

KATHERINE A. McDowell Direct (503) 595-3924 katherine@mcd-law.com

August 14, 2006

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

PUC Filing Center Public Utility Commission of Oregon PO Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308-2148

Re: Docket AR 499

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket is an original and six copies of PacifiCorp's Reply Comments on Interim Order and Draft Rules. A copy of this filing has been served on all parties to this proceeding as indicated on the attached certificate of service.

Very truly yours

Katherine A. McDowell

Enclosures

cc: Service List

,		UTILITY COMMISSION REGON		
2				
3				
	In the Matter of the Adoption of Permanent Rules to Implement SB 408, Relating to Matching Utility Taxes Paid with Taxes Collected PACIFICORP'S REPLY COMMENTS O INTERIM ORDER AND DRAFT RULES			
	7 I. Introduction			
8	PacifiCorp respectfully submits the follo	owing Reply Comments on the Commission's		
.9	Interim Order in this docket, In re: Adoption of	Permanent Rules to Implement SB 408,		
10	Order 06-400 (July 14, 2006) (the "Interim Ord	er") and the AR 499 draft rules.		
11	PacifiCorp stated three principles in its	Opening Comments: tax benefits unrelated		
12	to regulated operations should not be imputed	to the utility, the apportionment method		
13	3 should be applied consistently with general regulatory and tax principles and state taxes			
14	should be based upon taxes paid by the unitary group of which the utility is a member.			
15	With respect to the first principle, PacifiCorp's position is that the apportionment			
16	method has significant legal, policy and practical infirmities. PacifiCorp opposes the			
17	adoption of this or any other properly attributed approach that takes tax attributes that			
18	belong to unrelated companies and imputes them to the utility. PacifiCorp expressly			
19	reserves its objections to the apportionment me	ethod, many of which were outlined in		
20	PacifiCorp's Opening Comments. PacifiCorp's	proposals on implementation of the		
21	apportionment method should not be interprete	d as a waiver of these objections.		
22	PacifiCorp's latter two principles address how to mitigate some of the worst problems			
23	associated with the apportionment method, assuming the Commission adopts it. There was			
24	a high level of consensus on these principles in the Opening Comments, leading to the filing			
25	of Joint Comments concurrently with the filing of	f these Reply Comments. PacifiCorp		
26	submits these Reply Comments as a supplement to the Joint Comments to raise and clarify			

- 1 a number of additional points. PacifiCorp intends to submit rule revisions consistent with
- 2 these Reply Comments by Thursday, August 17, 2006.

3 II. Mechanics of Apportionment Method Calculation.

- 4 PacifiCorp faces significant implementation challenges with respect to the
- 5 apportionment method because PacifiCorp is a multi-state utility with a very large affiliated
- 6 group that includes other regulated companies. Additionally, PacifiCorp faces reporting
- 7 challenges associated with its mid-year ownership change and the need to obtain non-public
- 8 information necessary for apportionment from its former owners. PacifiCorp has therefore
- 9 focused on the mechanics of the apportionment method, taking the principles upon which
- 10 there is general agreement and making them work as a part of a verifiable and
- 11 straightforward calculation. Exhibit A sets forth these calculations, the steps of which are
- 12 discussed below.

13 A. Federal Calculation

14 Step 1: Calculate the Adjusted Federal Consolidated Tax

- 15 Use Federal Taxes Before Credits from Federal Return. The federal tax return 16 specifically reports taxes before credits and PacifiCorp proposes to use this number to avoid allocation of the production tax credits (PTCs) held by the affiliated group. 17 While this may also exclude other federal tax credits, none are as significant as the 18 renewable energy PTCs from a monetary or policy standpoint. Tax credits are 19 20 designed to promote specific policies, such as renewable energy investment. In the 21 case of the PTC, the underlying federal tax policy is fully aligned with the policy of the State of Oregon. By using federal tax before credits, the Commission can apply 22 the apportionment method without interfering with these policies through allocation of 23 tax credits to Oregon utility customers. Without this step, PTCs could be shifted from 24 25 ratepayers in one jurisdiction who bear the cost of the renewable resource to 26 ratepayers in Oregon who bear none of the costs of the resource. While this
- Page 2 PACIFICORP'S REPLY COMMENTS ON INTERIM ORDER AND DRAFT RULES

- 1 proposal was not included in the Joint Comments, PacifiCorp believes that there is a 2 high degree of consensus around it.
- 3 Adjust for Normalization by Adding Non-Oregon Regulated Deferred Taxes and the Imputed Tax Benefit of Depreciation on Disallowed Capital Costs. The clearest way 4 5 to avoid normalization issues in implementing SB 408 is to preclude allocation of tax 6 benefits from unrelated companies in the affiliated group to the utility except as 7 required by ORS 757.268(12). If the Commission adheres to a loss allocation 8 methodology, however, the next best way to avoid normalization issues is the 9 approach PacifiCorp recommended in its Opening Comments. This approach 10 proposed removing all PacifiCorp non-Oregon regulated utility operations and all non-Oregon regulated entities from the allocation exercise, along with the imputed 11 tax benefit of tax depreciation on Oregon disallowed capital costs, if any, in the 12 13 calculation of current taxes. Another possible way to minimize normalization issues is to add back all deferred taxes associated with PacifiCorp non-Oregon regulated 14 15 utility operations and all other regulated entities in the affiliated group, as proposed in 16 the Joint Comments, as well as the imputed tax benefit of tax depreciation on Oregon disallowed capital costs. With the proviso that it may not be a full cure for the normalization issues the apportionment method raises, PacifiCorp supports this approach.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Adjust for Symmetry by Adding Unregulated Deferred Taxes and the Imputed Tax Benefit of Charitable Contributions. It is poor public policy to allocate to utility customers tax benefits from losses resulting from timing differences, especially when the asymmetry of SB 408 means that customers will never bear any tax liability when such losses reverse. It is also poor public policy to allocate tax benefits associated with charitable contributions to Oregon customers, because it creates a disincentive for such contributions. The addition of unregulated deferred taxes (a proposal CUB

PACIFICORP'S REPLY COMMENTS ON INTERIM ORDER AND DRAFT RULES

1	made earlier in this docket and one that now Avista also advocates), and the imputed
2	tax benefit of all charitable contributions to taxes paid is necessary to avoid these

3 problematic results.

4 Step 2: Apportion Taxes to Total Utility Regulated Operations.

- Calculate Gross Plant, Wages & Salaries and Sales Factors for Affiliated Group and
 for Total Utility Using Federal and State Tax Returns, Workpapers and Supporting
 Schedules. Property, payroll and sales can be derived and verified from data in the
 federal and state tax returns, workpapers and supporting schedules for gross owned
 and rented plant, wages and salaries and sales.
- Derive and Apply Apportionment Percentage to Determine Total Utility Allocated
 Tax. Compare property, payroll and sales of affiliated group and utility on an equally
 weighted basis to derive apportionment percentage. Apply this percentage to
 determine what portion of affiliated group taxes to allocate to utility on a total
 company basis.

15 Step 3: Apply Properly Attributed Floor

- Calculate Floor Based on Total Utility Federal Stand-alone Tax Less Total Affiliate
 Group Losses. This step limits losses potentially available for allocation to the utility
 to the sum of the tax effects of all losses in the affiliated group. The point of this floor
 is to prevent the double counting of losses that can otherwise occur under the
 apportionment method. Compare this floor to the amount derived from Step 2 and
 select the greater of the two amounts.
- 22 Step 4: Apportion Taxes to Oregon Utility Regulated Operations and Add Deferred Taxes.
- Calculate Gross Plant, Wages & Salaries and Sales Factors for Oregon Regulated
 Operations From Results of Operations Report. Property, payroll and sales allocated
 to Oregon for regulatory purposes can be derived and verified from data in the
 utility's Results of Operations Report for the time period corresponding with that of

Page 4 - PACIFICORP'S REPLY COMMENTS ON INTERIM ORDER AND DRAFT RULES

ı		the tax return(s) used in Steps 1-3. The Joint Comments support use of factors that
2		reflect property, payroll and sales allocated to Oregon for regulatory purposes.
3	•	Derive and Apply Apportionment Percentage to Determine Oregon Allocated Tax.
4		Compare property, payroll and sales of total utility regulated operations and Oregon
5		regulated operations on an equally weighted basis to derive apportionment
6		percentage. Apply this percentage to determine what portion of total utility taxes to
7		allocate to Oregon regulated operations.
8	•	Add Deferred Taxes for Oregon Regulated Operations. To further address
9		normalization concerns, add deferred taxes for Oregon regulated operations, as
10		required by ORS 757.268(13)(f)(C). The other add-backs required by ORS
11		757.268(13)(f), tax credits and charitable contributions, were covered in Step 1 of the
12		calculation.
13	B.	State Calculation
14	Step 1	: Calculate the Adjusted State Unitary Tax.
15	•	Use Tax Return from Utility Unitary Group to Determine Taxes Paid. As set forth in
16		the Joint Comments, there is general consensus that the properly attributed
17		calculation for state taxes paid should use the tax return of the unitary group of which
18		the utility is a member and not look to other tax returns filed by members of the
19		utility's federal consolidated group.
20	•	Use State Taxes Before Credits of Utility Unitary Group. Similar to the federal
21		approach, PacifiCorp proposes to use state taxes before credits. This approach
22		precludes allocation of Business Energy Tax Credits to utility customers, a proposal
23		supported by the same strong policy rationale as the proposal to eliminate PTCs
24		from the federal tax calculation.
25		
26		

1	•	Adjust for Symmetry by Adding Unregulated Deferred Taxes and the Imputed Tax
2		Benefit of Charitable Contributions. This is the same adjustment proposed for the
3		federal tax calculation.
4	•	For a Multi-State Utility, Derive and Apply Adjusted Oregon Tax Rate. PacifiCorp
5		pays taxes at a higher rate in Oregon than the blended system rate reflected in its
6		rates. Thus, Oregon customers are already receiving the benefit that other states do
7		not have a state income tax and, all other things being equal, PacifiCorp would pay
8		more in Oregon state income taxes than it collects in rates, which is the foundation of
9		the law. However, as a part of its effort to make constructive and workable
10		comments on implementation of the apportionment method, the Company has
11		proposed to adjust the amount of Oregon income taxes paid by the unitary group by
12		a ratio equal to the effective tax rate from the utility's last rate case divided by the
13		Oregon statutory tax rate. Use of this "bumping" ratio is more straightforward than
14		the alternative of deriving state taxes paid for a multi-state utility on a state-by-state
15		basis, and the approach is supported by the Joint Comments.
16	Stop 2	Annartian State Tayon to Total Hillian Demolated Co

16 Step 2: Apportion State Taxes to Total Utility Regulated Operations.

- Calculate Gross Plant, Wages & Salaries and Sales Factors for Unitary Group and
 for Total Utility. This step and the next follow the mechanics outlined for the federal
 calculation above.
- Derive and Apply Apportionment Percentage to Determine Total Utility Allocated
 State Tax.

22 Step 3: Apply Properly Attributed Floor

• Calculate Floor Based on Total Utility Oregon Stand-alone Tax Less Total Unitary

Group Losses. Compare this floor to the amount derived from Step 2 and select the

greater of the two amounts.

26

Page 6 - PACIFICORP'S REPLY COMMENTS ON INTERIM ORDER AND DRAFT RULES

1	Step 4	: Apportion Taxes to Oregon Utility Regulated Operations and Add Deferred Taxes.
2	•	Calculate Gross Plant, Wages & Salaries and Sales Factors for Oregon Regulated
3	}	Operations From Results of Operations Report. These are the same factors used to
4	•	allocate total utility federal taxes to Oregon.
5	•	Derive and Apply Apportionment Percentage to Determine Oregon Allocated State
6	i	Tax. This step follows the mechanics of the federal calculation outlined above.
7	•	Add Oregon Deferred Taxes for Oregon Regulated Operations. Add Oregon
8		deferred taxes for Oregon regulated operations, as required by ORS
9		757.268(13)(f)(C). The other add-backs required by ORS 757.268(13)(f), tax credits
10		and charitable contributions, were covered in Step 1 of the calculation.
11	C.	Local Calculation.
12		The only local income tax for Oregon utilities is the Multnomah County Business
13	Income	e Tax (MCBIT). MCBIT is collected on a gross income basis. Based upon this fact
14	and the	a fact that the amount of MCBIT taxes paid is relatively small, the Joint Comments
15	contain	a proposal to apportion MCBIT based upon gross income.
16		Under this approach, the utility would calculate the apportionment percentage based
17	upon to	tal unitary group Multnomah County gross income and the utility's Multnomah
18	County	gross income. This apportionment percentage would be applied to total MCBIT paid
19	to deter	mine the amount of local taxes paid properly attributed to the utility.
20	III.	Technical Corrections to Proposed Rules
21	A.	Pre-tax Interest. OAR 860-022-0041(2)(g) defines pre-tax income as net
22		revenues before income taxes and interest expense, as determined by the
23		Commission in a general rate proceeding. The exclusion of interest expense

Page 7 - PACIFICORP'S REPLY COMMENTS ON INTERIM ORDER AND DRAFT RULES

24

25

26

from pre-tax income was a simplifying assumption proposed on the basis that it

concluded that the exclusion of interest expense from pre-tax income materially

was inconsequential. PacifiCorp has reviewed this issue more closely and

•		impacto the tax expense levels reflected in its dufity operating income calculation.
2		Therefore, PacifiCorp proposes to restore interest expense in the calculation of
3		pre-tax income by deleting the words "and interest expense" from OAR 860-022-
4		0041(2)(g).
. 5	B.	Effective Tax Rate. Reflecting the language of SB 408, OAR 860-022-
6		0041(2)(n)(A)(iii) indicates that the effective rate used to determine taxes
7		collected in rates should be the rate as set forth in the most recent Commission
8		proceeding establishing a tax rate. The rule then goes on to define the effective
9		tax rate as the ratio of total income tax expense in revenue requirement to pre-
10		tax income. This definition goes beyond the language of SB 408 and may be
11		inconsistent with it by requiring the effective tax rate to be calculated in a specific
12		manner, which may or may not be how the rate was actually set in the utility's
13		last rate case. For these reasons, PacifiCorp submits that the effective tax rate
14		definition should be deleted from OAR 860-022-0041(2)(n)(A)(iii).
15	C.	Double Whammy. In its Opening Comments, PacifiCorp proposed to allow
16		utilities to mitigate negative impacts of the mismatch in the SB 408 true-up (which
17		compares actual taxes paid to an estimate of taxes collected) through a potential

Double Whammy. In its Opening Comments, PacifiCorp proposed to allow utilities to mitigate negative impacts of the mismatch in the SB 408 true-up (which compares actual taxes paid to an estimate of taxes collected) through a potential adjustment in the properly attributed calculation. The proposal would permit a utility to apply to add the tax effect of expenses between rate cases to the properly attributed amount up to the level set by the ORS 757.268(12) cap. In the Interim Order, the Commission acknowledged the legitimacy of the utility's concerns on this issue, but expressed a competing concern about interfering with the operation of the automatic adjustment clause (AAC). PacifiCorp submits that its proposal balances these concerns by allowing the Commission to compensate for the double whammy impact in the properly attributed calculation, but only to the extent of the "headroom" between the properly attributed calculation and the

Page 8 - PACIFICORP'S REPLY COMMENTS ON INTERIM ORDER AND DRAFT RULES

	1	section 12 caps. In this way, the proposal cannot interfere with the operation of
:	2	the AAC required by ORS 757.268(12). Given the relatively narrow scope of this
;	3	proposal, PacifiCorp requests that the Commission reconsider its general
4	ţ	rejection of proposals to address the double whammy and adopt this proposal.
Ę	5 D.	Normalization. In addition to the steps in the apportionment method calculation
6	3	designed to address normalization and the extension of the PLR submission date
7	•	included in the Joint Comments, PacifiCorp proposes to add a sentence to
8	3	OAR 860-022-0041(9)(f) that would allow utilities to adjust their compliance
9	ı	filings as necessary to minimize normalization risks.
10	E.	ORS 756.040 Challenges. PacifiCorp requests restoration of rule language from
11		earlier rule drafts proposed by Staff that set a 120-day timeline for resolution of
12	•	claims that the AAC results in rates that violate the Commission's fair, just and
13		reasonable standard. There was nothing in the Interim Order that stated or
14		implied that a defined procedure for such a challenge was inappropriate. This
15		process provides important procedural protections to underearning utilities like
16		PacifiCorp. PacifiCorp requests that the following language be restored in
17		OAR 860-022-0041(11):
18		"Within 120 days following any such filing, the Commission will
19		hold a hearing and make a determination of whether a rate adjustment under the automatic adjustment clause would result in
20		the claimed violation. In the event of a filing under this section, the applicable rate adjustment will not be implemented until the
21		Commission makes its determination. If the Commission decides against termination of the automatic adjustment clause, interest
22		will accrue according to subsection 9(e) of this rule on the final amount of rate adjustment."
23	IV. Res	ponse to ICNU
24	ICN	U's Opening Comments failed to recognize and constructively address the
25	implementa	ation challenges created by the Interim Order. First, ICNU's position that the
26	Commissio	n should define the sales factor more broadly than generally accepted in tax law

- PACIFICORP'S REPLY COMMENTS ON INTERIM ORDER AND DRAFT RULES

Page 9

1 (i.e., by including dividend income in sales) undermines the Commission's stated intention 2 that the apportionment method be straightforward to calculate and rely on information 3 already developed for filing tax returns. Second, ICNU proposes an overbroad approach to the interpretation of 5 ORS 757.268(12)(a) which would allow it to argue for reductions to taxes paid to reflect the 6 inclusion of interest deductions on parent debt. This is inconsistent with the Joint 7 Comments, which propose that ORS 757.268(12)(a) be defined as the utility's stand-alone 8 tax liability. The apportionment method allocates all tax attributes, including interest 9 deductions, among members of the affiliated group. There is no legal or policy justification 10 for a definition of section 12(a) that would allow additional allocation of the tax benefits of 11 parent interest deductions. Third, ICNU objects to the provisions in OAR 860-022-0041(11) which allow utilities 12 13 to challenge the AAC if it produces rates that violate ORS 765.040. The Attorney General's 14 opinion on SB 408 made clear that the automatic adjustment clause was limited by 15 ORS 756.040. The provisions of Section 11 of the rule allow a procedure to guard against a 16 violation of ORS 756.040. These provisions should not be eliminated as proposed by ICNU; 17 on the contrary, they should be made more specific as suggested by PacifiCorp in 18 Section III(E) above. 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 ///

26 ///

1 V. Conclusion For all of the reasons stated above, in PacifiCorp's Opening Comments and in the 3 Joint Comments, PacifiCorp respectfully requests adoption of its positions and proposals on 4 SB 408 implementation. DATED: August 14, 2006. McDowell & Associates PC Katherine A. McDowell Sarah J. Adams Lien Attorneys for PacifiCorp

Page 11 - PACIFICORP'S REPLY COMMENTS ON INTERIM ORDER AND DRAFT RULES

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

•	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document in		
3	Docket AR 499 on the following named person(s) on the date indicated below by email and		
4	first-class mail addressed to said person(s) at his	or her last-known address(es) indicated	
5	below.		
6	Jim Anderson JD Anderson Associates	Gary Bauer Northwest Natural	
7	910 Sahalee Ct SE	220 NW 2nd Ave	
8	Salem, OR 97306 lobbyoregon@comcast.net	Portland OR 97209 gary.bauer@nwnatural.com	
9			
10	Julie Brandis Associated Oregon Industries	Lowrey R Brown Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	
11	1149 Court St NE Salem OR 97301-4030	610 SW Broadway, Suite 308 Portland OR 97205	
12	jbrandis@aoi.org	lowrey@oregoncub.org	
13	Ed Busch	R. Tom Butler	
14	Public Utility Commission of Oregon PO Box 2148	tom@butlert.com	
15	Salem OR 97308-2148 ed.busch@state.or.us		
16	<u>ca.basan@state.or.us</u>		
17	Rep Tom Butler	Randall Dahlgren	
18	H-289 State Capitol Salem OR 97310	Portland General Electric 121 SW Salmon St 1WTC 0702	
19	cpatom@fmtc.com	Portland OR 97204 randy.dahigren@pgn.com	
20			
21	Melinda J Davison Davison Van Cleve PC	Jim Deason Attorney At Law	
22	333 SW Taylor, Ste 400 Portland OR 97204	521 SW Clay St Ste 107 Portland OR 97201-5407	
23	mail@dvclaw.com	jimdeason@comcast.net	
24	Michael Early Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	Jason Eisdorfer Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon	
25	333 SW Taylor Ste 400 Portland OR 97204	610 SW Broadway Ste 308	
26	mearly@icnu.org	Portland OR 97205 dockets@oregoncub.org	

1

1	Steve Evans	Don M Falkner
2	MidAmerican Energy Holdings Company 666 Grand Ave	Avista Utilities PO Box 3727
3	Des Moines IA 50303 srevans@midamerican.com	Spokane WA 99220-3727 don.falkner@avistacorp.com
4		
5	Edward A Finklea Cable Huston Benedict Haagensen	Ann L Fisher AF Legal & Consulting Services
6	& Lloyd LLP 1001 SW 5 th Ave, Suite 2000	2005 SW 71st Ave Portland OR 97225-3705
7	Portland OR 97204	energlaw@aol.com
8	efinklea@chbh.com	
9	Andrea Fogue	Kelly Francone
10	League of Oregon Cities PO Box 928	Energy Strategies 215 South State St Ste 200 Salt Lake City UT 84111 kfrancone@energystrat.com
11	Salem OR 97308 <u>afogue@orcities.org</u>	
12		
13	Paul Graham Department of Justice	Robert Jenks Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon
14	Regulated Utility & Business Section 1162 Court St NE	610 SW Broadway Ste 308 Portland OR 97205
15	Salem OR 97301-4096	bob@oregoncub.org
16	paul.graham@state.or.us	
17	Judy Johnson	Jason W Jones
18	Public Utility Commission PO Box 2148	Department of Justice Regulated Utility & Business Section
19	Salem OR 97308-2148 judy.johnson@state.or.us	1162 Court St NE Salem OR 97301-4096
20	,	jason.w.jones@state.or.us
21	Gregg Kantor Northwest Natural	Margaret D Kirkpatrick Northwest Natural
22	220 NW Second Ave Portland OR 97209	220 NW 2nd Ave
23	gsk@nwnatural.com	Portland OR 97209 margaret.kirkpatrick@nwnatural.com
24		
25		
26		

1	Elisa M Larson	Pamela G Lesh
2	Associate Counsel NW Natural	Portland General Electric 121 SW Salmon St 1WTC 1703
3	220 NW Second Avenue Portland OR 97209	Portland OR 97204 pamela.lesh@pgn.com
4	elisa.larson@nwnatural.com	
5	Ken Lewis P.O. Box 29140	Raul Madarang Portland General Electric
6	Portland OR 97296 kl04@mailstation.com	121 SW Salmon, 1WTC Portland, OR 97204
7	oo.m	raul.madarang@pgn.com
8	Dennis J. Maurer Department of Revenue	Ron McKenzie
9	dennis.j.maurer@state.or.us	Avista Utilities Po Box 3727
10		Spokane WA 99220-3727 ron.mckenzie@avistacorp.com
11		
12	Daniel W Meek Attorney at Law	Senator Rick Metsger State Capitol
13	10949 SW 4th Ave Portland OR 97219	900 Court St NE S-307 Salem OR 97301
14	dan@meek.net	sen.rickmetsger@state.or.us
15		
16	David J Meyer Avista Corporation	Alex Miller Northwest Natural Gas Co
17	PO Box 3727 Spokane WA 99220-3727	220 NW Second Ave Portland, OR 97209-3991
18	david.meyer@avistacorp.com	alex.miller@nwnatural.com
19	Mark Nelson	Kelly O. Norwood
20	Public Affairs Counsel PO Box 12945	Avista Utilities PO Box 3727
21	Salem, OR 97309 pacounsel@pacounsel.org	Spokane, WA 99220-3727 kelly.norwood@avistacorp.com
22	Thomas R Paine	
23	Avista Corporation 1411 East Mission	Matthew W Perkins Davison Van Cleve PC
24	Spokane WA 99202	333 SW Taylor, Ste 400 Portland OR 97204
25	tom.paine@avistacorp.com	mwp@dvclaw.com

26

1	Dan Pfeiffer	Paula E Pyron
2	Idaho Public Utility Commission 472 West Washington Street	Northwest Industrial Gas Users 4113 Wolf Berry Court
3	Boise ID 83720 dan.pfeiffer@puc.idaho.gov	Lake Oswego OR 97035-1827 ppyron@nwigu.org
4	Lisa F Rackner	Rates & Regulatory Affairs
5	Ater Wynne LLP 222 SW Columbia St Ste 1800	Portland General Electric 121 SW Salmon Street, 1WTC0702
6	Portland OR 97201-6618	Portland, OR 97204
7	lfr@aterwynne.com	pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com
8	Dave Robertson	Ausey H. Robnett, III
9	Portland General Electric 121 SW Salmon, 1WTC	Paine, Hamlen, Coffin, Brooke & Miller LLP
10	Portland, OR 97204 dave.robertson@pgn.com	PO Box E Coeur D'Alene, ID 83816-0328
11	·	
12	Inara Scott Portland General Electric	Bob Tamlyn Portland General Electric
13	121 SW Salmon St Portland OR 97204	121 SW Salmon St Portland OR 97204
13	inara.scott@pgn.com	bob.tamlyn@pgn.com
14		
15	Douglas C Tingey	Jay Tinker
16	Portland General Electric 121 SW Salmon 1WTC13	Portland General Electric Company 121 SW Salmon Street, 1WTC 0702
17	Portland OR 97204 doug.tingey@pgn.com	Portland OR 97204 jay.tinker@pgn.com
18	<u>чочания у соружности</u>	ay.tinker@pgn.com
19	Rick Tunning	Senator Vicki L Walker
20	MidAmerican Energy Holdings Co 666 Grand Avenue	State Capitol PO Box 10314
21	Des Moines IA 50303 rrtunning@midamerican.com	Eugene OR 97440 sen.vickiwalker@state.or.us
22		
	Benjamin Walters	Linda K Williams
23	City of Portland Office of City Attorney	Kafoury & McDougal 10266 SW Lancaster Rd
24	1221 SW 4th Ave - Rm 430	Portland OR 97219-6305
25	Portland OR 97204 bwalters@ci.portland.or.us	<u>linda@lindawilliams.net</u>
26		

1		
	Marcus Wood Stoel Rives LLP	
2	900 SW 5 th Avenue, Suite 2600	
3	Portland, OR 97204 <u>mwood@stoel.com</u>	
4		·
5	DATED: August 14, 2006.	
6		12-11/4 ->
7		Katherine A. MoDowell
8		Of Attorneys for PacifiCorp
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Properly Attributed Local Taxes Paid