

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

UM 1002

WAH CHANG,

Petitioner,

v.

PACIFICORP,

Respondent.

PACIFICORP'S UNOPPOSED  
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
  
Expedited Consideration Requested

PacifiCorp respectfully moves for a seven-day extension of time, through April 21, 2006, to file its reply memorandum in support of its Motion to Strike Petitioner's Direct Testimony and Exhibits and Memorandum in Support ("Motion to Strike").

Wah Chang does not oppose this motion.

On March 16, 2006, PacifiCorp filed its Motion to Strike. Wah Chang's response to the Motion to Strike was due on March 31, 2006. Wah Chang requested, and PacifiCorp did not oppose, a seven-day extension of time to respond to the Motion to Strike. The request was granted and Wah Chang filed its response on April 7, 2006. PacifiCorp's reply is presently due April 14, 2006. The parties have agreed to an extension of time that would require PacifiCorp's reply to the Motion to Strike be filed not later than April 21, 2006.



1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I certify that I have this day served the foregoing document, captioned PACIFICORP'S  
3 UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME, by causing a copy to be delivered by  
4 email and United States mail to:

5 Richard H. Williams  
6 Milo Petranovich  
7 Lane Powell Spears Lubersky LLP  
8 Suite 2100  
9 601 S.W. Second Avenue  
10 Portland, OR 97204

Paul Graham  
Jason Jones  
Regulated Utility and Business  
Section  
1162 Court Street NE  
Salem, OR 97301-4096

9 Paul Wrigley  
10 Pacific Power and Light  
11 825 NE Multnomah, Ste. 800  
12 Portland, OR 97232

13 DATED: April 11, 2006.

14 **PERKINS COIE LLP**

15  
16 By 

Jay A. Zollinger, OSB No. 97445

Christopher L. Garrett, OSB No. 03100

18 Attorneys for PacifiCorp  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26