

September 21, 2015

Via Electronic Filing

Oregon Public Utility Commission Attention: Filing Center 201 High Street SE P.O. Box 1088 Salem OR 97308-1088

Re: UE 299 – PGE's Annual Power Cost Variance Mechanism (2014)

Attention Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing in Docket UE 299 are the following:

- MOTION TO ADMIT STIPULATION
- STIPULATION
- JOINT EXPLANATORY BRIEF

These documents are to be filed electronically with the OPUC.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

DOUGLAS C. TINGEY Associate General Counsel

DCT:jrb
Enclosures

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UE 299

In the Matter of

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

MOTION TO ADMIT STIPULATION

Annual Power Cost Variance Mechanism (2014)

Pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(7), Portland General Electric Company ("PGE") moves to admit into the record in this proceeding the Stipulation, dated September 21, 2015. Also filed is a Joint Expanatory Brief by the stipulating parties in support of the Stipulation.

DATED this day of September, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas C. Tingey, OSB No. 044366

Associate General Counsel

Portland General Electric Company

121 SW Salmon Street, 1WTC1301

Portland, Oregon 97204

(503) 464-8926 (Telephone)

(503) 464-2200 (Facsimile)

doug.tingey@pgn.com

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UE 299

In the Matter of

STIPULATION

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

Annual Power Cost Variance Mechanism (2014)

This Stipulation ("Stipulation") is among Portland General Electric Company ("PGE"), Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Staff"), and the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon ("CUB"), (collectively, the "Parties"). There are no other parties in this docket.

I. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with its tariff Schedule 126, PGE filed its annual power cost variance mechanism update in this docket on June 29, 2015. Included with that filing were PGE's testimony and work papers regarding the 2014 power cost variance and earnings test results. This information included the data required by the minimum filing requirements agreed to for Power Cost Variance (PCV) dockets. PGE's filing showed that the 2014 power cost variance was within the deadbands contained in Schedule 126, and therefore results in no power cost variance refund or collection for 2014.

The Parties subsequently reviewed PGE's filing and work papers. The Parties held a workshop/settlement conference on August 26, 2015. As a result of those discussions, the

Parties have reached agreement settling this docket as set forth below. The Parties request that the Commission issue an order adopting this Stipulation.

II. TERMS OF STIPULATION

- 1. This Stipulation settles all issues in this docket.
- 2. PGE's actual power costs for 2014 were below forecast power costs but within the Schedule 126 power cost deadbands. This results in no rate impact to customers for the 2014 power cost variance. Some parties may have proposed adjustments to the power cost calculation or earnings test in this docket but such adjustments, if accepted, would not have altered the Schedule 126 rates. As such, the lack of issues being raised and decided in this docket is not to be construed as agreement to any or all of the aspects of the calculations done by PGE and is not precedent for future PCV dockets or any other case.
 - 3. Schedule 126 rates should continue to be set at zero effective January 1, 2016.
- 4. The Stipulating Parties recommend and request that the Commission approve this Stipulation as an appropriate and reasonable resolution of the issues in this docket.
- 5. The Parties agree that this Stipulation is in the public interest and will result in rates that are fair, just and reasonable and will meet the standard in ORS 756.040.
- 6. The Parties agree that this Stipulation represents a compromise in the positions of the Parties. Without the written consent of all Parties, evidence of conduct or statements, including but not limited to term sheets or other documents created solely for use in settlement conferences in this docket, are confidential and not admissible in the instant or any subsequent proceeding, unless independently discoverable or offered for other purposes allowed under ORS 40.190.

- The Stipulating Parties have negotiated this Stipulation as an integrated document. If the Commission rejects all or any material part of this Stipulation, or adds any material condition to any final order that is not consistent with this Stipulation, each Party reserves its right: (i) to withdraw from the Stipulation, upon written notice to the Commission and the other Parties within five (5) business days of service of the final order that rejects this Stipulation, in whole or material part, or adds such material condition; (ii) pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(9), to present evidence and argument on the record in support of the Stipulation, including the right to cross-examine witnesses, introduce evidence as deemed appropriate to respond fully to issues presented, and raise issues that are incorporated in the settlements embodied in this Stipulation; and (iii) pursuant to ORS 756.561 and OAR 860-001-0720, to seek rehearing or reconsideration, or pursuant to ORS 756.610 to appeal the Commission order. Nothing in this paragraph provides any Party the right to withdraw from this Stipulation as a result of the Commission's resolution of issues that this Stipulation does not resolve.
- 8. This Stipulation will be offered into the record in this proceeding as evidence pursuant to OAR 860-001-0350(7). The Parties agree to support this Stipulation throughout this proceeding and in any appeal, provide witnesses to support this Stipulation (if specifically required by the Commission), and recommend that the Commission issue an order adopting the settlements contained herein. By entering into this Stipulation, no Party shall be deemed to have approved, admitted or consented to the facts, principles, methods or theories employed by any other Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation. Except as provided in this Stipulation, no Party shall be deemed to have agreed that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding.

9.	This Stipulation may be signed in any numb	per of counterparts, each of which will
be an origina	l for all purposes, but all of which taken toget	her will constitute one and the same
agreement.		
DATI	ED this day of September, 2015.	
		PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
		STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON
		CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

9. This Stipulation may be signed in any number of counterparts, each of which will
be an original for all purposes, but all of which taken together will constitute one and the same
agreement.
DATED this day of September, 2015.
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
COMITATO
STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD

OF OREGON

9. This Stipulation may be signed in any	number of counterparts, each of which will
be an original for all purposes, but all of which taken	together will constitute one and the same
agreement.	
DATED this day of September, 2015.	
	PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
	STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON
	8mm mon
	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UE 299

In the Matter of)	
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY))	JOINT EXPLANATORY BRIEF
Annual Power Cost Variance Mechanism (2014))	

This brief explains the Stipulation ("Stipulation") dated September 21, 2015, among Portland General Electric Company ("PGE"), Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Staff"), and the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon ("CUB") (collectively, the "Parties"). The Parties submit this brief pursuant to OAR §860-001-0350(7).

Discussion

On June 29, 2015, PGE made its Annual Power Cost Variance Mechanism filing under tariff Schedule 126. That filing included testimony, work papers, and the information required by the minimum filing requirements previously agreed to regarding Schedule 126.

Tariff Schedule 126 is designed to recognize in rates in some years part of the difference between actual net variable power costs (as defined in the tariff) and the net variable power cost forecast pursuant to tariff Schedule 125. Schedule 126 defines how the Power Cost Variance is calculated, including deadbands that are applied to either a positive or negative power cost variance, and sharing of the variance outside the applicable deadband. The Power Cost Variance

is also subject to an earnings test.

PGE's filing in this docket explained how it calculated the Power Cost Variance for 2014. As calculated by PGE, the variance between forecast and actual power costs in 2014 was approximately (\$7.7 million). This is within the \$15 million Negative Annual Power Cost Deadband. As such, the sharing percentages in Schedule 126 are not applicable.

PGE's filing also performed the earnings review required by Schedule 126. The Schedule 126 return on equity (ROE) deadband is +/-100 basis points of PGE's authorized ROE, which for 2014 was 9.75%. PGE's testimony showed that PGE's final regulated adjusted 2014 ROE was 9.51%, which is within the Schedule 126 earnings deadband. Because the Power Cost Variance is within the power cost deadband, as discussed above, the variance is not subject to this earnings test.

Following PGE's filing in this docket, PGE shared requested information with the Parties and the Parties examined PGE's filing and work papers. A settlement conference was held on August 26, 2015.

The Parties have concluded that operation of the Schedule 126 Negative Power Cost

Deadband in this docket results in there being no refund to customers for the 2014 power cost

variance. Some Parties may have raised issues regarding the calculation of the Power Cost

Variance or earnings test, but did not do so because such adjustments, even if adopted, would not
have changed the final Schedule 126 rates. Stipulation ¶ 2. Accordingly, the Stipulation

provided that "the lack of issues being raised and decided in this docket is not to be construed as
agreement to any or all of the aspects of the calculations done by PGE and is not precedent for
future PCV dockets or any other case." Stipulation ¶ 2.

Under its terms, Schedule 126 rates are to be adjusted on January 1, 2016, to

incorporate the outcome of this docket. The Stipulation thus provides that "Schedule 126 rates should continue to be set at zero effective January 1, 2016." Stipulation ¶ 3.

The Stipulation settles all issues in this docket. Stipulation ¶ 1. The Parties agree that the Stipulation is in the public interest and will produce rates that are fair, just and reasonable. Stipulation ¶ 5. The Stipulation also contains a number of provisions typically contained in stipulations filed with the Commission. Stipulation ¶¶ 6-9.

Conclusion

The Stipulation is among Staff, CUB, and PGE. Each of the Parties, representing their respective interests, agree that the settlement contained in the Stipulation results in fair, just and reasonable rates in this 2014 Annual Power Cost Variance Mechanism proceeding. The result is consistent with and supported by the record in this docket. For the reasons set forth above, the Parties request that the Commission approve the Stipulation.

DATED this 2 day of September, 2015.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

incorporate the outcome of this docket. The Stipulation thus provides that "Schedule 126 rates should continue to be set at zero effective January 1, 2016." Stipulation ¶ 3.

The Stipulation settles all issues in this docket. Stipulation ¶ 1. The Parties agree that the Stipulation is in the public interest and will produce rates that are fair, just and reasonable. Stipulation ¶ 5. The Stipulation also contains a number of provisions typically contained in stipulations filed with the Commission. Stipulation ¶¶ 6-9.

Conclusion

The Stipulation is among Staff, CUB, and PGE. Each of the Parties, representing their respective interests, agree that the settlement contained in the Stipulation results in fair, just and reasonable rates in this 2014 Annual Power Cost Variance Mechanism proceeding. The result is consistent with and supported by the record in this docket. For the reasons set forth above, the Parties request that the Commission approve the Stipulation.

DATED this 2 day of September, 2015.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

incorporate the outcome of this docket. The Stipulation thus provides that "Schedule 126 rates should continue to be set at zero effective January 1, 2016." Stipulation ¶ 3.

The Stipulation settles all issues in this docket. Stipulation ¶ 1. The Parties agree that the Stipulation is in the public interest and will produce rates that are fair, just and reasonable. Stipulation ¶ 5. The Stipulation also contains a number of provisions typically contained in stipulations filed with the Commission. Stipulation ¶¶ 6-9.

Conclusion

The Stipulation is among Staff, CUB, and PGE. Each of the Parties, representing their respective interests, agree that the settlement contained in the Stipulation results in fair, just and reasonable rates in this 2014 Annual Power Cost Variance Mechanism proceeding. The result is consistent with and supported by the record in this docket. For the reasons set forth above, the Parties request that the Commission approve the Stipulation.

DATED this ___ day of September, 2015.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON
	Smu Mon

STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON