



Kristin L. Jacobson
Regulatory Counsel - Government Affairs
State Regulatory

Sprint Corporation
900 7th Street NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: 707-816-7583
Email: Kristin.L.Jacobson@sprint.com

May 18, 2017

Commission Secretary
Oregon Public Utility Commission
201 High Street SE, Suite 100
Salem, OR 97301

Re: Sprint Corporation, Virgin Mobile USA, L.P. (Assurance Wireless) and i-wireless, LLC Notification Regarding the Termination of Their Proposed Wireless Lifeline Services Partnership and Related Transaction – *Docket Nos. UM 1522 (Order No. 12-015), UM 1509*; and withdrawal of Virgin Mobile USA, L.P.’s Petition to Relinquish Eligible Telecommunications Carrier and Eligible Telecommunications Provider Designations Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §214(e)(4)

Dear Commission Secretary, Oregon PUC and CUB:

On or about September 12, 2016, Sprint Corporation (“Sprint”), Virgin Mobile USA, L.P., d/b/a Assurance Wireless (“Virgin Mobile” or “Company”), and i-wireless, LLC (“i-wireless”) (collectively, “the Parties”), notified the Oregon Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) that they agreed to form a joint venture involving the transfer of majority control of i-wireless to Sprint, and the transfer of Assurance Wireless Lifeline customer accounts served by Sprint’s eligible telecommunications carrier (“ETC”) subsidiary, Virgin Mobile, to i-wireless. The purpose of this letter is to inform you that on April 24, 2017, the Parties mutually agreed to terminate the above-described transaction. Accordingly, Virgin Mobile wishes to retain its ETC designation in Oregon, and Virgin Mobile and i-wireless will both continue to separately exist as competing wireless ETCs designated by the Commission.

On November 18, 2016, Virgin Mobile submitted a Petition to Relinquish its Eligible Telecommunications Provider Designation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §214(e)(4) (“Petition”) which was approved by the Oregon Public Utility Commission on December 6, 2016 in Order No. 16-

May 18, 2017

Page 2

472 (“Order”). The Commission’s approval of the Petition was conditioned upon the satisfaction of three criteria set forth in Appendix A, page 4 of the Order, which were to occur following the closing of the transaction. Because the transaction between the Parties never closed, Virgin Mobile could not and did not satisfy the three conditions for approval of the Petition. Accordingly, the Petition approval is not effective and Virgin Mobile now respectfully requests to withdraw the Petition.

There will be no customer impact associated with the termination of the transaction. Because the transaction had not closed prior to its termination, none of the material actions described in the Parties’ prior transaction notice letter, such as the transfer of Virgin Mobile customers, had taken place. The Parties’ respective Lifeline products will continue as currently offered to their Lifeline customers, and the Parties’ respective Lifeline customers will continue to receive the same high-quality Lifeline service as they did prior to the termination of the transaction.

Thank you for your assistance, and please contact us if you should have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/ Kristin L. Jacobson

<p>John Heitmann Joshua Guyan Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 3050 K Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20007 (202) 342-8566 <i>Counsel for i-wireless, LLC</i></p>	<p>Kristin L. Jacobson Sprint Communications Counsel, Regulatory Affairs West Region 900 7th Street, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20001 O: 707-816-7583 / F: 707-747-6500 Kristin.L.Jacobson@sprint.com <i>Counsel for Virgin Mobile</i></p>
--	---