

Qwest

421 Southwest Oak Street Suite 810 Portland, Oregon 97204 Telephone: 503-242-5420 Facsimile: 503-242-8589 e-mail: carla.butler@qwest.com

Carla M. Butler Lead Paralegal

February 7, 2008

Frances Nichols Anglin Oregon Public Utility Commission 550 Capitol St., NE Suite 215 Salem, OR 97301

Re: UM 1354

Dear Ms. Nichols Anglin:

Enclosed for filing in the above entitled matter please find an original and (5) copies of Qwest Corporation's Reply to Staff's Response to Joint Motion to Dismiss, along with a certificate of service.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to give me a call.

Sincerely,

Carla M. Butler

CMB:

Enclosure

L:\Oregon\Executive\Duarte\UM 1354 (Price Plan)\PUC Transmittal Ltr.doc

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON			
UM 1354			
In the Matter of the Petition of QWEST CORPORATION for Approval of a Price Plan Pursuant to ORS 759.255	QWEST CORPORATION'S REPLY TO STAFF'S RESPONSE TO JOINT MOTION TO DISMISS		
Pursuant to Administrative Law Judge	e Allan Arlow's Prehearing Conference Report of		
December 17, 2007, petitioner Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") respectfully replies to Staff's			
January 31, 2008 Response to the Joint Motion to Dismiss filed on January 10, 2008.			
Ţ	REPLY		
First, Qwest agrees with Staff that Qwest has the authority to opt out of price cap			
regulation under ORS 759.410 if the Commission approves another price plan under ORS			
759.255. As Staff noted, a plain reading of ORS 759.405 et seq. allows Qwest to opt out of price			
cap regulation under ORS 759.410 if the Con	nmission approves a different plan under ORS		
759.255. Thus, as Staff noted, the Intervenors' attempts to insert a provision into the statutory			
scheme that states that once a carrier elects price cap regulation under ORS 759.410, it can never			
opt out, is without support. As Qwest has no	sted, and Staff agrees, the statutes at issue only		
provide that once Qwest has elected price cap	p regulation under ORS 759.410, it is subject to		
other provisions of that same statutory scheme	ne, but any attempt to insert a provision making that		
election unchangeable or irrevocable would impermissibly insert terms that are not included.			
Qwest also agrees with Staff that the	unambiguous terms of ORS 759.410(7) make clear		
hat, while Qwest may seek deregulation of a	my telecommunications service, nothing in that		
ubsection implies or suggests deregulation is	s the only alternative for Qwest to be relieved of the		
price caps under ORS 759.410. Qwest further agrees with Staff that nothing in the Commission			
orders that the Intervenors cited support their	argument that Qwest cannot opt out of price cap		

regulation under ORS 759.410 if the Commission approves a price plan under ORS 759.255.

25

26

In addition, with respect to the Intervenors' argument that what Qwest is really seeking in
this docket is "deregulation," Qwest agrees with Staff that any consideration about whether some
of the services at issue in Qwest's proposed plan would somehow be the equivalent of
deregulation is a matter best resolved on the merits within the docket, and not by a motion to
dismiss. However, Qwest does not agree with any suggestion that any aspect of its Price Plan
proposal crosses the line into impermissible deregulation, and believes that this will be borne out
by the facts in the docket.

Further still, to the extent Staff suggests that the statutes at issue may be read as requiring the Commission to set price caps for a price plan under ORS 759.255 (because of the statutory language referencing ORS 759.195), Qwest disagrees. Instead, while the Commission may have the power to set price caps in an ORS 759.255 price plan, there is nothing in the statute that *requires* the Commission to set price caps. This is especially so because ORS 759.195, which is referenced in ORS 759.255(1), makes clear the Commission "may" (but is not required) to set price caps for price-listed services. ORS 759.195(5). Moreover, ORS 759.255(5) itself provides that the Commission, in approving a price plan under ORS 759.255(1), may waive numerous statutes, including ORS 759.195. Thus, while Qwest does not necessarily agree with Staff's suggestion that ORS 759.255 somehow incorporates ORS 759.195, again, this is an issue that may be explored in this docket. The Commission is not required to address this question at this time, nor does Staff suggest it must do so.

Finally, Staff makes several interesting points about whether the concept of "essential services" under ORS 759.195 must be carried forward into a price plan under ORS 759.255.

Although Qwest does not necessarily agree with all of Staff's logic or arguments on this issue, ultimately, Qwest agrees with Staff that the concept of "essential services" found in ORS 759.195 is *not* a required part of a price plan under ORS 759.255. Once again, the Commission is not required to address this question at this time, nor does Staff suggest it must do so. And, as stated above, the Commission has the authority under ORS 759.255(5) to waive ORS 759.195.

CONCLUSION

1	For the foregoing reasons, and as Staff recommends, Qwest respectfully requests that the				
2	Commission deny the Intervenors' motion to dismiss in its entirety.				
3	DATED: February 7, 2008	QWEST CORPORATION			
4		Λ Λ			
5					
6		By:			
7		Alex M. Duarte, OSB No. 02045			
8		Qwest Corporation 421 S.W. Oak Street, Suite 810 Portland, OR 97204			
10		and			
11		Lawrence H. Reichman, OSB No. 86083 Perkins Coie LLP			
12		1120 N.W. Couch Street, Tenth Floor Portland, OR 97209-4128			
13		Attorneys for Petitioner Qwest Corporation			
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19 20					
20					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

UM 1354

I hereby certify that on the 7th day of February 2008, I served the foregoing **QWEST CORPORATION'S REPLY TO STAFF'S RESPONSE TO JOINT MOTION TO DISMISS** in the above entitled docket on the following persons via U.S. Mail, by mailing a correct copy to them in a sealed envelope, with postage prepaid, addressed to them at their regular office address shown below, and deposited in the U.S. post office at Portland, Oregon.

Jason Eisdorfer	Lawrence Reichman	Arthur A. Butler
Robert Jenks	Perkins Coie	Riger Dunaway
Lowrey Brown	1120 N.W. Couch Street	Ater Wynne LLP
Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	10 th Floor	601 Union Street
610 SW Broadway, Suite 308	Portland, OR 97209-4128	Suite 1501
Portland, OR 97205		Seattle, WA 98101
* paper service waived		* paper service waived

William Haas McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc. 1 Martha's Way Hiawatha, IA 52233	Mark P. Trinchero Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 1300 SW Fifth Avenue Suite 2300 Portland, OR 97201	Richard A. Finnnigan Law Office of Richard A. Finnigan 2112 Black Lake Blvd., SW Olympia, WA 98512
Jason W. Jones	Brant Wolf	James E. Green
Michael T. Weirich	Oregon Telecommunications	Verizon Northwest Inc.
Department of Justice	Assn.	Suite 150
1162 Court Street NE	777 13 th Street SE, Suite 120	20575 NW Von Neumann Dr.

Salem, OR 97301

DATED this 7th day of February, 2008.

Salem, OR 97301

QWEST CORPORATION

Beaverton, OR 97006

By:

ALEX M. DUARTE, OSB No. 02045 421 SW Oak Street, Suite 810

Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503-242-5623 Facsimile: 503-242-8589

e-mail: <u>alex.duarte@qwest.com</u>

Attorney for Qwest Corporation