

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

**OF OREGON**

**UM 1673**

In the Matter of )  
 )  
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION ) COMMENTS OF OREGON  
OF OREGON ) DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
Report to the Legislature on ) ON DRAFT REPORT  
Effectiveness of Incentive Programs for )  
Solar Photovoltaic Energy )

**Introduction**

The Oregon Department of Energy (ODOE) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Draft Report to the Legislative Assembly – Investigation into the Effectiveness of Solar Programs in Oregon dated May 8, 2014. The draft report was prepared under Docket No. UM 1673 to implement 2013 House Bill 2893. Our comments focus on six issues that we recommend be addressed in the final report.

**1. Valuation of potential net metering cost shifting is not appropriate**

The report asserts definitively that net metering results in cost shifting from participating to non-participating customers.<sup>1</sup> It attributes the cost shifting to fixed costs that are incurred, but not paid, by participating customers whose solar generation reduces their purchased electricity, leaving non-participants to pay a higher share of fixed costs. The draft

---

<sup>1</sup> “These savings [on participants’ electric bills] ... do result in a cost shift to non-participating ratepayers.” Draft Report at 28.

report estimates the value of these unrecovered fixed costs at approximately \$0.06/kWh<sup>2</sup> and includes that value in the ratepayer costs summarized in Table A.2.4.

The department acknowledges that there are unrecovered fixed costs associated with net metering, and finds the discussion of fixed costs in Section VII useful to highlight that fixed costs are inherent in the retail energy rate not paid by net metering customers for self-generated energy. However, it is premature to provide a cost estimate for unrecovered fixed costs of \$0.06/kWh without a quantitative analysis. More importantly, unrecovered fixed costs are not the appropriate measure of potential cost shifting. The appropriate measure of the cost of net metering borne by non-participating customers is the value paid by the utility for the solar energy (the customer's retail energy rate) minus the value received by the utility from the solar energy (the solar resource value).

The report should represent the cost of net metering to non-participating customers as the retail energy rate minus the solar resource value. This approach is aligned with informal comments by both PacifiCorp and Portland General Electric (PGE) earlier in this docket. PacifiCorp stated that "Benefits of the incentive programs can be quantified as the value less the cost."<sup>3</sup> PGE stated that "it is appropriate for utility customers to pay a fair value for the energy and associated energy benefits received from distributed generation because those benefits accrue to the utility system."<sup>4</sup> This approach is also consistent with the draft report, which states "the utility's (and ratepayers') cost is the retail rate minus the avoided cost,"<sup>5</sup> where "avoided cost" is described as representing the solar resource value in Table A.2.2.

---

<sup>2</sup> Draft Report, Appendix 2, in section titled "Non Participant (Utility Ratepayers)."

<sup>3</sup> PacifiCorp Comments in UM 1673, December 19, 2013, at 7.

<sup>4</sup> PGE Comments in UM 1673, December 19, 2013, at 2.

<sup>5</sup> Draft Report, Appendix 2, in section titled "Benefits of Incentive Programs."

The estimated solar resource value used in the draft report is \$0.067/kWh, based on PGE's solar resource value determined using the standard avoided cost methodology in compliance with Order No. 12-136.<sup>6</sup>

Using this approach, for the purpose of this report, the cost of net metering to non-participating customers would be \$0.11/kWh retail energy rate<sup>7</sup> minus the estimated \$0.067/kWh solar resource value, or \$0.043/kWh. In Table A.2.4, this estimated net metering cost of \$0.043/kWh would replace the Fixed Cost values for the "ETO+RETC" and "ETO+BETC" scenarios, and would be added to the Incentive Cost to produce the total cost to ratepayers of net metered solar projects.

The report should further note that the estimated net metering cost might be reduced if distribution system cost savings and the value of avoided fuel price volatility were quantified and included in the solar resource value.<sup>8</sup>

## **2. Net metering penetration exceeds statutory threshold**

The report states, "There is no limit on the cumulative generating capacity of net metering systems."<sup>9</sup> While this statement is true under ORS 757.300, it could mislead the legislature about the current status of net metering in Oregon. The report should inform the legislature that the net metering penetration in both Portland General Electric and PacifiCorp's networks has exceeded the statutory threshold of 0.5 percent, above which the Commission has the authority to limit the utilities' obligation to continue offering net metering to new customer-generators. Although there is no hard cap on net metering, the

---

<sup>6</sup> Draft Report, Appendix 2, Note under Table A.2.2

<sup>7</sup> This is the estimated retail energy rate used in the Draft Report at 35.

<sup>8</sup> ORS 757.360(2)(b)(5) includes these two elements as part of the solar resource value.

<sup>9</sup> Draft Report at 6.

current situation may create uncertainty in the market about the future potential of net metering.

### **3. Large project costs should be represented separately**

Tables 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 should include costs of projects installed as Qualifying Facilities (QFs) under PURPA and under the Solar Capacity Standard. Further, it is unclear whether or not the QF and Solar Capacity Standard projects, which all received Energy Trust of Oregon (ETO) and Business Energy Tax Credit (BETC) incentives, are included in the ETO and BETC cost figures. Because the QF and Solar Capacity Standard projects are large enough to enjoy economies of scale and do not utilize net metering, they should be represented separately from the net metering programs so as not to skew the net metering data. They should, however, be included in the overall costs of the ETO and BETC programs. Department staff is ready to support PUC Staff in parsing out the large projects and representing their costs separately.

### **4. Commercial and third party projects benefit from accelerated depreciation**

The draft report should mention the availability of accelerated depreciation of solar project investments under the Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery System as a federal tax incentive. Accelerated depreciation can add significant financial benefit to businesses investing in solar projects, including third-party owners of residential systems. Businesses may receive a federal tax deduction for depreciation of the capital cost of a solar project based on a 5-year depreciation schedule. Prior to 2014, a 50 percent bonus depreciation was available in the first year of the 5-year depreciation schedule. The net present value of the accelerated depreciation to the project investor can be up to 25 percent of project cost,

depending on the investor's corporate tax rate and discount rate. This depreciation benefit is not available to individual taxpayers (e.g. homeowners).

### **5. Opportunity to leverage federal incentives through 2016**

The draft report correctly describes the December 31, 2016, expiration of the federal investment tax credit (ITC) for individual taxpayers and reduction of the federal ITC from 30 percent to 10 percent for businesses investing in solar projects.<sup>10</sup> The report should highlight the state's near-term opportunity to leverage the availability of the federal ITC over the next two and a half years before its expiration/reduction. If the federal ITC expires/reduces as scheduled after 2016, the cost to Oregon taxpayers and ratepayers to achieve the same volume of solar capacity under the state's solar incentive programs will increase.

### **6. Clarify that BETC program has ended**

In describing solar projects that received a Business Energy Tax Credit (BETC), the report should clearly state that the BETC program has ended and no solar projects received a BETC after 2012. In particular, the report should clarify that the BETC program costs represented in tables 6.1 and 6.2 are from 2012, not 2013, because the BETC program was no longer active in 2013.

This concludes the department's comments.

Dated this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2014.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kacia Brockman  
Energy Policy Analyst  
Oregon Department of Energy

cc: UM 1673 service list

---

<sup>10</sup> Draft report at 34.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 23, 2014, I served the Oregon Department of Energy's comments on the draft report to the legislature on effectiveness of solar photovoltaic programs to all parties in UM 1673 by delivering a copy by electronic mail only, as all parties of the service list have waived paper service.

**W=Waive Paper service**    **C=Confidential**  
**HC=Highly Confidential**

<b>W</b>	<b>*OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY</b>	
	KACIA BROCKMAN SENIOR ENERGY POLICY ANALYST	625 MARION ST NE SALEM OR 97301-3737 kacia.brockman@state.or.us
	ROBERT DELMAR ENERGY ANALYST	625 MARION STREET NE SALEM OR 97301-3737 robert.delmar@state.or.us
<b>W</b>	<b>*OREGON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE</b>	
	RENEE M FRANCE SENIOR ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL	NATURAL RESOURCES SECTION 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 renee.m.france@doj.state.or.us
<b>W</b>	<b>CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT HAAGENSEN &amp; LLOYD LLP</b>	
	RICHARD LORENZ	1001 SW FIFTH AVE - STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97204-1136 rlorenz@cablehuston.com
	CHAD M STOKES	1001 SW 5TH - STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97204-1136 cstokes@cablehuston.com
<b>W</b>	<b>CHRIS ROBERTSON &amp; ASSOCIATES, LLC</b>	
	CHRIS ROBERTSON	3707 NE 16TH AVE PORTLAND OR 97212 cnrobertson@comcast.net
<b>W</b>	<b>CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON</b>	

	OPUC DOCKETS	610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 dockets@oregoncub.org
	ROBERT JENKS	610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 bob@oregoncub.org
	G. CATRIONA MCCrackEN	610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 catriona@oregoncub.org
<b>W</b>	<b>CITY OF PORTLAND</b>	
	FRANCO LUCCHIN	1221 SW 4TH AVE ROOM 430 PORTLAND OR 97204 franco.lucchin@portlandoregon.gov
	JAIMES VALDEZ	1900 SW 4TH AVE ROOM 7100 PORTLAND OR 97201 jaimes.valdez@portlandoregon.gov
<b>W</b>	<b>ENERGY TRUST OF OREGON</b>	
	DEBBIE GOLDBERG MENASHE	421 SW OAK ST, STE. 300 PORTLAND OR 97204 debbie.goldbergmenashe@energytrust.org
	THAD ROTH	421 SW OAK STE 300 PORTLAND OR 97204 thad.roth@energytrust.org
<b>W</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENT OREGON</b>	
	CHARLIE FISHER	1536 SE 11TH AVE STE B PORTLAND OR 97214 charlie@environmentoregon.org
	SARAH HIGGINBOTHAM	1536 SE 11TH AVE STE B PORTLAND OR 97214 sarah@environmentoregon.org
<b>W</b>	<b>IDAHO POWER COMPANY</b>	
	REGULATORY DOCKETS	PO BOX 70 BOISE ID 83707-0070 dockets@idahopower.com

	JULIA HILTON	PO BOX 70 BOISE ID 83707-0070 jhilton@idahopower.com
<b>W</b>	<b>MCDOWELL RACKNER &amp; GIBSON PC</b>  LISA F RACKNER	419 SW 11TH AVE., SUITE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 dockets@mcd-law.com
<b>W</b>	<b>NW &amp; INTERMOUNTAIN POWER PRODUCERS COALITION</b>  ROBERT D KAHN	PO BOX 504 MERCER ISLAND WA 98040 rkahn@nippc.org
<b>W</b>	<b>NW ENERGY COALITION</b>  WENDY GERLITZ	1205 SE FLAVEL PORTLAND OR 97202 wendy@nwenergy.org
<b>W</b>	<b>OBSIDIAN RENEWABLES</b>  LAURIE HUTCHINSON	5 CENTERPOINTE DR STE 590 LAKE OSWEGO OR 97035 lhutchinson@obsidianrenewables.com
<b>W</b>	<b>OBSIDIAN RENEWABLES, LLC</b>  DAVID BROWN	5 CENTERPOINT DR, STE 590 LAKE OSWEGO OR 97035 dbrown@obsidianfinance.com
<b>W</b>	<b>OREGONIANS FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY POLICY</b>  KATHLEEN NEWMAN	1553 NE GREENSWORD DR HILLSBORO OR 97214 k.a.newman@frontier.com
	MARK PETE PENGILLY	PO BOX 10221 PORTLAND OR 97296 mpengilly@gmail.com
<b>W</b>	<b>PACIFIC POWER</b>  GARY TAWWATER	825 NE MULTNOMAH STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97232 gary.tawwater@pacificcorp.com



<b>W</b>	<b>PACIFICORP</b> ETTA LOCKEY	825 NE MULTNOMAH ST., STE 1800 PORTLAND OR 97232 etta.lockey@pacificorp.com
<b>W</b>	<b>PACIFICORP, DBA PACIFIC POWER</b> OREGON DOCKETS	825 NE MULTNOMAH ST, STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97232 oregondockets@pacificorp.com
<b>W</b>	<b>PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC</b> JAY TINKER	121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC-0702 PORTLAND OR 97204 pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com
<b>W</b>	<b>PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY</b> J RICHARD GEORGE	121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC1301 PORTLAND OR 97204 richard.george@pgn.com
<b>W</b>	<b>PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON</b> ADAM BLESS	PO BOX 1088 SALEM OR 97308-1088 adam.bless@state.or.us
<b>W</b>	<b>RENEWABLE NORTHWEST</b> RENEWABLE NW DOCKETS	421 SW 6TH AVE., STE. 1125 PORTLAND OR 97204 dockets@renewablenw.org
	MEGAN DECKER	421 SW 6TH AVE #1125 PORTLAND OR 97204-1629 megan@renewablenw.org
<b>W</b>	<b>RENEWABLE NORTHWEST PROJECT</b> MICHAEL O'BRIEN	421 SW 6TH AVENUE #1125 PORTLAND OR 97204 michael@rnp.org
<b>W</b>	<b>RICHARDSON ADAMS, PLLC</b>	

GREGORY M. ADAMS

PO BOX 7218  
BOISE ID 83702  
greg@richardsonadams.com

PETER J RICHARDSON

PO BOX 7218  
BOISE ID 83707  
peter@richardsonadams.com

**W SIERRA CLUB**

RHETT LAWRENCE

1821 SE ANKENY ST  
PORTLAND OR 97214  
rhett.lawrence@sierraclub.org

BRIAN PASKO

1821 SE ANKENY ST  
PORTLAND OR 97214  
brian.pasko@sierraclub.org

**W THE ALLIANCE FOR SOLAR CHOICE**

ANNE SMART

18595 MARKET ST 29TH FL  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105  
anne@allianceforsolarchoice.com

Dated this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May, 2014.

/s/ Kacia Brockman

Kacia Brockman  
Energy Policy Analyst  
Oregon Department of Energy