1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION		
2	OF O	REGON	
3	ADV 434		
4	In the Matter of		
5	ROATS WATER SYSTEM, INC.,	STAFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS	
6	Roats Advice No. 16-03		
7			
8	Pursuant to OAR 860-001-0420(3), Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon		
9	(Staff) moves the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) to dismiss Roats Water System, Inc.'s (Roats		
10	or Company) Advice 16-03, docketed as ADV 434.		
11	I. Background		
12	On March 15, 2016, Roats filed a request for a general rate revision seeking to increase		
13	rates for the first time in more than ten years, driven by an increase in operating expenses and the		
14	acquisition of the Juniper Utility water system from the City of Bend. 1 The Company's filing		
15	included tariff sheets to be effective July 1, 2016. <sup>2</sup> Pursuant to ORS 757.215, the Commission		
16	suspended Roats' tariff sheets for a period not to exceed nine months. <sup>3</sup> A pre-hearing conference		
17	was held in that case on May 5, 2016, during w	which the parties agreed to a procedural schedule	
18	that would allow Staff and Intervenors to invest	tigate Roats' proposed rates during the suspension	
19	period. <sup>4</sup>		
20	Since the Company's filing, Staff, Inter	venors and the Company have met and discussed	
21	settlement on several occasions. On October 1	3, 2016, Staff filed a Motion to Suspend the	
22	Procedural Schedule in docket UW 166, as the	parties had reached agreement on all but one	
23			
24	<sup>1</sup> UW 166 – Roats' Initial Testimony at 4-5.	•	
25	<sup>2</sup> See Roats' Advice 16-02. <sup>3</sup> In re Roats Water System, Inc., OPLIC Docker	t No. UW 166, Order No. 16-114 (Mar. 18, 2016);	
26	subsequently corrected by <i>In re Roats Water Sy</i> No. 16-149 (Apr. 19, 2016).	ostem, Inc., OPUC Docket NO. UW 166, Order	
	<sup>4</sup> UW 166 – Prehearing Conference Memorand	um, issued May 9, 2016.	
Daga	1 CTARRIC MOTION TO DIGMICS	- 2	

Page 1 - STAFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS ST7/pjr/#7872390

- 1 issue.<sup>5</sup> At that time, the parties anticipated filing a request to amend the schedule in order to
- 2 address the one remaining issue. 6 On November 16, 2016, Staff filed a Status Report in UW 166
- 3 alerting ALJ Patrick Power that the parties had reached a settlement in principle on the
- 4 outstanding issue noted in Staff's October 13, 2016 Motion to Suspend the Procedural Schedule,
- 5 and that the parties were continuing to work on finalizing the terms of the stipulation and
- 6 drafting testimony in support of the stipulation. Since that time, an additional issue has arisen
- 7 upon which the parties are not in agreement, which has resulted in the current delay for a
- 8 stipulation being filed. Staff has encouraged the Company to work through this issue in the
- 9 context of UW 166.
- On November 18, 2016, Roats filed with the Commission its Advice No. 16-03 which
- 11 has been docketed as ADV 434. The filing requests a rate increase for its current Schedule 1
- 12 customers, as well as proposing new schedules for domestic and irrigation service at The Pines
- 13 and Crown Villa (recreational vehicle parks) and for standard irrigation service.<sup>8</sup> The Company
- 14 has requested that rates be implemented subject to refund for the period between January 1,
- 15 2017, and the date that new tariffs go into effect based on the Commission's final order in docket
- 16 UW 166.9 The Company's proposed interim rates are equal to "the rates agreed to by Staff and
- 17 Roats in a stipulation to be proposed to the Commission in UW 166."10
- On November 21, 2016, Staff conferred with the Company via a phone call regarding its
- 19 Advice 16-03 and requested that the Company withdraw the filing and address issues related to
- 20 its request for a general rate revision in the context of UW 166. Based on subsequent discussions

22

21

<sup>5</sup> UW 166 – Staff's Motion to Suspend the Procedural Schedule, filed October 13, 2016.

<sup>24 &</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> UW 166 – Staff's Status Report, filed November 16, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Advice Filing 16-03 at 2.

<sup>26 &</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Advice Filing 16-03 at 1.

with the Company, Staff now understands that the Company does not intend to withdraw this 2 filing from Commission consideration. 3 Therefore, as discussed more fully below, Staff respectfully requests that the Company's Advice Filing 16-03 be dismissed, as it is unsupported, impermissibly discloses confidential 4 5 settlement discussions, and is procedurally inappropriate. 6 II. Argument 7 Roats' Advice Filing constitutes an unsupported and inappropriate request for a A. 8 general rate revision. 9 Roats' Advice 16-03 constitutes a request for a general rate revision under the Commission's rules. OAR 860-036-0620(2) defines "general rate revision" as "a filing by a 10 water utility that affects all or most of the water utility's rate schedules." Roats' Advice 16-03 11 12 requests a rate increase for all of the Company's current customer classes, as well as proposing 13 new rates for customer classes to be established upon the acquisition of the Juniper Utility water 14 system. Roats has made this filing despite its currently pending request for a general rate 15 revision in docket UW 166 and based on inadmissible evidence. 11 16 Roats' basis for its proposed interim rates in Advice Filing 16-03 is "stipulated rates" 17 discussed in settlement conferences in UW 166. OAR 860-001-0350(3) provides that absent the 18 written consent of all parties, "any statement, admission, or offer of settlement made during 19 settlement discussions is not admissible in any Commission proceedings, unless independently discoverable or offered for other purposes allowed under ORS 40.190."<sup>12</sup> Although Roats, Staff 20 21 and Intervenors have engaged in settlement discussions and believe they have reached an 22 agreement in principle on almost every issue in UW 166, no stipulation or supporting testimony 23 has been filed with the Commission that memorializes the agreement. Furthermore, Staff has not 24 provided the Company with written permission to disclose positions articulated during settlement 25 Staff also notes that the Company's Advice 16-03 contains no discussion regarding the customer notice requirements in OAR 860-036-0620. 26

Page 3 - STAFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS ST7/pir/#7872390

<sup>12</sup> OAR 860-001-0350(3).

Department of Justice 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301-4096 (503) 947-4520 / Fax: (503) 378-3784

- discussions in this filing, including agreed-upon rates for each schedule, and is not aware of any
- 2 other party to UW 166 having granted such permission in writing. As such, Roats' request in
- 3 Advice Filing 16-03 impermissibly relies on inadmissible, confidential settlement discussions
- 4 from UW 166 as the basis for arguing its proposed rates are fair, just and reasonable.
- 5 B. The Company's request is effectively moot in light of UW 166.
- Even absent the issues discussed above, Roats' filing is duplicative, inefficient, and does
- 7 not serve the principle of judicial economy in light of the Company's currently pending request
- 8 for a general rate revision in docket UW 166.
- 9 The Commission is statutorily bound to approve only those rates that it finds to be fair,
- 10 just and reasonable, 13 and must base its determination of just and reasonable rates on the record
- before it in ratemaking proceedings. <sup>14</sup> In order to determine whether Roats' proposed rates in
- 12 Advice Filing 16-03 are fair, just and reasonable, the Commission would need to suspend the
- 13 filing, for a period not to exceed nine months in accordance with ORS 757.215, so that it may
- 14 investigate the Company's proposed rates. If suspended for a period of nine months, as is typical
- 15 in general rate proceedings in order to build an evidentiary record, the suspension period would
- 16 go into August 2017, well after the Company's proposed January 1, 2017 effective date. Given
- 17 the Company's desire for rates effective as soon as possible, its Advice Filing 16-03 does not
- 18 serve its objective. Because the Commission is already bound to consider the reasonableness of
- 19 Roats' proposed rate increase in docket UW 166, which is on track to be resolved well before
- 20 August 2017, Staff finds considerations of judicial economy weigh in favor of addressing these
- 21 issues within UW 166.
- 22 Staff does agree with Roats that rate schedules are necessary for the Company's newly
- 23 acquired "standard" irrigation customers and domestic and irrigation customers in The Pines and
- 24 Crown Villa, as the Company has no tariff pursuant to which these customers may be charged

26 T3 ORS 757.210(1)(a).

25

<sup>14</sup> ORS 756.558(2).

1	upon taking service from the Company. How	wever, as discussed more fully above, the
2	Company's Advice Filing 16-03 does not pro	ovide sufficient evidence upon which the
3	Commission could conclude that the Compa	ny's proposed rates for those customers are fair, just
4	and reasonable.	
5	C. The Company's application for a t	ariff change on less than statutory notice is not
6	necessary in this case.	
7	Finally, Staff notes that the Company	's Advice Filing 16-03 contains an application for
8	approval of rates on less than statutory notice	e. ORS 757.220 provides the Commission with
9	discretion to allow rate changes to take effect	t, for good cause shown, upon less than 30 days'
10	notice to the Commission. In this case, Roat	s' Advice Filing 16-03 was filed on November 12,
11	2016, which is more than 30 days prior to the	e Company's requested January 1, 2017 rate-
12	effective date. As such, Roats' application f	or rates effective on less than statutory notice is not
13	needed.	
	III. Conclusion	
14	III.	Conclusion
14 15	2	Conclusion spectfully requests that the Commission dismiss
	For the reasons stated above, Staff re	
15	For the reasons stated above, Staff re	spectfully requests that the Commission dismiss ompany to address issues related to the Company's
15 16	For the reasons stated above, Staff re Roats Advice Filing 16-03, and direct the Co	spectfully requests that the Commission dismiss ompany to address issues related to the Company's thin docket UW 166.
15 16 17	For the reasons stated above, Staff re Roats Advice Filing 16-03, and direct the Co current request for a general rate revision with	spectfully requests that the Commission dismiss ompany to address issues related to the Company's thin docket UW 166.
15 16 17 18	For the reasons stated above, Staff re Roats Advice Filing 16-03, and direct the Co current request for a general rate revision with	spectfully requests that the Commission dismiss ompany to address issues related to the Company's thin docket UW 166. ber, 2016. Respectfully submitted, ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM
15 16 17 18 19	For the reasons stated above, Staff re Roats Advice Filing 16-03, and direct the Co current request for a general rate revision with	spectfully requests that the Commission dismiss ompany to address issues related to the Company's thin docket UW 166. ber, 2016. Respectfully submitted,
15 16 17 18 19 20	For the reasons stated above, Staff re Roats Advice Filing 16-03, and direct the Co current request for a general rate revision with	spectfully requests that the Commission dismiss ompany to address issues related to the Company's thin docket UW 166.  ber, 2016.  Respectfully submitted,  ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM Attorney General
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	For the reasons stated above, Staff re Roats Advice Filing 16-03, and direct the Co current request for a general rate revision with	spectfully requests that the Commission dismiss ompany to address issues related to the Company's thin docket UW 166.  ber, 2016.  Respectfully submitted,  ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM Attorney General  Sommer Moser, OSB # 105260 Assistant Attorney General
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	For the reasons stated above, Staff re Roats Advice Filing 16-03, and direct the Co current request for a general rate revision with	spectfully requests that the Commission dismiss ompany to address issues related to the Company's thin docket UW 166.  ber, 2016.  Respectfully submitted,  ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM Attorney General  Sommer Moser, OSB # 105260
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	For the reasons stated above, Staff re Roats Advice Filing 16-03, and direct the Co current request for a general rate revision with	spectfully requests that the Commission dismiss ompany to address issues related to the Company's thin docket UW 166.  ber, 2016.  Respectfully submitted,  ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM Attorney General  Sommer Moser, OSB # 105260 Assistant Attorney General Of Attorneys for Staff of the Public Utility