

October 1, 2010

Via Electronic Filing and U.S. Mail

Oregon Public Utility Commission Attention: Filing Center 550 Capitol Street NE, #215 PO Box 2148 Salem OR 97308-2148

Re: LC 48

Attention Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned docket are an original and three copies of Portland General Electric Company's Response to the Commission's Bench Request issued on September 21, 2010.

This is being filed by electronic mail with the Filing Center.

An extra copy of the cover letter is enclosed. Please date stamp the extra copy and return to me in the envelope provided. Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Randy Dahlgren

Director, Regulatory Policy and Affairs

Enclosures

cc: LC 48 Service List (w/enclosures)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

DOCKET NO. LC 48

In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL	Response to Bench Request
ELECTRIC COMPANY 2009 Integrated	
Resource Plan.	·

On September 21, 2010, the Commission issued a Bench Request directing Portland General Electric (PGE) to submit the following supplemental information:

Create a new combined future by using:

- a. PIRA's low natural gas price scenario (\$5.22 per MMBTu in real-levelized 2009 dollars, PGE IRP at 77);
- b. PGE's low load growth rate forecast (1.57 percent for 2010-2030, PGE IRP at 36);
- c. PGE's low carbon dioxide price scenario (\$12 per ton in real-levelized 2009 dollars, PGE IRP at 106); and
- d. Reference case assumptions for all other input assumptions.

Using the new combined future, conduct portfolio sensitivity analyses on at least the following minimum set of portfolios:

- e. DEQ 2040 (BART I);
- f. PGE 2020 (BART II);
- g. PGE 2020 (BART III);
- h. DEQ 2020 (Option I);
- DEQ 2018 (Option 2);
- j. DEQ 2015 (Option 3);
- k. Boardman through 2014; and
- Boardman through 2017.

For each portfolio, provide the new sensitivity case NPVRR and the difference between the new sensitivity case NPVRR and the reference case NPVRR

PGE provides the information requested in Attachment A hereto. The analysis for a 2017 portfolio is not included in our response as we informed parties that we were removing this portfolio from the IRP candidate portfolios in our August 10th Reply Comments. We also offer the following observations to provide context for the results of the Bench Request:

- We believe that the use of "futures" that examine assumptions and conditions that differ from those of a reference case is helpful in assessing the durability of candidate portfolios. However, we caution against using the futures in isolation to draw conclusions about the relative merits of different long-term resource actions. PGE developed and modeled twenty futures that test cost assumptions and external circumstances that differ materially from those in our reference case. These futures were developed by PGE in conjunction with stakeholder feedback received in public workshops conducted over a roughly one and a half year period. The combined future described in the Bench Request (Combined Future) incorporates three existing IRP futures and brings the total number of futures to twenty-one (not including the reference case). "Futures" are most useful for examining portfolio robustness in the event that new resource decisions are made and implemented and future circumstances later change from the assumptions made during the planning phase. In other words, futures provide insights regarding the resiliency and durability of portfolios if the world you encounter in the future is different from what was assumed in the reference case. However, modeled futures also have limitations to their usefulness as they focus on a single result and do not consider potential uncertainty around the outcome, and therefore provide only partial guidance in resource decision making. More specifically, futures do not examine cost variability, reliability or execution risks associated with candidate portfolios under the alternate future assumptions. Given these limitations, futures should not be accepted in lieu of a reference case scenario nor should they be used in isolation to draw conclusions about the relative merits of different long-term resource actions.
- Under the Combined Future the projected cost of all portfolios declines substantially. The average change in cost across all candidate portfolios when compared to the reference case is approximately twenty-four percent (or roughly \$6.8 billion). In addition, portfolios that rely more heavily on natural gas generation generally perform better on cost, while portfolios that rely more heavily on fixed cost assets (wind / renewables, coal and nuclear) do not perform as well on cost relative to other portfolios. We have not provided a risk analysis for the Combined Future as risk performance is analyzed against the reference case set of assumptions in PGE's IRP modeling framework, and doing so would go beyond the scope of the Bench Request. However, we believe that if we were to conduct such risk modeling, we would find that portfolios that reduce exposure to volatile cost drivers (such as wholesale gas and electric markets) would continue to perform better from a risk perspective. The increased portfolio risk associated with higher exposure to natural gas and electric prices was evidenced in the modeling results of our filed IRP where portfolios with lower reliance on gas generation

and electric markets (these are also typically portfolios with more fixed cost assets such as wind and coal), generally perform better with regard to risk metrics.

- The cost differences between most of the proposed PGE and DEQ BART portfolios under the Combined Future are relatively small, yet the risk differences remain significant. In particular, the execution and supply risk associated with replacing a large baseload plant like Boardman in the 2015 timeframe is high. As our IRP indicates, we already have a large resource gap in 2015 without a Boardman closure. Our IRP projects an energy resource deficit of 873 MWa in 2015 even if Boardman remains in our portfolio. Closing Boardman in 2015 would increase the gap to 1,187 MWa or approximately 43% of the average electricity demand for PGE's entire customer base. The challenges associated with sourcing firm, controllable and reasonably-priced replacement energy supply in the 2015 timeframe is discussed in detail in our August 10th and September 27th Comments.
- The Combined Future includes a combination of assumptions for low natural gas and CO2 prices that we believe are unlikely to persist for the next 30 years. The Bench Request directs PGE to use the IRP low future natural gas price scenario which assumes that natural gas prices over the next 30 years will actually be lower (in real dollar terms) than the average natural gas prices that have been realized in the Northwest gas markets over the last decade. At such low price levels, the risk associated with potential changes in gas prices becomes highly asymmetric – gas prices have very little room to move down from the forecast levels (due to costs of exploration, extraction and processing), but lots of potential for prices to move up. Accordingly, the risk of being "wrong" with respect to gas prices could be very high if too much emphasis is placed on a low price future. We more fully discuss risks associated with gas supply projections and price variability in our August 10th and September 27th Comments. With respect to CO2 prices, we recognize that the likelihood of near-term greenhouse gas legislation that materially increases energy costs has diminished due to broad economic and unemployment concerns. However, we are also skeptical that if such legislative action is taken in the future that the long-term, real dollar cost would be as low as the level suggested in the Combined Future. Our analysis of CO2 risk is more fully discussed in our IRP.
- It is also important to recognize that IRP futures and modeling more generally cannot address all of the uncertainties and requirements facing PGE and its customers in this planning cycle. We must also rely on sound judgment and experience when considering our choices with respect to existing resources such as Boardman, or new supply and demand side resources such as the transmission, generation and energy efficiency contained in our resource action plan. Accordingly, we believe that a preferred portfolio must not only perform well with respect to IRP cost, risk and reliability analysis, but it must also be practical with respect to our ability to implement, integrate and operate. A preferred portfolio should also maintain resource and fuel diversity, as diversification is a well proven method for reducing the risk of encountering extreme bad outcomes. Finally, when considering the potential closure of a large and important plant such as Boardman, any reasonable solution must recognize that significant and long-

lasting impacts will occur – to communities, employees and PGE's future resource mix. A plan that provides a reasonable time for transition would mitigate adverse impacts of ceasing coal-fired operations at Boardman, while providing valuable time to plan and secure new sources of supply. We believe that this is the only responsible approach to evaluating and selecting a preferred resource action plan.

October 1, 2010 - Response to Bench Request

Table represents IRP portfolios and futures, including new OPUC Bench Request "Combined Future." The Reference Case is the only scenario that has been tested through full IRP cost and risk modeling. All other futures provide cost sensitivities only, based on changes in certain assumptions

Suppl. Table D Addendum: Scenario Analysis Detail (\$ Million)

	Portfolios>>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
		Market	Natural Gas	Wind	Diversified Green	Diversified Thermal with Wind	Bridge to IGCC in WY	Bridge to Nuclear	Green w/ On- peak Energy Target	Diversified Thermal with Green	Boardman through 2014	Oregon CO2 F Goal	GE 2020 BART (Diversified Thermal w/ Green w/o lease	DEQ 2020 (Option 1)	DEQ 2018 (Option 2)	DEQ 2015 (Option 3)	PGE 2020 BART
A Reference Case	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$27,211	\$29,027	\$29,288	\$28,987 -	\$28,891	\$32,735	\$29,853	\$28,971 -	\$28,674	\$28,593	\$30,375	\$28,396	\$30,828	28,668	\$28,758	\$28,521	\$28,546	28,499
B High Gas	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$34,213 \$7,002	\$35,970 \$6,944	\$34,181 \$4,893	\$34,067 \$5,080	\$35,312 \$6,420	\$37,642 \$4,907	\$34,707 \$4,855	\$34,011 \$5,040	\$35,310 \$6,636	\$36,175 \$7,582	\$35,006 \$4,631	\$35,551 \$7,155	\$35,946 \$5,119	\$35,231 \$6,563	\$35,971 \$7,213	\$35,856 \$7,334	\$36,036 \$7,490	\$35,694 \$7,196
C Low Gas	NPVRR	\$23,524	\$25,099	\$26,597	\$26,201	\$25,342	\$29,986	\$27,260	\$26,087	\$25,012	\$24,517	\$28,141	\$24,532	\$28,002	\$24,958	\$24,845	\$24,560	\$24,524	\$24,590
D CO2 \$45 per ton	Delta to Reference Case NPVRR	-\$3,687 \$29,302	-\$3,928 \$30,956	-\$2,691 \$30,866	-\$2,786 \$30,618	-\$3,550 \$30,760	-\$2,749 \$35,144	-\$2,592 \$31,289	-\$2,884 \$30,528	-\$3,662 \$30,606	-\$4,076 \$30,293	-\$2,234 \$31,150	-\$3,864 \$30,152	-\$2,825 \$32,468	-\$3,710 \$30,575	-\$3,913 \$30,517	-\$3,961 \$30,274	-\$4,022 \$30,266	-\$3,909 \$30,261
E CO2 \$65 per ton	Delta to Reference Case NPVRR	\$2,091 \$32.183	\$1,929 \$33.520	\$1,578 \$32.980	\$1,631 \$32.809	\$1,868 \$33.264	\$2,409 \$38.270	\$1,436 \$33.234	\$1,557 \$32.576	\$1,932 \$33,200	\$1,700 \$32.596	\$775 \$32.296	\$1,756 \$32.544	\$1,640 \$34.658	\$1,907 \$33.142	\$1,759 \$32.884	\$1,753 \$32.628	\$1,720 \$32.584	\$1,762 \$32.622
F No CO2	Delta to Reference Case NPVRR	\$4,972 \$23.024	\$4,494 \$24.945	\$3,692 \$25.998	\$3,822 \$25.595	\$4,372 \$25.004	\$5,535 \$27.757	\$3,381 \$26.956	\$3,605 \$25,626	\$4,526 \$24.672	\$4,003 \$25,281	\$1,921 \$29.107	\$4,148 \$24.952	\$3,830 \$27,414	\$4,474 \$24,755	\$4,126 \$25.321	\$4,106 \$25,113	\$4,038 \$25,205	\$4,123 \$25,062
G CO2 \$20 per ton	Delta to Reference Case	-\$4,187	-\$4,081	-\$3,290	-\$3,392	-\$3,887	-\$4,978	-\$2,897	-\$3,344	-\$4,002	-\$3,312	-\$1,267	-\$3,444	-\$3,414	-\$3,913	-\$3,437	-\$3,408	-\$3,341	-\$3,436
H High Capital Costs	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$25,825 -\$1,387	\$27,707 -\$1,320	\$28,222 -\$1,066	\$27,885 -\$1,101	\$27,626 -\$1,265	\$31,106 -\$1,629	\$28,909 -\$943	\$27,900 -\$1,071	\$27,368 -\$1,306	\$27,470 -\$1,123	\$29,917 -\$458	\$27,227 -\$1,169	\$29,717 -\$1,110	\$27,369 -\$1,299	\$27,594 -\$1,164	\$27,374 -\$1,148	\$27,419 -\$1,126	\$27,338 -\$1,161
I High PGE Load Growth	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$27,419 \$208	\$29,340 \$314	\$30,062 \$773	\$29,710 \$723	\$29,314 \$423	\$33,749 \$1,014	\$34,063 \$4,210	\$29,665 \$695	\$29,046 \$372	\$29,002 \$409	\$34,993 \$4,619	\$28,796 \$400	\$31,735 \$908	\$29,053 \$385	\$29,158 \$400	\$28,925 \$404	\$28,953 \$407	\$28,898 \$400
J Low PGE load growth	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$30,410 \$3,199	\$32,225 \$3,199	\$32,487 \$3,199	\$32,186 \$3,199	\$32,090 \$3,199	\$35,934 \$3,199	\$33,052 \$3,199	\$32,170 \$3,199	\$31,873 \$3,199	\$31,792 \$3,199	\$33,574 \$3,199	\$31,595 \$3,199	\$34,026 \$3,199	\$31,867 \$3,199	\$31,956 \$3,198	\$31,719 \$3,198	\$31,743 \$3,198	\$31,696 \$3,198
, and the second	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$24,867 -\$2,345	\$26,682 -\$2,345	\$26,944 -\$2,345	\$26,642 -\$2,345	\$26,547 -\$2,345	\$30,390 -\$2,345	\$27,508 -\$2,345	\$26,626 -\$2,345	\$26,329 -\$2,345	\$26,248 -\$2,345	\$28,030 -\$2,345	\$26,051 -\$2,345	\$28,483 -\$2,345	\$26,323 -\$2,345	\$26,415 -\$2,344	\$26,178 -\$2,344	\$26,202 -\$2,344	\$26,155 -\$2,344
K High Electricity Prices	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$39,882 \$12,670	\$25,266 -\$3,760	\$21,997 -\$7,291	\$24,158 -\$4,829	\$26,348 -\$2,543	\$32,046 -\$689	\$28,547 -\$1,306	\$22,576 -\$6,395	\$27,853 -\$821	\$26,400 -\$2,193	\$23,541 -\$6,833	\$25,554 -\$2,842	\$26,141 -\$4,686	\$27,477 -\$1,191	\$25,930 -\$2,828	\$25,860 -\$2,661	\$26,130 -\$2,416	\$25,654 -\$2,844
L Low Electricity Prices	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$19,054 -\$8,158	\$21,452 -\$7,575	\$23,716 -\$5,573	\$23,110 -\$5,877	\$21,748 -\$7,144	\$26,010 -\$6,725	\$24,748 -\$5,105	\$23,396 -\$5,575	\$21,201 -\$7,473	\$21,109 -\$7,484	\$26,914 -\$3,461	\$21,120 -\$7,276	\$24,822 -\$6,006	\$21,147 -\$7,521	\$21,399 -\$7,359	\$21,122 -\$7,400	\$21,115 -\$7,431	\$21,143 -\$7,356
M No Incentives	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$27,678 \$467	\$29,493 \$467	\$30,841 \$1,553	\$30,658 \$1,672	\$29,698 \$807	\$33,205 \$470	\$30,322 \$470	\$30,642 \$1,672	\$29,356 \$682	\$29,275 \$682	\$32,046 \$1,672	\$29,078 \$682	\$32,488 \$1,661	\$29,350 \$682	\$29,440 \$682	\$29,203 \$682	\$29,228 \$682	\$29,181 \$682
N 50 percent incentives	NPVRR	\$27,445	\$29,260	\$30,065	\$29,823	\$29,295	\$32,970	\$30,088	\$29,807	\$29,015	\$28,934	\$31,211	\$28,737	\$31,658	\$29,009	\$29,099	\$28,863	\$28,887	\$28,840
O Low Coal-High Gas-\$65 CO2	Delta to Reference Case NPVRR	\$234 \$38,340	\$234 \$40,028	\$777 \$37,302	\$836 \$37,302	\$403 \$39,129	\$235 \$42,693	\$235 \$37,447	\$836 \$37,218	\$341 \$39,257	\$341 \$39,942	\$836 \$36,455	\$341 \$39,389	\$831 \$39,217	\$341 \$39,323	\$341 \$39,769	\$341 \$39,664	\$341 \$39,815	\$341 \$39,491
P CO2 Start 1 year later	Delta to Reference Case NPVRR	\$11,129 \$26,951	\$11,002 \$28,775	\$8,014 \$29,064	\$8,315 \$28,759	\$10,238 \$28,645	\$9,958 \$32,455	\$7,595 \$29,631	\$8,247 \$28,747	\$10,583 \$28,424	\$11,349 \$28,367	\$6,080 \$30,206	\$10,994 \$28,162	\$8,390 \$30,597	\$10,655 \$28,423	\$11,011 \$28,518	\$11,143 \$28,291	\$11,269 \$28,321	\$10,992 \$28,265
Q CO2 Start 1 year earlier	Delta to Reference Case NPVRR	-\$261 \$27.477	-\$252 \$29,289	-\$224 \$29,522	-\$228 \$29,224	-\$246 \$29,147	-\$280 \$33,024	-\$222 \$30.087	-\$223 \$29,206	-\$250 \$28.933	-\$226 \$28,832	-\$168 \$30,560	-\$234 \$28,642	-\$230 \$31,066	-\$245 \$28,923	-\$240 \$29,003	-\$231 \$28,766	-\$225 \$28.787	-\$233 \$28,746
R CO2 \$12 per ton	Delta to Reference Case	\$266	\$263	\$234	\$237	\$256	\$289	\$234	\$235	\$259	\$239	\$185	\$246	\$238	\$255	\$244	\$244	\$241	\$247
S Aggressive EE	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$24,738 -\$2,474	\$26,648 -\$2,379	\$27,372 -\$1,916	\$27,009 -\$1,978	\$26,618 -\$2,273	\$29,801 -\$2,934	\$28,162 -\$1,691	\$27,036 -\$1,935	\$26,330 -\$2,344	\$26,588 -\$2,005	\$29,574 -\$801	\$26,309 -\$2,087	\$28,835 -\$1,992	\$26,340 -\$2,328	\$26,693 -\$2,065	\$26,472 -\$2,050	\$26,525 -\$2,021	\$26,429 -\$2,069
T Major Resources 1 Year Earlier	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$26,600 -\$611	\$28,416 -\$611	\$28,677 -\$611	\$28,376 -\$611	\$28,281 -\$611	\$32,124 -\$611	\$29,242 -\$611	\$28,360 -\$611	\$28,063 -\$611	\$27,982 -\$611	\$29,764 -\$611	\$27,785 -\$611	\$30,217 -\$611	\$28,057 -\$611	\$28,148 -\$610	\$27,911 -\$611	\$27,935 -\$611	\$27,888 -\$611
,	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$27,209 -\$2	\$29,144 \$118	\$29,518 \$230	\$29,160 \$173	\$29,021 \$130	\$33,025 \$290	\$29,893 \$41	\$29,132 \$161	\$28,775 \$101	\$28,741 \$148	\$30,707 \$332	\$28,493 \$97	\$31,102 \$275	\$28,781 \$113	\$28,856 \$98	\$28,664 \$142	\$28,642 \$97	\$28,597 \$98
U Major Resources 1 Year Later	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$27,212 \$0	\$28,916 -\$111	\$29,083 -\$205	\$28,831 -\$156	\$28,771 -\$121	\$32,474 -\$261	\$29,673 -\$180	\$28,826 -\$145	\$28,577 -\$97	\$28,453 -\$140	\$30,079 -\$296	\$28,268 -\$128	\$30,574 -\$254	\$28,562 -\$106	\$28,662 -\$96	\$28,392 -\$130	\$28,462 -\$84	\$28,409 -\$90
V Bench Request 092110 *	NPVRR Delta to Reference Case	\$19,668 -\$7,543	\$21,487 -\$7,539	\$23,394 -\$5,895	\$22,930 -\$6,057	\$21,793 -\$7,098	\$25,815 -\$6,920	\$24,212 -\$5,641	\$22,922 -\$6,049	\$21,386 -\$7,288	\$21,094 -\$7,499	\$25,751 -\$4,624	\$21,022 -\$7,374	\$24,728 -\$6,100	\$21,346 -\$7,322	\$21,383 -\$7,376	\$21,096 -\$7,425	\$21,077 -\$7,468	\$21,125 -\$7,374

^{*} Combination of futures: low gas, \$12 CO2, low PGE load growth

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day caused the foregoing LC 48 PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSIONS BENCH REQUEST to be served by electronic mail to those parties whose email addresses appear on the attached service list, and by First Class US Mail, postage prepaid and properly addressed, to those parties on the attached service lists who have not waived paper service from OPUC Docket No. LC 48.

Dated at Portland, Oregon, this 1st day of October 2010.

Randy Dahlgren

Director, Regulatory Policy and Affairs

On behalf of Portland General Electric Company



eDockets

Docket Summary

Return to Search Page

eFiling

Docket No: LC 48

Docket Name: PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

Print Summary

Subject Company: PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY 2009 Integrated Resource Plan. (See also 5/4/10 errata filing).

Filed by Randy Dahlgren.

Filing Date: 11/5/2009

Case Manager: MAURY GALBRAITH

Phone: (503) 378-8718

Email: maury.galbraith@state.or.us

Staff Contact: LISA GORSUCH

Phone: (503) 378-3778

Email: lisa.gorsuch@state.or.us

Law Judge: SHANI PINES

Phone:

If you experience problems with the above 'Email Service List' links,

please try one of these:

Service List Popup (semi-colon delimited) Service List Popup (comma delimited)

ACTIO	NS SERVICE LIST ((Parties)	SCHEDULE				
W=Waive Paper service	C=Confidential HC=Highly Confidential	Sort by Last Name	Sort by Company Name				
w .	BRUCE A KASER	PO BOX 958 SILVERTON OR 9738 brucekaser@comcas					
w	KELLY NOKES						
		crk@gorge.net					
W	JOHN PRESCOTT POWER RESOURCES COOPERATIVE	711 NE HALSEY PORTLAND OR 9723. jprescott@pngcpowe					
W	RYAN M SWINBURNSON 515 N NEEL ST COUNSEL FOR MORROW COUNTY KENNEWICK WA 99336 r_swinburnson@verizon.net						
w	*DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE						
	JANET L PREWITT (C) ASSISTANT AG	NATURAL RESOURCE 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-40 janet.prewitt@doj.st	96				
w	*OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY						
	KIP PHEIL (C) SENIOR POLICY ANALYST	625 MARION ST NE SALEM OR 97301-37 kip.pheil@state.or.us	737				
	VIJAY A SATYAL (C) SENIOR POLICY ANALYST	625 MARION ST NE SALEM OR 97301 vijay.a.satyal@state	.or.us				
	ANDREA F SIMMONS (C)	625 MARION ST NE SALEM OR 97301-37 andrea.f.simmons@s	- The state of the				
w	ASSOCIATED OREGON INDUSTRIES						
	JOHN LEDGER	1149 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301 johnledger@aoi.org					

ASSOCIATION OF OREGON COUNTIES

PAUL SNIDER

PO BOX 12729 SALEM OR 97309 psnider@aocweb.org

BOMA PORTLAND

SUSAN STEWARD EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

200 SW MARKET, SUITE 1710 PORTLAND OR 97201 susan@bomaportland.org

W CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT ET AL

J LAURENCE CABLE (C)

1001 SW 5TH AVE STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97204-1136 Icable@cablehuston.com

W CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT HAAGENSEN & LLOYD LLP

RICHARD LORENZ (C)

1001 SW FIFTH AVE - STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97204-1136 rlorenz@cablehuston.com

W CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

GORDON FEIGHNER (C) ENERGY ANALYST 610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 gordon@oregoncub.org

ROBERT JENKS (C) EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 bob@oregoncub.org

G. CATRIONA MCCRACKEN **(C)** LEGAL COUNSEL/STAFF ATTY 610 SW BROADWAY, STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97205 catriona@oregoncub.org

W CITY OF PORTLAND - CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

BENJAMIN WALTERS (C)
CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

1221 SW 4TH AVÈ - RM 430 PORTLAND OR 97204 ben.walters@portlandoregon.gov

W CITY OF PORTLAND - PLANNING & SUSTAINABILITY

MICHAEL ARMSTRONG (C) SUSTAINABILITY MANAGER 1900 SW 4TH AVE, STE 7100 PORTLAND OR 97201

michael.armstrong@portlandoregon.gov

DAVID TOOZE **(C)** SENIOR ENERGY SPECIALIST 1900 SW 4TH STE 7100 PORTLAND OR 97201

david.tooze@portlandoregon.gov

W CLACKAMAS COUNTY BUSINESS ALLIANCE

BURTON WEAST EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 300 OSWEGO POINTE DR, STE 220 LAKE OSWEGO OR 97034

burton@ccba.biz

W COLUMBIA CORRIDOR ASSOCIATION

CORKY COLLIER

PO BOX 55651 PORTLAND OR 97238 corky@columbiacorridor.org

W COLUMBIA RIVERKEEPER

LAUREN GOLDBERG (C)

724 OAK STREET HOOD RIVER OR 97031 lauren@columbiariverkeeper.org

W COMMUNITY ACTION PARTNERSHIP

OF OREGON

JESS KINCAID ENERGY PARTNERSHIP COORDINATOR PO BOX 7964 SALEM OR 97301 jess@caporegon.org

W DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

JOHN DILORENZO

1300 SW FIFTH AVE, STE 2300 PORTLAND OR 97201 johndilorenzo@dwt.com

MARK P TRINCHERO

1300 SW FIFTH AVE STE 2300 PORTLAND OR 97201-5682 marktrinchero@dwt.com

DAVISON VAN CLEVE

IRION A SANGER (C)
ASSOCIATE ATTORNEY

333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204 ias@dvclaw.com

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

STEPHANIE S ANDRUS (C)
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

BUSINESS ACTIVITIES SECTION 1162 COURT ST NE SALEM OR 97301-4096 stephanie.andrus@state.or.us

W ECUMENICAL MINISTRIES OF OREGON

JAMES EDELSON

415 NE MIRIMAR PL PORTLAND OR 97232 edelson8@comcast.net

W ECUMENICAL MINISTRIES OF OREGON

JENNY HOLMES ENVIRONMENTAL MINISTRIES DIRECTOR 0245 SW BANCROFT, SUITE B PORTLAND OR 97239 jholmes@emoregon.org

W ESLER STEPHENS & BUCKLEY

JOHN W STEPHENS (C)

888 SW FIFTH AVE STE 700 PORTLAND OR 97204-2021 stephens@eslerstephens.com; mec@eslerstephens.com

W FRIENDS OF COLUMBIA GORGE

MICHAEL LANG (C)

522 SW FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 720 PORTLAND OR 97204 michael@gorgefriends.org

IBERDROLA RENEWABLES, INC

KEVIN LYNCH

1125 NW COUCH ST STE 700 PORTLAND OR 97209 kevin.lynch@iberdrolausa.com

TOAN-HAO NGUYEN

1125 NW COUCH ST PORTLAND OR 97209

toan.nguyen@iberdrolausa.com

IBEW LOCAL 125

MARCY PUTMAN POLITICAL AFFAIRS & REPRESENTATIVE 17200 NE SACRAMENTO STREET PORTLAND OR 97230 marcy@ibew125.com

INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES

MICHAEL EARLY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1300 SW 5TH AVE, STE 1750 PORTLAND OR 97204-2446 mearly@icnu.org W NORTHWEST ENERGY COALITION

> STEVEN WEISS SR POLICY ASSOCIATE

4422 OREGON TRAIL CT NE **SALEM OR 97305** steve@nwenergy.org

W NORTHWEST ENVIRONMENTAL **DEFENSE CENTER**

MARK RISKEDAHL

10015 SW TERWILLIGER BLVD

PORTLAND OR 97219 msr@nedc.org

NORTHWEST FOOD PROCESSORS **ASSOCIATION**

DAVID ZEPPONI **PRESIDENT**

8338 NE ALTERWOOD RD, STE 160

PORTLAND OR 97220 pbarrow@nwfpa.org

W NORTHWEST PIPELINE GP

> JANE HARRISON (C) MGR-MARKETING SERVICES

295 CHIPETA WAY

SALT LAKE CITY UT 84108 jane.f.harrison@williams.com

BRUCE REEMSNYDER (C)

SENIOR COUNSEL

295 CHIPETA WAY SALT LAKE CITY UT 84108

bruce.reemsnyder@williams.com

NW INDEPENDENT POWER

PRODUCERS

ROBERT D KAHN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 1117 MINOR AVENUE, SUITE 300

SEATTLE WA 98101 rkahn@nippc.org

w **OREGON AFL-CIO**

JOHN BISHOP

1635 NW JOHNSON ST PORTLAND OR 97209

jbishop@mbjlaw.com

OREGON CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION

KAY TEISL

3415 COMMERCIAL ST SE, SUITE 217

SALEM OR 97302 kayteisl@orcattle.com

W OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SUE OLIVER

395 EAST HIGHLAND AVE HERMISTON OR 97838 sue.oliver@state.or.us

OREGON ENVIORNMENTAL COUNCIL

JANA GASTELLUM

PROGRAM DIRECTOR, GLOBAL WARMING

PORTLAND OR 97309-3900

222 NW DAVIS ST, STE 309

W **OREGON FARM BUREAU FEDERATION**

KATIE FAST

3415 COMMERCIAL ST SE **SALEM OR 97302** katie@oregonfb.org

OREGON FOREST INDUSTRIES W

COUNCIL

PO BOX 12826

SALEM OR 97309 ray@ofic.com

OREGON SIERRA CLUB

IVAN MALUSKI

RAY WILKESON

1821 SE ANKEY ST PORTLAND OR 97214 **SHELTER**

W

W

W

ivan.maluski@sierraclub.org
1149 COURT ST SE, STE 110 SALEM OR 97301 terry@ofsonline.org
10015 SW TERWILLIGER BLVD PORTLAND OR 97219 abaldwin@lclark.edu

PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCACY CENTER

TERRY WITT

ALLISON LAPLANTE (C)

OREGONIANS FOR FOOD AND

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PACIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCACY CENTER

AUBREY BALDWIN (C)
STAFF ATTORNEY/CLINICAL

10015 SW TERWILLIGER BLVD PORTLAND OR 97219 laplante@lclark.edu

W PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT

PROFESSOR

JORDAN A WHITE SENIOR COUNSEL

1407 W. NORTH TEMPLE, STE 320 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84116 jordan.white@pacificorp.com

W PACIFICORP ENERGY

PETE WARNKEN MANAGER, IRP 825 NE MULTNOMAH - STE 600 PORTLAND OR 97232 pete.warnken@pacificorp.com

W PARETO ENERGY LTD

GUY WARNER

1101 30TH ST WASHINGTON DC 20007 gwarner@paretoenergy.com

W PHYSICIANS FOR SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY-OREGON CHAPTE

CATHERINE THOMASSON CLIMATE CHANGE CHAIR OREGON 1227 NE 27TH #5 PORTLAND OR 97232 thomassonc@comcast.net

W PORTLAND BUSINESS ALLIANCE

BERNIE BOTTOMLY

200 SW MARKET, STE 150 PORTLAND OR 97201 bbottomly@portlandalliance.com

W PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

PATRICK G HAGER (C)
MANAGER - REGULATORY AFFAIRS

121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC0702 PORTLAND OR 97204 pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com

V. DENISE SAUNDERS (C) ASST GENERAL COUNSEL 121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC1301 PORTLAND OR 97204 denise.saunders@pgn.com

W POWER RESOURCES COOPERATIVE

STEVE KING GENERATION RESOURCES MANAGER 711 NE HALSEY PORTLAND OR 97232-1268 sking@pngcpower.com

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

MAURY GALBRAITH (C)

PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97308

maury.galbraith@state.or.us

W RENEWABLE NORTHWEST PROJECT

MEGAN WALSETH DECKER SENIOR STAFF COUNSEL 917 SW OAK, STE 303 PORTLAND OR 97205 megan@rnp.org

KEN DRAGOON

917 SW OAK, SUITE 303 PORTLAND OR 97205 ken@rnp.org

Kenwi

W RICHARDSON & O'LEARY

GREGORY M. ADAMS (C) ATTORNEY

PO BOX 7218 BOISE ID 83702

greg@richardsonandoleary.com

W RICHARDSON & O'LEARY PLLC

PETER J RICHARDSON (C)

PO BOX 7218 BOISE ID 83707

peter@richardsonandoleary.com

W SALEM CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

MIKE MCLARAN

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

1110 COMMERCIAL ST SE

SALEM OR 97301

mike@salemchamber.org; jason@salemchamber.org

SEDCOR

RAYMOND BURSTEDT

PRESIDENT

625 HIGH ST NE, STE 200 SALEM OR 97301 rburstedt@sedcor.com

W SIERRA CLUB LAW PROGRAM

GLORIA D SMITH (C)

85 SECOND STREET SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105 gloria.smith@sierraclub.org

W TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT

RANDY BAYSINGER

ASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER

PO BOX 949

TURLOCK CA 95381-0949

rcbaysinger@tid.org

W WESTSIDE ECONOMIC ALLIANCE

JONATHAN F SCHLUETER

10220 SW NIMBUS AVE, STE K-12

TIGARD OR 97223

jschlueter@westside-alliance.org

W WILSONVILLE CHAPTER OF COMMERCE

RAY PHELPS

PO BOX 3737

WILSONVILLE OR 97070 rphelps@republicservices.com; steve@wilsonvillechamber.com