

LISA RACKNER Direct (503) 595-3925 *lisa@mcd-law.com*

April 5, 2013

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND U.S. MAIL

PUC Filing Center Public Utility Commission of Oregon PO Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308-2148

Attn: ALJ Grant

Re UM 1633 – Review Prehearing Conference Memo Language

Dear Judge Grant:

NW Natural Gas Company ("NW Natural" or the "Company") is writing in response to the ALJ Notice of Prehearing Conference dated March 27, 2013. In that Notice, you stated that, at the conference, you intended to propose that the investigation be divided into two phases as follows:

The first phase would address how the Commission should treat pension costs when setting rates on a going-forward basis. The second phase would address how the Commission should resolve requests by the utilities to recover pension costs incurred in the past.

The "Phase Two" proposal has caused some consternation, as we do not understand what issues would be included in that phase. To be clear, NW Natural's proposal (and we believe the proposals of other utilities) is entirely related to how the Commission should treat pension costs on a going-forward basis. Specifically, NW Natural will be asking to recover on a prospective basis (a) *future* pension expense through FAS 87; and (b) *future* costs to finance the Company's prepaid pension asset. Given that this request comprises the core of NW Natural's proposal, the Company would, by necessity, make this proposal in "Phase One."

NW Natural is aware that some parties have argued (in Docket UG 221 as well as at the workshop in this case) that the utilities' proposals constitute retroactive ratemaking, because they somehow relate to past pension expense, or seek to adjust past rates. NW Natural anticipates that these parties will have the opportunity to make these arguments and that the Commission will ultimately decide this issue after full consideration of the parties' positions.

PUC Filing Center Docket UM 1633 April 5, 2013 Page 2

However, we anticipate that they would need to raise these arguments in Phase One of the proceeding, in response to the utilities' proposals.

If your proposal to bifurcate the issues in this case would put the parties' retroactive ratemaking arguments off until a second phase of the proceeding, we believe that doing so would be problematic, as it would not allow the Commission or the parties to develop a full record on the arguments relating to the prospective treatment of pension costs. The proposal is also problematic because it could (a) imply that the ALJ has already decided that the utilities' proposals relate to *past* costs; and (b) deny the utilities an opportunity to present their proposals for recovery based on the prepaid pension asset.

NW Natural believes that your proposal to bifurcate the case was intended as a matter of procedure as opposed to substance. Unfortunately, that procedural step, if taken, could entirely prejudice the utilities' and parties' ability to present their cases, and the Commission's ability to truly ascertain the nature of the issues in this case. We believe that this approach of bifurcation therefore should not be adopted.

We appreciate your attention to this matter and look forward to further discussion at the time of the Prehearing Conference.

Sincerely,

Lisa Rackner Attorney for NW Natural

cc: Service List

1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2 I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document in Docket UM

3 1633 on the following named person(s) on the date indicated below by U.S.First Class and/or email

4 addressed to said person(s) at his or her last-known address(es) indicated below.

5	David J. Meyer	Patrick Ehrbar
G	Avista Corporation	Avista Utilities
6	David.meyer@avistacorp.com	Pat.ehrbar@avistacorp.com
7	Elizabeth Andrews	OPUC Dockets
	Avista Utilities	Citizens' Utility Board Of Oregon
8	Liz.andrews@avistacorp.com	dockets@oregoncub.org
9	G. Catriona McCracken	Bob Jenks
	Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
0	catriona@oregoncub.org	bob@oregoncub.org
2	Oregon Dockets	R. Bryce Dalley
11	Pacificorp, DBA Pacific Power	Pacific Power
2	oregondockets@pacificorp.com	bryce.dalley@pacificorp.com
	Sarah Wallace	Edward Finklea
13	Pacific Power	Northwest Industrial Gas Users
	Sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com	efinklea@nwigu.org
4		
	Jason W. Jones	Nicholas Cimmiyotti
15	PUC Staff— Department of Justice	Public Utility Commission of Oregon
	Jason.w.jones@state.or.us	Nicholas.cimiyotti@state.or.us
6	Dandy Dahlaran	Develoe Tingev
	Randy Dahlgren Portland General Electric	Douglas Tingey Portland General Electric
17	Pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com	doug.tingey@pgn.com
~	Pge.opuc.mings@pgn.com	doug.ungey@pgn.com
8	E-Filing	Mark R. Thompson
10	Northwest Natural	Northwest Natural
9	efiling@nwnatural.com	Mark.thompson@nwnatural.com
0	Tommy A. Brooks	Chad M. Stokes
	Cable Huston Benedict Haagensen &Lloyd	Cable Huston Benedict Haagensen &Lloyd
1	tbrooks@cablehuston.com	cstokes@cablehuston.com
	corocito consistenti de consistenti de consistenti de consistenti de consistenti de consistenti de consistenti	estance gous landetermeenn
2	Irion A. Sanger	S. Bradley Van Cleve
	Davison Van Cleve	Davison Van Cleve PC
3	ias@dvclaw.com	bvc@dvclaw.com

Vimla Mathi, Legal Assistant

Page 1 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

25

26

McDowell Rackner & Gibson PC 419 SW 11th Avenue, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97205