

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1002

WAH CHANG,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	RULING
)	
PACIFICORP,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

DISPOSITION: WAH CHANG’S MOTION TO LIFT STAY GRANTED

On November 16, 2004, I issued a ruling that addressed numerous motions in this proceeding regarding discovery matters. The ruling granted PacifiCorp’s motion to stay Docket No. UM 1002 until the Marion County Circuit Court (Circuit Court) ruled on a motion to terminate or limit this remand proceeding. With regard to Wah Chang’s motions to compel compliance with discovery requests and PacifiCorp’s motions for extensions of time to respond to Wah Chang’s motions to compel,¹ the ruling granted PacifiCorp’s motions for extensions, making a response to all three of Wah Chang’s motions to compel due ten business days after the stay in this proceeding is lifted.

On February 23, 2005, Wah Chang filed a motion to lift the stay Docket No. UM 1002, informing me that the Circuit Court, by order dated February 15, 2005, denied PacifiCorp’s motion to terminate or limit this proceeding. Based on review of the Circuit Court’s order and letter opinion, I find good cause to lift the stay in this proceeding. PacifiCorp’s responses to Wah Chang’s motions to compel shall be due ten days from the date of this ruling.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 24th day of February, 2005.

Traci A. G. Kirkpatrick
Administrative Law Judge

¹ On October 7, 2004, Wah Chang filed a motion to compel PacifiCorp’s compliance with a deposition notice. On October 8, 2004, Wah Chang filed a motion to compel compliance with data requests. On the day a reply to Wah Chang’s first motion to compel was due, October 21, 2004, PacifiCorp filed a motion for an extension of time to respond to both of Wah Chang’s motions to compel. The next day, October 22, 2004, Wah Chang filed an opposition to PacifiCorp’s motion for an extension of time. On November 2, 2004, Wah Chang filed a third motion to compel, requesting compliance with another data request. Subsequently, on November 4, 2004, PacifiCorp filed a motion for an extension of time to respond to Wah Chang’s latest motion to compel. Wah Chang responded with opposition to PacifiCorp’s request for an extension of time.