

October 23, 2009

#### Via Electronic Filing and U.S. Mail

Oregon Public Utility Commission Attention: Filing Center 550 Capitol Street NE, #215 PO Box 2148 Salem OR 97308-2148

Re: UM 1396 – INVESTIGATION INTO DETERMINATION OF RESOURCE SUFFICIENCY PURSUANT TO ORDER NO. 06-538

Attention Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing in UM 1396 are an original and five copies of:

Reply Comments of Portland General Electric Company Regarding the September 29, 2009 Proposed Decision Outline.

This document is being filed by electronic mail with the Filing Center. An extra copy of the cover letter is enclosed. Please date stamp the extra copy and return to me in the envelope provided. This document is being served upon the UM 1396 and the UM 1129 service lists.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

J. Richard George

Assistant General Counsel

JRG:smc

cc: Service List-UM 1396, UM 1129

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

## **OF OREGON**

## **UM 1396**

)
) REPLY COMMENTS OF ) PORTLAND GENERAL ) ELECTRIC COMPANY ) REGARDING
) SEPTEMBER 29, 2009 ) PROPOSED DECISION OUTLINE
ly to the October 15 comments made
on the "Decision Outline" circulated by
n the Decision Outline identified issues
and avoided cost calculation
or instance, while generally supporting
es, Staff states (regarding the process of
elieves that parties will need to work
an be implemented." Staff Comments
ner issues. In addition, Renewable
ket and states, "REC is concerned that
reply comments will not provide for an
ure and implementing details of these
tion at 5-6. In their comments,

1 PacifiCorp and Idaho Power state that, "...a full evidentiary record would be essential to

2 a full investigation of the proposal. Prior to developing a full evidentiary record on this

3 proposal, however, the parties would need more direction from the Commission on the

4 framework proposed by the Commission and more specificity on the proposals in the

Decision Outline." Initial Comments of PacifiCorp and Idaho Power at 2. PGE supports

the particular approach outlined by PacifiCorp and Idaho Power.

Staff comments support the Decision Outline's provision to allow renewable QFs to choose among CCCT or renewable resource avoided cost streams. Staff Comments at 5. PGE is concerned that the concept of selectable avoided cost options is inconsistent with the requirement that QFs receive avoided costs based on various factors including availability and dispatchability. The principle of avoided costs means that the power supplied by a QF allows the utility to avoid certain costs. A QF with specific power supply characteristics should not be subject to or allowed to shop for avoided cost prices that do not match its power supply commitments. The Commission must consider and determine whether giving QFs this choice is a violation of PURPA. We also point out that these avoided cost pricing options may significantly increase the complexity of the avoided cost process.

Parties also need to be aware of the clear link between the start of the deficiency period and the basis for avoided costs established during the deficiency period. Staff appears to disregard this link; for example, "Staff also recommends that the Commission limit the offering of RPS-eligible avoided cost rates to utilities that have not achieved the RPS target for 2025." Staff Comments at 3. Establishing a limit is desirable. However, if the start of the deficiency period is determined by a CCCT as a major resource

addition, then it does not make sense to use avoided cost pricing based on an RPS-

2 eligible resource. The utility may be in such a position that it does not need additional

RPS resources for some years to come. As PGE established in the previous comments,

4 there should be a direct relationship between the resource used to determine the start of

the deficiency period, and the avoided cost calculation in the deficiency period.

ICNU proposes a change to the Decision Outline such that "The resource sufficiency prices for all QFs should be based on a weighted average of the resources the utilities are actually planning to build or acquire during this time period." Initial Comments of ICNU at 9. This proposal appears to ignore the fact that QF prices are the avoided costs to the utility. The market price of energy is the appropriate incremental cost to the utility during the sufficiency period.

ICNU also suggests using the cost of the peaker resource in the case where the peaking resource is the next major resource acquisition. The problem with this suggestion is that QFs may not actually provide capacity. In most cases, the utility does not have the ability to dispatch power from the QF, as PacifiCorp and Idaho Power pointed out. Initial Comments of PacifiCorp and Idaho Power at 7. PGE agrees that the record needs to be more fully developed on this issue.

ICNU suggests another problematic modification to the Decision Outline; specifically, "ICNU supports the ability to update avoided costs with information available from RFPs and market conditions if certain limitations are imposed upon the utilities ability to unilaterally update their avoided costs. If the avoided costs are updated, utilities should only be allowed to update their avoided costs at well established specific times. Non-utility parties (including Staff), in contrast, should have the ability to request that avoided costs be updated at different times in response to information obtained in an RFP or significant market

changes." Initial Comments of ICNU at 14. There are two major problems with this 1 2 suggestion. First, the utility cannot unilaterally update avoided costs. It can file proposed 3 avoided costs, but the Commission approves them. Second, it is inequitable to suggest that 4 other parties can request that avoided costs be updated at any time, while utilities have no 5 ability to updated avoided costs, except at scheduled times. It is noteworthy that the utilities 6 do not have a history of filing revisions to avoided costs outside of the regularly scheduled 7 intervals. 8 Finally, both ICNU and Biomass One propose decreasing the threshold for the 9 definition of a major resource acquisition. However, as proposed in the Decision Outline, 10 the definition of a major resource acquisition would come from the competitive bidding 11 rules. PGE disagrees with ICNU and Biomass One on this issue and supports the 12 definition from the competitive bidding rules, which is better aligned with the Integrated 13 Resource Plan (IRP). 14 Throughout this process, PGE has supported the use of the IRP as the reference point for the resource sufficiency and deficiency period determination. The IRP process 15 16 is subject to public vetting and extensive Commission review. The IRP should be the basis to determine resource sufficiency and deficiency, regardless of the specific method 17 18 used. 19 PGE has the same observations and questions regarding the Decision Outline that were established in our October 15<sup>th</sup> comments. The Decision Outline offers much more 20 21 complicated procedures than those established in UM 1129. It also reopens the 22 methodology for the calculation of avoided costs. There are additional issues to consider 23 with regard to the calculation of avoided cost. PGE would support holding a workshop to

24

clarify the goals and objectives of the Decision Outline and perhaps establish an issues

- list. Subsequently, a prehearing conference with dates and activities would enable parties
- 2 to vet the issues proposed in the Decision Outline.

DATED this 23rd day of OCTOBER, 2009

Respectfully Submitted,

Richard George, OSB # 974691

Assistant General Counsel

Portland General Electric Company

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day caused Portland General Electric Company's Reply Comments in docket UM 1396, to be served by electronic mail to those parties whose email addresses appear on the attached service list and UM 1129 service list, and by First Class US Mail, postage prepaid and properly addressed, to those parties on the attached service list who have not waived paper service.

Dated at Portland, Oregon, this 23rd day of October, 2009.

J. Richard George

Assistant General Counsel, OSB # 974691

Portland General Electric Company

121 SW Salmon St. 1WTC 1301

Portland, OR 97204

Telephone: (503) 464-7611 Facsimile: (503)464-2200 richard.george@pgn.com

# UM 1396 – SERVICE LIST – 10/23/09

# \*Waived Paper Service

warved raper service	
Department of Justice	Ed Durrenberger
Michael T Weirich *	Oregon Public Utility Commission
michael.weirich@state.or.us	PO Box 2148
	Salem OR 97308-2148
	ed.durrenberger@state.or.us
Pacific Power Oregon Dockets *	Citizens Utility Board of Oregon
oregondockets@papcificorp.com	G. Catriona McCraken *
	catriona@oregoncub.org
PacificCorp	Citizens Utility Board of Oregon
Jordon White *	Bob Jenks *
jordon.white@pacificorp.com	bob@OregonCUB.org
Idaho Power Company	Idaho Power Company
Christa Bearry *	Randy Allphin *
cbearry@idahopower.com	rallphin@idahopower.com
Idaho Power Company	Idaho Power Company
Michael Youngblood *	Barton Kline *
myoungblood@idahopower.com	bkline@idahopower.com
McDowell & Rackner, PC	McDowell & Rackner, PC
Lisa F. Rackner *	Wendy McIndoo *
lisa@mcd-law.com	wendy@mcd-law.com

Portland General Electric	Davison Van Cleve
J. Richard George	Melinda Davison
Assistant General Counsel	Irion A. Sanger
121 SW Salmon 1WTC0702	333 SW Taylor, Ste 400
Portland, OR 97204	Portland, OR 96204
richard.george@pgn.com	ias@dvclaw.com
Community Renewable Energy Association	Annala, Carey, Baker PC
Paul R. Woodin *	Will K. Carey *
pwoodin@communityrenewables.org	wcarey@hoodriverattorneys.com
Department of Justice	Oregon Department of Energy
Janet L. Prewitt *	Vijay A. Satyal *
janet.prewitt@doj.state.or.us	vijay.a.satyal@state.or.us
Richardson & O'Leary	Oregon Department of Energy
Peter J. Richardson *	Jeff Keto *
peter@richardsonandoleary.com	jeff.s.keto@state.or.us
Oregon Department of Energy	Oregon Department of Energy
Robin Straughn *	Kip Pheil *
robin.straughan@state.or.us	kip.pheil@state.or.us
RFI Consulting	Portland General Electric
Randall J Falkenberg	Randall Dahlgren
PMB362	Rates & Regulatory Affairs
8343 Roswell Rd.	121 SW Salmon 1WTC0702
Sandy Springs, GA 30350	Portland, OR 97204
consultrfi@aol.com	pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com
Thomas Nelson	jravenesanmarcos@yahoo.com *
nelson@thnelson.com *	
Biomass One, L.P.	
dlokting@stollberne.com *	

\*Waived Paper Service UM 1129 – Service List – 10/23/09

*Waived Paper Service UM 1129 – Service	ervice List – 10/23/09
Department Of Justice	Ascentergy Corp
Janet L Prewitt	Bruce Craig
Assistant Ag	24900 Pitkin Rd. Ste 325
Natural Resources Section	Spring Tx 77386-1942
1162 Salem Court St Ne	beraig@asc-co.com
Salem Or 97301	
janet.prewitt@doj.state.or.us	
Ben Johnson Associates	Cable Huston Benedict Et Al
Don Reading	Thomas M Grim*
6070 Hill Road	Attorney
Boise Id 83703	1001 Sw Fifth Ave Ste 2000
dreading@mindspring.com	Portland Or 97204-1136
	tgrim@cablehuston.com
Central Oregon Irrigation District	Citizens' Utility Board Of Oregon
Steven C Johnson	Lowrey R Brown*
District Manager	Utility Analyst
1055 Sw Lake Ct	610 Sw Broadway - Ste 308
Redmond Or 97756	Portland Or 97205
stevej@coid.org	lowrey@oregoncub.org
Citizens' Utility Board Of Oregon	Columbia Energy Partners
Jason Eisdorfer*	Chris Crowley
Former Energy Program Director	317 Columbia St
610 Sw Broadway Ste 308	Vancouver Wa 98660
Portland Or 97205	ccrowley@columbiaenergypartners.com
dockets@oregoncub.org	
Community Renewable Energy	Crossborder Energy
Association	R Thomas Beach
Paul R Woodin	2560 Ninth St - Ste 213a
Executive Director	Berkeley Ca 94710-2557
1113 Kelly Ave	tomb@crossborderenergy.com
The Dalles Or 97058	
pwoodin@communityrenewables.org	
D R Johnson Lumber Company	Davison Van Cleve
Randy Crocket*	Irion A Sanger *
Chief Financial Officer	Associate Attorney
Po Box 66	333 Sw Taylor - Ste 400
Riddle Or 97469	Portland Or 97204
randyc@drjlumber.com	ias@dvclaw.com
Davison Van Cleve Pc	Department Of Justice
S Bradley Van Cleve *	Michael T Weirich
333 Sw Taylor - Ste 400	Assistant Attorney General
Portland Or 97204	Regulated Utility & Business Section
mail@dvclaw.com	1162 Court St Ne
	Salem Or 97301-4096
	michael.weirich@doj.state.or.us

Douglas County Forest Products	Hurley Lynch & Re Pc
Mick Baranko	Elizabeth Dickson
Controller	747 Sw Millview Way
398 Dfel Rio Rd	Bend Or 97702
Roseburg Or 97470	eadickson@hlr-law.com
mick@dcfp.com	<u>CadickSon(c)</u> in law.com
Idaho Power Company	Idaho Power Company
Randy Allphin*	Christa Bearry*
Po Box 70	Po Box 70
Boise Id 83707-0070	Boise Id 83707-0070
	cbearry@idahopower.com
rallphin@idahopower.com	Daho Power Company
Idaho Power Company	John Gale*
Karl Bokenkamp*	Vp - Regulatory Affairs
General Manager-Power Supply	Po Box 70
Planning Page 70	Boise Id 83707-0070
Po Box 70	
Boise Id 83707-0070	rgale@idahopower.com
kbokenkamp@idahopower.com	Lists Davier Commons
Idaho Power Company	Idaho Power Company
Barton L Kline*	Michael Youngblood*
Senior Attorney	Senior Pricing Analyst
Po Box 70	Po Box 70
Boise Id 83707-0070	Boise Id 83707-0070
bkline@idahopower.com	myoungblood@idahopower.com
J R Simplot Company	Kafoury & Mcdougal
David Hawk	Linda K Williams
Po Box 27	Attorney At Law
Boise Id 83707	10266 Sw Lancaster Rd
david.hawk@simplot.com	Portland Or 97219-6305
	linda@lindawilliams.net
Mcdowell & Rackner Pc	Mcdowell & Rackner Pc
Wendy Mcindoo*	Lisa F Rackner
Office Manager	Attorney
520 Sw 6th Ave Ste 830	520 Sw Sixth Avenue Ste 830
Portland Or 97204	Portland Or 97204
wendy@mcd-law.com	lisa@mcd-law.com
Middlefork Irrigation District	Oregon Department Of Energy
Craig Dehart	Carel De Winkel
Po Box 291	625 Marion Street Ne
Parkdale Or 97041	Salem Or 97301
mfidcraig@embarqmail.com	carel.dewinkel@state.or.us

Oregon Windfarms Llc Glenn Ikemoto Principal G72 Blair Avenue Piedmont Ca 94611 glenni@pacbell.net Pacific Power & Light Michelle R Mishoe* Legal Counsel 825 Ne Multnomah Ste 1800 Portland Or 97232 michelle.mishoe@pacificorp.com
Principal Legal Counsel 672 Blair Avenue 825 Ne Multnomah Ste 1800 Piedmont Ca 94611 Portland Or 97232 glenni@pacbell.net michelle.mishoe@pacificorp.com
672 Blair Avenue Piedmont Ca 94611 glenni@pacbell.net  825 Ne Multnomah Ste 1800 Portland Or 97232 michelle.mishoe@pacificorp.com
Piedmont Ca 94611 Portland Or 97232 michelle.mishoe@pacificorp.com
glenni@pacbell.net michelle.mishoe@pacificorp.com
Pacificary
Pacificorp Data Request Response Center* Pacificorp Mark Tallman*
Data Request Response Center*  825 Ne Multnomah St, Ste 2000  Mark Tallman*  Managing Director
Portland Or 97232 Trading
datarequest@pacificorp.com  825 Multnomah Ste 800 Portland Or 97232-2153
Pacificacy Oragon Docksts  Pacificacy Oragon Docksts  Partland Concrete Floating
Pacificorp Oregon Dockets Portland General Electric Company
Oregon Dockets*  Company  L Bishard Coarse
825 Ne Multnomah St  J Richard George 121 See Salman St Luste 1201
Ste 2000 121 Sw Salmon St 1wtc1301
Portland Or 97232 Portland Or 97204
oregondockets@pacificorp.com richard.george@pgn.com
Public Utility Commission Of Oregon Richardson & O'leary Pllc
Ed Busch Peter J Richardson
Po Box 2148 Po Box 7218 Po Box 7217
Salem Or 97308-2148 Boise Id 83707
ed.busch@state.or.us peter@richardsonandoleary.com
Symbiotics Llc Vulcan Power Company
Brian Cole Mark Albert
Po Box 1088 345 Sw Cyber Dr Ste 103
Baker City Or 97814 Bend Or 97702-1045
bc@orbisgroup.org malbert@vulcanpower.com
Vulcan Power Company Weyerhaeuser
Mark Albert Seth Hooper
775 Sw Bonnett Way Ste 210 Mail Stop Ch1 K32
Bend Or 97702-2080 Po Box 9777
Malbert@Vulcanpower.Com Federal Way Wa 98063-9777
Seth.Hooper@Weyerhaeuser.Com
Weyerhaeuser Company
Alan Meyer
698 12th St - Ste 220
Salem Or 97301-4010
Alan.Meyer@Weyerhaeuser.Com