements contained in this Amendment, the Parties agree as follows:

#### **TERMS AND CONDITIONS:**

For Type 1 interconnections, when a third party carrier submits an order to port a number from Carrier to the third party or when an order is submitted to port a number to Carrier, the Translations Rearrangement Charge on Attachment A will apply for each number associated with Carrier's Type 1 interconnection arrangements. The Translation Rearrangement Charge will not be applicable to the Carrier's Type 2 interconnection arrangements. Sprint will assess the service order charges, electronic or manual, for each order submitted by Carrier. Attachment A is hereby incorporated into and made a part of this Amendment.

#### GENERAL

Other than as set forth above, the Agreement remains unchanged and in full force and effect. In the event of a conflict between the terms of the Agreement and this Amendment, this Amendment will control.

This Amendment No. One executed by authorized representatives of Sprint and Carrier is made a part of and incorporates the terms and conditions of the Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Sprint and Carrier has caused this Amendment No. One to be executed by its duly authorized representatives.

"Sprint"	United Telephone Company of the Northwest	"Carrier" Sprint PCS		
By:	huchalle	By:	W. Richa Infor-	
Name (typed):	William E. Cheek	Name:	W. Richard Morris	
Title:	President Wholesale Markets	Title:	Vice President External Affairs	
Date:	12/15/03	Date:	DEC   6 2003	

## Attachment A

.....

# Price Schedule

Translations Rearrangement Charge	\$30.00