

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UE 399, UM 1964, UM 2134, UM 2142, UM 2167, UM 2185, UM 2186, UM 2201

In the Matters of

PACIFICORP dba PACIFIC POWER

Request for a General Rate Revision
(UE 399),

Application for Approval of Deferred
Accounting for a Balancing Account
Related to the Transportation
Electrification Program (UM 1964),

Application to Defer Costs Relating to
Cedar Springs II (UM 2134),

Application for Approval of Deferred
Accounting for Cholla Unit 4-Related
Property Tax Expense (UM 2142),

Application for Approval of Deferred
Accounting for Revenues Associated
with Renewable Energy Credits from
Pryor Mountain, (UM 2167),

Application for Approval of Deferred
Accounting and Accounting Order
Related to Non-Contributory Defined
Benefit Pension Plans (UM 2185),

Application for Approval of Deferred
Accounting for Costs Relating to a
Renewable Resource Pursuant to ORS
469A.120 (UM 2186), and

Alliance of Western Energy Consumers,
Application for an Accounting Order
Requiring PacifiCorp to Defer Fly Ash
Revenues (UM 2201).

BENCH REQUEST

The Commission has stated that “for any stipulation that includes a change in rates, the accompanying testimony should include average monthly billing comparisons for each rate case. For any rate change including a significant change to rate design, more comprehensive bill impacts showing the impact at different usage levels may be appropriate.”¹

Given this, and given that this information was not included in the testimony accompanying the third stipulation, the following questions are aimed at ensuring that the Commission has sufficient information to evaluate the impacts of the stipulation.

7. If the parties’ first three stipulations were implemented as filed by the Commission on January 1, 2023 (and assuming the deferrals have not yet gone into effect), please provide your best estimate of:
 - a. The average percentile rate increase for customers on each schedule.
 - b. The average percentile rate increase and average dollar increase for residential customers in single family homes and multi-family homes.
 - c. The percentile rate increase and dollar increase for the “average” residential customer in a single-family home and the “average” residential customer in a multi-family home.
 - d. Any other rate impact information that you believe is important to ensure the Commission can evaluate the justness and reasonableness of the stipulation.

8. If the parties’ first three stipulations were implemented as filed by the Commission on January 1, 2023 and then the deferrals were implemented on April 1, 2023, please provide your best estimate of:
 - e. The average percentile rate increase for customers on each schedule.
 - f. The average percentile rate increase and average dollar increase for residential customers in single family homes and multi-family homes.
 - g. The percentile rate increase and dollar increase for the “average” residential customer in a single-family home and the “average” residential customer in a multi-family home.
 - h. Any other rate impact information that you believe is important to ensure the Commission can evaluate the justness and reasonableness of the stipulation.

¹ *Avista Corporation dba Avista Utilities, Application for a General Rate Revision*, Docket No. UG 366, Order No. 19-331 at 4 (Oct. 8, 2019).

The company is directed to file responses by 3:00 p.m., on October 19, 2022. The parties may file replies by 3:00 p.m., on November 2, 2022.

Dated this 30th day of September, at Salem, Oregon.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Katharine Mapes". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Katharine Mapes
Administrative Law Judge