	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION		
,	OF OREGON		
	UM 1794		
	In the Matter of		
	PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER	STAFF RESPONSE TO MOTION TO SUSPEND	
	Investigation into Schedule 37 – Avoided Cost	PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE	
1	Purchases from Qualifying Facilities of 10,000 kW or Less.)	
ı	Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Staff) files this response in favor of		
ı	the motion to suspend the procedural schedule filed by the Community Renewable Energy		
	Association (CREA) and the Renewable Energy Coalition (REC) on November 28, 2016.		
	CREA and REC seek suspension of the procedural schedule in this docket pending the ruling of		
	the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) regarding their request to clarify the scope of this		
	proceeding (filed on November 23, 2016) and their requests for Commission certification of two		
	ALJ orders denying motions to compel certain discovery from PacifiCorp (filed on November		
	17, 2016 and November 30, 2016).		
	Staff agrees with CREA and REC that clarity on the scope of the issues presented in this		
	docket is important, but as explained below, not necessarily present. ¹		
ı	In Order No. 16-307, the Commission ordered "an expedited contested case proceeding		
ı	shall be opened to allow a more thorough vetting of the issues raised in [UM 1729] and possible		
	revision to Schedule 37 avoided cost prices on a prospective basis." The issues raised in Docket		
	No. UM 1729 regarding PacifiCorp's post-2015 IRP acknowledgment avoided cost filing include		
	at least two issues that appear to be precluded under the ALJ's orders denying the motions to		
	compel filed by CREA and REC.		

Page 1 - STAFF RESPONSE TO MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

1	The first apparently-precluded issue is one raised by CREA and REC that "PacifiCorp's	
2	resource sufficiency-deficiency demarcation for new thermal resources was inaccurate in its	
3	2015 IRP, and is hopelessly out of date considering circumstances announced after the	
4	Company's 2015 IRP was acknowledged." CREA and REC asserted that the 2028 resource	
5	deficiency period start date is arbitrary and unreasonable because the Company "1) has not	
6	conducted adequate analysis to determine if the wholesale market has sufficient depth to meet	
7	PacifiCorp's summer peak until PacifiCorp's proposed resource sufficiency-deficiency	
8	demarcation (2028, which is about 12 years); 2) recently announced major coal plant retirements	
9	(the 337 MW Naughton 3 in 2018, and the 387 MW Cholla 4 in 2025); 3) possible need to	
10	accelerate coal plant retirements because of the Clean Power Plan and SB 1547 requirement to	
l 1	move coal costs from Oregon rates; and 4) possible need to replace coal plants with flexible gas	
12	plants to integrate the increased need for renewable resources under SB 1547."4	
13	The second issue raised in UM 1729 but possibly precluded under the ALJ's November	
14	18, 2016 order denying discovery is also an issue by CREA and REC. This issue concerns the	
15	reasonableness of the cost and performance data inputs for PacifiCorp's renewable proxy	
16	resource. ⁵ Staff urged the Commission to reject the proposed inputs on the ground they were	
17	based on information in PacifiCorp's unacknowledged 2015 IRP Update rather than information	
8	in PacifiCorp's 2015 acknowledged IRP. However, CREA and REC questioned the	
9	reasonableness of the inputs themselves, i.e., whether it is reasonable to assume a 35 percent	
20	capacity factor for an Oregon wind farm without also assuming some avoided transmission costs,	
21	etc. Given that these issues were raised in UM 1729, Staff assumed they could be litigated in the	
22	further proceedings ordered by the Commission in Order No. 16-307.	
23	³ Comments of the Community Renewable Energy Association and Renewable Energy Coalition	

at 20 (July 1, 2016) (emphasis added). 24

⁴ Comments of the Community Renewable Energy Association and Renewable Energy Coalition 20-21 (July 1, 2016). 25

⁵ Comments of the Community Renewable Energy Association and Renewable Energy Coalition 12. (July 1, 2016). 26

STAFF RESPONSE TO MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

1	It is not clear from the ALJ's November 2 and November 18, 2016 orders denying the	
2	motions to compel discovery filed by CREA and REC that the issues described above will be	
3	fully litigated in this proceeding. Accordingly, Staff agrees that suspension of the procedural	
4	schedule is appropriate to allow the Commission opportunity to certify the ALJ's rulings and fo	
5	the ALJ to clarify the scope of this proceeding.	
6	CONCLUSION	
7	Staff recommends that the ALJ grant the request to suspend the procedural schedule.	
8		
9	DATED this 1 st day of December 2016.	
10	N	Respectfully submitted,
11		ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM
12	*	Attorney General
13		Mike home for
14		Stephanie S. Andrus, #92512 Senior Assistant Attorney General
15		Of Attorneys for Staff of the Public Utility
16	, a	Commission of Oregon
17	a a	
18		
19		
20	.*	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Page 3 - STAFF RESPONSE TO MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE