UM 2111

Screens, Study Methods, and Modern Configurations Workshop 9-14-2022



Agenda



ltem	Schedule	Time
Welcome	9:00	15 min
Review Comments received on proposalsExport ControlsSupplemental Review	9:15	75 min
Break (time depending)	10:30	10 min
 New Topics – Screen Comparison Table Level 1 Level 2 (time permitting) 	10:40	70 min
Next Steps	11:50	10 min
Adjourn	12:00	

Oregon Public Utility

Commission

Current Status



- IREC submitted two proposals for stakeholders to opine on
 - Export Controls
 - Supplemental Review
- Received comments from:
 - Utilities
 - The Renewable Energy Coalition
- IREC provided responses/additional comments
- Participation from other stakeholders encouraged
 - Send email to <u>ted.drennan@puc.Oregon.gov</u> to be added to workgroup emails



Discussion



- Export Controls
- Supplemental Review



Break





Screen Comparisons

- Level 1
- Level 2



Next Steps



- Interested Stakeholders collaborate on proposal(s)
 - Via email or,
 - Virtually
- Circulate proposal(s) to service list by September 29
- Next workshop in this workstream on October 6 1:30 pm-4:30pm
 - Discussion of proposal(s) received
 - Potential discussion of other topics
 - Screens Level 1 and 2 (dependent on 9/14 progress)
 - Further Definitions
- Further refinement of initial proposal(s), and
- Development of proposal(s) for new topics
- Continuation cycle of proposals-workshops-refinements as needed



Save the Date(s)



Workshop 3: Screens, Study Methods, and Modern Configurations

- Date: October 6
- Time: 1:30 AM 4:30 PM
- Location: Zoom
- o Link to Meeting
- o Dial-in: 1-971-247-1195
- Meeting ID: 815 1290 4309
- o Passcode: 5464541110

Workshop 2: Incorporating Updated Standards

- Date: September 28
- Time: 9:00 AM 12:00 PM
- Location: Zoom
- o Link to Meeting
- o Dial-In: 1-971-247-1195
- Meeting ID: 881 9272 2528
- \circ Passcode: 0060565574



Standardized 100% minimum load result

Where 12 months of line section minimum load data (including onsite load but not station service load served by the proposed DER) are available, can be calculated, can be estimated from existing data, or determined from a power flow model, the aggregate Export Capacity on the line section is less than 100% of the minimum load for all line sections bounded by automatic sectionalizing devices upstream of the proposed DER. If minimum load data is not available, or cannot be calculated, estimated or determined, the Distribution Provider shall include the reason(s) that it is unable to calculate, estimate or determine minimum load in its Supplemental Review results notification.

Export Capacity of DER Application		kW
Export Capacity of DER Active on Feeder		kW
Export Capacity of DER ahead in Queue		kW
Relevant time period	am/pm to	am/pm
Minimum Load		kW
Aggregate Export Capacity, including proposed DER		kW
DER as % of Load		%
Passes Screen	No	

Standardized 15% screen result

For interconnection of a proposed DER to a radial distribution circuit, the aggregated Export Capacity, including the proposed DER, on the circuit shall not exceed 15% of the line section annual peak load as most recently measured. A line section is that portion of a Distribution Provider's electric system connected to a customer bounded by automatic sectionalizing devices or the end of the distribution line.

Export Capacity of DER Application		kW
Export Capacity of Active DER on Feeder		kW
Export Capacity of DER ahead in Queue		kW
15% of Peak Load		kW
Aggregate Export Capacity, including proposed DER		kW
Export Capacity of DER, as % of Load		%
Passes Screen	No	

Standardized shared transformer screen result

If the proposed DER is to be interconnected on single-phase shared secondary, the aggregate Export Capacity on the shared secondary, including the proposed DER, shall not exceed 20 kW or 65% of the transformer Nameplate Rating.

Export Capacity of DER Application		kW
Export Capacity of DER Active on Feeder		kW
Export Capacity of DER ahead in Queue		kW
Export Capacity of Aggregate DER on Shared Secondary:		kW
Transformer Nameplate Rating:		kW
Export Capacity of Aggregate DER, as a % of Transformer Nameplate		%
Rating:		
Passes Screen	No	

Standardized protection screen result

The fault current of the proposed DER, in aggregate with the fault current of other DERs on the distribution circuit, shall not cause any distribution protective devices and equipment (including, but not limited to, substation breakers, fuse cutouts, and line reclosers), or Interconnection Customer equipment on the system to exceed 87.5% of the short circuit interrupting capability; nor shall the interconnection be proposed for a circuit that already exceeds 87.5% of the short circuit interrupting capability.

Nameplate Rating of DER Application		kW
Nameplate Rating of DER Active on Feeder		kW
Nameplate Rating of DER ahead in Queue		kW
Lowest short circuit interrupting rating of equipment in-line with DER:		Amps
Aggregate DER fault current contribution:		Amps
Distribution Circuit Maximum Fault Current nearest the PCC:		Amps
Total available short circuit current		Amps
% of short circuit interrupting rating:		%
Passes Screen	No	

IREC has not developed a template for standardized results of the other screens. However, IREC reviewed the each screen in SGIP developed a description of data that utilities should be required to provide when a Project fails that screen. This information is provided in the following table.

SGIP Screen		Description	Data to provide
	2.2.1.2	15% of annual	Load (peak or min), aggregate generation (or Export
		section peak load (or	Capacity), and percentage of load. For interconnection
		100% min load)	rules that integrate time-based load data into the screening
			process, provide the minimum load time window.
	2.2.1.3	Spot network (5% of	Peak load, aggregate generation on network, and
		network peak load or	percentage of load.
		50 kW)	
	2.2.1.4	10% of maximum	Aggregate generation fault current on circuit, distribution
		fault current	circuit max fault current, percentage of max fault current,
			assumptions for customer's DER (e.g., fault current =
M	0015	07.50 of the states	1.2x inverter Nameplate Rating).
) vie	2.2.1.5	87.5% of short circuit	Short circuit interrupting rating at limiting (lowest rated)
Re		interrupting	equipment in-line with DER, aggregate DER fault current
tial		capability	Contribution, distribution circuit max fault current hearest
Init			interrupting roting
	2216	Line configuration	Distribution line type, interconnection (sustemar service)
2.5	2.2.1.0	Line configuration	type
6	2217	Sharad sacondary	Aggragate DEP rating (or export) on shared secondary
	2.2.1.7	transformer 20 kW	for screens that use 65% of transformer rating instead of
			20 kW provide transformer rating and percentage of
			rating
	2218	Single-phase	Transformer rating imbalance as percentage of rating
	2.2.1.0	imbalance	Transformer rating, mourance as percentage of rating.
	2.2.1.9	10 MVA transient	Aggregate generation, whether there are known transient
		stability	stability limitations.
	2.4.4.1	100% minimum load	Min load, aggregate generation (or export), percentage of
			load, time period under consideration (e.g., hours of the
			day based on fixed vs. tracking PV).
	2.4.4.2	Voltage and power	This list is not exhaustive and would be dependent on the
tal		quality	applied criteria. E.g., if non-bidirectional regulators
nen			experiencing reverse flow: maximum reverse power at
len			regulator; if overvoltage is flagged at minimum load:
ddı			maximum reverse power with customer's DER,
S			violation
.27	2443	Safety and Reliability	This list is not exhaustive and would be dependent on the
2.2	2.7.7.3	Safety and Kendolity	applied criteria E_{σ} conductor loading limiting
			conductor ampacity, total current. loading as a percentage
			of ampacity.
	Covering	all screens	kW of existing DER in-line section and DER ahead in
			queue.

	OAR 860-082-0045 SGIP	2019 <u>IREC Model Rules</u> , as modified by the	OAR 860-039-0030 NEM
		2022 <u>Energy Storage Interconnection Toolkit</u>	
	Tier 1 Interconnection	Level 1	Level 1 Net Metering
	Review		Interconnection Review
		IIIA.1. Application: An Applicant must submit a	The rules allow a customer to sign an
		Level 1 Application, pursuant to Section I.C.1,	interconnection agreement at the same
_		using the standard form provided in Attachment 3 to	time as submitting an application.
ior		these Interconnection Procedures, which may be	Consider requiring all OR NEM & OR
cat		sent electronically to a recipient designated by the	SGIP Level 1 applications to include a
ilqo		Utility. An Applicant executes the standard	signed interconnection agreement.
Ap		Interconnection Agreement for Level 1 by	
		submitting a Level 1 Application. A Utility may	
		elect to charge a standard Application fee of up to	
		\$100 for Level 1 review.	
	(1) A public utility must use the	III.A.2.a. Facility Size: The Generating Facility has	(1) A net metering facility meeting the
	Tier 1 review procedures for an	an Export Capacity not greater than 25 kW, a	following criteria is eligible for Level
	application to interconnect a	Nameplate Rating not greater than 50 kW and uses	1 interconnection review:
	small generator facility that	a UL 1741 Certified inverter.	(a) The facility is inverter-based; and
	meets the following		(b) The facility has a capacity of 25
ize	requirements:		kilowatts or less.
//S		FERC SGIP, OR SGIP, and IREC Model allow	
lity	(a) The small generator facility	interconnections to network systems, while OR	
ibi	must use lab-tested, inverter-	NEM does not. Consider standardizing to allow any	
Bilig	based interconnection	projects on a network to use OR NEM & OR SGRIP	
H	equipment;	Level I & Level 2.	(2)(1) A much model in a facilitation of interview.
			(2)(b) A net metering facility's point
	(b) The small generator facility		of common coupling will not be on a
	of 25 kilowetta or loop		transmission line, a spot network, or
	or 23 knowaus or less; and		an area network.
1			

	(c) The small generator facility must not be interconnected to a transmission line.		
Fault Current Screen	Not included	Not included. Consider stanadarizing no fault current screen for OR NEM Level 1 projects.	(2)(a) The aggregate generation capacity on the distribution circuit to which the net metering facility will interconnect, including the capacity of the net metering facility, will not contribute more than 10 percent to the distribution circuit's maximum fault current at the point on the high voltage (primary) level that is nearest the proposed point of common coupling.
Penetration Screen	(2)(b) For interconnection of a small generator facility to a radial distribution circuit, the aggregated nameplate capacity on the circuit must not exceed 15 percent of the line section annual peak load as most recently measured at the substation or calculated for the line section.	Since the 2019 IREC Model Rules were published, leading states have switched to using 100% of daytime minimum load, i.e., IL, or a hosting capacity analysis, i.e., CA, instead of 15% of peak load. As noted in IREC's July 15 presentation, IREC supports, where the data is available, switching to a 100% of min load threshold. However, the threshold for the Level 1 and Level 2 Penetration Screens would look different than the detailed calculations found in the Supplemental Review Penetration Screen. The Level 1 and Level 2 Penetration Screens are typically designed to fail more projects than the Supplemental Review Penetration Screen. Considering Oregon's current use of substation minimum load data to establish generation limited feeders, IREC requests that the work group have a discussion before designing new Level 1 and Level 2 penetration screens.	(2)(c) If a net metering facility is to be connected to a radial distribution circuit, the aggregate generation capacity connected to the circuit, including that of the net metering facility, will not exceed 10 percent (15 percent for solar electric generation) of the circuit's total annual peak load, as most recently measured at the substation.

((2)(c) For interconnection of a	IIIA.2.e. For interconnection of a Generating	Note: FERC SGIP, OR SGIP, and
5	small generator facility to the	Facility within a Spot Network or Area Network,	IREC Model allow interconnections to
	load side of spot network	the aggregate Nameplate Rating including the	network systems, while OR NEM does
1	protectors, the aggregated	Generating Facility's Nameplate Rating may not	not.
	nameplate capacity on the load	exceed 50 percent of the Spot Network or Area	
5	side of the spot network	Network's anticipated minimum load. If solar	
I	protectors must not exceed five	energy Generating Facilities are used exclusively,	
I	percent of a spot network's	only the anticipated daytime minimum load shall be	
	maximum load or <mark>50 kilowatts,</mark>	considered. The Utility may select any of the	
	whichever is less.	following methods to determine anticipated	
ree		minimum load:	
Sc			
ork		i. the Spot Network or Area Network's measured	
two		minimum load in the previous year, if available;	
Ne			
		ii. five percent of the Spot Network or Area	
		Network's maximum load in the previous year;	
		iii. the Applicant's good faith estimate, if provided;	
		or	
		iv the Utility's good foith estimate if provided in	
		writing to the Applicant along with the reasons why	
		the Utility considered the other methods to estimate	
		minimum load inadequate	

Single-Phase Shared Secondary Screen	(2)(d) For interconnection of a small generator facility to a single-phase shared secondary line, the aggregated nameplate capacity on the line must not exceed 20 kilowatts.	 III.A.2.c. If the Generating Facility is to be interconnected on a single-phase shared secondary, then the aggregate Export Capacity on the shared secondary, including the Generating Facility's Export Capacity, will not exceed 65 percent of the transformer nameplate power rating. <i>Consider using the 65% threshold instead of 20 kW. Consider using Export Capacity instead of Nameplate Rating.</i> 	(2)(d) If a net metering facility is to be connected to a single-phase shared secondary, the aggregate generation capacity connected to the shared secondary, including the net metering facility, will not exceed 20 kilovolt- amps.
Service Imbalance Screen	(2)(e) For interconnection of a single-phase small generator facility to the center tap neutral of a 240-volt service line, the addition of the small generator facility must not create a current imbalance between the two sides of the 240-volt service line of more than 20 percent of the nameplate rating of the service transformer.	III.A.2.d. If the Generating Facility is single-phase and is to be interconnected on a transformer center tap neutral of a 240-volt service, its addition will not create an imbalance between the two sides of the 240-volt service of more than 20 percent of the nameplate rating of the service transformer.	(2)(e) If a single-phase net metering facility is to be connected to a transformer center tap neutral of a 240 volt service, the addition of the net metering facility will not create a current imbalance between the two sides of the 240 volt service of more than 20 percent of nameplate rating of the service transformer.

	(3) In addition to the timelines and requirements in OAR 860- 082-0025, the public utility must provide written notice to the applicant stating whether	III.A.3. Time to process screens: Within seven (7) Business Days after the Utility notifies the Applicant that the Application is complete, the Utility shall notify the Applicant whether the Generating Facility meets all of the	(3) Within 10 business days after the public utility notifies a Level 1 applicant that the application is complete, the public utility must notify the applicant that:
Approval Timeline	the small generator facility meets the Tier 1 approval criteria no later than 15 business days from the date a Tier 1 interconnection application is deemed complete.	applicable Level 1 screens. Consider standardizing the timeline for projects at 7 business days for OR NEM & OR SGIP Level 1 applications.	 (a) The net metering facility meets all applicable criteria and the interconnection will be approved upon installation of any required meter upgrade, completion of any required inspection of the facility, and execution of an interconnection agreement; or
			(b) The net metering facility has failed to meet one or more of the applicable criteria and the interconnection application is denied.
Deemed Approval	Not included. Consider standardizing deemed approval for OR SGIP Level 1.	 III.A.5. Approval: If the proposed interconnection passes the screens, the Application shall be approved, and the Utility will provide the Applicant an executable Interconnection Agreement within the following timeframes. a. If the proposed interconnection requires no construction of facilities by the Utility on its own system, the Utility shall provide the Applicant with a copy of the Level 1 Application form, signed by the Utility, forming the Level 1 Interconnection Agreement, at the time the screen results are provided. If the Utility does not notify an Applicant 	(4) If a public utility does not notify a Level 1 applicant in writing or by electronic mail whether the interconnection is approved or denied within 20 business days after the receipt of an application, the interconnection will be deemed approved. Interconnections approved under this section remain subject to section 7 below.
		provided. If the Utility does not notify an Applicant whether an Application is approved or denied	

Inspection Timelines	in writing within twenty (20) Business Days after notification of the Level 1 review results, the Interconnection Agreement signed by the Applicant as part of the Level 1 Application shall be deemed effective. III.A.7. Within ten (10) Business Days of receiving the notice of the anticipated start date of the Generating Facility, the Utility may conduct an inspection of the Generating Facility at a time mutually agreeable to the Parties. If the Generating Facility passes the inspection, the Utility shall provide written notice of the passage within three (3) Business Days. If a Generating Facility initially fails a Utility inspection, the Utility shall offer to redo the inspection at the Applicant's expense at a time mutually agreeable to the Parties. If the Utility determines that the Generating Facility fails the inspection, the Utility must provide the Applicant with a written explanation detailing the reasons for the failure and any standards violated. If the Utility determines no inspection is necessary, it shall notify the Applicant within three (3) Business Days of receiving the notice of the anticipated start date.	Do the rules include a requirement for utility to schedule the inspection on a specific timeline?
	receiving the notice of the anticipated start date.	

(5) If a small generator facility	III.A.4. Screens failure: Despite the failure of one or	(8) If an application for Level 1
is not approved under the Tier 1	more screens, the Utility, at its sole option, may	interconnection review is denied
interconnection review	approve the interconnection provided such approval	because it does not meet one or more
procedure, then the applicant	is consistent with safety and reliability. If the Utility	of the applicable requirements in this
may submit a new application	cannot determine that the Generating Facility may	section, an applicant may resubmit the
under the Tier 2, Tier 3, or Tier	nevertheless be interconnected consistent with	application under the Level 2 or Level
4 review procedures. At the	safety, reliability, and power quality standards, the	3 interconnection review procedure, as
applicant's request, the public	Utility shall provide the Applicant with specific	appropriate.
utility must <mark>provide a written</mark>	information on the reason(s) for failure in writing.	
explanation of the reasons for	In addition, the Utility shall allow the Applicant to	Consider providing written
denial within five business days	select one of the following, at the Applicant's	information on any screen failure to
of the request.	option:	all projects. See recommendations
		from the Energy Storage
Consider providing written	a. Undergo Supplemental Review in accordance	Interconnection Toolkit.
information on any screen	with Section III.D; or	
failure to all projects. See		
recommendations from the	b. Continue evaluating the Application under Level	
Energy Storage Interconnection	4, Section III.F.	
Toolkit.		
	The Applicant must notify the Utility of its selection	
	within ten (10) Business Days or the Application	
	will be deemed withdrawn.	

	OAR 860-082-0050 SGIP	2019 <u>IREC Model Rules,</u> as modified by the 2022 Toolkit	OAR 860-039-0035 NEM
	Tier 2 Interconnection	Level 2	Level 2 Net Metering
	Review		Interconnection Review
	(1)(b) The small generator	III B 2 a Facility Size: Generating Facility's Export	(1)(a) The facility has a capacity of
	facility must have a nameplate	Capacity does not exceed the limits identified in the	two megawatts or less; and
	capacity of two megawatts or	table below which vary according to the voltage of	
	less;	the line at the proposed Point of Interconnection	
2		Generating Facilities located within 2.5 miles of a	
ilit		substation and on a main distribution line with	
gib		minimum 600-amp capacity are eligible for Level 2	
Eli		interconnection under higher thresholds.	
[X]		Line Capacity Level 2 Eligibility	
rac		Regardless of location $On \ge 600$ amp line and < 2.5 miles from substation	
t T		$\leq 4 \text{ kV}$ $< 1 \text{ MW}$ $< 2 \text{ MW}$	
as		5 kV - 14 kV < 2 MW < 3 MW	
Ц		$\frac{15 \text{ kV} - 30 \text{ kV}}{211 \text{ kV} - 60 \text{ kV}} < 3 \text{ MW} < 4 \text{ MW}$	
		$31 \text{ kV} - 60 \text{ kV} \leq 4 \text{ MW} \leq 5 \text{ MW}$	
	(1)(d) The small generator	III.B.2.i. The Generating Facility's Point of	(2)(i) A net metering facility's point of
	facility must <mark>not</mark> be	Common Coupling will not be on a transmission	common coupling will not be on a
	interconnected to a	line.	transmission line.
	transmission line		

	(2)(a) For interconnection of a	Since the 2019 IREC Model Rules were published,	(2)(d) If a net metering facility is to be
	small generator facility to a	leading states have switched to using 100% of	connected to a radial distribution
	radial distribution circuit, the	daytime minimum load, i.e., IL, or a hosting	circuit, the aggregate generation
	aggregated nameplate capacity	capacity analysis, i.e., CA, instead of 15% of peak	capacity connected to the electric
	on the circuit must not exceed	load.	distribution system by non-public
	15 percent of the line section	As noted in IREC's July 15 presentation, IREC	utility sources, including the net
en	<mark>annual peak load</mark> as most	supports, where the data is available, switching to	metering facility, will not exceed 10
cre	recently measured at the	a 100% of min load threshold. However, the	percent (or 15 percent for solar
ı S	substation or calculated for the	threshold for the Level 1 and Level 2 Penetration	electric generation) of the total circuit
tion	line section	Screens would look different than the detailed	annual peak load. For the purposes of
trat		calculations found in the Supplemental Review	this subsection, annual peak load will
ane		Penetration Screen. The Level 1 and Level 2	be based on measurements taken over
Pe		Penetration Screens are typically designed to fail	the 12 months previous to the
		more projects than the Supplemental Review	submittal of the application, measured
		Penetration Screen. Considering Oregon's current	for the circuit at the substation nearest
		use of substation minimum load data to establish	to the net metering facility.
		generation limited feeders, IREC requests that the	
		work group have a discussion before designing	
		new Level 1 and Level 2 penetration screens.	

	(2)(b) For interconnection of a	III.B.2.j. For interconnection of a Generating	(2)(j) If a net metering facility's proposed
	small generator facility to the	Facility within a Spot Network or Area Network,	point of common coupling is on a spot or
	load side of spot network	the Generating Facility must be inverter-based and	area network, the interconnection will meet
	protectors, the aggregated	use a minimum import relay or other protective	the following additional requirements: (A) For a not matering facility that will be
	nameplate capacity on the load	scheme that will ensure that power imported from	(A) For a net metering facility that will be connected to a spot network circuit, the
	side of the spot network	the Utility to the network will, during normal Utility	aggregate generation capacity connected to
	protectors must not exceed the	operations, remain above one percent of the	that spot network from the net metering
	lesser of five percent of a spot	network's maximum load over the past year or will	facilities, and any generating facilities, will
	network's maximum load or 50	remain above a point reasonably set by the Utility in	not exceed five percent of the spot
	kilowatts	good faith At the Utility's discretion, the	network's maximum load;
		requirement for minimum import relays or other	(B) For a net metering facility that utilizes
	Note: Only projects on "a spot	protective schemes may be waived	inverter-based protective functions, which
	network distribution circuit	protective schemes may be warved.	will be connected to an area network, the
	limited to serving one	Note: Any project on a network eligible in 2010	other generating facilities on the load side
en	customer" are aligible (1)(c)	IREC Model EERC SCIP and Oregon NEM	of network protective devices will not
cre	customer are eligible. (1)(c).	TREC Model, TERC SOIT, and Oregon NEM.	exceed 10 percent of the minimum annual
Š	Consider standardizing to		load on the network, or 500 kilowatts,
ork	Consider standardizing to		whichever is less. For the purposes of this
two	allow any projects on a		paragraph, the percent of minimum load for
Se	network to use OR NEM or OR		solar electric generation net metering
	SGIP Level 1 and Level 2.		facility will be calculated based on the
			minimum load occurring during an off-peak
			daylight period; and (C) Each and the second sec
			(C) For a net metering facility that will be connected to a spot or an area network that
			does not utilize inverter-based protective
			functions, or for an inverter-based protective
			metering facility that does not meet the
			requirements of paragraphs (A) or (B) of
			this subsection, the net metering facility will
			utilize low forward power relays or other
			protection devices that ensure no export of
			power from the net metering facility,
			including inadvertent export (under fault
			conditions) that could adversely affect
			protective devices on the network.

-				
	_	(2)(c) The aggregated	III.B.2.c. The Generating Facility, aggregated with	(2)(c) The aggregate generation
	een	nameplate capacity must not	other generation on the distribution circuit, will not	capacity connected to the distribution
CC	Scre	contribute more than 10 percent	contribute more than 10 percent to the distribution	circuit, including the net metering
	nt S	to the distribution circuit's	circuit's maximum Fault Current at the point on the	facility, will not contribute more than
	rei	maximum fault current at the	high-voltage (primary) level nearest the proposed	10 percent to the distribution circuit's
	Cui	point on the primary voltage	Point of Common Coupling.	maximum fault current at the point on
	llt (distribution line nearest the		the high voltage (primary) level
	Tau	point of interconnection.		nearest the proposed point of common
	—			coupling.
		(2)(d) The aggregated	III.B.2.d. The Generating Facility, aggregated with	(2)(a) The aggregate generation
		nameplate capacity on the	other generation on the distribution circuit, will not	capacity on the distribution circuit to
	Sn	distribution circuit must not	cause any distribution protective devices and	which the net metering facility will
	cree	cause any distribution	equipment (including but not limited to substation	interconnect, including the capacity of
	Ś	protective devices and	breakers, fuse cutouts, and line reclosers), or Utility	the net metering facility, will not
	lity	equipment (including	customer equipment on the system, to exceed 90	cause any distribution protective
lbil	abi	substation breakers, fuse	percent of the short circuit interrupting capability;	equipment (including, but not limited
	ap	cutouts, and line reclosers) or	nor is the interconnection proposed for a circuit that	to, substation breakers, fuse cutouts,
	С Ы	other public utility equipment	already exceeds 90 percent of the short circuit	and line reclosers), or customer
	ting	on the transmission or	interrupting capability.	equipment on the electric distribution
	ıdn.	distribution system to be		system, to exceed 90 percent of the
	tiert	exposed to fault currents		short circuit interrupting capability of
	Int	exceeding 90 percent of the		the equipment. In addition, a net
	uit	short circuit interrupting		metering facility will not be connected
	lirc	capability. The small generator		to a circuit that already exceeds 90
	t- C	facility's point of		percent of the short circuit interrupting
	hor	interconnection must not be		capability, prior to interconnection of
	S	located on a circuit that already		the facility.
		exceeds 90 percent of the short		
		circuit interrupting capability.		

	(2)(e) The aggregated	III.B.2.h. The Generating Facility's Nameplate	(2)(b) If there are posted transient
	nameplate capacity on the	Rating, in aggregate with other generation	stability limits to generating units
	distribution side of a substation	interconnected to the distribution low-voltage side	located in the general electrical
sen	transformer feeding the circuit	of the substation transformer feeding the	vicinity of the proposed point of
cre	where the small generator	distribution circuit where the Generating Facility	common coupling, including, but not
S S	facility proposes to	proposes to interconnect, will not exceed 10 MW in	limited to within three or four
ilit	interconnect must not exceed	an area where there are known or posted transient	transmission voltage level busses, the
tab	10 megawatts in an area where	stability limitations to generating units located in	aggregate generation capacity,
t S	there are known or <mark>posted</mark>	the general electrical vicinity (e.g., three or four	including the net metering facility,
ien	transient stability limitations to	transmission voltage level busses from the Point of	connected to the distribution low
sur	generating units located in the	Common Coupling), or the proposed Generating	voltage side of the substation
Tr	general electrical vicinity (for	Facility shall not have interdependencies, known to	transformer feeding the distribution
	example, three or four	the Utility, with earlier-queued Interconnection	circuit containing the point of
	distribution busses from the	Requests, that would necessitate further study.	common coupling will not exceed 10
	point of interconnection).		megawatts.

Line Configuration Screen	 (2)(f) If the small generator facility interconnection is to a primary line on the distribution system, then the interconnection must meet the following criteria: (A) If the small generator facility is three-phase or single-phase and will be connected to a three-phase, three-wire primary line, then the small generator facility must be connected phase-to-phase. 	 The latest national model for this screen is found in the Toolkit and Guidance for the Interconnection of Energy Storage and Solar-Plus-Storage at pp. 132-135, as shown below: Using the table below, determine the type of interconnection to a primary distribution line. This screen includes a review of the type of electrical service provided to the Project, including line configuration and the transformer connection to limit the potential for creating overvoltages on the Interconnecting Utility's electric power system due to a loss of ground during the operating time of any anti-islanding function. 			 (2)(e) If a net metering facility is to be connected to three-phase, three wire primary public utility distribution lines, a three-phase or single-phase generator will be connected phase-to-phase. (2)(f) If a net metering facility is to be connected to three-phase, four wire primary public utility distribution lines, a three-phase or single-phase generator will be connected line-to-neutral and will be effectively grounded.
	(B) If the small generator facility is three-phase or single- phase and will be connected to a three-phase, four-wire primary line, then the small generator facility must be connected line-to-neutral and effectively grounded.	Primary Distribu tion Line Type	Type of Interconnectio n to Primary Distribution Line	Result/Criteria	
		Three- phase, three- wire	If ungrounded on primary or any type on secondary	Pass screen	
		Three- phase, four- wire	Single-phase line-to-neutral	Pass screen	
		Three- phase, four- wire or mixed three- wire and	All others	 Pass screen for inverter- based generation if the aggregate Nameplate Rating, including the Nameplate Rating of the proposed Project, is ≤ 100% feeder or line section minimum load, 	

					г
		four- wire		 or if minimum load data is not available: ≤ 30% feeder or line section peak load. 	
				 Pass screen for rotating generation if the aggregate Nameplate Rating, including the Nameplate Rating of the proposed Project, is: ≤ 33% of feeder or line section minimum load, or if minimum load data isn't available: ≤ 10% of feeder or line section peak load. 	
7	(2)(g) For interconnection of a	III.B.2.f. I	f the Generating	g Facility is to be	(2)(g) If a net metering facility is to be
dry	small generator facility to a	interconne	cted on a single	e-phase shared secondary,	connected to a single-phase shared
2n	single-phase shared service line	then the aggregate Export Capacity on the shared			secondary, the aggregate generation
red	on the transmission or	secondary	, including the (Generating Facility's	capacity on the shared secondary,
ha	distribution system, the	Export Ca	pacity, will <mark>not</mark>	exceed 65 percent of the	including the net metering facility,
ase S	aggregated nameplate capacity on the shared secondary line	transforme	er nameplate po	wer rating.	will not exceed 20 kilovolt-amps.
-Pł	must not exceed 20 kilowatts.	Consider i	using the 65% th	hreshold instead of 20 kW.	
gle		Consider i	using Export Co	spacity instead of	
Sin		Nameplate	e Rating		

	(2)(h) For interconnection of a	III.B.2.g. If the Generating Facility is single-phase	(2)(h) If a net metering facility is
en	single-phase small generator	and is to be interconnected on a transformer center	single-phase and is to be connected to
cre	facility to the center tap neutral	tap neutral of a 240-volt service, its addition will not	a transformer center tap neutral of a
Š	of a 240-volt service line, the	create an imbalance between the two sides of the	240 volt service, the addition of the
nce	addition of the small generator	240-volt service of more than 20 percent of	net metering facility will not create a
ala	facility must not create a	nameplate rating of the service transformer.	current imbalance between the two
nb;	current imbalance between the		sides of the 240 volt service that is
e lı	two sides of the 240-volt		greater than 20 percent of the
vic	service line of more than 20		nameplate rating of the service
Ser	percent of the nameplate rating		transformer.
•1	of the service transformer.		
	(2)(i) Except as provided in		
	subsection $(2)(1)$, the		
	interconnection of the small		
	generator facility must not		
	require system upgrades or		
	interconnection facilities		
	different from or in addition to		
	the applicant's proposed		
	interconnection equipment.		
	(2)(j) The aggregated		
	nameplate capacity, in		
	combination with exi[s]ting		
	transmission loads, must not		
	cause the transmission system		
	circuit directly connected to the		
	distribution circuit where the		
	small generator facility		
	interconnection is proposed to		
	exceed its design capacity.		

(2)(k) If the public utility's	
distribution circuit uses high	
speed reclosing with less than	
two seconds of interruption,	
then the small generator facility	
must not be a synchronous	
machine. If the small generator	
facility is a synchronous	
machine, then the applicant	
must submit a Tier 4	
application.	

		For interconnection of a proposed DER that can	
		introduce Induceton Export where the Nemenlate	
		Introduce madvenent Export, where the Nameplate	
		Rating minus the Export Capacity is greater than	
		250 kW, the following Inadvertent Export screen is	
		required. With a power change equal to the	
		Nameplate Rating minus the Export Capacity, the	
		change in voltage at the point on the medium	
		voltage (primary) level nearest the Point of	
		Interconnection does not exceed 3%. Voltage	
_		change will be estimated applying the following	
een		formula:	
cre			
tS		$(\mathbf{R}_{coupon} \times \Lambda \mathbf{P}) - (\mathbf{X}_{coupon} \times \Lambda \mathbf{O})$	
100			
ExJ		V 2	
nt]		XX71	
rte		Where:	
ve		$\Delta \mathbf{P} =$	
lad		(DER apparent power Nameplate Rating –	
Ir		Export Capacity) × PF,	
		$\Delta \mathbf{Q} =$	
		(DER apparent power Nameplate Rating	
		– Export Canacity)	
		$(1 \text{ $	
		$\times \sqrt{(1 - PF^2)},$	
		R _{SOURCE} is the grid resistance, X _{SOURCE} is the	
		V is the grid voltage, PF is the power factor	

New Section for Export Controls

1: Export Controls

2: If a DER uses any configuration or operating mode in subsection (4) to limit the export of electrical power across the Point of Interconnection, then the Export Capacity shall be only the amount capable of being exported (not including any Inadvertent Export). To prevent impacts on system safety and reliability, any Inadvertent Export from a DER must comply with the limits identified in this Section. The Export Capacity specified by the interconnection customer in the application will subsequently be included as a limitation in the interconnection agreement.

3: An Application proposing to use a configuration or operating mode to limit the export of electrical power across the Point of Interconnection shall include proposed control and/or protection settings.

4: Acceptable Export Control Methods

4.1: Export Control Methods for Non-Exporting DER

4.1.1: Reverse Power Protection (Device 32R)

To limit export of power across the Point of Interconnection, a reverse power protective function is implemented using a utility grade protective relay. The default setting for this protective function shall be 0.1% (export) of the service transformer's nominal base Nameplate Rating, with a maximum 2.0 second time delay to limit Inadvertent Export.

4.1.2: Minimum Power Protection (Device 32F)

To limit export of power across the Point of Interconnection, a minimum import protective function is implemented utilizing a utility grade protective relay. The default setting for this protective function shall be 5% (import) of the DER's total Nameplate Rating, with a maximum 2.0 second time delay to limit Inadvertent Export.

4.1.3: Relative Distributed Energy Resource Rating

This option requires the DER's Nameplate Rating to be so small in comparison to its host facility's minimum load that the use of additional protective functions is not required to ensure that power will not be exported to the electric distribution system. This option requires the DER's Nameplate Rating to be no greater than 50% of the interconnection customer's verifiable minimum host load during relevant hours over the past 12 months. This option is not available for interconnections to area networks or spot networks.

4.2: Export Control Methods for Limited Export DER

4.2.1: Directional Power Protection (Device 32)

To limit export of power across the Point of Interconnection, a directional power protective function is implemented using a utility grade protective relay. The default setting for this protective function shall be the Export Capacity value, with a maximum 2.0 second time delay to limit Inadvertent Export.

4.2.2: Configured Power Rating

A reduced output power rating utilizing the power rating configuration setting may be used to ensure the DER does not generate power beyond a certain value lower than the Nameplate Rating. The configuration setting corresponds to the active or apparent power ratings in Table 28 of IEEE Std 1547-2018, as described in subclause 10.4. A local DER communication interface is not required to utilize the configuration setting as long as it can be set by other means. The reduced power rating may be indicated by means of a Nameplate Rating replacement, a supplemental adhesive Nameplate Rating tag to indicate the reduced Nameplate Rating, or a signed attestation from the customer confirming the reduced capacity.

4.3: Export Control Methods for Non-Exporting DER or Limited Export DER

4.3.1: Certified Power Control Systems

DER may use certified power control systems to limit export. DER utilizing this option must use a power control system and inverter certified per UL 1741 by a nationally recognized testing laboratory (NRTL) with a maximum open loop response time of no more than 30 seconds to limit Inadvertent Export. NRTL testing to the UL Power Control System Certification Requirement Decision shall be accepted until similar test procedures for power control systems are included in a standard. This option is not available for interconnections to area networks or spot networks.

4.3.2: Agreed-Upon Means

DER may be designed with other control systems and/or protective functions to limit export and Inadvertent Export if mutual agreement is reached with the Distribution Provider. The limits may be based on technical limitations of the interconnection customer's equipment or the electric distribution system equipment. To ensure Inadvertent Export remains within mutually agreed-upon limits, the interconnection customer may use an uncertified power control system, an internal transfer relay, energy management system, or other customer facility hardware or software if approved by the Distribution Provider.

Definitions

Distributed Energy Resource or **DER** means the equipment used by an interconnection customer to generate and/or store electricity that operates in parallel with the electric distribution system. A DER may include but is not limited to an electric generator and/or Energy Storage System, a prime mover, or combination of technologies with the capability of injecting power and energy into the electric distribution system, which also includes the interconnection equipment required to safely interconnect the facility with the distribution system.

- Compare to OAR 860-082-0015(32): "Small generator facility" means a facility for the production of electrical energy that has a nameplate capacity of 10 megawatts or less. A small generator facility does not include interconnection equipment, interconnection facilities, or system upgrades."
- Compare to OAR 860-039-0005(3)(o): "Net metering facility" means a net metering facility as defined in ORS 757.300(1)(d).

Energy Storage System or **ESS** means a mechanical, electrical, or electrochemical means to store and release electrical energy, and its associated interconnection and control equipment. For the purposes of these Interconnection Procedures, an Energy Storage System can be considered part of a DER or a DER in whole that operates in parallel with the distribution system.

Export Capacity means the amount of power that can be transferred from the DER to the Distribution System. Export Capacity is either the Nameplate Rating, or a lower amount if limited using an acceptable means identified in Section [Export Controls]. &

Nameplate Rating means the sum total of maximum rated power output of all of a DER's constituent generating units and/or ESS as identified on the manufacturer nameplate, regardless of whether it is limited by any approved means.

- Compare to OAR 860-082-0015(21): "Nameplate capacity" means the full-load electrical quantities assigned by a facility's designer to a generator and its prime mover or other piece of electrical equipment, such as transformers and circuit breakers, under standardized conditions, as expressed in amperes, kilovoltamperes, kilowatts, volts, megawatts, or other appropriate units. Nameplate capacity is usually indicated on a nameplate attached to the individual device.
- Compare to OAR 860-082-0015(3): "Aggregated nameplate capacity" means the total combined nameplate capacity of: (a) A proposed small generator facility; (b) Existing small generator facilities, net metering facilities, FERC jurisdictional generators, and state jurisdictional generators with a nameplate capacity greater than 10 megawatts; and (c) Small generator facilities, net metering facilities, FERC jurisdictional generators, and state jurisdictional generators with a nameplate capacity greater than 10 megawatts; and state jurisdictional generators with a nameplate capacity greater than 10 megawatts that have pending completed applications with higher queue positions than the proposed small generator facility.
- Compare to OAR 860-039-0005(3)(i): "Generation capacity" means the nameplate capacity of the power generating device(s). Generation capacity does not include the effects caused by inefficiencies of power conversion or plant parasitic loads.

Non-Export or **Non-Exporting** means when the DER is sized and designed, and operated using any of the methods in Section [Export Controls], such that the output is used for Host Load only and no electrical energy (except for any Inadvertent Export) is transferred from the DER to the Distribution System.

Limited Export means the exporting capability of a DER whose Generating Capacity is limited by the use of any configuration or operating mode described in Section [Export Controls].

Power Control System or **PCS** means systems or devices which electronically limit or control steady state currents to a programmable limit.

Host Load means electrical power, less the DER auxiliary load, consumed by the Customer at the location where the DER is connected.

Inadvertent Export means the unscheduled export of active power from a DER, exceeding¹ a specified magnitude and for a limited duration, generally due to fluctuations in load-following behavior.

¹ IEEE P1547.9 uses "beyond" rather than "exceeding."

New Section: Supplemental Review

- 1. <u>Level 1 or Level 2 Screens failure</u>: Despite the failure of one or more screens, the Utility, at its sole option, may approve the interconnection provided it concludes such approval is consistent with safety and reliability. If the Utility cannot determine that the DER may nevertheless be interconnected consistent with safety, reliability, and power quality standards, the Utility shall provide the Applicant with detailed information on the reason(s) for failure in writing. In addition, the Utility shall allow the Applicant to select one of the following, at the Applicant's option:
 - A. Undergo Supplemental Review in accordance with Section [Supplemental Review]; or
 - B. Continue evaluating the Application under Level 4.

Upon receipt, the Applicant must notify the Utility of its selection within ten (10) Business Days or the Application will be deemed withdrawn.

- 2. To accept the offer of a Supplemental Review, the Interconnection Requester shall agree in writing and pay a Supplemental Review fee of \$1,000, both within twenty (20) business days of the offer. If the written agreement and fee have not been received within that timeframe, the Interconnection Request shall continue to be evaluated under the Level 4 Study Process unless it is withdrawn by the Interconnection Requester.
- 3. Within twenty (20) Business Days an Applicant's election to undergo Supplemental Review, the Utility shall perform Supplemental Review using the screens set forth below, notify the Applicant of the results, and include with the notification a written report of the analysis and data underlying the Utility's determinations under the screens.
 - A. **Supplemental Review Penetration Screen**: Where 12 months of Line Section minimum load data (including onsite load but not station service load served by the proposed DER) are available, can be calculated, can be estimated from existing data, or determined from a power flow model, the aggregate Export Capacity on the Line Section is less than 100% of the gross minimum load for all Line Sections bounded by automatic sectionalizing devices upstream of the proposed Project. If minimum load data is not available, or cannot be calculated, estimated, or determined, the Export Capacity of the Project, aggregated with the Export Capacity of other Projects on the Line Section, is less than 30% of the peak load for all Line Sections bounded by automatic sectionalizing devices upstream of the proposed Project.
 - i. The type of Project used by the proposed Project will be taken into account when calculating, estimating, or determining circuit or Line Section minimum load relevant for the application this screen. Solar photovoltaic (PV) Projects with no battery storage use daytime minimum load (*i.e.* 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. for fixed panel systems and 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. for PV systems utilizing tracking systems), while all other Projects use

absolute minimum load.

- ii. Load that is co-located with load-following, non-exporting or exportlimited Projects should be appropriately accounted for.
- The Interconnecting Utility will not consider as part of the aggregate Export Capacity for purposes of this screen Project Export Capacity, including combined heat and power (CHP) facility capacity, known to be already reflected in the minimum load data.
- B. **Voltage and Power Quality Screen.** In aggregate with existing generation on the Line Section:
 - i. The voltage regulation on the Line Section can be maintained in compliance with relevant requirements under all system conditions;
 - ii. The voltage fluctuation is within acceptable limits as defined by IEEE Std 1547^{TM} ;
 - iii. The harmonic levels meet IEEE Std 1547TM limits at the Point of Interconnection; and
 - iv. **Supplemental Grounding Screen**: If the Project failed the Line Configuration Screen, apply this Supplemental Grounding Screen:
 - (1) For Projects with a rotating machine, if effective grounding is maintained the Project passes the screen.
 - (2) For Projects with a three-phase inverter, apply one of the following screens:
 - (a) If the Line-to-Neutral connected load on the feeder or line section is greater than 33% of peak load on the feeder or line-section, the Project passes the screen.
 - (b) If using a supplemental grounding software tool:
 - (i) If the tool determines that supplemental grounding is not required to maintain effective grounding, the Project passes this screen.
 - (ii) If the tool determines that supplemental grounding is required, the Applicant must agree to modify the Project to include supplemental grounding. If the Applicant does not agree to modify the Project, the Project fails this screen.
 - (c) If using detailed hosting capacity analysis that incorporates

evaluation of temporary overvoltage risk for inverters: the Project passes the screen if the Nameplate Rating of the Project is below the available hosting capacity at the Point of Interconnection.

If the Project limits export pursuant to Section [Export Controls], the Export Capacity must be included in any analysis including power flow simulations.

- C. **Safety and Reliability Screen.** The location of the proposed DER and the aggregate Export Capacity on the Line Section do not create impacts to safety or reliability that cannot be adequately addressed without application of the Study Process. If the Project limits export pursuant to Section [Export Controls], the Export Capacity must be included in any analysis including power flow simulations, except when assessing fault current contribution. To assess fault current contribution, the analysis must use the Rated Fault Current; for example, the Interconnection Requestor may provide manufacturer test data (pursuant the fault current is independent of the Nameplate Rating. The Interconnecting Utility may consider the following factors and others in determining potential impacts to safety and reliability in applying this screen:
 - i. Whether the Line Section has significant minimum loading levels dominated by a small number of customers (i.e., several large commercial customers).
 - ii. Whether the loading along the Line Section is uniform or even.
 - Whether the Project is located in close proximity to the substation (i.e., less than 2.5 electrical circuit miles), and whether the Line Section from the substation to the Point of Interconnection is a Mainline rated for normal and emergency ampacity.
 - iv. Whether the Project incorporates a time delay function to prevent reconnection of the generator to the system until system voltage and frequency are within normal limits for a prescribed time.
 - v. Whether operational flexibility is reduced by the Project, such that transfer of the Line Section(s) of the Project to a neighboring distribution circuit/substation may trigger overloads or voltage issues.
 - vi. Whether the Project employs equipment or systems certified by a recognized standards organization to address technical issues such as, but not limited to, islanding, reverse power flow, or voltage quality.
- 4. If the proposed interconnection passes the supplemental screens, the Application shall be approved and the Utility will provide the Applicant an executable Interconnection Agreement pursuant to the procedure set forth in OAR 860-082-0025(7)(e).

5. After receiving an Interconnection Agreement executed by the Utility, the Applicant shall proceed under the terms of the applicable level of review under which the Application was initially studied.

Note about the Level 1 and Level 2 Penetration Screen: As noted in IREC's July 15 presentation, IREC supports, where the data is available, switching to a 100% of min load threshold. However, the threshold for the Level 1 and Level 2 Penetration Screens would look different than the detailed calculations found above in the Supplemental Review Penetration Screen. The Level 1 and Level 2 Penetration Screens are typically designed to fail more projects than the Supplemental Review Penetration Screen. Considering Oregon's current use of substation minimum load data to establish generation limited feeders, IREC requests that the work group, after completing its work on Supplemental Review and Export Controls, have a discussion before designing the new Level 1 and Level 2 penetration screens.