

1
2
3 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON
4

5 UM 1002

6 WAH CHANG,

7 Petitioner,

8 v.

9 PACIFICORP,

10 Respondent.

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME

Expedited Consideration Requested

11
12 PacifiCorp respectfully moves for an extension of time through March 16, 2006, in which
13 to respond to Wah Chang's Motion to Exclude Information from Protective Order. Wah Chang
14 does not oppose this motion.

15 On February 23, 2006, Wah Chang filed its Motion to Exclude Information from
16 Protective Order. Section 15 of the Protective Order entered in this case, Order No. 01-149,
17 provides: "[i]f the party resisting disclosure does not respond to the motion within 10 days, the
18 challenged information shall be removed from the protection of this order." However, the parties
19 have agreed to an extension of time which would require PacifiCorp's response to be filed on
20 March 16, 2006 and request that this 21-day extension apply to the period set forth in Section 15
21 of the Protective Order.
22
23
24
25
26


1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I certify that I have this day served the foregoing document, captioned UNOPPOSED
3 MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME, by causing a copy to be delivered by email and United
4 States mail to:

5 Richard H. Williams
6 Milo Petranovich
7 Lane Powell Spears Lubersky LLP
8 Suite 2100
9 601 S.W. Second Avenue
10 Portland, OR 97204

11 DATED: March 2, 2006.

12 **PERKINS COIE LLP**

13 By 
14 Lawrence H. Reichman, OSB No. 86083
15 Chris Garrett, OSB No. 03100

16 Attorneys for PacifiCorp
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26