825 NE Multnomah, Suite 2000 Portland, Oregon 97232



April 27, 2012

# VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Public Utility Commission of Oregon 550 Capitol Street NE, Ste 215 Salem, OR 97301-2551

Attn: Filing Center

# RE: UE 233 – Response to CUB and OICIP's Objection to Petition to Intervene

PacifiCorp, d.b.a. Pacific Power ("Company"), encloses for filing its Response to CUB and OICIP's Objection to Petition to Intervene in the above-referenced docket. As indicated on the attached certificate of service, a copy of this filing is being served on all parties on the service list.

If you have questions about this filing, please contact Bryce Dalley, Director, Regulatory Affairs and Revenue Requirement, at (503) 813-6389.

Sincerely,

William R Griffim/R

William R. Griffith Vice President, Regulation

Enclosure

cc: Service List – UE 233

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, in Docket UE 233, on the date indicated below by email, addressed to said parties at his or her last-known address(es) indicated below.

DON READING (C) (W) 6070 HILL ROAD BOISE ID 83703 dreading@mindspring.com

ERIC L OLSEN (C) (W) Attorney at Law 201 E CENTER ST POCATELLAO ID 83201 elo@racinelaw.net

ROBERT JENKS (C) (W) Citizens Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 bob@oregoncub.org

CHRISTA BEARRY (C) (W) Idaho Power Company PO BOX 70 BOISE ID 83707-0070 cbearry@idahopower.com

LISA F RACKNER (C) (W) MCDOWELL RACKNER & GIBSON PC 419 SW 11TH AVE., SUITE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 dockets@mcd-law.com

DOUGLAS C TINGEY (W) Portland General Electric 121 SW SALMON 1WTC13 PORTLAND OR 97204 doug.tingey@pgn.com

JUDY JOHNSON (C) (W) PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97308-2148 judy.johnson@state.or.us JOSHUA D JOHNSON (C) (W) Attorney at Law 101 S. CAPITOL BLVD., STE 300 BOISE ID 83702 jdj@racinelaw.net

GORDON FEIGHNER (C) (W) Citizens Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 gordon@oregoncub.org

G. CATRIONA MCCRACKEN (C) (W) Citizens Utility Board of Oregon 610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 catriona@oregoncub.org

LISA D NORDSTROM (C) (W) Idaho Power Company PO BOX 70 BOISE ID 83707-0070 Inordstrom@idahopower.com

RANDY DAHLGREN (W) PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC 121 SW SALMON ST - 1WTC0702 PORTLAND OR 97204 pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com

ERIK COLVILLE (C) (W) PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97308-2148 erik.colville@state.or.us

STEPHANIE S ANDRUS (C) (W) PUC STAFF--DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BUSINESS ACTIVITIES SECTION 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 stephanie.andrus@state.or.us GREGORY M. ADAMS (C) (W) RICHARDSON & O'LEARY PO BOX 7218 BOISE ID 83702 greg@richardsonandoleary.com

ANTHONY J YANKEL (C) (W) UTILITY NET.INC 29814 LAKE RD BAY VILLIAGE OH 44140 tony@yankel.net

Sarah Wallace (W) Pacific Power 825 NE Multnomah St Ste 1800 Portland, OR 97232 Sarah.wallace@pacificorp.com

DATED: April 27, 2012

PETER J RICHARDSON (C) (W) RICHARDSON & O'LEARY PLLC PO BOX 7218 BOISE ID 83707 peter@richardsonandoleary.com

R. Bryce Dalley (W) Pacific Power 825 NE Multnomah St, Ste 2000 Portland, OR 97232 Bryce.dalley@pacificorp.com

Oregon Dockets (W) PacifiCorp 825 NE Multnomah St, Ste 2000 Portland, OR 97232 oregondockets@pacificorp.com

Erika Platano / Coordinator, Regulatory Operations

### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

### **OF OREGON**

### UE 233 PHASE II

In the Matter of IDAHO POWER COMPANY Request for a General Rate Revision.

PacifiCorp's Response to CUB and OICIP's Objection to Petition to Intervene

1	PacifiCorp, d/b/a Pacific Power (Company), respectfully submits this response to the
2	objections to the Company's petition to intervene filed by the Citizens' Utility Board of
3	Oregon (CUB) and the Oregon Industrial Customers of Idaho Power (OICIP) on April 24,
4	2012. <sup>1</sup> CUB and OICIP object to the Company's petition to intervene primarily because of
5	unfounded fears that the Company is seeking, in this docket, a predetermination of the
6	prudence of its investments in Jim Bridger Unit 3, which are currently before the
7	Commission in the Company general rate case, docket UE 246.
8	The Company does not intend to seek a predetermination of the prudence of its
9	investments in Jim Bridger Unit 3. In fact, it is exactly this result that the Company seeks to
10	avoid. In its supplemental testimony, CUB made it clear that it was advocating for a new
11	interpretation of the prudence standard, one that would apply in future proceedings to all
12	electric utilities in Oregon:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	In making its decision the Commission should be aware that the amount of money at stake for Oregon customers in this docket is negligible—only \$27,500 on an annual basis. Thus, Idaho Power will not suffer significant financial difficulties due to a disallowance of these investments, nor is the Company's credit rating likely to be downgraded. <i>In fact, CUB's concern over this docket is less about the money than it is about the precedent that</i>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OAR 860-001-0300(6) states that a "petitioner may filed a reply to an objection within 7 days of service of the objection."

Page 1 – UE 233 PacifiCorp's Response to Objections to Petition to Intervene

1 the Commission's Order could set. The Commission has an 2 opportunity here to send a message to Idaho Power, and to all 3 other electric utilities, that continued investment in coal-fired 4 electric generation plants must be supported by analysis showing 5 that the investments are cost-effective in the context of all the 6 investment needed in the plant and that it would not be more 7 reasonable to invest in alternative resources. The Commission can 8 also demonstrate through the order issued in this docket that 9 companies that fail to provide the required analysis will not be rewarded for their lack of due diligence and imprudent behavior. 10 11 In an era of increasingly costly regulations for coal plants, this requirement should be the new norm.<sup>2</sup> 12

Although the Company knew that the prudence of Idaho Power's investment in Jim 13 14 Bridger Unit 3 was at issue in this docket, the Company did not know that CUB would be 15 arguing for a new interpretation of the prudence standard until it read CUB's supplemental 16 testimony, which was filed on April 13, 2012, but not posted on the Commission's website 17 until April 16, 2012. PacifiCorp filed its petition to intervene on April 19, 2012, as quickly as possible after reading CUB's testimony. CUB is advocating for a new and unique 18 19 interpretation of the prudence standard that it asserts should be the "new norm" for Idaho 20 Power and "all other electric utilities." If the Commission accepts CUB's interpretation of 21 the standard in this docket, then this interpretation could become Commission precedent, 22 applicable to all future cases. The Company petitioned to intervene in this docket because it 23 could be the Company's only opportunity to submit argument regarding the legal standard 24 that would ultimately apply in other proceedings.

The Company intends to submit briefs concerning the correct interpretation of the prudence standard only. The Company does not intend to apply the standard to any specific facts, or to seek a predetermination of any factual issues in the Company's pending general rate case. The Company's participation in this docket will not unreasonably burden the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CUB/200, Feighner-Jenks/15-16 (emphasis added).

- 1 record, delay the proceedings, or broaden the issues. The Company therefore respectfully
- 2 requests that the Commission grant the Company's petition to intervene.

DATED: April 27, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

L With

Sarah/Wallace Senior Counsel PacifiCorp, d/b/a Pacific Power