May 15, 2017

## VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Public Utility Commission of Oregon
201 High Street SE, Suite 100
Salem, OR 97301-3398

## Attn: Filing Center

## RE: UE 327-2016 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism

PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power (PacifiCorp or Company) encloses for electronic filing its 2016 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism (PCAM) filing. In Order No. 12-493, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission) approved a PCAM to allow the Company to recover the difference between actual net power costs (NPC) incurred to serve customers and the base NPC established in the Company's annual transition adjustment mechanism (TAM) filing. The amount recovered from or refunded to customers for a given year is subject to the following parameters:

- Asymmetrical Deadband. Any PCAM difference between negative $\$ 15$ million and positive $\$ 30$ million will be absorbed by the Company.
- Sharing Band. Any PCAM difference above or below the deadband will be shared 90 percent by customers and 10 percent by the Company.
- Earnings Test. If the Company's earned return on equity (ROE) is within plus or minus 100 basis points of the authorized ROE, there will be no recovery from or refund to customers.
- Amortization Cap. The amortization of deferred amounts are capped at six percent of the revenue for the preceding calendar year.

Included as Attachment A is a summary of the calculation of the Company's PCAM for calendar year 2016; additional descriptions of the calculation are provided below. Detailed workpapers supporting Attachment A are provided separately on the enclosed CD. ${ }^{1}$ On an Oregon-allocated basis, actual PCAM costs were $\$ 60,189$ less than base PCAM costs established in the 2016 TAM (Docket UE 296). The application of the deadband results in no recovery for the 2016 PCAM.

## PCAM Calculation

On a monthly basis, actual PCAM costs are compared to base PCAM on a per-unit basis. PCAM costs are established in the Oregon TAM and include NPC, Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) costs, and Other Revenues. EIM benefits are included in NPC. Any differences in the system

[^0]per-unit cost are multiplied by the actual megawatt hours of Oregon retail sales in that month to determine Oregon's share of any differential. The calculation uses the formula below:
$$
\left(\text { PCAMC }_{\mathrm{a}} \div \text { Load }_{\mathrm{a}}\right)-\left(\text { PCAMC }_{\mathrm{b}} \div \text { Load }_{\mathrm{b}}\right)=\text { System PCAM Unit Cost Differential }
$$

System PCAM Unit Cost Differential $\times \operatorname{Load}_{\mathrm{o}}+\left(\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)=$ PCAM Differential
Where:

| PCAMC $_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $=$ Total Company Adjusted Actual NPC (Excluding Situs |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Resources) plus other costs/benefits reflected in Oregon TAM |
| Load $_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $=$ Actual System Retail Load |
| PCAMC |  |

The cumulative PCAM differential (under- or over-recovery) is first compared against the asymmetrical deadband. Cumulative PCAM differential amounts in excess of the asymmetrical deadband are then subject to the sharing band ( 90 percent customers, 10 percent company). Monthly balances accrue interest at the Company's authorized rate of return in Oregon for 2016. The final step is to apply, if necessary, the earnings test to determine if any amount is eligible for recovery from or refund to customers. To the extent earnings are within plus or minus 100 basis points of the authorized ROE, no recovery or refund is allowed under the approved PCAM design.

Actual NPC are compiled using amounts booked to FERC accounts 447, 501, 503, 547, 555, and 565 , in a manner consistent with the calculation of NPC as modeled by the Company's Generation and Regulation Initiative Decision Tools model (GRID) in the Company's annual TAM filings. Actual NPC is adjusted to remove accounting entries booked in 2016 that relate to operations before implementation of the PCAM in January 2013. The Company also adjusts actual NPC to reflect the ratemaking treatment of several items, including:

- Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) wholesale sales contract revenues;
- The exclusion of Rolling Hills wind farm from Oregon rates (consistent with UE 200);
- Reductions to coal costs for management overtime, 50 percent of management incentive compensation, and legal fees related to fines and citations;
- Buy-through of economic curtailment by interruptible industrial customers; and
- Revenue from a contract related to the Leaning Juniper wind resource.

Participation in the EIM provides benefits to customers in the form of reduced Actual NPC. The Company calculated EIM inter-regional benefit for 2016 was approximately $\$ 19.5$ million. The

California Independent System Operator (CAISO) Reports estimated total benefits attributable to PacifiCorp of approximately $\$ 45.5$ million on a total-company basis for 2016. The benefits estimated for PacifiCorp in the CAISO Reports include the benefits of EIM operation due to more efficient dispatch (both inter- and intra-regional), reduced renewable energy curtailment, and reduced flexibility reserves. Additionally, EIM costs have been trued-up as part of the PCAM costs. Actual non-NPC EIM costs were $\$ 0.5$ million higher than the EIM costs included in the 2016 TAM on a total Company basis.

Other Revenues or Oregon Schedule 205, which are forecaster in the TAM are also included in the PCAM. On a total Company basis actual Other Revenues were $\$ 0.4$ million higher than Other Revenues in the 2016 TAM.

Each year base NPC is set in the TAM, and after base NPC is determined certain customers have the option to move to Direct Access (DA) and purchase energy from another Electricity Service Provider (ESS). In the PCAM, base NPC is adjusted for the lost DA load.

The PCAM includes a true-up of the value of energy from solar facilities procured to satisfy the solar capacity standard in ORS 757.370. Consistent with the Commission-approved 2017 Protocol inter-jurisdictional allocation methodology, these resources are situs-assigned to Oregon. Base NPC established in the TAM includes a situs credit for the market value of the solar energy. In the PCAM, the actual market value of the solar energy is compared to the prior forecast, and the difference is included in the balancing account. This treatment is necessary to ensure 100 percent of the energy benefits are assigned to Oregon customers, rather than allocated system-wide.

As described earlier, on an Oregon-allocated basis, actual PCAM costs were \$60,189 less than base PCAM costs. This amount does not exceed the established deadband. Accordingly, no recovery from or refund to customers is necessary. Because Schedule 206, the Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism, is currently set at zero cents per kilowatt hour for all schedules, no tariff change is required at this time.

If you have questions about this filing, please contact Natasha Siores, Manager of Regulatory Affairs, at (503) 813-6583.

Sincerely,

R. Bryce Dalley

Vice President, Regulation
Cc: Service List 263
Service List 307

## Enclosures

## Attachment A

Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism Calculation
Calendar Year 2016

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |  | Reference |  | Jan-16 |  | Feb-16 |  | Mar-16 |  | Apr-16 |  | May-16 |  | Jun-16 |  | Jul-16 |  | Aug-16 |  | Sep-16 |  | Oct-16 |  | Nov-16 |  | Dec-16 |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Total Company Adjusted Actual NPC | (2.1) | s | 127,203,521 | s | 112,912,254 s | s | 112,294,611 \$ | s | 101,852,203 s | s | 109,216,551 s |  | 138,794,928 \$ | s | 143,265,430 \$ | \$ | 144,254,617 | s | 134,916,244 \$ | s | 107,845,931 \$ | s | 103,931,350 | s | 130,998,259 | s | 1,467,485,897 |
| 2 | Actual EIM Costs | (4.1) |  | 426,953 |  | 426,953 |  | 426,953 |  | 426,953 |  | 426,953 |  | 426,953 |  | 426,953 |  | 426,953 |  | 426,953 |  | 426,953 |  | 426,953 |  | 426,953 |  | 5,123,432 |
| 3 | Actual Other Revenues | (5.1) |  | ${ }_{(1,561,364)}$ |  | (1,812,986) |  | (1,869,116) |  | (1,768,781) |  | (1,747,381) |  | $(1,715,988)$ |  | (843,778) |  | ${ }_{(797,737)}$ |  | (855,595) |  | (942,661) |  | (991,598) |  | (940,098) |  | (15,75,084) |
| 4 | Total PCAM Adjusted Actual Costs | Sum Lines 1-3 | \$ | 126,06, 109 | s | 111,526,220 \$ | s | 110,852,447 \$ | \$ | 100,510,375 \$ | s | 107,896,123 \$ |  | 137,505,893 \$ | s | 142,888,604 \$ | \$ | 143,883,833 S | s | 134,487,602 \$ | s | 107,330,222 \$ | s | 103,438,704 | s | 130,485,114 | s | 1,456,834,246 |
| 5 | Actual System Retail Load | (6.1) |  | 4,83, 660 |  | 4,251,115 |  | 4,200,833 |  | 3,955,473 |  | 4,170,986 |  | 4.816,827 |  | 5,211,360 |  | 5,068,349 |  | 4,217,359 |  | 4,241,153 |  | 4,201,068 |  | 5,090,010 |  | 54,258,193 |
| 6 | Actual PCAM Costs $\$ / \mathrm{MWH}$ | Line 4/Line 5 | \$ | 26.08 | s | 26.23 | s | 26.39 \$ | s | 25.41 s |  | 25.87 \$ |  | 28.55 \$ | \$ | 27.41 s |  | 28.39 | s | 31.89 | \$ | 25.31 \$ | \$ | 24.62 | s | 25.64 | s | 26.85 |
| Base: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Total Company Base NPC | (3.1) | s | 129,74,091 | s | 124,621,208 | s | 128,411,605 \$ | \$ | 116,595,444 s | s | 120,105,052 s | s | 123,171,745 \$ | s | 141,512,037 \$ | \$ | 136,78,990 s | s | 120,121,765 \$ | s | 123,600,984 \$ | \$ | 122,089,847 | s | 134,35,901 | s | 1,521,069,669 |
| 8 | Adjustment for Direct Access | (3.3) |  | (518,134) |  | (394,672) |  | (489, 196) |  | (386, 213) |  | (546,451) |  | (535,448) |  | (879,666) |  | (834,168) |  | (638,019) |  | (542,783) |  | (540,723) |  | (688,514) |  | (6,991,986) |
| 9 | Base EIM Costs | (3.4) |  | 385,157 |  | 385,157 |  | 385,157 |  | 385,157 |  | 385,157 |  | 385,157 |  | 385,157 |  | 385,157 |  | 385,157 |  | 385,157 |  | 385,157 |  | 385,157 |  | 4,621,885 |
| 10 | Base Other Revenues | (5.2) |  | (1,283,916) |  | (1,283,916) |  | $(1,283,916)$ |  | $(1,283,916)$ |  | $(1,283,916)$ |  | $(1,283,916)$ |  | $(1,283,966)$ |  | (1,283,916) |  | $(1,283,916)$ |  | $(1,283,916)$ |  | $(1,283,916)$ |  | (1,283,916) |  | (15,406,994) |
| 11 | Total PCAM Base Costs | Sum Lines 7-10 | s | 128,287, 198 | s | 123,327,777 S | s | 127,023,650 \$ | \$ | 115,310,473 \$ | s | 118,659,842 S |  | 121,737,538 \$ | s | 139,733,612 \$ | s | 135,046,063 | s | 118,584,987 \$ | \$ | 122,165,442 \$ | \$ | 120,650,365 | s | 132,765,628 | s | 1,503,292,574 |
| 12 | Base System Retail Load | (6.1) |  | 4,956,951 |  | 4,509,671 |  | 4,534,700 |  | 4,289,404 |  | 4,407,265 |  | 4,569,872 |  | 5,235,253 |  | 5,102,162 |  | 4,477,075 |  | 4,474,992 |  | 4.565,913 |  | 5,001,303 |  | 56,126,562 |
| 13 | Base PCAM Costs \$/MWh | Line $7 /$ Line 12 | s | 25.87 | s | 27.35 | s | 28.01 s | s | 26.88 s | s | 26.92 \$ |  | 26.64 | s | 26.69 s | s | 26.47 | s | 26.49 | \$ | 27.30 s | s | 26.42 | s | 26.55 | s | 26.78 |
| 14 | System PCAM Unit Cost Differential \$/MWh | Line 6-Line 13 | s | 0.21 | s | (1.11) s |  | ${ }^{(1.62) ~} \mathrm{~s}$ |  | (1.47) \$ |  | (1.06) \$ |  | 1.91 | s | 0.72 \$ | s | 1.92 | s | 5.40 \$ | s | (1.99) s | s | ${ }^{(1.80) ~} \mathrm{~s}$ |  | (0.91) | s | 0.07 |
| 15 | Oregon Retail Load | (6.1) |  | 1,226,008 |  | 1,034,270 |  | 1,055,078 |  | 934,416 |  | 981,763 |  | 1,046,903 |  | 1,119,465 |  | 1,165,821 |  | 940,033 |  | 989,523 |  | 1,019,959 |  | 1,355,734 |  | 12,868,974 |
| Deferral: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Monthly PCAM Differential - Above or (Below) Base | Line $15{ }^{*}$ Line 14 | s | 259,513 | \$ | $(1,150,957)$ s |  | $(1,712,680)$ s |  | $(1,375,626)$ § |  | $(1,036,187)$ \$ |  | 1,997,313 | s | 806,133 \$ | s | 2,238,727 | \$ | 5,077,967 | s | $(1,971,863)$ s | s | $(1,838,116)$ s |  | ${ }^{(1,234,633)}$ | s | 59,590 |
| Situs Resource True-Up: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Black Cap Actual | (2.2) | $s$ | (2,552) | s | $(3,322)$ s |  | (2,831) s |  | $(4,011)$ \$ |  | $(4,623)$ \$ |  | $(10,209)$ \$ |  | (12,827) \$ |  | $(13,156)$ |  | (10,801) \$ |  | $(4,340)$ s |  | (2,568) \$ |  | (2,861) | s | (74, 102) |
| 18 | Black Cap Forecast | CY2016 TAM | s | (3,339) |  | $(5,943)$ \$ |  | (5,718) \$ |  | $(8,280)$ \$ |  | (0,523) \$ |  | (11,042) \$ |  | (15,326) \$ |  | (14,459) | s | (11,484) \$ | s | $(7,706)$ \$ | s | (4,725) | \$ | (4,189) | s | (101,735) |
| 19 | Total Black Cap Situs Resource True-Up | Line 17 - Line 18 | s | ${ }^{787}$ | s | 2,622 | s | 2,887 | s | $4,269 \mathrm{~s}$ | s | 4,899 s |  | 833 | s | 2,499 \$ | s | 1,303 | s | ${ }^{683} \mathrm{~s}$ | s | 3,366 \$ | \$ | 2,157 | s | 1,328 | s | 27,633 |
| 20 | Old Mill Solar Actual | (2.3) |  | - | s | - s | s | - s | s | 50,486 \$ | s | 52,422 s |  | 86,976 | \$ | 82,652 s | s | 67,068 | s | 60,110 | s | 32,530 s | s | 25,990 | s | 12,110 | s | 469,443 |
| 21 | Old Mill Solar Forecast | CY2016 TAM | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | 19,025 | s | 35,188 | s | 40,197 s | s | 62,370 \$ | s | 79.804 s |  | 96,174 | \$ | 78,109 s | s | 63,050 | s | 58,423 s | s | 43,055 s | s | 23,719 | s | 17,742 | s | 616,856 |
| 22 | Total Old Mill Situs Resource True-Up | Line $20-$ Line 21 | s | ${ }^{(19,025)}$ | s | ${ }^{(35,188)}$ S | s | $(40,197)$ \$ | s | ${ }^{(11,883)} \mathrm{s}$ |  | (27,382) \$ |  | ${ }^{(9,198) ~}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | 4.543 \$ | s | 4.018 | s | 1,686 s | s | $(10,525)$ \$ | \$ | ${ }^{1,371}$ | \$ | (5,632) \# | s | (147,412) |
| 23 | Total Monthly PCAM Differential - Above or (Below) Base | Line $16+$ Line $19+$ Line 22 | s | 241,275 | \$ | ${ }^{(1,183,524)}$ s | s | $(1,749,990)$ s | s | $(1,383,241)$ \$ |  | $(1,058,670)$ \$ |  | 1,988,948 | s | 813,175 \$ |  | 2,244,048 s | \$ | 5,080,336 s | s | $(1,979,023)$ § | s | $(1,834,588)$ § |  | (1,238,937) | s | $(60,189)$ |
| 24 | Cumulative PCAM Differential - Above or (Below) base |  | s | 241,275 | \$ | (942,249) \$ | s | (2,692,239) \$ | s | $(4,075,479)$ \$ |  | (5,134,150) \$ |  | $(3,145,202)$ \$ | \$ | (2,332,027) \$ |  | (87,979) |  | 4,992,358 \$ | s | 3,013,335 \$ | s | 1,178,748 | \$ | $(60,189)$ |  |  |
| 25 | Positive Deadband - ABOVE Base | Order. 12-493 | s | $30,00,000$ $(15000000)$ | \$ | $30,000,000)$ $(15,000000)$ s | s | $30,000,000)$ $(15,000000)$ S | \$ | $30,000,000$ S $(150000000)$ s | s | $30,000,000$ \$ $(150000000)$ \$ |  | $30,000,000$ $(15,000000)$ s | \$ | $30,000,000$ \$ (15000,00) | \$ | $30,000,000$ $(150000000)$ s | s | $30,000,000$ \$ $(150000000)$ | s | $30,000,000$ s $(150000000)$ s | s | 30,000,000 | s | ${ }^{30,000,000}$ | \$ | 30,00,000 |
| 26 | Negative Deadband - BELOW Base | Order. 12-493 | s | ( $15,000,000$ ) | s | (15,000,000) \$ |  | ( $15,000,000$ ) s | s | ( $15,000,000$ ) \$ |  | $(15,000,000)$ \$ |  | $(15,000,000)$ s | s | $(15,000,000)$ \$ | s | $(15,000,000) \mathrm{s}$ |  | $(15,000,000) \mathrm{s}$ |  | $(15,000,000)$ s | s | $(15,000,000)$ | s | $(15,000,000)$ | s | $(15,000,000)$ |
| 27 | Amount Deferrable - ABOVE Deadband |  | \$ |  | s | - s | s |  | s |  | s | s |  | s | s | s | s | - s | s |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | - s | s |  | s |  |
| 28 | Amount Deferrable - BELOW Deadband |  | $\stackrel{ }{\$}$ | - | s | - s | s | s | s | s | s | s |  | s | s | s | s | - ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | s | - s | s | s | \$ | - s | s | - | s | - |
| 29 | Total Incremental Deferrable | Line $27+$ Line 28 | \$ | - | s | - s | s | - 5 | s | s | s | s |  | s | s | s | s | - s | s | - s | s | - $\$$ | s | - s | s | - | s |  |
| 30 | Total Incremental Deferral After 90\%/10\% Sharing Band | Line 29 * 90\% | \$ | - | s | - s | s | - s | s | s | s | s |  | s | s | s | s | - s | s | - s | s | s | s | - s | s | - | s | - |
| Energy Balancing Account: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Monthly Interest Rate | Note 1 |  | 0.64\% |  | 0.64\% |  | 0.64\% |  | 0.64\% |  | 0.64\% |  | 0.64\% |  | 0.64\% |  | 0.64\% |  | 0.64\% |  | 0.64\% |  | 0.64\% |  | 0.64\% |  |  |
| 32 | Beginning Balance | Prior Month Line 35 | \$ | - | \$ | s | s | \$ | \$ | s | s | s |  | s | s | \$ | \$ | - s | s | s | s | s | s | -s | \$ | - | s | - |
| 33 | Incremental Deferral | Line 30 | s | - | s | - s | s | - $\$$ | \$ | s | s | s |  | s | s | \$ | \$ | - s | s | s | s | s | \$ | - s | s | - | s | - |
| 34 | Interest |  | s | - | s | - s | s | - $\$$ | \$ | - $s$ | s | - s | s | - $\$$ | s | - $\$$ | \$ | - s | s | - s | s | - $\$$ | s | - $s$ | s | - | s | - |
| 35 | Ending Balance | $\Sigma$ Lines 32:34 | s | - | s | - s | s | -s | s |  | s | s | s | s | s | s | s | - s | s | s | s | s | s | - s | s | . | s |  |
| Earnings Test: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Earned Return on Equity | (7.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.87\% |
| 37 | Allowed Return on Equity | UE 246 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.80\% |
| 38 | 100bp ROE Revenue Requirement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | 28,879,676 |
| 39 | Allowed Deferral After Earning Test |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s | . |
| 40 | Total Deferred |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | s |  |

Notes: Note 1: $7.621 \%$ annual interest rate based on Oregon approved rate of return

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I served a true and correct copy of PacifiCorp's 2016 Power Cost Adjustment Mechanism filing on the parties listed below via electronic mail in compliance with OAR 860-001-0180.
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